











2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

## ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

August 25, 2023

\_\_\_\_\_

Horse Heaven Wind Farm v.

EF-210011

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN • DEPOSITIONS

BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL
the Matter of the ) lication of: ) )
ut Clean Energy, LLC, for ) Docket No. EF-21001 se Heaven Wind Farm, LLC, )
Applicant. )
ADJUDICATIVE HEARING VERBATIM RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
VOLUME 8
August 25, 2023
Lacey, Washington
(CLOSED-RECORD SESSIONS REDACTED)

Reporter: John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR



1	APPEARANCES		
2	Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Members:		
3	Kathleen Drew, Chair		
4	Elizabeth Osborne Department of Commerce		
5	Eli Levitt		
6	Department of Ecology		
7	Mike Livingston Department of Fish and Wildlife		
8	Lenny Young		
9	Department of Natural Resources		
10	Stacey Brewster Utilities & Transportation Commission		
11	Derek Sandison (NOT PRESENT)		
12	Department of Agriculture		
13	Ed Brost (NOT PRESENT) Benton County		
14			
15	Administrative Law Judge:		
16	Adam E. Torem (*)		
17	For the Applicant:		
18			
19	TIMOTHY L. McMAHAN EMILY K. SCHIMELPFENIG ARIEL STAVITSKY		
20	WILLA B. PERLMUTTER Stoel Rives		
21	760 Southwest Ninth Avenue Suite 3000		
22	Portland, Oregon 97205 503.224.3380		
23	503.224.3300 503.220.2480 Fax tim.mcmahan@stoel.com		
24	emily.schimelpfenig@stoel.com		
25	ariel.stavitsky@stoel.com willa.perlmutter@stoel.com		



1	APPEARANCES (Continuing)	
2	For Benton County:	
3	KENNETH W. HARPER	
4	AZIZA L. FOSTER  Menke Jackson Beyer	
5	807 North 39th Avenue Yakima, Washington 98902	
6	509.575.0313 509.575.0351 Fax	
7	kharper@mjbe.com zfoster@mjbe.com	
8		
9	Counsel for the Environment:	
10	SARAH M. REYNEVELD Washington State Office of the	
11	Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue	
12	Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.389.2126	
13	206.587.4290 Fax	
14	sarah.reyneveld@atg.wa.gov	
15	For the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation:	
16	SHONA VOELCKERS	
17	ETHAN JONES JESSICA HOUSTON	
18	Yakama Nation Office of Legal Counsel PO Box 150	
19	401 Fort Road Toppenish, Washington 98948-0150	
20	509.969.8201 shona@yakamanation-olc.org	
21	ethan@yakamanation-olc.org jessica@yakamanation-olc.org	
22	jessica@yakamanacion ore.org	
23		
24		
25		



1	APPEARANCES (Continuing)		
2	For Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S. (Community Action for Responsible Environmental Stewardship):		
3			
4	J. RICHARD ARAMBURU Law Offices of J. Richard Aramburu		
5	705 Second Avenue Suite 1300		
6	Seattle, Washington 98104 206.625.9515 206.682.1376 Fax		
7	aramburulaw@gmail.com		
8	Council Staff:		
9			
10	Sonia Bumpus Andrea Grantham (*)		
11	Ami Hafkemeyer Lisa Masengale		
12	Joan Owens Alex Shiley		
	EFSEC Legal Adviser from the Washington State Office of the Attorney General:		
13 14			
	Jonathan C. Thompson		
15	Jennifer Slocum		
16			
17			
18	(*) indicates the participant is appearing in person in Lacey, Washington, with the Court		
19	Reporter. All other participants are appearing remotely via Microsoft Teams.		
20	appearing remotery via Microsoft reams.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2	PROCEEDINGS/WITNESSES:	PAGE NO.
3	Roll call of parties	1526
4	Housekeeping session	1527
5	Roll call of Council	1557
6	Judge's inquiry re ex parte communications	1558
7	DONALD McIVOR Adoption of prefiled testimony	1561
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Perlmutter Cross-examination by Ms. Voelckers	1563 1608
9	Redirect examination by Ms. Reyneveld Questions by Council Chair Drew	1623 1634
10	Questions by Council Member Livingston	1638
11	Questions by Council Member Brewster Questions by Council Member Levitt	1646 1649
12	Questions by Council Member Young Recross-examination by Ms. Perlmutter	1651 1654
13	Recross-examination by Mr. Aramburu Recross-examination by Ms. Voelckers	1662 1666
14	Further redirect exam by Ms. Reyneveld	1668
15	RICHARD SIMON Adoption of prefiled testimony	1675
16	Direct examination by Mr. Aramburu Cross-examination by Mr. McMahan	1675 1676
17	JERRY MENINICK	
18	Adoption of prefiled testimony	1688
19	** Closed-record session begins **	1690
20	(Not available to general public per protective order)	
21	per procedure order,	
22	** Closed-record session concluded **	1704
23	DAVID KOBUS Adoption of supplemental testimony	1707
24	Direct examination by Mr. McMahan Cross-examination by Mr. Aramburu	1711 1716
25	Cross Camillacton by Mr. Aramburu	1710

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS (Continuing)	
2	PROCEEDINGS/WITNESSES:	PAGE NO.
3	DAVID KOBUS (Continuing from previous page) Questions by Council Chair Drew	1721
4	Questions by Council Member Osborne Questions by Council Member Young	1724 1726
5	Questions by Council Member Levitt Cross-examination by Mr. Aramburu	1730 1734
6	Judge's inquiry of parties re further evidence	1736
7	Final housekeeping session	1744
8	Adjournment	1764
9		1,01
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u> </u>	EXHIBIT INDEX	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	PARTY	ADMITTED
3	1058_X	Scout Clean Energy	1534
4	1064	Scout Clean Energy	1709
5	3001_R_Confidential	Counsel for the environment	1561
6 7	3001_R_Redacted	Counsel for the environment	1561
8	3002_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
9	3003_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
11	3004_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
12 13	3005_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
14	3006_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
15 16	3007_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
17	3008_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
18 19	3009_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
20	3010_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
21	3011_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
23	3012_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	3013_R	Counsel for the environment	1561

1	EXHIBIT	INDEX (Continuing)	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	PARTY	ADMITTED
3	3014_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
4 5	3015_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
6	3016_R	Counsel for the environment	1561
7	4004_T_Confidential	Yakama Nation	1688
8	4004_T_Redacted	Yakama Nation	1688
9	4007_T_Confidential	Yakama Nation	1540
10	4007_T_Redacted	Yakama Nation	1540
11	4017_X	Yakama Nation	1541
12 13	5000	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1544
14	5001_T_Revised8	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1544
15	5002	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1544
16	5500	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1675
17	5501_T_Revised	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1675
18	5502	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1675
19	5503_R	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1675
20	5602_T	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1545
21	5623_T	Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	1546
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Friday, August 25, 2023, at 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast, 2. 3 Lacey, Washington, at 8:30 a.m., before the Washington 4 Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council; Kathleen Drew, Chair; and Adam E. Torem, Administrative Law Judge, the 5 following proceedings were continued, to wit: 6 7 8 <<<<<< >>>>> 9 10 JUDGE TOREM: Good morning, 11 everyone. It's 8:30, and it is Friday, August 25th. 12 We're ready to have our housekeeping session for the 13 Horse Heaven wind project, and then at 9:00, get 14 started with our last adjudicative hearing session. Applicant online this morning? 15 16 MR. McMAHAN: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MS. PERLMUTTER: Good morning, Your 18 Honor. 19 JUDGE TOREM: Good morning. 20 And do we have Benton County this morning? 21 MS. FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: Is that Z. Foster? 23 MS. FOSTER: That is correct. 24 Mr. Harper is here as well. 25 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Good

1 morning. Counsel for the environment. 2. 3 Ms. Reyneveld, have you joined us? 4 Do you see her on there? We'll come back around. 5 I saw Mr. Aramburu there. 6 7 And I see Ms. Voelckers. Good morning. Hopefully we're going to -- we're just trying to 8 9 look and see in the chat if she's there. 10 All right. Not yet. She'll catch up with us. 11 Maybe she's working with Mr. McIvor this morning before 12 his 9:00 testimony. 13 Ms. Voelckers, let me come to you first on 14 scheduling and what Mr. Meninick's flexibility was and what Council Member Wallahee's status is. 15 16 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 17 Honor. Good morning. We were not able to get ahold of Mr. Wallahee 18 19 yesterday. I believe he was still with his family. So 20 I will continue to update you if I am able to contact 21 him this morning. Mr. Meninick is confirmed to be available at 1, 2.2 23 and we're -- possibly earlier, but I don't want to 24 overcommit him. So he did confirm again yesterday that

he is available at 1. Possibly at 11. But I hesitate

to give that firm answer.

2.2

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Well, we can update that later and see how things are going for the rest of the day. Thanks, Ms. Voelckers.

As to Councilman Wallahee, if we're not able to get ahold of him, I would suggest we consider the same approach as for Lonnie Click, the fire chief, and adopt that testimony by stipulation and see where we go from there. If we do have a supplemental hearing in September, then we can see about rescheduling him. But if we don't have that, we clearly want to have his testimony submitted.

Does any party have a concern about taking

Caseymac Wallahee's testimony by stipulation if that's

the only way we can do it?

All right. Not seeing any concerns. And I would hope that Ms. Reyneveld would feel the same way.

Moving on to the next scheduling question.

Mr. Aramburu, it appeared to me that Alaska Airlines

let me know both those flights had left Anchorage on

time this morning. So at some point in the next two to

three hours, we should hear from Mr. Simon that he's on

the ground in Seattle. So hopefully we'll get that

done today too.

MR. ARAMBURU: Well, Murphy's Law

applies to EFSEC proceedings as well. Mr. Simon, when he got one of the 37 schedules we've had here and it 2. 3 didn't have his name on it, he thought he wasn't going to have to testify, submitted material, which I haven't 4 5 seen, to the -- during the public conference session. But I have made contact with him. I believe he is on the plane. I might like just a little extra time to 7 speak with him. But I think we can make it work. But there was kind of a misstep at this end. So if you give us just a bit of patience with him, we'll work to 11 get him on and avoid any further complications. 12 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. I imagine, once 13 he's on the ground, you'll be able to reach out. We'll 14 take an appropriate break as those flights come in. MR. ARAMBURU: And perhaps I can ask the applicant: Do you have questions for Mr. Simon? 17 MR. McMAHAN: We will have questions, Mr. Aramburu. Not a lot of them, but we will have questions. MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. Okay. Good. 21 Well, we'll make him available, Mr. McMahan. 22 JUDGE TOREM: And Mr. Kobus, I 23 imagine, has a flexible schedule today, Mr. McMahan? 24 MR. McMAHAN: I believe he is quite 25 flexible today, yes.

1

6

8

9

10

15

16

18

19

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. And so I think Don McIvor at 9 will follow with likely Mr. Kobus, it sounds like. Then we'll see where we are, take a break, and have Mr. Aramburu check with Mr. Simon, whichever flight he's on, if he's on the ground. Then we'll see -- if he's not available right away, we'll go if Jerry Meninick is available, and then we can talk about adopting the Caseymac Wallahee testimony. But I think those are the remaining witnesses along with Mr. Simon's coming in. So hopefully people are available before the lunch hour. We could be done even with a late lunch, and then if we have to take people after, maybe we'll take an early lunch and wrap up. That's what I see on the schedule. Anybody have any other comments scheduling-wise? MR. ARAMBURU: Judge Torem, we are continuing our efforts with -- with Mr. Click. We're continuing our efforts with regard to rebuttal testimony to some of the fire and lithium ion battery material. And so we're continuing with those efforts. But we're kept from intense involvement with that giving the hearings. JUDGE TOREM: And I think, Mr. Aramburu, the Lonnie Click situation is unlikely to resolve to free him up for this. Let's get to the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

exhibits, and then we can talk about waiving any objections that are -- if there are none, that we could just stipulate to the admission of that testimony.

So, Ms. Masengale had sent out an updated exhibit list. And unless there's something else we should take up, I'd like to just kind of shift to that page by page right now. It's the one that says updated August 24th, and it looks to be 29 pages as it displays on my screen.

Let's go through the applicant's first just by the numerical sequence, Mr. McMahan.

It looks like for Brynn Guthrie on the first page, those were admitted yesterday.

We have Jansen and Ragsdale, and those are, according to Ms. Masengale's notes and my recollection, all admitted.

And then we get down to Rahmig and Morgan Shook on Page 2. I don't think there was anything that was left out so far.

Page 3 looks clean to me.

Page 4.

Just kind of scrolling down and looking for anything that doesn't have a note as to its status.

So now on top of Page 7, and everything seems to be there.

```
We get to Greg Wendt, and as we shift into the
 1
     cross-exam exhibits. Was that 1052 X offered or used?
 2
 3
     I think it's one of those code indications that may or
    may not have been used in cross-examining Mr. Wendt.
 4
 5
         Mr. McMahan, that's going to be a question to you.
                        MR. McMAHAN: We didn't use 1052,
 6
 7
     1053, or 1054, says the smarter side of the table here.
 8
                        JUDGE TOREM: All right. So we're
     just going to mark those as not offered?
 9
10
                        MR. McMAHAN: I believe. Yes.
                                                        That
11
     is true. That is correct.
12
                        JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So I'll have
13
    Ms. Masengale update those if they were not offered.
14
     So 1052, -53, and -54 we don't have to worry about.
          Then we get to 1056. Looks like 1057 was
15
16
    admitted. So we have 1056, 1058, -59, and 1060.
17
          What does the smarter side of the table say on
     those, Mr. McMahan? 1056, -58, -59, and -60.
18
19
                        MR. McMAHAN: Yes, Your Honor, I
20
    believe we did bring in -- so looking at the list here
21
    for Greg Wendt indicates that was admitted.
22
                        JUDGE TOREM: Which number?
23
                        MR. McMAHAN: And it was -- I'm
24
     sorry. Yeah, 55 -- 1055_X.
25
                        JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, that one's
```

1 admitted. MR. McMAHAN: And then 1056 X was 2 3 e-mail correspondence that we also discussed that 4 should also be admitted. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Harper, what were your notes looking like on Mr. Wendt's cross-exam? 6 7 MR. HARPER: You know, Your Honor, I'm actually trying to catch up on that. And I wonder 8 if we could table that and I could come back to it. 9 10 I'm not sure I have my notes on that where I can access 11 them right now. 12 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So we've got 13 1056 unresolved. 14 Were there any others, Mr. McMahan, that we can 15 resolve and have Ms. Masengale mark as admitted or not? 16 I'm trying to pull up my notes from that first day. MR. McMAHAN: Your Honor, I think 17 18 everything else looks fine. I think that -- if I 19 remember right, Mr. Harper, I think the comprehensive 20 plan came in through the County. 21 MR. HARPER: Yeah, that is correct, 2.2 Tim. I do remember that. 23 MR. McMAHAN: Yeah. 24 So other than that, there's 1056\_X. But the other 25 two, meaning -55 and -57, are admitted. So I think

```
1
     that's the only one, plus the comp plan, Your Honor.
 2
                        MS. VOELCKERS: Sorry to jump in
 3
    here, but -59 is a demonstrative video. I don't
 4
    remember seeing that in the cross.
 5
                        MR. McMAHAN: Thank you,
    Ms. Voelckers. Yes, we did not -- we did not end up
 6
 7
    putting that forward.
 8
                        MR. HARPER: I agree with that too.
 9
                        JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, so -56, question
    mark. -57 we know is admitted. I've got that in my
10
11
    notes as well. 58, never saw it. Well, 59 I never
12
          The County comprehensive plan, I think as you
     saw.
13
     just said, -58, there's a mutual agreement that was
    used. So -58 is admitted.
14
15
                               (Exhibit No. 1058_X
16
                                admitted.)
17
18
                        JUDGE TOREM: 1060. We also have
19
     the Yakama Nation petition to intervene, so I'm not
20
     sure it matters one way or the other. But I don't
21
    remember that being bandied about at all on -- well,
2.2
    maybe.
23
          Did -- did we show that to Ms. Lally?
24
                        MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, I don't
    believe we saw that. I think we saw the map, the map
25
```

1 exercise that applicant walked through, but I don't remember Ms. Lally being asked to comment on the 2 3 petition and agree that it's more appropriate to just 4 reference it as it is in the agreement. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Do you remember offering that or maybe just referencing it, 6 Mr. McMahan? I don't remember that 1060 coming up. 7 8 MR. McMAHAN: No. It was referenced. I don't believe it was offered. 9 10 frankly, it's in the record. 11 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So really we 12 just have a question of -- we know not offered for 59 13 and 60. That leaves -- and 58 was admitted. 14 Ms. Masengale, are you catching all these 15 descriptions? 16 MS. MASENGALE: Yes, Your Honor. What date do you want me to use for admitting 1058\_X? 17 JUDGE TOREM: I think unless there's 18 19 another concern with that, we'd go back to the date the 20 witness was presented. 'Cause this is probably just 21 something we might have missed the note on. It was 22 admitted on those dates, I'm sure. Or we could use 23 that or we could use today as a housekeeping session. 24 I'm not sure materially that it matters. 25 Parties, any -- you want to put it for today, or

do you want to...?

