



**Respondent No:** 2

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Jan 11, 2023 12:12:24 pm

**Last Seen:** Jan 11, 2023 12:12:24 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Are you part of an Agency or Organization?** No

Q2. **Share any comment**

One of my concerns about this solar development is that the energy generated from this project will not stay in Yakima County, yet the impact on the landscape will. My primary concern is that there is a viable alternative to this project: Yakima has so much potential for rooftop solar on all of the existing large scale commercial buildings. I would like to see the encouragement of direct solar as opposed to the continued development of industrial scale solar projects on undisturbed land. The potential to generate an immense amount of solar energy already exists in Yakima County atop commercial buildings. It is a shame to have the landscape altered when there is better solution. I would like to see the EFSEC and other entities encourage the development of solar arrays on existing footprints. In addition to not impacting undeveloped land, rooftop solar will have no transmission loss and the power can be utilized immediately . Solar power should be encouraged on existing buildings -- especially in Yakima County with our bounty of large commercial agricultural buildings that require constant energy for refrigeration -- and the EFSEC can be a leader in encouraging this pragmatic transition to renewable sources. Please consider alternatives to the status quo of large scale commercial solar development when a viable alternative exists, especially here in Yakima County. Sincerely, Jennifer Boyer

Q3. **Upload your document (optional)** not answered

Q4. **Upload a picture (optional)** not answered

Q5. **Did you also share a video?** No

Q6. **What is the title of your video?** not answered