2.2

MR. HARPER: Well, no, I don't have a position on that, Your Honor. But I'm actually kind of confused. Because I'm not sure -- I'm not sure whether what I'm tracking here is the same as what I understood to be the status of the exhibit lists at the close of Mr. Wendt and Ms. Cooke's testimony. So I just want to point out that I'd like to have a little bit more time to kind of work with this and be sure I understand at least as to those two witnesses.

But on the point you just raised, Your Honor, I don't have a position on that.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Mr. Harper, which exhibits are you wanting a little more time to look at so just Ms. Masengale knows that we need to close -- close out on those?

MR. HARPER: Yeah, well, the exhibits associated with -- again, with Mr. Wendt and Ms. Cooke's testimony -- not necessarily the cross-exam exhibits, but I'm struggling on this -- this exhibit list to sort of confirm what I understood to be the exhibits that were admitted in his testimony in chief, or perhaps I'm struggling to recall how that matches with -- okay. I think it's becoming clear to me, Your Honor.

```
Yeah, I'm kind of getting mixed up between
 1
     Ms. McClain's exhibits and Mr. Wendt's. If I could
 2
 3
     just have a little bit of time to kind of reconsider
 4
     what we're discussing regarding, I guess, -50 -- -56.
 5
     Yeah, maybe just -56 is the only one I'm really
     thinking of here, Your Honor. I guess also -52, -53,
 6
 7
     -54.
 8
                        JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. And remember,
     these are just the exhibits starting with a "1" that
 9
10
     are --
11
                        MR. HARPER: I understand. Yeah.
12
                        JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Yeah, so
13
     we'll -- we'll get to the ones with a "2" here shortly.
14
          Okay. So -56 is still a question.
15
          As I go down through the Lally exhibits, it was
16
     just 1060 that wasn't offered.
17
          So let's switch now to Benton County's.
          And it looks like Mr. Wendt's two exhibits were
18
19
     admitted. His reply testimony was admitted. And so
20
     was --
21
                        MR. HARPER: Yeah.
22
                        JUDGE TOREM: -- Ms. Cooke's
23
     testimony. We know about that.
24
                        MR. HARPER: Yep.
25
                        JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, it doesn't look
```

1 like there's any other questions. Because all the rest of the ones offered by the County were admitted, it 2 3 looks like. 4 MR. HARPER: I think that's right 5 too, Your Honor. 6 JUDGE TOREM: It does get a little 7 burdensome, doesn't it, Mr. Harper, just trying to 8 remember what happened eight days ago or something. It's like --9 10 All right. And we get down to the McIvor testimony. We haven't had that yet today. So we'll 11 12 scroll on past counsel for the environment's McIvor 13 exhibits. 14 And then there's a few cross-exam exhibits. And 15 if Ms. Reyneveld is on today, we'll see. Maybe she put down 8:45, so maybe she's joining us shortly. If we 16 17 don't see her by about 8:55, we'll have to get someone 18 to give her a call. 19 There she is. 20 MS. REYNEVELD: I'm here, Your 21 Honor. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 23 MS. REYNEVELD: I did put down 8:45. 24 I apologize for my tardiness. 25 JUDGE TOREM: Well, you came in just

on time as we're going through the exhibit list. 1 And we confirm that Mr. McIvor, I think, is at 9:00. 2. 3 MS. REYNEVELD: That's correct. 4 JUDGE TOREM: So as we go through 5 the exhibit list that Ms. Masengale sent out, the August 24th update, we're down to Page 14, looking at 6 some of your cross-exam exhibits. And we've confirmed 7 on Ms. Masengale's scorecard that 3017 through 3020 8 were offered and admitted, and so was 3021. So I don't 9 think there are any other questions until we have 10 11 Mr. McIvor's direct exam exhibits. 12 MS. REYNEVELD: I believe that's 13 correct. 14 JUDGE TOREM: All right. As we get 15 to, Ms. Voelckers, your exhibits, we have those still 16 waiting for Mr. Meninick and for Caseymac Wallahee. Based on what we know, should we stipulate to the 17 Wallahee exhibits being admitted, or do you want more 18 19 time to consider, parties, if there's any objection to 20 those in case he doesn't testify today? 21 Any party have an objection to Caseymac Wallahee's 2.2 exhibits being admitted? 23 MR. McMAHAN: No objection from the 24 applicant, Your Honor. 25 MR. HARPER: No.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So let's, Ms. Masengale, indicate that those were admitted by stipulation. And then I'll call out -- if Caseymac Wallahee does testify today, we can take out the "by stipulation, because he will have adopted them. if we could note these as admitted by stipulation. (Exhibit Nos. 4007 T Confidential and 4007\_T\_Redacted admitted by stipulation.) Scrolling down. JUDGE TOREM: Jansen and the wind power guidelines. 4017 X. one's not got a notation. MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, we didn't end up -- just out of trying to get us back on schedule, I didn't get into those guidelines further with him. I think they've been cited by many parties, and, you know, prefer to have an exhibit number to cite But, you know, I think either way we're -- we all to. know which guidelines we're talking about. I just would ask that we consider still bringing them in as an exhibit for ease of reference. JUDGE TOREM: Did they get sponsored by another witness elsewhere? That's my only concern.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I won't have a duplicate. But if there's not a 1 duplicate, we can see if other parties have that. 2. 3 Did anybody else put together an exhibit or 4 somebody sponsor the DFW wind power guidelines? don't remember for sure or not, but it sounds like no. 5 And I know they were referenced, Ms. Voelckers. 6 Do parties have any strong feelings about them 7 being -- becoming an exhibit by stipulation as opposed 8 9 to maybe it wasn't identified and offered during cross? 10 I think they are what they are. And they might be 11 helpful to the Council. So I see nodding heads. So if 12 there's objection, let me know. But otherwise, for 13 4017\_X, Ms. Masengale, if you'll mark that as 14 stipulated admitted, whatever words to that effect. (Exhibit No. 4017\_X admitted 15 16 by stipulation.) 17 JUDGE TOREM: And I think that takes 18 care of the Yakama Nation exhibits except for the 19 20 Meninick, which we expect to be adopted later today. 21 Mr. Aramburu --2.2 MR. HARPER: Can I interject --23 JUDGE TOREM: Yes. 24 MR. HARPER: Can I interject there, Your Honor, and --25

1 JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. 2. MR. HARPER: -- clean up the issue 3 of -56? 4 Yeah, with a very friendly assist from Z. Foster, we don't think -56 was ever used and is out. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So we'll have 6 1056\_X marked as not offered. 7 8 MR. HARPER: Correct. 9 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Ms. Masengale 10 will make a note of that. 11 Mr. Aramburu, your Exhibit 5000, I think, is a continually evolving exhibit -- right? -- that just 12 says who you are and all of the exhibits that TCC is 13 14 sponsoring. So that's never been ultimately offered. 15 And since you're not a witness in the matter, do we 16 just want to stipulate that 5000 and 5001 and, I quess, 17 5002, if necessary, are there? Or are they just for helpers and they're not offered? 18 19 Tell me, Mr. Aramburu, how you'd like to treat 20 those. 21 MR. ARAMBURU: I would like them in 22 the record, please. So I would offer them. 23 JUDGE TOREM: Counsel, you've had a 24 chance to look at these as they've come in, I think every time there's an amendment to the TCC witness 25

- 1 list. And they're kind of guidance as an overall
  2 cover. I don't think there's any other substantiative
  3 purpose, but they're helpful as a guide.
  4 Ms. Perlmutter, your thoughts?
  - MS. PERLMUTTER: No, Your Honor, as long as they're fixed in time at some point, I don't see any issue with having that admitted for -- you know, for what it's worth.
  - JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. And I think if it's a scorecard -- Mr. Aramburu, do you anticipate any further updates to those?
- MR. ARAMBURU: I do not.
- JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, I think maybe I
  misspoke. 5000 and 5002 really haven't changed much.

  It's 5001 that has the eighth revision. So that
- Revised8, Ms. Perlmutter, seems to be where we're fixed in time as of Wednesday.
- MS. PERLMUTTER: As long as that's the last one, then we have no objection.
- JUDGE TOREM: Right. And if there
  is a ninth one, Mr. Aramburu, you'll file it with a
  motion to update the exhibit, and then we'll see if the
  other parties have any concerns. But, I think, sounds
  like substantively we're -- we've exhausted the numbers
  clicking up on that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

So, Ms. Masengale, if you'll mark 5000, 1 2. 5001 Revised8, and 5002 as stipulated. 3 (Exhibit Nos. 5000, 4 5001 T Revised8, and 5002 admitted by stipulation.) 5 6 JUDGE TOREM: And then we have a 7 series of items that were stricken. 8 And as far as the Krupin exhibits, you can see 9 10 that they've been marked on partially admitted or fully admitted on the ones that we talked about earlier this 11 12 week. 13 And the same for Dave Sharp's testimony, being 14 partially admitted. 15 And that takes us to the bottom of Page 21 of 29. 16 In the middle of Page 22, we have the Rich Simon 17 exhibits still pending. And if for some reason Murphy's Law applies today, Mr. Aramburu, we'll see 18 19 what Murphy's stipulations look like and go from there. 20 Pam Minelli, I think, didn't appear to adopt her 21 testimony. And it wasn't stricken, because I thought 2.2 she was on the board -- is that right, Mr. Aramburu? --23 of TCC or was otherwise in a representative capacity? 24 MR. ARAMBURU: She is on the board. I haven't looked at that exhibit in some time. 25 We can

1 make a note about that. JUDGE TOREM: I don't think we're 2. 3 going to call her -- call her to adopt it. Did any --4 MR. ARAMBURU: No. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Did any party have a concern? If you want to take a look at Pam Minelli's 6 items. I think she testified Wednesday night at the 7 public comment hearing. And I don't have any problem 8 9 with having this come in as a stipulated exhibit that 10 would be essentially complementary to her public 11 comment hearing testimony Wednesday. 12 Does anybody have a concern about stipulating this 13 one's admission? And, again, the reason I didn't 14 strike it or move it into public comment was because she is a leader of the community. 15 16 All right. So we'll have 5602 marked as a 17 stipulated exhibit. (Exhibit No. 5602\_T admitted 18 19 by stipulation.) 20 21 That may be the last JUDGE TOREM: 2.2 one that has a "to be determined" designation but for 23 the witnesses for today. I think Ronnie Fletcher falls into the same 24

category as Ms. Minelli. I remember her testimony.

```
Remind me, Mr. Aramburu, if you recall. She was on the
 1
     board of -- or in some leadership capacity. 5623.
 2.
 3
                        MR. ARAMBURU: I'm going to have to
     go back and -- back and check on this, Your Honor.
 4
 5
     We'll check on it and then have some response to you at
     our next session.
 6
                        JUDGE TOREM: I'm just looking to
 7
     see if I have her testimony handy. I do.
 8
 9
          Ronnie Fletcher, she was a precinct officer,
10
     former vice-chair of the Benton County Republican
11
     Party. So I consider that as political leadership in
12
     the community. That's where her testimony is coming
13
            So that's my -- that's what I had highlighted
14
     and the reason that she wasn't pushed into the public
15
     comment.
16
          Does anybody have a problem with the precinct
17
     officer and Republican party previous official
     Ms. Fletcher having her testimony come in as a
18
19
     stipulated piece?
20
          All right. Not seeing any. So we'll just go
21
     ahead and mark that stipulated and take that one off
22
     your homework board, Mr. Aramburu. That's in.
23
                               (Exhibit No. 5623_T admitted
24
                                by stipulation.)
25
     ////
```

1 MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. Good. Thank 2 you. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Little less work. 4 Nothing bad happened yet today. 5 And let's go on to Page 27, it looks like. You can see the bottom of 26, we've got 6 Mr. Click's testimony admitted by stipulation. And 7 we're just waiting for his answers to the Chair's 8 9 questions. 10 And as much as there's a carryover box for Linda 11 Lehman from 26 to 27, that was admitted. 12 And it looks like that's it. We've got everything 13 taken care of but for the witnesses for today, I think. 14 So, Ms. Voelckers --15 MR. ARAMBURU: That looks good from 16 our side. 17 JUDGE TOREM: Great. 18 We've got Caseymac Wallahee taken care of and 19 stipulated, so that takes some pressure off, just in 20 case you don't hear from him. It will just be a 21 question of he has an opportunity if there is a 2.2 supplemental hearing decided. So we'll see how the 23 rest of today goes. 24 Any other exhibit questions? 25 All right.

1 MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor. 2. JUDGE TOREM: Any other house- --3 yeah, Ms. Voelckers. 4 MS. VOELCKERS: I do have a 5 follow-up question to our conversation on Monday about Ms. Ragdale -- Ragsdale and what she's sponsoring. 6 we appreciated the ability to have access to that 7 SharePoint folder, but we're only able to locate the 8 redacted version of Appendix R, I believe. And so I 9 10 just -- if that's the version that -- I mean, it's not 11 the applicant's version, because they provided it. But 12 my concern is what version the Council and yourself 13 will be using so that we're all citing to the same 14 thing. And I think, you know, we would request the 15 16 unredacted version, of course, because we've signed 17 confidentiality agreements and don't understand there 18 to be any limitation on getting that copy. So I quess 19 that's my first question, is whether we can get a 20 confidential copy. 21 And then, secondly, who -- which version is 2.2 everyone going to be looking at as we move forward? 23 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Masengale, can you 24 address the status of Appendix R? And --25 MS. MASENGALE: Yes.

1 JUDGE TOREM: -- I'm sure we have 2. the confidential version, because that's what was 3 submitted. 4 Go ahead. 5 MS. MASENGALE: Yes. So just for clarification right now, I had shared the redacted 6 version because Judge Torem was referencing the 7 redacted version of that appendix. But the unredacted 8 9 version is, of course, available. Excuse me. 10 But that was why the redacted version was the only 11 one submitted thus far and shared, because that was 12 what Judge Torem was referencing. 13 JUDGE TOREM: All right. How do we 14 get a copy of that out to Ms. Voelckers and the other 15 parties that is confidential? Clearly, the applicant 16 would have it; they submitted it. But just to make 17 sure everybody's on the same page as Ms. Voelckers 18 suggests is a good idea. 19 MS. MASENGALE: I can upload the 20 redacted version to the MFT as well. So the attorneys 21 that have access to that, I'll upload that later today. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: Perfect. Thank you. 23 Ms. Voelckers, does that address what you needed? 24 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you. That is 25 very helpful.

I did have another housekeeping matter, but I think you were just asking for feedback on exhibits, so that's the -- that was my only feedback on exhibits.

Thank you for the clarification, Ms. Masengale.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. What's the next housekeeping matter that Yakama Nation has today?

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your Honor. I think it would be helpful to understand some parameters of the post-hearings briefs as we move into our next couple weeks. And I did try to look at -- there appears to be a wide variety of lengths and styles. I saw a 95-page applicant brief for Tesoro Savage.

So I -- I don't think we need 95 pages, but I do think that there's -- significant issues have been raised. And, you know, something along the lines of half that, maybe 45, 50 pages would be appropriate.

But wanted to get further guidance from you as well as seeing if we can establish maybe some common convention of citations, whether you and the Council will prefer citations to come in footnotes or in-line with the briefings.

Since I know we're not a formal necessarily like a superior court, but a lot of us are used to working in those forms with in-line citations and so would

2.

appreciate some more guidance on how you would like the parties to format our briefs so that we can be responsive that way.

And I know we're not quite done with the hearing yet, but as we, you know, move into the next couple weeks, would appreciate that guidance.

JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, let me circle back with the parties toward the end of today's hearing. I want to check with the court reporter as to timing on transcripts. I know that recordings are going up rather quickly, but I think it's traditional to work from transcripts for pages to cite to.

Much as you might review the testimony provided, citing to a time and minute on the video, you can do that if you want in line with the transcript as well, particularly if you think viewing it is going to be better for the Council or better for ultimate review by the governor or the supreme court for that matter.

So if there are particularly good spots in the video you think are better than just reading the transcript, feel free to use that. But I want to have you figure out the date that post-hearing briefs are due once the transcripts are out.

And I don't know if it's transcript plus 30 days or transcript plus some other, you know, X or minus

date. But think about that, and we can figure that into also the Council's deliberation dates that we were trying to sort out ballpark of when those might be set and available.

Clearly, you're going to have the whole month of September before the Council does anything with this. And transcripts should -- I'm guessing 30 days. But whether it's measured from today or each individual day, that's something we're looking at the contract to make sure and make sure John's not overburdened cranking these out for you.

All right. Any other -- that was a good point to bring up, Ms. Voelckers. It was on my list for later, but that gave me a lot more detail to know the questions the parties are thinking about.

Any other party want to tell me what else we need to resolve on post-hearing briefs besides the page length, citation style, and a due date?

MR. ARAMBURU: I haven't given this a lot of thought. The -- most of the court system now has moved to words instead of pages, so -- which tends to impact the length of footnotes. That was the reason that the supreme court decided to change things to words. So I don't have a recommendation about that, but that's an alternate way to do it that's being done

2.2

by the judicial system.

2.

2.2

And the -- the briefing -- and I haven't given this a whole lot of thought either. It may be that the briefs will want to contain links to the record that can be used. And I do -- I do think that we will, as we discussed briefly yesterday, want to have appendices particularly of some of the visual materials, on oversize material. So I don't know that we need to resolve that today, but that has been a particular concern that we have about making sure that the Council has sufficient materials on the visual situation.

So I don't know we need to resolve that now, but that is -- that is a concern that we have.

JUDGE TOREM: And I want to make sure I interpret correctly, Mr. Aramburu. It's not the appendices that would be anything new, but a larger version of any exhibit that's already been admitted, correct?

MR. ARAMBURU: That's correct. We discussed that yesterday, and we had -- we had hoped, before the hearings became so compressed, that we might be able to get larger paper documents out to Council members. And we didn't -- just did not have a chance to do that.

But -- but the appendices that we would have in

mind at least would not be any new material but would include perhaps larger sizes of some of the key exhibits, so -- and I haven't given this a whole lot of thought and probably want to condense my thoughts on briefing.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Come back to me, and if parties can think about that. I think if an exhibit's already been admitted and it's simply the same exhibit, submitting new material, I think, trying to sneak something in, in the back door, if it's that way, I think a party's going to file a motion to strike and motion for sanctions.

And under CR 11, we don't want to be expanding the record without an explicit motion. So if it's coming in as a larger exhibit from anybody demonstrative, which I think anybody could -- could find something useful -- if anybody was doing that, be careful. Make sure it's exactly the exhibit and exactly an expansion of that with no further comments and no further information. Because I will entertain those motions to strike, and I will. And if it's not exactly the same, it's going to violate, I think, our common understanding of what's admissible.

And if there's going to be a larger exhibit, send it in to the EFSEC offices. And then if individual

2.2

Council members don't make use of it, it'll simply be 'cause they think that they've got what they need on the screen. But I like the opportunity for them to have it available.

Some of us will be more paper-oriented and hang it on the wall, and others it won't work for,

Mr. Aramburu. So no guarantee that the individual

Council members will actually take the paper and the supplemental extra size. We'll just see how each one works, but I like the opportunity.

All right. Any other housekeeping items?

MR. ARAMBURU: On that, I am presuming that we would have paper copies of the brief for those Council members that wanted them, or perhaps all of them get a paper copy. I don't know that lawyers need the paper copies, but perhaps Council members are more comfortable with something they can stick in their files and take home. So that's another question to be resolved.

JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, I think the

Council here has been pretty much paperless for a

while. If I get a request -- I'll check with the

Council members, Mr. Aramburu. I don't want any

impacts on the environment unnecessarily, as we talk

particularly about renewable energy. So we'll just, I

2.

2.2

think, rely on electrons unless I get a specific request.

Again, on the briefs, people have been reading the prefiled testimony as it is. I think they can read the briefs as well. But if I get -- I'll survey the Council members, and if there's a request for paper, I'll let you know how many to send in.

MR. ARAMBURU: Thank you.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. But as long as we're of the understanding everything's going to come in electronically, we'll go from there.

All right. I think we're ready now at 9:07 to turn to the formal last day of our hearing.

(Witness Donald McIvor appearing remotely.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

JUDGE TOREM: I see that we have our witness Don McIvor present. And, Mr. McIvor, we'll get to you as soon as we do the formal preliminaries, including a roll call of the Council members and a roll call of our parties formally on the record.

So good morning, everybody. Good morning, Council members. It is Friday, April 25th. And rarely has it been a TGIF like today must feel. But it is our last Day 8 of 8 for the hearing in the Horse Heaven wind

1	farm project.
2	I'm going to ask that staff take the Council roll.
3	MS. GRANTHAM: EFSEC Chair.
4	COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Kathleen Drew,
5	here.
6	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of
7	Commerce.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER OSBORNE: Elizabeth
9	Osborne, present.
10	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of
11	Ecology.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Eli Levitt,
13	here.
14	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Fish
15	and Wildlife.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Mike
17	Livingston, present.
18	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Natural
19	Resources.
20	JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Young may just
21	have stepped away. I think he was in
22	COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Yeah, sorry.
23	The audio cut out here briefly, Your Honor.
24	Lenny Young for Department of Natural Resources,
25	present.

1	MS. GRANTHAM: Thank you.
2	Utilities and Transportation Commission.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Stacey
4	Brewster, present.
5	MS. GRANTHAM: For the Horse Heaven
6	project: Department of Agriculture.
7	And Benton County.
8	JUDGE TOREM: Did we see
9	Mr. Brost
10	MS. GRANTHAM: I did not.
11	JUDGE TOREM: today?
12	MS. GRANTHAM: I have not seen him
13	yet this morning.
14	JUDGE TOREM: All right. We'll keep
15	an eye out for when Mr. Brost joins us.
16	So it sounds like we have the Chair plus five
17	today.
18	For those Council members present, last chance for
19	me to put on the record any ex parte contacts that may
20	have occurred through the course of the hearing.
21	Anything to disclose? I'm seeing some shaking
22	heads to the negative.
23	All right. Well, maybe doing this stuff virtually
24	precludes a lot of ex parte contacts, so that's a
25	different experience than I've had before, but maybe a

good positive outcome of this.

2.

2.2

Ms. Reyneveld, we're going to take your witness today, Mr. McIvor. And I'm going to have to scroll to the exhibit list to see what he's sponsoring and then might want to take a look with you as to any chance we're going to be into closed session today -- I think it's rather high -- so we can have staff build out the list of folks that need to get from the public session into the closed record.

So I see from Mr. McIvor, he's got response testimony starting with 3001. We have both the confidential and the redacted version. We have 3002, a cumulative effects study. 3003, another study. 3004 and 3005, some additional studies. 3006, -7, -8, -9, and -10. These are all on Page 11 and 12 of your exhibit list. 3011, 3012, 3013, -14, and -15. And 3016.

Parties, were there other cross-exam exhibits for Mr. McIvor that can easily be identified?

MS. PERLMUTTER: I don't anticipate any, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: Did any other party have cross-exam exhibits specifically for Mr. McIvor that haven't already been admitted?

All right. I'm not seeing any.

So, Mr. McIvor, good morning. I'm Adam Torem. I'm the administrative law judge and riding herd on this if nothing else.

And so we have your Exhibits 3000 through 3016. I'll swear you in and ask you to adopt those and any other answers you're going to give today.

If you have updates to your testimony we should know about, you can provide those up front. And then my schedule says that the applicant -- and you've already heard Willa Perlmutter identify herself, I think, as the attorney. She'll start. And then Ms. Shona Voelckers of the Yakama Nation also has some questions for you. So we'll proceed through those now.

Sir, if you'll raise your right hand.

DONALD McIVOR,

appearing remotely, was duly sworn by the Administrative
Law Judge as follows:

JUDGE TOREM: Do you, Don McIvor, solemnly swear or affirm that all testimony in the exhibits we just recited that you'll be adopting and any answers you give to questions today asked by attorneys or Council members will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

1 THE WITNESS: I do. 2. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you, 3 sir. 4 I'm going to consider those Exhibits 3000 through 5 3016 now admitted. And our staff, Ms. Masengale, is going to do that, mark the exhibit list accordingly. 6 (Exhibit Nos. 7 3001 R Confidential, 8 9 3001 R Redacted, 3002 R, 10 3003\_R, 3004\_R, 3005\_R, 11 3006\_R, 3007\_R, 3008\_R, 12 3009 R, 3010 R, 3011 R, 13 3012\_R, 3013\_R, 3014\_R, 3015\_R, and 3016\_R 14 15 admitted.) 16 17 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Reyneveld, did you want to give a guick introduction to the witness to the 18 19 Council members, kind of put everybody on the page 20 where we're going today. 21 And then, Ms. Perlmutter, I'll call on you next. 22 MS. REYNEVELD: Certainly, Your 23 Honor. Mr. McIvor is a wildlife ecologist. He's 24 prepared to testify regarding the responsive and supplemental testimony he provided on behalf of counsel 25

for the environment on the project's impacts to wildlife and habitat and recommendations to mitigate or avoid those impacts.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. With that introduction, Ms. Perlmutter, I'll let you start with the cross-exam. Then we'll turn to Ms. Voelckers.

And, Mr. McIvor, just so you can anticipate, we may go back and forth with the lawyers a little bit, and then I'll call on the Chair of the Council and all the Council members to see if they have questions. And I think there's a pretty high likelihood there's a few Council members that will.

offered an opportunity at this juncture to -- for me to offer a correction. And I would have a very small one, but I think an important one, that I would like to get on the record. And that is in my supplemental responsive testimony. That's Exhibit 3016, I believe.

On the first page of that testimony and my first answer, I indicated that the Region 6 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service requires a two-mile buffer around ferruginous hawk nests. And it actually is a one-mile buffer. Minor math error on my part, transferring from kilometers to miles. But I think that's an important point to note as we get into this.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Well, I'm 1 glad we're not using the metric system today, but saves 2 3 public math. And I'll hand you over to Ms. Perlmutter. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 MS. PERLMUTTER: Thanks so much, Your Honor. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MS. PERLMUTTER: 10 Mr. McIvor, I was planning on grilling you on the 11 difference between radius and diameter, but you've completely shut off that line of questioning. 12 13 My name is Willa Perlmutter, and I represent the applicant in this matter. And as I know you expect, 14 15 I've got some questions to ask you this morning. 16 MS. PERLMUTTER: And, Ms. Masengale, 17 can we please call up Exhibit 3001? 18 MS. MASENGALE: Yes. And did you 19 want to show the redacted version right now, or do we 20 need to go into a closed session to show the unredacted 21 version? 22 The redaction --MS. PERLMUTTER: 23 the redacted version is fine. 24 MS. MASENGALE: Thank you. 25 MS. PERLMUTTER: Thanks so much.

- Q (By Ms. Perlmutter) Mr. McIvor, I'd like to start actually by talking about some things that we can agree on. And so we're looking at your initial testimony that you provided.
  - And specifically I'd like to look at Page 3.

    Do you have a copy of that with you?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 | Q Awesome.
- 9 A I also see it on my screen. Yes
- 10 | 0 Great.

1

2.

3

4

5

- And really it's up for other people's benefit as much as for yours.
- 13 I'd just like to turn to Page 3.
- 14 A Mm-hmm.
- 15 Q And confirm with me, please, that on Page 3, you

  16 indicate that for this particular project, the

  17 applicant exceeded the usual effort for wind facilities

  18 to document that use at the site; is that right?
- 19 A I would say that's a fair characterization, yes. I
  20 made that statement and still agree with it.
- 21 Q And you also said that the applicant concluded that the local breeding population is small.
- You agree with that, don't you?
- 24 A Referring specifically to bats and hoary and silver-haired, yes.

Q Understood. Thank you for the -- thanks for the -- for narrowing me in on that.

And you also agreed with the applicant's conclusion that we're mostly talking about migratory bats at the site; is that right?

## A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q And on Page 4, you noted that the applicant arrived at a reasonable estimate of the project's impacts on bats; is that right?
- 10 A Eventually, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And you did specifically note that the
  12 applicant's estimate, in your opinion, constituted a
  13 proper application of the best available data; is that
  14 right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And on Page 6, you note that the applicant -17 that the application accurately quantifies the
  18 project's potential impacts to avifauna; is that right?
- 19 A Yes. I would say it's potentially exclusive of
  20 ferruginous hawk, but more broadly the avifauna in
  21 general, yes.
- 22 Q And so can you educate me? Because I'm -- I'm a lawyer and not a scientist.
- What is -- when you talk about avifauna, what does that mean?

- A Birds. It's -- it's just a fancy word for "birds."

  It's wildlife, but specifically the bird portion of the wildlife.
- Q Thank you.

I'm planning on using that at the dinner table tonight, for the record.

You also on Page 6 say that the analysis of -- the project's analysis of the impacts to birds is, quote, "well-informed by a greater than typical" -- "by greater than typical efforts to collect bird use activity data."

Do you still -- you still agree with that statement?

## A Yes, I do.

Q And on Page 6, you also note that there's no reason to expect that the project will have a disproportionate impact on general avifauna.

And I know you're excluding bats from that -- sorry. You're excluding ferruginous hawks from that. But otherwise, you agree with that statement?

## A Yes, I do.

Q And on Page 7, you note that the revised application includes adequate safeguards and appropriate mitigation for general avifauna.

Again, you're still good with that?



- 1 A Yes, I am.
- Q On the same page, you note that the applicant accurately quantified the project's potential impact on ferruginous hawks.

5 That statement still holds?

impacts to ferruginous hawks --

- A I would -- I would -- accurately quantifies potential impacts. That was the -- the ques- -- on ferruginous hawks. That was your question. Am I correct?
- 9 0 Yes, it is.

6

7

8

- 10 A I -- I would -- I would say that -- no. Based on what

  11 I have learned through the process of discovery that

  12 we've all been going through here, I think there are

  13 some gaps in the quantification of impacts or potential
- 15 | O But --
- 16 A -- through this project.
- 17 | Q My apology.
- 18 A No, go ahead.
- 19 Q And I'll do my best not to interrupt you. It's a bad 20 habit I have.
- But certainly as of July 5th, you noted that, in your opinion, the applicant accurately quantified the potential impacts on ferruginous hawks; is that right?
- 24 A That -- that was my belief at that time, yes.
- 25 | Q Okay. We'll get to the change shortly, as you might

imagine.

2.

And you've noted in -- on Page 7 of your initial testimony, you've noted other threats to the ferruginous hawk. And you talk about -- you talk about impacts or threats extrinsic to the proposed project.

What do you mean by that?

A Well, the ferruginous hawks situation, its population status, is the product of a wide range of negative influences. And significant number of those influences occur range-wide. So they are not limited to the project footprint, whether it was built or not.

And there are things like, as others have enumerated through this process, but things like decline in its prey, things like loss of habitat, fragmentation of habitat, collisions, shooting, poisoning. There are a number of factors that have been identified that occurred in other parts of its range.

Q So let me say this to you, and you tell me if I'm getting this right.

What you just described is kind of a scientific way of saying that these birds have lots of threats, things that have nothing to do with the project; is that right?

A Yeah, that's true, but I would -- I would also say that

- collision with wind towers has been identified as one of the threats and one of the sources of mortality for these birds.
  - Q Can you quantify that?
  - A Well, in the state of Washington, I think roughly starting with the date of when wind energy facilities started being implemented up until today, there have been, I believe, four mortalities associated with wind energy facilities. And I believe the number, if you cast the geographic net a little bit wider, the Columbia Plateau ecoregion, I think the number is eight --
- 13 | Q And --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

21

22

23

24

- 14 | A -- mortalities.
- 15 | Q Going back how far again?
- 16 A Roughly 2008, 2010, somewhere in there, I believe.
- 17 Q And you refer -- on Page 7, you talk about disturbance 18 as being one of the threats to the ferruginous hawks.
  - What do you mean by that?
- 20 A Right. A good question.
  - The bird is -- is known to be sensitive to human disturbance. And so it appears that it demonstrates some avoidance behavior in the presence of human disturbance. And so it's one of the concerns that's associated with any project that's implemented on the

landscape in the hawks' range.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

So at some -- some point, you know, we see these hawks out foraging at least, and I'll make the distinction between foraging and nesting.

So we see these hawks out foraging where there might be single-lane farm access roads or back-country gravel roads. That doesn't seem, just subjectively, to present a significant obstacle for the birds or something. It's a stimulus that they want to avoid.

But at some point, if you get enough human disturbance, enough construction, enough activity, the birds do start avoiding the landscapes and changing their behavior.

- Q So would that include things like residential development?
- 16 A It certainly could, yes.
- 17 Q And just so I'm clear, when you talk about avoidance,

  18 you're talking about -- again, to put it in lay terms,

  19 would I be right if I thought about this as things that

  20 the birds don't like are happening on the ground, so

  21 they go someplace else? They fly -- they use other

  22 flyways and things?
- 23 | A That's -- that a very good, succinct description, yes.
  - Q At Page 9 of your initial testimony, you are talking specifically about artificial nests.

A Mm-hmm.

2.

- Q And, again, if I'm right, you said that the applicant's artificial nest effort could help bolster regional populations; is that right?
- A Yes. It certainly could.

Could I offer a little bit of insight into that, a little bit of nuance?

- 8 Q Sure.
  - A Well, I think this is a very interesting opportunity that the applicant has put forward. And it's not -- here's this word again -- novel in the sense that there has been an effort in the state to place artificial nest platforms for ferruginous hawk. So this would not be the first attempt.

What is not apparent from my reading of the reports is whether or not those efforts in the state of Washington to supplement nesting opportunities through artificial platforms have been successful.

I think WDFW has put out, in conjunction with partners, something like 85 nest platforms. And I cannot find any data on occupancy rates or use rates for those platforms except for one effort in 2019 where 29 platforms were put out and two were occupied. So that's a very low occupancy rate. That's about 7 percent.

But that data was incorporated into some of Mr. Jansen's modeling efforts, and he footnoted that piece of data by saying that the platforms were put out and the observations on their use made in the same season.

So that's really probably not enough length of time to understand if the platforms are adopted by the birds or accepted.

So the point being, I think in Washington we don't really have an understanding of how readily those platforms are adopted. We do know that the nesting and -- the number of occupied territories in Washington is a small percentage of those available. It's about 18 percent of territories are occupied. So, you know, how successful supplemental nesting platforms would be remains to be seen.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Go ahead.

MS. PERLMUTTER: No. You go.

THE WITNESS: I was going to say, I think it's a worthy experiment. And I think that the applicant's offer to do that as an add-on measure is generous, and the monitoring that they've offered to accompany it is essential and also generous.



So it's an experiment that's probably worth doing. It's got to be done very carefully, very thoughtfully. Those platforms have to go in just the right place so that they supplement the population, not just move birds from, say, a natural nest to a platform. You've got to increase the breeding population. And you can't do it at the expense of encouraging competitors to ferruginous hawk.

So there's -- there's a lot of moving pieces here.

It's not a panacea.

MS. PERLMUTTER: Thank you very much for that clarification.

Q (By Ms. Perlmutter) So a couple things just on that one answer.

You talk about doing it thoughtfully and strategically, placing artificial nests.

And you would expect that the applicant's wildlife biologists and potentially a technical advisory committee would -- would be thoughtful and strategic in that way, wouldn't you?

#### A Yes. Yes.

And when you talk about opportunistic -- I think the phrase that you used -- or providing artificial nest platforms it sounds like for competing species -- I just want to make sure I understand. Again, let me say

this to you, and you tell me if I'm right.

You don't want to put up a bunch of artificial nests so predators of ferruginous hawk can come in and say, Hey, great. You've sent us -- you've given us these great hunting blinds to go to after ferruginous hawks; is that right?

- 7 | A You may actually be a wildlife biologist. Yes.
- 8 Q And when you talk about Mr. Jansen, we're talking about
  9 Erik Jansen who testified in this matter?
- 10 A Yes. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 | Q And one question.

If I represented to you that -- the post-construction fatality monitoring: You had talked about the documented bird fatalities, ferruginous hawk fatalities, that there were, you said, four in Washington.

If I represented -- if I represented to you that post-construction fatality monitoring in the Columbia Plateau started in 1999, would you have any reason to disagree with that?

- A No. No. I -- that --
  - JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Perlmutter, while we're still on this particular subject of nesting or other breeding platforms, the witness's testimony sounded like in Washington, which of course is our

jurisdiction here.

2.

I wanted to see, while we're there, if there was any studies outside of Washington that might be indicative of what best practices would be post-construction accommodation of these birds and encouragement of these birds.

So if that's okay with you, either I can ask the question, or maybe it's already out there, or you can.

MS. PERLMUTTER: I suppose it would be inappropriate for me to tell you to mind your own business. No, of course I'm fine with Mr. McIvor answering that question.

JUDGE TOREM: Outside the hearing, that would be just fine, but today I'll take it.

So Mr. McIvor, staying outside of Washington, is there any other indication of the ferruginous hawk that these have worked?

THE WITNESS: That's -- that's a really, really good and important question. There are other studies from within the range of the hawk, ferruginous hawk, that have looked at nesting, artificial nesting platforms and whether the hawks are using them.

Those studies have occurred in Alberta, Wyoming, Utah, possibly Nevada. The problem is that those are

landscapes that offer different opportunities to ferruginous hawk than what is offered in Washington. So the birds are probably reacting differently to the nesting opportunities.

Mr. Watson, Jim Watson, in his testimony, made the point that in the Alberta portion of the ferruginous hawks' range, they're primarily in grasslands with a different prey base, primarily -- I think it was primarily jackrabbits; I do recall a different prey base -- and fewer nesting opportunities.

So putting out platforms there, they were, I think, reasonably well accepted, as one might expect where, say, trees for nesting are quite limited.

The highest rate of nest platform use has been in Wyoming. And, again, it's a bit of a different environment. Big sagebrush. And not as many trees, I would say generally, where they range in Wyoming. And I think that they probably perceive the nest platforms as being advantageous, perhaps offering a higher perch from which to see predators and/or prey.

So how birds respond to presence or opportunities for nest platforms is going to be influenced to some degree what other opportunities their habitat -- immediately surrounding habitat offers to them.

So it's very -- it's very risky, I think, to

extrapolate from other states and experiences in other parts of their range to -- to our situation in Washington.

MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, do you have follow-up?

JUDGE TOREM: No. I think that took us as far afield as I wanted to go.

MS. PERLMUTTER: No pun intended, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: Never.

Q (By Ms. Perlmutter) So, Mr. McIvor, to go back, then, to your statement on Page 9, with all of this nuance that you've provided, what I'm hearing is that these -- the proposed artificial nest effort might help bolster regional populations suggests that there's -- there's not enough data at this point and there are too many variables in what's going to happen to know, but it -- but it's possible, and certainly we would all hope for that; is that right?

# A That is correct, yes.

Q And I actually want to go back for one quick second.

One other question about your -- the threats that you noted extrinsic to the proposed project to the ferruginous hawk.

One that you didn't mention that I neglected to

- 1 mention is climate change.
- 2 A Mm-hmm.
- 3 Q Would you agree with me that climate change poses a giant threat to the ferruginous hawk?
- 5 A Absolutely. Yes.
- Q Okay. And so to go back to -- to go back to Page 9 of your initial testimony, you noted that the ferruginous hawk was not documented using solar sites since data collection began; is that right?
- 10 A That -- I believe that's correct, yes.
- 11 Q And you also said that the site's utility for hawks in this region is hypothetical.
- It's right at the -- it's near -- it's the -
  the -- in the last full paragraph of your response on

  Page 9.
- 16 There you go.
- 17 A Right. The sites referring specifically to solar 18 sites. Yeah.
- 19 Q Right.
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q And you said that planted grasses beneath solar arrays
  22 might actually offer small mammals an attractive food
  23 source.
- 24 A Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm.
- 25 Q Is that right?

- A Yes. That's -- that's correct.
- 2 Q And also offer them protection from predation; is that
  3 right?
- 4 A Right. Right.

Q And so your conclusion there, if I understand correctly, is that that could present foraging opportunities for ferruginous hawks as animals disperse from these areas.

So if I get this right, that -- if I understand what you're talking about is planted grass beneath solar arrays might actually create a beneficial environment for the stuff that ferruginous hawks eat?

Yeah, this -- this is skating on some fairly thin ice for me. It's -- it's very hypothetical situation.

What -- what I would say about solar arrays is that there is nothing analogous in ferruginous hawks' preferred habitat. So if you think about the fact that they've evolved in the -- the high desert ecosystem, you can't really go out and walk through that ecosystem and say, Well, that -- that's substantively similar to a solar array panel, and -- and, look, the hawks are using it.

They're birds of open country. They need that open country to find their prey and to forage. So if you think about the way a solar array is structured, it

has three-dimensional height, thickness, relatively close together.

I find, based on the hawks' foraging strategy and their morphology, I find it difficult to believe -- and the fact that they tend to avoid human development -- I find it difficult to believe that ferruginous hawk would attempt to forage, attack pray, inside a solar array. So I think, you know, blocking that out, I think it becomes terrain that they would not physically use.

Could there be some ancillary benefit? Well, there have been studies that indicate that wildlife use these facilities. Some wildlife. And it could be because of the planted grasses there, which would be more heterogeneous, more complex than the planted wheat field. It could offer some forage or some opportunities for small mammals.

If their populations grew to be robust enough, they would need to disperse, they would leave the solar arrays, and there could be something for the hawk to forage on. That's -- but that's very hypothetical.

There are no studies I'm aware of that -- that have looked at that.

Q Understood.

And, again, thank you.



But -- so let me -- let me again try to put this in my ama- -- my now amateur wildlife biologist way, which is that -- that it looks as though the -- the solar arrays may actually be benefit -- beneficial to the prey species for the things that ferruginous hawks eat; is that right?

## A It's possible.

- And when you talk about animals dispersing from these areas, if I understand correctly, what you're saying is that if this is a good environment, sooner or later that prey -- those prey species are going to leave the solar arrays, and -- and that would then flush them out into the open -- grossly oversimplified -- where they could be actually preyed upon by ferruginous hawks; is that right?
- 16 A Again, it's -- it's a hypothetical scenario, but it is
  17 possible.
  - Q Okay. And on Page 11, you talk about the threats to the prey of the ferruginous hawk. And we've talked about some of those things already, habitat conversion, poisoning, shooting, that kind of thing.

You also talk about wildfires being a threat to ferruginous hawk prey.

#### A Mm-hmm.

O What does that mean?

A Well, circles back to your question about climate change, because that -- this is the nexus. This is the link, or at least the primary nexus related to climate change.

One of the major problems -- well, yeah, certainly one of the major problems in the -- in the high desert is that we have a number of invasive plant species, probably the worst being cheatgrass. Our native shrub-steppe habitats evolved in the presence of fire, but they evolved to burn -- so some subtle distinctions -- roughly every 75 to a hundred years.

Well, cheatgrass, which comes from the steps of Asia, evolved to burn roughly every three years. So what happens is, when wildfires occur in these shrub-steppe landscapes, the cheatgrass is already there, at least in low levels. The native habitat, native vegetation burns. The cheatgrass thrives, creates fuel for the next fire event, and shortens that fire return cycle.

So instead of the next fire event occurring in 75 years, it may occur in 30 years or 20 years or 10 years. And when they start occurring more frequently, the native vegetation gets obliterated and gets replaced with cheatgrass.

So cheatgrass has very limited habitat and forage

value for wildlife. Things like chukar evolved with cheatgrass. Chukar is not a native species either. They do okay in cheatgrass. But for the vast majority of our native wildlife, it's -- it's complete habitat loss.

And so the prey, like the small mammals, likewise has a very hard time once its habitat is converted to a cheatgrass monotype and has a hard time thriving for sure.

Q And can you just connect the dots for me? When you talk -- and certainly the story you're telling is very clear.

Can you connect that to the effects of climate change, please?

A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So cheat- -- cheatgrass is adapted to -- not only to fire but also to -- to dry climates. It needs winter moisture. It's a -- it's a winter grass essentially, so it comes out, emerges very early in season.

And part of the problem with climate change is that with warming cycles, we get more drought, and we get increasing stress on our native plants, and we get a longer dry season; therefore, a longer window in which wildfires can occur.

- So less moisture in the landscape, higher risk of fire, and a longer period of time in which those fires can occur each year.
  - Q So, again, let me say this to you and put it at a 72,000-foot level.
- 6 A Mm-hmm.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q So climate change is not only directly a bad thing for ferruginous hawks; it's also a bad thing for the prey that ferruginous hawk eat. Is that right?
- A Yeah. I'd say the -- the two are linked. Probably the -- the effect of climate change is more profoundly felt on the -- on the habitat and on the prey, and then that's reflected up to the -- the ferruginous hawk and the challenges it has making a living on the landscape.
- Q Thanks. That's actually very clear.
  - I'd like to turn to Page 13 of your initial testimony. And I understand that you're speaking about animals other than bats here.
  - But it's your opinion here that the application accurately quantifies the project impact on mammals generally; is that right?
- 22 A Yes, that's correct.
- 23 Q And you found that the proposed mitigation measures are reasonable and likely to be sufficient?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And -- okay. So when you say "antelope" in this -- in
  2 this testimony, we're talking about what we've been
  3 referring to as pronghorn; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes, that's correct.
  - Q And this is possibly the most ridiculous question anybody has ever been asked in an adjudication. But when we talk about pronghorn, you'd agree that we're talking about mammals; is that right?
- 9 A Yes, I would.

5

6

7

- 10 Q I have to say that I -- asking that question, I was a
  11 little out on a tightrope. Because I thought, if I get
  12 this one wrong, that's a big problem.
- 13 A I appreciate an easy question periodically. That was a good one. Thank you.
- 15 Q Now, you've noted that before construction begins, the 16 site should be surveyed for the presence of Townsend's 17 ground squirrels; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q But you've also indicated that that survey, those -20 those surveys would be conducted before the site design
  21 is finalized and before construction begins, right?
- 22 A Yes. That's correct.
- Q Okay. And on Page 15, you note that antelope generally avoid wind energy facilities?
- 25 | A Yes, that's what -- that's what the literature

indicates. It's -- it's in -- I believe, Mr. Rahmig testified to this as well. But it's -- the findings from the literature are a little bit ambiguous, but the preponderance of evidence tends to suggest avoidance.

I think, again, if there's a qualifying tag to that statement, it would be that how the animals respond is likely somewhat dependent on what other options are available to them.

So if -- using this project as an example, if this project were implemented and the antelope perceived it as less desirable than some other landscape that's available to them, they probably would switch to using that other landscape.

If there were no other alternatives and there were no severe threats, say predation -- which, of course, we wouldn't expect, but just speaking biologically -- you know, if they did not perceive severe threat like that, they probably would continue to use the landscape. But, yes, the literature tends to suggest avoidance.

- Q And -- and when you say they would continue to use the landscape, you mean the landscape around wind facilities, right?
- 24 A Yeah. Yes.
- 25 Q Okay.

2.2

A Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2 Q And you referred to Mr. Rahmig's testimony on Wednesday.

He actually testified that the data is conflicting regarding whether antelope actually do avoid wind facilities; isn't that right?

- A That's consistent with what I was just trying to say, yes. Yeah.
- Q Okay. I want to talk for a bit about this two-mile offset.

On Page 11 of your testimony, you've taken issue with Mr. Neutzmann's one-size-fits-all approach to offset.

Do you see that?

- 15 A I'm -- I'm aware of that, the statement. I don't see 16 it right now. But, yeah, I'm aware of that.
- 17 Q Let me see if I can -- well, at the first big answer, 18 the one that is right by the cursor right there.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q You actually -- Neutzmann says there should be a
  21 two-mile offset, and you actually initially suggested
  22 that that two-mile offset is somewhat arbitrary; is
  23 that right?
- 24 A It is in the -- as I think I explained here, in the 25 sense that the core areas around which this concept is

based are not a uniform circle. That's not how ferruginous hawks perceive the landscape. So it's easy, isn't it, to just draw a circle on the map and say two miles, we're done.

It's not necessarily a reflection of biological reality and how a hawk may be using the landscape. So I think that's the point that I was making.

And I think it would be possible, if the data are available, but I think it would be possible to look at these specific sites and understand a little bit better how hawk might be using them and to determine boundaries that are biologically appropriate to the situation.

But my level of understanding of this specific site is not adequate to go to that place. But I would hope that that level of understanding exists within WDFW and probably -- probably some of the West staff or Tetra Tech staff who have been working on this project probably have a better understanding of the landscape specifics.

Q I just need to say this because I like saying it. You don't expect ferruginous hawks to move in circles. You would expect them to move in something that is more like an asymmetric -- asymmetrical polygon; is that right?

2.2

- 1 A At least not a circle, yes.
- 2 | 0 Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

- 3 A Something more abstract.
- 4 | O That's fair.

One of the things that I've -- that I've come across refers to it actually as an amoeba pattern.

- A I like that, yeah. We'll -- we'll work with that.
- Q And the answer that you've given is terrific in terms of -- in terms of the need to tailor offsets to this -- the needs of this specific site.

You actually referred to -- you advocate for a more nuanced and biologically informed approach.

Is that what you're talking about?

- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q And just -- I want to just nail this down to be clear.

The two miles that Mr. Neutzmann talked about,
that refers to the distance around nests, not the
distance around equipment; is that correct?

- 19 A That's correct.
  - Q And your testimony, your initial testimony suggests that a better approach would be to respond to actual conditions at the site.
- That's what we're talking about, isn't it?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And that's consistent with the suggestion you made in

your supplemental testimony that the buffer should be tailored to accommodate the project's specific needs, right?

A Yes.

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q But between your original testimony and this supplemental testimony, you actually changed your answer about a two-mile buffer; am I right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And can you explain, please, how you came to make that change in your testimony?
- 11 A Sure.
- 12 | Q What led to you making the change?
- 13 A Sure.

Well, let's see here. Keep me on track.

The -- initially when I submitted my first testimony, I was going off of two sources of information. One was the 2004 WDFW recommendations. And we've -- it's in the record. I'm sorry that the exact citation's not coming to mind. But it's long been a discussion here about a source of the offset figures.

And the other -- other background that I was using was the references from the application indicating that some personal communications had gone on, some consultation with WDFW, over this exact figure, and so

it was my assumption at that time that the smaller offsets, which have fluctuated a bit in size, were derived from -- from consultation.

So since that time, I've had a chance to review Mr. Watson's testimony as well as his recent publications on the ferruginous hawk in Washington and in this area. And he makes -- he's brought up some new information that is, I think, very important to consider and very compelling. And the -- he -- the two-mile buffer is his recommendation, or maybe I should say more broadly, coming from WDFW.

And I think, first off, it's based -- more than any of these other numbers that have been put out for buffer size, it is based in traceable biology. In other words, the two miles is reflective of his findings of the size of core areas that ferruginous hawks use to maintain and occupy their nest territories. So we can tie that number back to a biological reality.

The second reason I think that the two-mile offset is valid is -- not quite sure what category to lump this into. Let's say more of a administrative category in the sense that WDFW is the agency that is responsible for managing this bird in the state. They're the agency that will be responsible for

recovering this bird, recovering its populations in the state.

So I would give them significant deference in identifying what they need, what they believe is necessary to recover this bird's population within the state.

- Q You didn't -- you sort of answered the question but not quite.
- 9 A Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q Can you just tell me sort of mechanically, how did you come to make that change? Did somebody call you? Did you call somebody else to say, Hey, I got this wrong; I need to fix it?

How did it come about that you submitted supplemental testimony?

- A I read Watson's -- as I said, read Watson's testimony, read his papers, and concluded that my initial testimony should be revised, was incorrect. And so I approached Ms. Reyneveld and said, I -- I think this needs to change.
- 21 | Q So it was your idea to make the change?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And the change that you're talking about, that doesn't change your -- your conclusion that this needs to be a nuanced -- as you said, a nuanced and



biologically informed approach to an offset; is that right?

A That's correct. And if I could adjust a little bit to that.

Mr. Jansen has put forward some information -which, again, is part of the record -- looking at the
status of hawks in the project area; and specifically,
nesting attempts, nesting territories. He has made a
point through those submittals that there is
encroachment on the site of residential developments.
And some of those residential developments are in close
proximity to historic ferruginous hawk nest sites.

So I think that that -- given the biology of the hawk, I think that's a valid concern. And I think that there is a logical conversation which should take place about whether some of those nesting territories in proximity to residential development are ever going to be viable again for the ferruginous hawk.

And this is a conversation that needs to come again from the managing agency, from WDFW. So I think they need to weigh in on that and really do a realistic assessment of what kind of territory can be maintained.

Because one of the things that's -- that's absolutely critical here with regards to this species is that even though we have unoccupied territories in

2.

proximity to the proposed project, we've got to 1 maintain enough open territories suitable for 2 3 reoccupation, that as the population starts to recover, it has places to go, it has places to reexpand into. 4 So that's really why it's so important to look at 5 these historic sites and think about whether or not 6 they could be repopulated as the -- as the ferruginous 7 hawk recovers. 8 9 MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, I'd ask 10 that that entire response be stricken as nonresponsive. 11 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Reyneveld. 12 MS. PERLMUTTER: With all respect --13 with all respect to Mr. McIvor. 14 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Reyneveld, any --15 MS. REYNEVELD: I think it was 16 responsive to her question. 17 MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, if I 18 may, it was not. I asked about just the 19 appropriateness. I asked him if he continued then to 20 believe that this should be -- the approach to buffers 21 should be -- continue to be nuanced and biologically 2.2 informed. 23 And although it was an interesting discussion and 24 something that I will revisit in other ways, in terms

of talking about the need to leave habitat open so that

maybe these birds will come back someday, that --1 2 that's all nonresponsive to my question. 3 JUDGE TOREM: I agree it was an 4 interesting answer, but considering the original 5 question, it was nonresponsive. So we'll strike anything that didn't go directly to Ms. Perlmutter's 6 question. I'll work with the court reporter to take a 7 look at that and strike the appropriate material later. 8 9 MS. PERLMUTTER: Thank you very 10 much. Mr. McIvor, again, no -- no disrespect meant. 11 12 Ms. Masengale, would you be so kind, please, as to 13 put up Exhibit 3016\_R? 14 Wow. Thank you. 15 (By Ms. Perlmutter) Mr. McIvor, we've already started 16 by talking about Region 6 of Fish -- the U.S. Fish and 17 Wildlife and your mistake that it's not a two-mile 18 buffer; it's a one-mile buffer that they recommend. Is 19 that right? 20 That's correct. Α And they don't -- they don't -- they don't require a 21 Q 22 buffer like that. That's just their recommendation; am 23 I correct? 24 I -- I would have to go back and look. My statement in

my testimony, as you see, said "requires." I would

- have to go back and double-check as to whether that's a recommendation or a requirement.
- 3 Q If I told you that it was a recommendation, you wouldn't have any problem with that?
- 5 A No, I wouldn't.
- Q Okay. And various other states also propose buffers when it comes to ferruginous hawk interaction with wind facilities; am I right?
- 9 A I'm sure they do. I'm not aware of specifics. I would
  10 expect that they do. I am not aware of specifics on
  11 this question.
- 12 Q And just to be clear, the ferruginous hawk is not a federally listed species, is it?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q And so going back to these other states, Utah and
  16 Colorado, they both recommend narrower buffers, don't
  17 they?
- 18 A I'm sorry. I don't know.
- 19 Q Okay. If I told you they did, you wouldn't have any 20 reason to disagree?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q No, you would not disagree?
- 23 A No, I would not disagree.
- 24 | Q Thank you.
- 25 And you would agree with me, please, that there's

no published guidance in Washington about what a buffer 1 should be with regard to a ferruginous hawk territory; 2 3 am I right? 4 MS. REYNEVELD: Objection as to the definition of "published guidance." I think that's 5 vague and an issue that's in dispute. 6 7 MS. PERLMUTTER: Okay. Mr. McIvor -- Your Honor, I'm fine with that. I can 8 9 change the question. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Yes, that's fine. Go 11 ahead. (By Ms. Perlmutter) Mr. McIvor, if I talk about 12 13 published guidance, what does that mean to you? 14 Well, publicly available information that has either 15 appeared in a peer-reviewed journal or been issued by 16 an agency or organization through their own channels. 17 Would you agree with me that Washington's --Washington's DFW has not published guidance regarding 18 19 buffers when it comes to ferruginous hawks and wind 20 facilities? 21 I would disagree with you. Because the 2004 No. Α priority habitats and species guidance does give some 22 23 buffer recommendations. Not specific, as I recall, to 24 wind energy, but to human disturbance activities. And

it also gives some leeway to biologists to assign

- buffer sizes appropriate to the situation at -- at hand. Give some latitude for interpretation. I think that's a better way to say that.
- Q Thanks. That's helpful.

Looking at Page 3 of your supplemental testimony.

# 6 A Whoops.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

Q You with me? Okay.

And, in fact, to go back to this previous answer, the question was asked whether the recommendation had been formalized through agency guidance, and you said that the recommendation was given verbally and/or in written communications.

When you say "verbally," you mean orally? Somebody said that?

### 15 A Yes.

- 16 Q And "in written communications," you mean by letters or e-mails rather than in a published document; is that right?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Okay. On that same page, you say that the two-mile 21 buffer would permit project implementation while 22 preserving opportunities for species recovery.
  - What's the basis for that conclusion?
  - A Yeah, you know, I think a -- I think that's probably overstepping the bounds of my knowledge. I think we

- would need some additional analysis to understand
  whether or not the project could be implemented in the
  presence of the two-mile buffer.
  - Q Okay. And just to be clear, you're not suggesting that responsibility for recovering the ferruginous hawk species rests on the applicant's shoulders, right?
- 7 A Absolutely not, no.

4

5

- 8 Q Okay. Yes, it does not rest on the applicant's
  9 shoulders?
- 10 A Correct. Correct.
- Okay. And, in fact, there's no requirement that EFSEC consider the recoveries of species when issuing a site -- a site certification agreement; am I right?
- 14 A I -- I can't answer that question. I don't know.
- 15 Q Okay. If I told you I was right, you wouldn't have any reason for disagreeing with me?
- 17 A I would have no basis for arguing with you.
- 18 Q I love that. Thank you.
- Can you say how much area would be taken out of availability if this two-mile buffer were imposed?
- 21 A No, I can't. Because I think there's insufficient 22 information in my court for me to answer that question.
- 23 | Q Can you tell me how many of the proposed turbines --24 | turbines would be eliminated by the two-mile buffer?
- 25 A No, I cannot.

- 1 Q Would the two-mile buffer apply to both active and
- 2 historical nests?
- 3 A Yes. Yes, they would.
- 4 Q How many active ferruginous hawk nests are there in Washington State?
- 6 A Active. The last survey found 34 pairs of -- so 34 nesting territories.
- 8 | Q And that's across the full state?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And what was the date of that last survey?
- 11 A I believe that was last year.
- 12 Q Okay. And how many active nests are there in the
- 13 | project area?
- 14 A There are none currently active as of this year.
- 15 Q How many historical nests are there in Washington
- 16 | State?
- 17 A I think it's 284. How's that for specific? That's what my memory recalls. Put that in the ballpark.
- 19 | Q Good enough for me.
- Of those 284, ballpark, how many of those are in
- 21 the project area?
- 22 A Again, I -- I don't know exactly how many are in the
- project area. Historic, ballpark, probably 10, 12.
- 24 Q But you don't know that for sure?
- 25 A I don't know exactly, no.

- 1 Q Okay. And when we talk about historical nests, how far
  2 back are we going?
- 3 A Yeah, that's a good question.
- I -- as far as I know, the record includes

  anything that's been located or detected since WDFW's

  been tracking these birds. I don't know that a

  historic nest site ever gets dropped out of the

  database.
- 9 Q So basically going back forever?
- 10 A Well, decades, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you know what percentage of the historical
  12 nests in Washington State have never had any documented
  13 ferruginous hawk activity at all?
- 14 A No. A question for Mr. Watson, I believe. I don't know.
- 16 Q And would your answer be the same if I asked you about 17 historical nests in the project area?
- 18 A Yes, it would be --
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A -- the same.
- 21 Q Is -- when you're talking about this two-mile buffer
  22 for historical nests, is there a cutoff date that we're
  23 looking at?
- 24 A This harkens back to the comment I made earlier about 25 examining some of these historic nest sites that are in

close proximity to development. Because I do think
there is a rational conversation about what could
constitute a historic nest territory that has some
probability of being reoccupied again in the future.
And I think that there could be a process for
identifying some of these historic sites and coming to
an agreement that their likelihood of reuse would be
slim or none.

Q So -- so I think I like where you're heading here, not that you care whether I like it or not.

But we're talking about -- we're going back to this sort of database nuanced approach; am I right?

- A Yes. Yes, we are.
- 14 Q Okay. And would you agree to me that -- well, should
  15 the buffer apply to nests where activity has never ever
  16 been documented?
- 17 A Potentially, yes.
- 18 Q Okay. You listed in your -- in your -- let me just
  19 make sure I know which one.

In your supplemental testimony, you listed the materials that you reviewed before submitting that testimony, right?

23 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

2.2

24 Q Did you review the draft guidance that's currently under consideration at WDFW?

- 1 A No, I did not.
- 2 | Q Why not?
- 3 A I don't believe I have access to that. I have not seen
- 4 it, as far as I know.
- 5 | Q Okay. Are you aware of any instance, any other
- 6 instance in -- where WDFW adopted a "no new
- 7 infrastructure" policy to the historic location of a
- 8 species?
- 9 A I am not aware of any, no.
- 10 | Q Okay. And that includes endangered species, right?
- 11 | A Correct.
- 12 | Q On Page -- we're nearly there.
- On Page 4 of your supplemental testimony, you're
- advocating for monitoring beyond the industry standard
- 15 of two years, right?
- 16 A Specific to the ferruginous hawk, yes.
- 17  $\mid$  Q That was going to be my next question.
- 18 And you're talking here about post-construction
- 19 | fatality monitoring, right?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 | Q Are you aware that in addition to that two-year
- 22 monitoring, post-construction fatality monitoring, the
- 23 applicant has committed to a five-year
- 24 post-construction nest monitoring?
- 25 A I am aware of that. I think that's an excellent



1 proposal.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q And, in fact, you specifically reference "adaptive management context."

What does that mean?

- A Adaptive management is being responsive to the conditions recorded or detected on the landscape. So there's an opportunity to learn as one collects data and apply that new -- new evolving body of knowledge to the change management approach to adapt to the situation.
- 11 | Q And this would be -- sorry.
- 12 A No. Please cut me off. I was done.
- 13 Q This would be specifically we're talking about post-construction operational data?
- 15 A Yes. That's correct.
- 16 Q Are we talking -- are you talking really about a technical advisory committee?
- 18 | A That -- they would be an integral part of this, yes.
- 19 Q And do you know whether a technical advisory committee 20 is planned for this facility?
- 21 A Yes. It is planned.
- Q And you don't really need to turn to this unless you
  want to. But on Page 5 of your original testimony, you
  also advocated for monitoring bat fatalities, right?
- 25 A Yes, that's correct.

1 MR. ARAMBURU: Mr. Examiner, may I be heard on an objection, please? 2. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Yes. Go ahead, 4 Mr. Aramburu. 5 MR. ARAMBURU: Yesterday I was questioning Mr. Guthrie -- Ms. Guthrie, and you cut me 6 off. And you did allow some extra time, I understand. 7 But you made me adhere to the -- to the amounts of 8 cross-examination that were found in our schedule. 9 10 Ms. Perlmutter has greatly exceeded the .5 hours 11 that I see in the schedule for her, so I do think that 12 the restrictions on cross-examination and time should 13 be equally applied. MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, if I 14 15 may. 16 No. I was going to JUDGE TOREM: 17 interrupt you shortly anyhow, because Mr. Aramburu and 18 I seem to be correct on monitoring the stopwatch. But 19 since you said a few minutes ago, "We're almost there," 20 I refrained from unmuting and was going to allow you to 21 wrap up with one or two questions. 2.2 MS. PERLMUTTER: I'm about to wrap 23 I will note, with all respect to Mr. McIvor, he 24 tends to respond in narratives. I'm very, very close. 25 JUDGE TOREM: Let's not blame it on

anybody. We're almost there. Ask the last couple of 1 2. questions, please. 3 MS. PERLMUTTER: Okay. (By Ms. Perlmutter) A technical advisory committee can 4 Q 5 address both bats and ferruginous hawks, right? Yes. 6 Α 7 It can recommend more than two years of post-construction fatality monitoring, right? 8 9 Yes. Α 10 Curtailment doesn't happen by definition until a project is in operation, right? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 And you would agree that curtailment decisions should 14 be data-driven? 15 Α Yes. So you're not saying -- well, it doesn't make sense to 16 17 predetermine what a curtailment strategy should be, 18 correct? 19 That's correct. Α 20 That goes to the technical advisory committee? 21 Α Yes. 22 MS. PERLMUTTER: I have no further 23 questions. 24 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, can you give me an estimate of your questions for Mr. McIvor, 25

how long you anticipate, even allowing for long 1 narrative answers? 2 3 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your I had only planned on a few questions, but 4 5 given the last hour, I think I would -- safe to say I have at least 15 to 20 minutes of questions for 6 7 Mr. McIvor. JUDGE TOREM: All right. What I 8 9 would propose is that we take a break now till 10:30, 10 come back with your questions, and from there, 11 Ms. Reyneveld, any redirect you would have, and then 12 we'll go to the Council members. 13 And, Mr. Aramburu, it appears that Flight 82 has 14 arrived, so you might check with Mr. Simon as he deplanes. 15 We'll take a break till 10:30. Thank you. 16 17 (Pause in proceedings from 18 10:16 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.) 19 20 JUDGE TOREM: All right. It looks 21 like we're all back. It's 10:30, and we're going to go 2.2 on with Ms. Voelckers' cross-exam testimony here for 23 Mr. McIvor. 24 And I saw, Ms. Voelckers, that you may be having a 25 cross-exam exhibit, and I think it's all ready to share

either by you or Ms. Masengale, depending. 1 2. Mr. McIvor, meet Shona Voelckers. 3 THE WITNESS: Good morning, 4 Ms. Voelckers. 5 MS. VOELCKERS: Good morning, Mr. McIvor. I cannot see anyone at right this moment. 6 7 Can you see me? I can see you. 8 THE WITNESS: 9 MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. Oh. Great. 10 There you are. Thanks for your patience. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. VOELCKERS: 14 Good morning. I represent Yakama Nation in this 15 proceeding. And I'm sure if you have watched earlier 16 days, I've asked questions of applicant's biologist. So I have some questions for you that I wanted you 17 18 to give -- you have the opportunity to answer and that I've also tried to ask them, and then I have some 19 20 follow-up questions to Ms. Perlmutter's cross-examination. 21 22 So if we could start with the term of art "best 23 available science." 24 Can you -- I know everyone has a little bit of different take on it in the scientific world, but could 25

you put it in your own words, please, how -- how you understand that phrase?

#### A Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Typically in the world of science, we recognize a hierarchy of available information, science, on a particular topic. And it's generally recognized that a paper or information being disseminated that has gone through an external peer review is the gold standard for best available science.

However, not all information, data, findings generated in the world of research and science goes through peer review or is even appropriate for dissemination in a peer-reviewed journal or outlet.

So there is another tier of information which is disseminated typically in the form of reports that might come from an agency or organization. Those documents may go through some form of internal review. Call it peer review. But it's generally recognized that that form of review can be less rigorous than external peer review.

So in the absence of peer-reviewed scientific literature, that gray literature, the body of gray literature -- gray literature can be the best available science.

Q And in your experience, when WDFW publishes formal

available science at the time?

- guidelines, meaning something like the priority habitat species guidelines from 2004, are those generally considered to reflect or be consistent with best
- 5 A Yes. I would say that's an accurate statement.
  - Q Do you consider the 2004 priority habitat species guidelines from WDFW to reflect current best available science?
- 9 A No, I do not.
- 10 Q And the same question for the 2009 wind turbine 11 quidelines.
- Do those reflect current best available science specific to -- well, you were shaking your head, so I'll let --
- 15 A No.

18

19

20

21

4

6

7

- 16 0 In general.
- 17 A I didn't mean to cut you off.
  - But, no, in general, I would say they do not reflect the current state of knowledge on this topic.
  - Q And where I was going with that was specific to ferruginous hawks.
- Would it certainly be fair to agree, then, that they do not reflect best available science?
- A I would agree -- I would agree with that, yes, that there's more current information available.

Q Okay. There have been discussions by multiple biologists, including yourself, this morning about the wisdom in using perfectly concentric two-mile offsets or exclusionary zones when -- when siting specific turbines.

And I apologize if my dogs in the background are coming through.

So we've talked about whether or not it should be a perfect circle. But we know from Mr. Watson's deposition that he, himself, has been studying the Horse Heaven Hills specifically for decades, given their importance to the ferruginous hawks, correct?

- A That's my understanding.
- Q Before this project was -- was ever proposed?
- 15 A Right. That's my understanding, yes.
- Do you understand, then, WDFW's two-mile exclusionary
  zone recommendation to be a compromise given WDFW's
  goal of getting the ferruginous hawk off of the
  endangered list?
  - A That's my understanding, as it focuses on the core areas that the birds use but does not encompass their entire home range. So in that sense, it would be something of a compromise.
  - Q Because the actual home ranges have been demonstrated in recent peer-review literature to be quite a lot

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

larger, correct?

- A Correct. That's my understanding of Mr. Watson's findings, was that they are larger in this region than in other parts of the bird's range.
- Q And what is your understanding about why that is?
- A It's probably a reflection of prey availability.

  That's what's likely to drive the movement of the birds, is the search for prey.

So we know that prey in Washington is not as robust as it should be, and so they're probably having to range further and wider to get adequate prey to raise their young.

Q So I understand that a two-mile circular exclusion zone isn't a perfect match perhaps with the exact topography of each of, I believe it was 16 territories identified in the project area by WDFW.

Could we still consider it, though, the bare minimum of avoidance necessary for WDFW to pursue recovery of this endangered species based upon best available science?

- A Well, I think it's -- I think it's a reasonable assertion. Yeah, I think it's reasonable.
- Q And you use the term "biological reality" earlier this morning, and I didn't catch exactly how it was used.

But isn't it fair to say that many if not all

- species listed as endangered at least on the state
  endangered list got to that position due to multiple
  factors, such as habitat loss, direct mortality, or
  loss of prey?
- 5 A Sure. I think that's a reasonable statement.
- 6 Q So the hawk is not unique in that it's facing different variety of factors in -- in surviving?
- 8 A Correct. No, it's not unique.
- 9 Q And is any entity besides WDFW responsible for
  10 determining how best to recover endangered species in
  11 the state of Washington?
  - A State endangered is the responsibility of WDFW, which is not to say that they don't act in partnership with other entities. But it is ultimately their responsibility. Making the distinction because if it's a species with federal status, then there's another entity that's engaged. But, yes, for state -- state level, it would be WDFW.
- 19 Q And the ferruginous hawk is only state-listed, correct?
- 20 A That's correct. I -- yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q There is a discussion with Ms. Perlmutter about
  22 occupied or active nests versus historic nests. And
  23 trying to understand what I learned from Mr. Watson.
  24 Of course, he could speak to this better.
- But my understanding is there could be multiple

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 1 nest sites within one identified territory, correct?
- 2 A Yes, that's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q And active or occupied is just referring to the nest that's being used by a specific breeding pair in one season?
- A Yes, that's my understanding as well.
- Q So and understanding, again based upon his research, that they -- ferruginous hawks have a high fidelity, meaning they return to the same general area as a breeding pair each year. That doesn't mean that they refer to the same nest, correct?
- 12 A Not necessarily. Yes, that's correct. That's...
  - Q And so could that help explain why we see unoccupied or histor- -- how do you understand the term "historic nest site" to be used actually? Could we start there?
  - A Well, my understanding of the term is that it refers to a nest that was built and occupied at one time by a ferruginous hawk and is no longer active or occupied.
  - Q So we're not necessarily drawing a line, for example, to say, like, everything a decade, older, is historic and everything a decade, newer, is active. We're really just talking about this season versus all previous breeding seasons.
    - Is that fair to say?
- 25 A Well, we may be heading into a level of detail that

- exceeds the limits of my knowledge. Mr. Watson would, of course, be able to address that better than I. I don't -- I'm not aware if there's a one-year, two-year, five-year distinction that's made between active and historic.
- Q You did see in his deposition testimony, though, how he -- he declines to focus on necessarily what's occupied this year and instead focuses on identified territories that will be included in multiple nest sites?

# A Yes, I did see that.

You talked earlier about perhaps the -- in order to make more site-specific determinations of how appropriate a two-mile exclusionary zone would be -and I'm not trying to put words in your mouth; I'm just trying to capture where we were in that conversation.

So correct me if I'm wrong, but I think you talked about the wisdom in having WDFW be further engaged in looking at specific sites and whether or not they were still viable for reoccupation.

### A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you -- you understand from Mr. Watson's testimony that -- that he -- he did talk about WFW -- WFW's concern in preserving historic territories for reoccupation, correct?

A Yes. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Do you know if -- if Mr. Watson or anyone else at WFW has been able to have a conversation with the applicant about specific site conditions and specific turbine locations in terms of which historical territories could be reoccupied?
  - A I'm not aware of whether those conversations have taken place or not. Just at least some of the exchanges between the applicant and WDFW are merely cited as personal communications, and therefore, their exact content is not accessible to me. So, yeah, I could not say, could not characterize those conversations.
- Q Okay. So you don't know one way or the other if any of those -- I mean, right. There's a -- there's a summary in the mitigation plan. But is it fair to say that we don't know as we sit here whether or not the applicant has just hypothetically said, Show us the 20 worst turbines, and we can talk about it or anything like that?
- A Well, I -- I don't know. I won't say we don't know.

  But I don't know.
- 22 | Q Okay. Fair enough.
- We can move on to -- I just have a few questions about artificial nest platforms.
  - I believe you described them as a helpful

experiment.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So is it your opinion that we should not consider them or that the Council should not necessarily consider them as direct mitigation for any of the project's identified impacts?

- A I -- I think that their opportunity for success is not certain enough that they would be viable as guaranteed mitigation. And they're not -- you know, my understanding is they're not being proposed in that context. It's an add-on. So -- but, yes, I would -- I would encourage the Council to consider the -- the caveats associated with artificial nest platforms in Washington State.
- Q Based upon what you've learned through our discovery process, is it fair to say that the portions of the application authored by the applicant that attribute a position, a recommendation directly to WFW, should be confirmed either through direct citation or reference to WFW's own words and testimony?
- A I think that's probably fair to say. Yeah. I think that's fair to say. It's been, for example, very difficult. No, it's been impossible for me to track the conversation around buffer sizes for ferruginous hawk.

I mean, I understand where we are now. But it's

changed a number of times through the process. And, again, because a lot of the guidance seems to have taken place through personal communication, and I have not been able to track it and trace how these changes were made. So certainly my understanding could be enhanced by such a conversation.

Q And I believe you said in your supplemental testimony that you reviewed a number of the exhibits that were referenced during Mr. Watson's deposition and admitted during that deposition.

So you reviewed a memo from Tetra Tech responding to WFW's two-mile exclusionary zone recommendations?

- A I did. You -- you're going to have to remind me of details, but I did read through that, yes.
- Q It was -- well, I'm trying to wrap us up in a few minutes. So I could pull it up, but it was the memo that was objecting to any reliance upon what the applicant was calling novel research by Mr. Watson.

Do you recall that memo?

- 20 A I do generally. I do recall that, yes.
- 21 Q Okay. You've read it, even if you --
- 22 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 | Q -- don't remember every word? Okay.
- 24 A Exactly.
- 25 | Q Based upon your memory, though, is it consistent to say

- that the applicant objected to relying upon

  Mr. Watson's research, emerging research, because it

  wasn't peer-reviewed or reflected in the formal WDFW

  quidelines?
  - A I would say that's the drift of what I got from it,
    yes. And -- yes. I'd say that's -- sort of generally
    characterizes it.
    - So in your professional opinion as you are weighing everything in front of you and you're looking at these older guidelines and then the new materials coming from WFW as well as the applicant, how do you in your professional opinion weigh the emerging and peer-reviewed research by Mr. Watson and his colleagues against the applicant's biologist's recently generated report regarding the project area, itself?
  - A Well, I would view Mr. Watson as the expert on this topic. Because he has dedicated years of his career to studying this topic in this region and because he represents the agency responsible for management of the bird. Again, I think he gets a lot of deference and a lot of credibility for all of those reasons.

And so I understand the source of frustration for the applicant, who might feel like the goalposts are moving, only because the goalposts are moving. But the information that Mr. Watson has presented us with, both

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

through his testimony and through his published research, emerging published research, I think does reflect the best available science. Yeah.

MS. VOELCKERS: I just have a few -few more questions, Your Honor. I know we're -- we're
up on the 20-minute mark.

But if Ms. Masengale could please pull up Exhibit 4015.

Q (By Ms. Voelckers) And, Mr. McIvor, I think you just testified earlier to Ms. Perlmutter that you had not had a chance to review this, so I'm not going to ask you to answer questions about the specifics. But if we could look at this here on the screen.

And I'll represent to you that this was provided through the discovery process but WDFW as the most updated draft, at the time it was e-mailed to me, of forthcoming updated updates to that 2004 PHS guideline document that has been authored by Mr. Watson, and I believe what he said in his deposition testimony, is -- is kind of a summary or reflects his recent research.

And understanding that there is a lot, a lot of scientific material in front of everyone now, and understanding that these are not formally adopted, do you think that there's still value to the Council in reviewing something like this as a good summary of

where we're at and where WDFW thinks we need to go in 1 terms of recovering this endangered species? 2 3 MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, I'm 4 going to object on relevance grounds. What Mr. McIvor 5 thinks might be -- what he thinks might be helpful to the -- to the Council in this instance is not relevant. 6 7 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, I tend This has already been admitted as evidence, 8 to agree. 9 hasn't it? 10 MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, it has, Your Honor. I mean, we can take it off the screen, but I 11 should be able to ask him about his opinion about it. 12 13 JUDGE TOREM: Yeah, but not about 14 his opinion about what the Council should do with it. It's in evidence. They'll do what they do with it. 15 16 So let's move on and just ask him about its 17 credibility or any highlights and limit it to that. 18 MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. We can go to 19 Page 3, please. 20 Yeah, we could go back up a few pages. Maybe just a little slower so I -- okay. 21 2.2 Sorry. Page 4. I was wrong. 23 (By Ms. Voelckers) So maybe a more general question. 24 Again, I'm not trying to pin you down on the exact 25 words here, since you haven't had a chance to read it.

1 But for someone who is not as deep into the publications of Mr. Watson or -- or the discussions and 2 3 is trying to understand the species better and what the species needs, would you in your professional opinion 4 5 think that this is a helpful source, even if it's a draft, to help educate anyone on where we're at right 6 now in 2023 on what the ferruginous hawk is doing and 7 what it needs to survive? 8 9 MS. PERLMUTTER: I'm going to renew 10 the objection, Your Honor. 11 JUDGE TOREM: Overruled. He can 12 comment on the substance here. 13 THE WITNESS: Again, with the caveat 14 that I have not seen this document. Documents of this type, in my experience, are prepared with using the 15 best available current science as the foundation for 16 17 their descriptions and conclusions. So I would expect this to be a reliable source of information. 18 19 MS. VOELCKERS: Those are my 20 questions at this time. Thank you. 21 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Reyneveld, any 2.2 follow-up for this witness before I see if Council has 23 questions? 24 MS. REYNEVELD: Yes, I do have

follow-up for this witness. Thank you, Your Honor.

# 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. REYNEVELD:

- 3 Q Hi, Mr. McIvor. It's good to see you again.
  - A (Speaking simultaneously.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Q Sarah Reyneveld for the record.

You were asked some questions about your responsive testimony pertaining to the two-mile offset for wind turbine sitings within historic and active territories for ferruginous hawk.

And turning to your responsive testimony, which is Exhibit 3001, on Page 11, you state that the revised application proposed a .25-mile offset, a figure derived in consultation with WDFW, correct?

- A That's -- that's correct.
- Q And you were speaking in your response to

  Ms. Perlmutter's questions about the management

  recommendations for Washington's priority species from

  Larsen, et al. dated 2004; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes, that's correct. I couldn't recall the correct citation, but that is the one. Thank you.
  - Q And if you can recall, where if anywhere in that study does that study recommend a .25 wind turbine setback from occupied ferruginous hawks' territories?
  - A Yeah, I've -- I've been unable to locate that exact offset within the pages of that document. There are

1		some similar numbers, but they they're not the same
2		number.
3	Q	And considering that you've been unable to locate that
4		specific citation, can you tell me whether it would be
5		fair to say that you were relying on applicant's
6		representation that the recommendation was derived in
7		consultation with WDFW?
8		MS. PERLMUTTER: Your Honor, I'm
9		going to object. This is leading. This is
10		Ms. Reyneveld's witness.
11		JUDGE TOREM: In the interest of
12		time, I recognize, Ms. Reyneveld, you're trying to move
13		us along.
14		I'll note the objection, Ms. Perlmutter, and let
15		her lead us to the end of his testimony. How about I
16		put it that way.
17		So, Ms. Reyneveld, press on.
18		MS. REYNEVELD: That's the question.
19		I'm waiting for the witness to answer. Thank you, Your
20		Honor.
21		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Would you
22		restate the question at the risk of
23		MS. REYNEVELD: Yes. Absolutely.
24	Q	(By Ms. Reyneveld) So considering that that .25-mile
25		offset recommendation was not in those management

- recommendations, can you tell me whether it's fair to
  say that you are relying on applicant's representation
  that that recommendation was derived in consultation
  with WDFW?
  - A Yes, as the -- that recommendation was presented in the context of having come from the Larson, et al., document and from personal communications. So, yes, I had to believe that that's where that figure came from, was personal consultation.
  - Q And just following up on Ms. Voelckers' line of questioning: You didn't have access to that personal communication with WDFW; is that correct?
- 13 A That's correct.

Q You also spoke about additional information that you obtained through discovery that led to your supplemental testimony. And you referenced the discovery deposition of raptor specialist Mr. James Watson from WDFW.

Was there additional information that you learned through discovery?

A Well, certainly. And I think Mr. Ritter's testimony was also informative. I certainly learned more about the antelope and their use of the site on the landscape through discovery. I'm sure there were other things.

Those are probably the major, major points.

Q Thank you.

2.

And you were asked some questions by Ms. Voelckers regarding Exhibit 4015, the management recommendations for Washington priority species that are in draft form.

Knowing that you haven't fully reviewed that document, in your expert opinion, can you tell me whether the fact that something hasn't been officially published but is in draft form makes it a less valid source of information for an expert like you to consider, hypothetically speaking?

- A No, I don't think it's less valid, particularly coming from a regulatory agency, because it's going to provide their recommended guidance for how management should proceed.
- Q And you also stated in response to cross-examination questions that WDFW was the lead agency with expertise to manage and recover the ferruginous hawk. And you stated you'd give them deference.

Why is it important for experts like you to give deference to guidance or recommendation that are coming out of WDFW?

A Well, simply because it is their responsibility to recover this species. They're the ones who will be staffing the effort, who will be planning it, who will be identifying goals and objectives for recovery. And

- so, yeah, it's -- it's on their plate. It's
  their responsibility. They need to get from Point A to
  Point B.
  - Q You also stated in response to a question by, I believe, Ms. Voelckers that WDFW's current recommendation to offset wind turbine siting within two miles from active and historic ferruginous hawks' nesting territories was best available science.

What's the basis of that opinion?

- A The basis is Mr. Watson's research on the ferruginous hawk in this -- in this region. I think the -- the two-mile buffer is, again, based in biological reality -- I think that's where I use that term earlier -- and based on the core area sizes that he's measured within this particular range.
- Q And based on that, do you think it's important for other experts, such as the applicant's experts in this matter, Mr. Jansen and Mr. Rahmig, to consider and incorporate the two-mile offset recommendation in their analysis of the project?
- A I think it is important to consider that -- that buffer in the design of the project, yes.
  - Q And, Mr. McIvor, have you had an opportunity to review the August 9th, 2023, memo to Amy Moon, which we're titling the Moon memo, which proposes modifications to

the project? 1 A very catchy title. Yes, I had reviewed that. 2 3 Can you tell me whether, if you have knowledge of this, 4 the Horse Heaven project as currently modified in the 5 Moon memo incorporates those updated WDFW two-mile offset recommendations? 6 It does not incorporate a two-mile offset. 7 Α It may around one, the last active nest, but it certainly does 8 9 not incorporate the two-mile buffer around historic 10 nests. And in your expert opinion, do you think it's important 11 12 for the applicant to incorporate those updated two-mile 13 offset recommendations? 14 MS. PERLMUTTER: Again, Your Honor, this is leading. 15 16 Again, it is. But, JUDGE TOREM: 17 Ms. Reyneveld, if you're wrapping up. Are we close? 18 MS. REYNEVELD: I am trying to get 19 through my questions as quickly as possible, Your 20 Honor. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Perlmutter, I'm 23 just going to allow it because I want to move this 24 along.

MS. PERLMUTTER:

25

I'd just like to

note for the record that that's -- with all respect to both parties, that Ms. Reyneveld is now effectively testifying, but I won't object again.

JUDGE TOREM: Well, to be

consistent, as Mr. Aramburu likes, I think I've allowed plenty of friendly redirect along the way to create the record we need, so I'm not going to treat Ms. Reyneveld today any differently than I've given leave to several other attorneys.

Ms. Reyneveld, let's keep going.

- Q Did you get that question, Mr. McIvor?
- 12 A Again, at the risk of offense, please repeat it, if you would, please, Ms. Reyneveld.
  - Q My question was whether, in your expert opinion, it would be important to incorporate the most current quidance from WDFW.
- 17 A Yes, I do -- I do believe it would be important to incorporate the most current guidance.
- 19 | Q And why is that?
- 20 A Well, that's the best available science. It's what our current understanding of the ferruginous hawk reflects.
- 22 | Q Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

23

24

25

And speaking just about the ferruginous hawk and the Moon memo more generally in addition to that specific avoidance recommendation, knowing that this is

- a new document in the context of the adjudication that isn't reflected in your testimony, do you think that those modifications in the Moon memo generally sufficiently either avoid or mitigate the impacts to ferruginous hawk?
- A My sense of it at this point is that it does not adequately avoid potential impacts because of not incorporating the two-mile buffer around historic nest sites.
- Q Thank you.

2.

You were asked some questions about the effectiveness of artificial nest platforms, and I just wanted to turn you to Appendix L of the revised application -- and I believe it's Page 24 -- that concludes that successful nesting has occurred at nesting platforms throughout eastern Washington that were installed by WDFW and the Washington Department of Transportation to enhance nesting opportunities.

What support does that application provide for that statement that successful nesting has occurred at nesting platforms throughout eastern Washington?

- A I think the citation led back to the WDFW publication, the recent, I think, 2022 status review of the ferruginous hawk.
  - And I went through that document, and there is a

paragraph in there describing the use of artificial nest platforms in the state of Washington. And I think it documents, since the late '80s, the installation of about 85 platforms. But only the most recent effort, which I think was 2019, when I think 29 platforms were installed, only that one effort is there any report of nest use. And I think I alluded to this earlier this morning. Two of those 29 platforms were utilized.

So the fate of -- of the other -- as Mr. Rahmig stated, I don't like to do math in public. So the other platforms outside of the 29 we're talking about, their fate and their use was not reported in that document. So I don't know if the, you know, WDFW performs nest surveys periodically. I don't know if those platforms are incorporated in the wider surveys, but it would be very good to know what the use rate has been for those 85 platforms in total.

- Q So knowing that gap in knowledge, then, in your opinion does that statement accurately characterize the nesting that has occurred at nesting platforms in Eastern Washington?
- A I could not have come to the same conclusion, no. I think it's much more ambiguous.
- Q Thank you.
  - Just there's been a lot of testimony on this

- issue, but stepping back and considering kind of the ambiguities in the research, what is your opinion as to whether the installation of artificial nesting platforms has been proven effective?
- A In Washington, I don't have any evidence that they have been proven effective. They've been installed.

  There's some evidence of use. But I just think there's too much information lacking in the public arena. I would hope that WDFW would have data on these platforms and their use, but I -- I have not seen it.
- Q Thank you.

2.

You were asked some questions about bats and whether the project accurately quantifies bats and also mitigation measures.

Do you think the project as currently designed as represented in the Moon memo sufficiently avoids or mitigates impacts to bats, such as the hoary or silver-haired bats?

A I -- I do. The project will not be without impacts.

And no one has represented it in that way. But I think with the -- with the TAC in place and with the monitoring and with the recognition of the fact that there are tools at hand to help, in an adaptive management context, address bat mortalities, I think, yes, it does address my concerns.

Q Thank you.

2.

And I'm almost done with my questioning on redirect. I just have a couple more questions about the prong- -- pronghorn antelope.

It's my understanding you were asked about whether the project appropriately quantifies the impact on the pronghorn. And in your direct testimony, you mention the Yakama Nation's data and kind of answered the question of whether it was incorporated into the revised application.

And I just wanted to clarify for the record: In considering impacts on pronghorn antelope, do you think it would be important to incorporate that data into the revised application?

- A Yes. Now knowing of its existence, it would be important to incorporate it.
- Q And why is that?
  - Well, in earlier versions of the application and the EIS, the GPS tracking data were not available. And now that they have come to light and have been made available, it's adding to our body of knowledge and our understanding of how antelope may be utilizing this landscape.

So just to give us a complete picture and a complete understanding. I don't think you can ignore

## that body of data.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Mr. McIvor, do you believe that you are able to fully answer all the questions that were posed by counsel, or would you like to clarify any of your responses?
- A I've -- we got the memo that I pontificated quite a bit. So I think -- I think my answers are sufficient. Thank you.

MS. REYNEVELD: Thank you,

Mr. McIvor, for your testimony. I don't have any further questions for you at this time.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. I'm going to turn to the Council now.

Chair Drew, Council members, put the hands up again to get in line to ask questions of Mr. McIvor.

Chair Drew, I have you first.

And, Mr. Livingston, I'll come to you next.

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Your

Honor.

Thank you, Mr. McIvor. I appreciate your pontificating. I learned a lot, so I really appreciate it.

So one of my questions is: The ferruginous hawk is endangered. And, you know, in my limited history -- I'm not a scientist; I'll admit that publicly -- but there have been different endangered species that have

recovered; the eagle, bald eagle, particularly.

But in this case, what would be the impact if there was one single bird strike on a ferruginous hawk?

THE WITNESS: Good morning, Chair

Drew. Thank you. A very thoughtful question.

This gets to the concept of risk. And depending on one's field, risk is defined in different ways. But in the world of biology, it's basically the probability of an event occurring multiplied by the magnitude of that event.

So the surveys that have been conducted on this project site indicate relatively low use by ferruginous hawks. They're there. They've been there this year. But not very frequent use. Therefore, I think it's a reasonable conclusion that the probability of a strike is low. The problem is, with 34 breeding pairs in the state, the magnitude of such an event is high. So I think that's where we have a challenge in front of us.

If you lose one bird, you've lost -- again, I'm trying to avoid math in public -- but roughly, say, 2 percent of your population.

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Because, of course, that one bird represents the ability with another bird to create a third bird.

THE WITNESS: That's where I was

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going next, yes. You've lost not only an individual but a breeding -- probably a breeding opportunity for -- for this season. So, yes, that's -- that's the challenge.

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

And then when -- in talking about different ways to consider managing that option, certainly we've heard a lot about the amount of buffer. I also you heard you talk about the encroachment in shrub-steppe by the housing development allowed by Benton County. So that certainly is a risk, especially as it comes close to the nesting sites near the site, so -- but that's not a risk we manage. That's a risk only the County can manage.

So, then, in considering future options, I know you also talked about curtailment. But, again, if the curtailment stops the turbine after the fatality of a bird, then we haven't managed that risk.

I also see that I just was looking at your exhibit, your revised testimony, 3001, and actually what I see you saying there is that a type of option would be to curtail specific tower operation should a nesting pair choose to have a project site in that home range.

Do you see that as -- and, again, this is --

should the project be developed, obviously we would have a technical advisory committee, which unlike other types of developments, would not stop with the operator, since we oversee -- at EFSEC, the Council oversees the decisions made, not the applicant, not the project developer.

So how do you see that type of risk in this type of scenario?

THE WITNESS: Well, these are all steps that are made in an effort to stack the odds in favor of the ferruginous hawk. None of them would be guaranteed to eliminate the risk. And, again, no one has suggested that. But that's the problem.

So taking a step like seasonal or curtailment during daylight hours when the bird is actively foraging, all of those could be helpful measures in reducing risk.

Countering that is the fact that these birds have very large home ranges, as we've discussed, and will not confine their movements to the two-mile buffer that we artificially designate. So what I was suggesting in that testimony was that there could be a situation where a nesting pair's activity was predominantly along, say, the western edge, which I think is where they've more commonly been seen in the recent years,

2.

and there might be some opportunity to reduce risk in that region. But, again, you would not eliminate risk entirely.

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank

you. You've been very helpful and have left us with a very complicated situation to walk through. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I have. Yes, thank you, Chair Drew.

JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Livingston, if you'll introduce yourself to Mr. McIvor, assuming you don't already know him, and ask your questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Hi, Mr. McIvor. I'm Mike Livingston, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and representing the department on EFSEC here.

So I really appreciate the discussion, the dialogue that's going on today. I mentioned a couple days ago, the -- the airtime that some of these less-known species are getting through this hearing has been really valuable, I think, for everybody to become aware of some of our imperilled species.

The legislature just invested \$23 million in the department for biodiversity conservation, and this is one of those species that we're going to be focusing

2.2

on. We're going to have a new biologist in the Tri-Cities soon who's going to be able to focus on this work. We've been way behind in our efforts to be able to do that.

But before we get to ferruginous hawks, just one thing that we haven't discussed, and I think it's important to understand, is: Can you explain how bats are affected by turbines? And what in that interaction kills the bats?

THE WITNESS: Good morning,

Mr. Livingston. Thank you for a challenging question.

There isn't an easy answer to that, because there's been a number of mechanisms over the years which have been identified. And two of the principal ones are direct strike from encountering moving rotors. Another one, which I think has somewhat moderated as a source, relates to barotrauma.

So there would appear to be a pressure differential set up by the rotating blades. And bats have -- dead bats have been recovered which show signs of barotrauma. So they seem to encounter this pressure differential, and it causes fatal internal damage. Very strange.

There also is some evidence that some species of bats are actually attracted to the rotors. And no one,

2.

I think, quite understands why that is, whether it concentrates insects or gives off some ultrasonic signal that the rest of us can't hear. We just don't know. It's quite a -- quite an odd thing.

But, anyway, I suspect that most of the mortalities occur from direct strikes during foraging attempts by the bats.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Thank you. I felt like that one, I hadn't -- years ago, I was more familiar with that understanding, but I haven't kept up with the science to see if we've learned any more. So I appreciate that.

Just quickly, regarding the platforms for ferruginous hawks, the artificial nets platforms, 11 years ago, from 2003 to 2012, I was the district wildlife biologist in the Tri-Cities. My responsibility was to keep track of ferruginous hawks in Benton and Franklin counties.

And we had several platforms. Almost all of them were not used. There were some. They do still hold some promise, I think. It's really dependent upon the territory and are there alternative nesting options.

I, mean, cliffs, the lone trees, the black locust trees that are out there, those are really the preferred nesting platform, but just wanted to get that

2.2

out there that they are -- they are a tool. They're not a panacea, I think you mentioned. I would agree with that.

So regarding these buffers that we've been talking about. Rarely do we have complete data to, you know, define a individual pair's territory. You know, that would require a lot of intense study on those individuals.

But in the absence of having that type of data to define a territory, would you agree that adding some form of a uniform buffer around a nesting territory may be the best we can implement in the absence of having the data?

# THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Yes, I would agree with that statement, yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Yeah.

And the -- well, I did the math. So 10 kilometers,
which is what the ideal buffer would be, equates to 6.2
miles. And what -- what Mr. Watson has recommended is
two miles, which is about a third of the ideal. Just
wanted to put that in there.

So I wanted to talk about -- we haven't talked about the longevity of ferruginous hawks.

Do you know how long they -- you know, their life span is?

2.2

THE WITNESS: I have read. I think
20 years would be quite an old bird. I think it's more
typically in the range of five to six years.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Can you describe the impact of adding new sources of mortality to an endangered species that's in steep decline, especially for those that are, you know longer lived than, you know, one or two years?

mortality -- when a population becomes as small as this one, mortality is generally viewed as being additive. So on top of everything else the bird is already experiencing, here's this new mortality event. And so it -- you know, it becomes a greater event, an event of greater consequence because it's additive on the small population.

I'm starting to lose focus. Please let me know if that didn't answer your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: I think it did. It did. I'm just trying to put into context.

So some of the post-construction monitoring elsewhere has -- I can't remember if it's Washington and Oregon, but there was up to eight mortalities that have been detected due to direct, you know, collision with wind turbines for ferruginous hawks.

And so I'm trying to put in perspective -- and hopefully you can help me. With a -- with a species that's low in numbers, lives fairly long, how does, you know, that number of eight mortalities contribute to population declines?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, you know,

it's -- I can't -- I can't say specifically. I think

what's maybe interesting in the context of your

question is Mr. Jansen's paper, which I think came out

last year, in which he did some population viability

analysis on the ferruginous hawk.

And I have some -- some concerns about the way that was done that we don't need to get into. I think the take-home message from that, which is valid, is that this population is in trouble and cannot bear a lot more mortality. So, yeah, I think the consequences of -- of loss are -- are high and difficult to mitigate.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Okay.

Something we also haven't talked about too much is predation. And particularly eggs, young, can be a real problem for a struggling population.

Would you agree?

THE WITNESS: I agree. And I think that some of WDFW's research on the population has

2.

specifically identified a bottleneck at the juvenile stage, so getting birds into the adult population and breading is problematic with this -- this group.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Are

you -- are you familiar with common ravens and great

horned owls and their -- their population numbers these
days?

THE WITNESS: Well, you know, they're -- they're both species that are adapted to human presence and human disturbance.

And, yes, this has long been an issue through my career as I have moved around the Great Basin and then now up into Washington. Both common raven and great horned owl are significant often to many problems, significant source of mortality on -- on nests and nestlings.

COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: And so you mentioned in human development. So would -- would this -- this project, as described as proposed currently, potentially add to increases in raven and great horn owl numbers?

THE WITNESS: Yes. But I would say
the applicant has made an effort to minimize things
like overhead power lines, which give ravens a perch
site to hunt from. But unquestionably there would be

1	more opportunities for the species as a result of the
2	project.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Yeah,
4	certainly something that we we'd need to manage
5	going forward in a way to not augment their
6	populations, if possible.
7	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. Agreed.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: So I'm
9	wrapping up here. I'm pretty close.
10	So with a declining, endangered state
11	endangered species like we have here, would you agree
12	that we need to exercise as much caution as possible,
13	unlike we would with a more common species like
14	red-tail hawk?
15	THE WITNESS: I'd say that's
16	warranted, yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: And
18	here's my punch line of ferruginous hawks.
19	So could this project, as designed, contribute to
20	continued decline of ferruginous hawks in Washington
21	State?
22	THE WITNESS: I would say it
23	certainly could. I'd say there are there's a lot of
24	thought and a lot of effort going into identifying
25	minimization techniques and mitigation. But

- unquestionably it could, and that does need to be balanced against the fact that this project will address, to however small a degree, climate change, which is also impacting the bird. This is -- you have a difficult task in front of you.
- COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Appreciate the recognition of that. And I appreciated the -- the response to Chair Drew about managing risk in all of its forms that, you know, that we're trying to do here.
- So I'm -- I'm done. So I really appreciate your time, Mr. McIvor.
- THE WITNESS: And yours,
- 14 Mr. Livingston. Thank you.
- 15 JUDGE TOREM: All right.
- Mr. McIvor, I'm going to introduce Stacey Brewster from the Utilities/Transportation Council. She has some questions for you.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Hello,
- 20 Mr. McIvor. I appreciate all the in-depth conversation
- 21 | we've had about ferruginous hawks, bats, and pronghorn.
- One thing we haven't talked about in your
- 23 testimony was the impacts on general avian populations.
- 24 That's a concern that's come up quite a bit in public
- 25 | comments that we have received.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

In your testimony, you mention that the project wouldn't -- will not have -- oh -- project will have disproportionate -- excuse me.

You don't expect the impact to be disproportionate. Can you talk a little bit about what the expected impact is?

wind energy projects always impact birds. I mean, that's -- we've come to learn and understand that that's one of the tradeoffs that we accept in implementing these projects. We currently accept -- I think there's a lot of research going and ongoing in how to minimize these impacts.

The application indicates -- and I -- I would concur -- that the species that would ordinarily be at greatest risk -- and, again, this is -- let's exclude ferruginous hawk from this discussion. The other species that would be at great risk have behaviors in the context of the project that either all but eliminate risk or it certainly minimize the likelihood that they would be mortality -- be mortalities as a result of the project.

This project is expected to impact primarily horned lark, which is a common bird in the open grasslands and even reasonably adapted to farmed lands

2.

quite common out on the -- the Columbia Plateau, for example. And that's -- that's the species that most frequently shows up in -- in -- in post-construction mortality surveys. So I -- I don't have any reason to believe that this project would be any different from -- from those others.

So there would be mortality events, but they would tend to fall on species that are -- that have robust populations that very likely could absorb these sorts of mortalities.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Thanks. I know there's been a lot in the comments regarding sandhill cranes and snow geese moving through the area. So what I'm hearing is those populations are robust enough to withstand some mortality; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, and for -- for whatever reason -- I studied sandhill cranes for my master's degree, so they hold a place for me.

For whatever reason, they seem to be very low mortality risk with wind energy facilities. And in this particular project, they don't seem to be utilizing the habitat in the immediate area, certainly not for breeding. I don't think there'd be suitable breeding habitat there, nor is that really part of their breeding range.

But it would be during stopovers in migration. 1 And they -- they seem to be attracted to other areas 2 3 for stopover. So, yeah, what's been documented is high -- high flyovers, and that should put them out of 4 5 the rotor-swept range and should be very low risk. COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Thank you. 6 7 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thanks for the questions. 8 9 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Levitt. You're on "mute," Mr. Levitt. 10 11 There are you go. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Sorry. 13 Hello, Mr. McIvor. I'm Eli Levitt, Ecology's --14 Department of Ecology's Council member to EFSEC. 15 I guess, just at a very high level, you know, there's this concept of leave no trace, but what if we 16 17 kind of change that just for a moment to, you know, 18 let's try to do better? Are there -- you know, assuming you had some 19 20 substantial funds and resources, are there restoration 21 activities that could take place from the greater lease 2.2 area that would provide any benefit to all of the 23 species we've talked about? So I'm putting aside things like the artificial 24 nests and the two-mile radius for the hawks. But, you 25

know, planting of native species, removal of invasive species, maybe some sort of very small rotating fire regimen, any -- anything along those lines that would have potential positive impact.

THE WITNESS: Good morning,

Mr. Levitt. You saved the tough question for last, I
guess.

Yes, I think given the degradation in shrub-steppe habitat that's occurred in the state over the last century and a half, I think there is a significant need to look at habitat restoration efforts. I'm not familiar enough with area to say that there's a specific site, but I think it's an opportunity worth looking for.

I think the -- there's two challenges here. One is scale. Because the extent of the loss or degradation of shrub-steppe habitat is so enormous that it -- it's almost hard to know where to even start, but start we probably should.

And then the other thing I would say about it is that shrub-steppe habitat has proved to be a very difficult habitat type to restore. And I believe there was the illusion in maybe Mr. Ritter, maybe Mr. Watson's testimony about, in future recommendations, thinking about function of these

habitat types.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I think that's something that's been often absent from our discussions about how do we not just create a landscape that looks right to us but actually functions closer to the fashion of the habitat that's been lost or being disturbed.

So, yes, it certainly -- it's certainly worth consideration.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Okay. Thank you. That was my only question.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. And the last Council member with a hand up is Lenny Young, Department of Natural Resources.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Good morning, Mr. McIvor. I'm Lenny Young, and I serve as DNR's representative to EFSEC. I'd like to follow up on one of Mr. Livingston's questions.

Would you support lethal control of ravens and great horned owls as part of mitigation for this project? And, if so, how do you think that should be accomplished?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, you sure we're not out of time?

Good morning, Mr. Young.

1 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Young has never 2. quoted Edgar Allan Poe to this point, but we'll go 3 there. THE WITNESS: Quite a loaded 4 5 question, Mr. Young. COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Might I say no 6 7 pun intended. I think --8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: -- we're being 10 a little silly today. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. Ι was going to sidestep that. Thank you. 12 13 I'm very hesitant to recommend lethal removal. I 14 have been involved with other projects and conservation efforts looking at species that are in a very 15 challenging position, like sage grouse, for example, 16 17 which also suffer from predation from ravens. 18 And the managing agencies wanted to go to lethal 19 control. And it probably has its place. But I see in 20 this instance, it's a last resort. Because really the 21 heart of what the problem is, is lack of habitat and 22 degraded habitat. And it's just so easy to do the 23 "blame the predators" game and take out our 24 shortcomings, collectively our shortcomings as land

stewards, on the predators.

So that said, in something like the nest platform 1 2 situation, I think there's a valid question on the 3 table of monitoring those, and if you encountered ravens using the platform or great horned owls, should 4 5 those nests be removed and an opportunity created for ferruginous hawk to take them over? I think that's a 6 question that's on the table. I'm not in a position to 7 answer it. 8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Thank you. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Chair Drew, Council 11 members, any additional questions for Mr. McIvor? 12 All right. I'm not seeing any. 13 Ms. Reyneveld, does this cause any need for 14 further redirect? 15 MS. REYNEVELD: I don't have need 16 for further redirect in response to the Council's questions. Thank you, Council. 17 18 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Perlmutter, I'll 19 come to you and then Ms. Voelckers to see if there's 20 any additional questions you might have for this 21 witness before we let him go. 2.2 MS. PERLMUTTER: I do, Your Honor. 23 //// 24 //// 25 ////

#### RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. PERLMUTTER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q And thanks so much, Mr. McIvor, for your testimony. A lot of this has been extremely illuminative. And I'm going to try and work backwards and do this as efficiently as I can.

You just testified in response to Mr. Young, to Council Member Young, that -- there was an open question that perhaps if, on the monitoring artificial nest platform, if we were to encounter, for example, ravens using the platform or owls using the platform, that there are ways that might be under consideration for addressing that further prey risk to ferruginous hawks; am I right?

- A Yes, that's correct. With the caveat added that, at least in terms of the owl, they -- they are -- have some protection under our laws. So --
- 18 Q All I'm asking really is there are some things that can be done.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q In other words, this is a dynamic situation?
- 22 A Yes. Correct.
- Q Okay. And it was interesting to me. You just talked about, again with response -- in response to Council Member Young, you said that there was a situation with

regard to a species like sage grouse where the managing agencies wanted to go to lethal control and you had some qualms about that, right?

### A Yes. That's true.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And so what I'm taking from that, again, at the 72,000-foot level, is that just because a managing agency says something, that doesn't necessarily mean that ultimately that -- that reasonable minds can differ with managing agencies as to what the appropriate measures are for protecting the species?
- A That's true. There's always room for discussion.
- Q You've also testified -- now I'm going way back to the beginning of your testimony, or beginning of your cross by Ms. Voelckers.

You said that the ferruginous hawks' prey in Washington is not as robust as it should be.

Do you remember saying that?

#### A I do.

- Q And you've also indicated, though -- we talked about it earlier -- that things like planting additional native grasses at the solar arrays could, in fact, enhance those prey populations; am I right?
- 23 A It's possible.
- Q Okay. And you testified -- there's been a lot of talk about artificial nest platforms. And I am jumping

around here.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

But you testified that -- that the artificial nest platforms are not being proposed as mitigation, that they're considered an add-on; am I right?

## A That's my understanding, yes.

- Q And would you agree with me that -- that the applicant is actually doing lots of things, has made a number of suggestions that go above and beyond in order to -- I don't want to use the word "mitigation," because that's obviously a term of art. But the applicant's made a lot of suggestions and proposals and offers that would enhance species and wildlife protections at the site?
- 13 A They are working very hard to accommodate our concerns.
- 14 | Q Thank you.

You also indicated that you would see Mr. Watson as the expert on the topic of ferruginous hawks.

Can I just assume that you are not disparaging either Mr. Jansen or Mr. Rahmig's conclusions as well?

- 19 A No.
  - Q These are three scientists.
- 21 A Yes. Yes. Yes. Correct. I'm not disparaging those 22 fellows.
  - Q And as you testified a moment ago, professional scientists may have disagreements about ways to go on a particular issue?

# 1 A Certainly.

- Q Okay. And in response to Ms. Reyneveld's questioning, you said in your testimony -- and this is with regard to your initial testimony -- that you expected the -- you expected there would be a 0.25 offset recommended, right?
- 7 A That's initially what I encountered, yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And you testified -- you signed that under 9 penalty of perjury, right?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q That was true to the best of your knowledge and belief 12 at the time?
- 13 A At that time, yes.
- Q Okay. With regard to this draft exhibit that was put up as Exhibit 4015. And that's the August 9th draft of the guidelines.
  - And you testified that you don't think that draft is any less valid because it's in draft form, right?
- 19 A Yes. Correct.
- 20 | Q But a draft, by definition, can change, can't it?
- 21 A Yes.

17

- 22 | O That draft hasn't -- hasn't been finalized?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 (Simultaneous speaking.)
- 25 ////



- 1 MS. PERLMUTTER: Sorry. I'm doing 2 it again, Mr. McIvor.
- 3 Q (By Ms. Perlmutter) And, again, that draft was based on, I believe it's a 2014 study; isn't that right?
- 5 A I -- I can't answer that. I'm sorry. I don't know if it is.
- 7 Q Okay. But certainly as a draft, it's subject to 8 change?
- 9 A Certainly, yes.
- 10 Q And there's no way to know at this point where those changes are headed?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Yes, there's no way to know where those changes are headed?
- 15 A Yes, there's no way to know where those changes are headed.
- 17 Q And you stated the two miles -- that the two-mile 18 proposed buffer, that's currently best available 19 science?
- 20 A Yes, I would say it is.
- 21 Q But that's not actually really true, is it? There -22 there are competing reputable data-based
  23 recommendations that are being made by the applicant,
  24 but competing reputable recommendations, right?

MS. REYNEVELD: Objection.

Argumentative. 1 2. JUDGE TOREM: Mr. McIvor, do you 3 feel she's arguing with you? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 JUDGE TOREM: I do too, so I'm going to sustain the objection. 6 Ms. Perlmutter, let's just make our points as to 7 what is or isn't within the realm of his expertise and 8 9 move along. 10 (By Ms. Perlmutter) Would you agree with me that there are differing viewpoints as to what that buffer should 11 12 be? 13 Yes. Α And, in fact, your testimony earlier this morning and 14 15 in your -- both your original and supplemental 16 testimony was that the buffer -- that a one-size-17 fits-all -- strike that -- that a buffer should be 18 based on the available data specific to this project; 19 am I right? 20 Ideally that's correct. There -- there may not be Α 21 adequate data to directly address the question, so we 22 have to use the best that's available, yes. 23 Okay. In response to Chair Drew's questions, you said 24 that there might be an opportunity -- and she was

asking you -- and, again, a very interesting answer

- about what "risk" means at your particular scientific

  field, and she said that -- that there might be an

  opportunity to reduce risk in the region -- and I think

  you were talking about the project area -- but you

  couldn't eliminate it altogether, right?
- 6 A Correct.
  - Q And isn't that -- not as an argument. This is a real question.
  - Isn't that what a technical advisory committee is meant to do?
- 11 A Yes.

7

8

9

- 12 Q You testified -- let me see who you were answering -13 to Mr. Livingston, to Council Member Livingston, that
  14 based on some post-constructive -- construction
  15 monitoring elsewhere, you're aware of up to eight
  16 mortalities that were -- in ferruginous hawks detected
  17 due to direct collisions with wind turbines?
- 18 A That's my recollection from the literature, yes.
- 19 Q And that's not specifically Washington State, right?
  20 That's the Columbia River basin generally?
- 21 A Right. Washington State specifically is four, is my 22 understanding. Four mortalities.
- 23 Q And that's over the last 25 years, roughly?
- 24 A Roughly, yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And you said that this is -- the take-home

- message was that this population is in trouble and can't bear a lot more mortality.
  - Do you remember saying that?
- 4 A I do, yes.

- 5 Q But you'll agree with me, we've talked about any -- any 6 number of other mortality threats to the ferruginous 7 hawk population, right?
- 8 A Yes, there are many.
- 9 Q Okay. Would you consider climate change or this
  10 project to constitute the greater risk to the
  11 ferruginous hawk?
- 12 A I -- boy, I don't -- I don't know that I can answer

  13 that question. It's a very thought-provoking question.
- 14 I don't -- I don't --
- 15 | Q That's what makes us -- go ahead.
- 16 A No, I just -- I'm fumbling. I don't think I can answer
  17 that. Very good question.
- MS. PERLMUTTER: I have nothing further.
- JUDGE TOREM: All right.
- 21 Ms. Voelckers, anything, last questions for this witness?
- All right. I'm not hearing Ms. Voelckers, but I
  see Mr. Aramburu. Your mike is off "mute." Did you
  have something you wanted to say?

MR. ARAMBURU: I would like to ask 1 2 two questions, if I may. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Certainly. Go ahead. 4 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ARAMBURU: 6 Mr. McIvor, I'm Rick Aramburu. I'm the attorney for 7 Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S., the local citizens groups, and 8 9 we're very interested in the wildlife that's impacted 10 by the project, including the hawk. The applicant has proposed two different turbine 11 sizes and two different turbine layouts. One proposal 12 13 is 244 more or less smaller turbines, and then there's 14 a proposal for 150 larger turbines. Do the selection of the turbines have anything to 15 do with your testimony? 16 17 Well, there -- I think there are -- there would be 18 consequences from either choice. 19 My understanding is that one of the reasons for 20 the lack of specificity in which towers would be used 21 is it's somewhat dependent on market availability. And so having two different types of turbines also gives a 22 23 range of potential impacts to be evaluated in the 24 context of -- of SEPA.

Either alternative would result in some amount of

rotor-swept area. And I cannot tell you any more, because the figures are not in my head deeply enough. But one alternative is going to have a slightly larger rotor-swept area than the other and therefore potentially present more risk of collision because they're affecting a larger air parcel.

From the standpoint of something like the ferruginous hawk where we've been talking about establishing buffers, I would imagine it would be easier to fit 150 towers in the landscape and provide some buffers than it would be to install 240 towers and still provide buffers.

So there's probably a biological preference to be expressed in -- in having fewer towers. Yeah.

- O Okay. Is that -- is that the answer?
- 16 A That's my answer. I hope it answered your question.
  - Q I didn't have a particular idea in mind.

And is -- is the -- is the total swept area of interest in this regard?

- A It has an impact on the -- use a different word. It has an effect on the amount of risk that birds and bats would be exposed to.
- Q Okay. One last question, and this is my third one. I apologize.
  - I'm putting on the screen -- can you see,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. McIvor, the photograph on the screen?

A I do see the map, yes.

- Q Okay. And do you recognize this is a map of the project site and an aerial photograph showing other areas?
- A I do recognize it as such, yes.
  - Q And I have heard, listening this morning to a lot of questions about individual turbines and individual sites and individual distances from turbines.

Is the cumulative impact of a project that's 25 miles long, is there a cumulative impact beyond individual impacts for a project of this size?

- A Certainly. Certainly there is a cumulative impact, yes.
- Q And can you characterize it or quantify it?
  - A Well, let's -- let's narrow this down to my resource.

    I assume we're still talking strictly about wildlife.

    And I think my greatest concern in the cumulative impacts arena is with bats, because we know so little about their population sizes.

And I do think that the applicant has -- you know, has made the effort to reduce and minimize impacts.

Nonetheless, there would still be impacts on a regional population of unknown size. So it's adding -- the project would add cumulatively to mortality on those

bat species. And it would certainly add cumulatively 1 to mortality on bird populations. 2 3 So then at some point you ask the question of are the cumulative impacts significant, and that's -- you 4 know, that's where the details come in. And it's a bit 5 of an unknown for the bats. Probably not significant 6 for -- for birds. As I mentioned earlier, it would 7 appear that most of the impacted bird species have 8 robust enough populations that they could absorb the 9 10 expected degree of mortality. 11 MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. Thank you, Mr. McIvor. I promised two questions. I did three. 12 13 But I want to keep within my limits. Thank you. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 JUDGE TOREM: I think, Mr. Aramburu, we were within the double-up-your-questions limit, so 16 17 thank you. 18 Any other questions for Mr. McIvor? 19 All right. I see Ms. Reyneveld had her hand up 20 and then Ms. Voelckers. 21 MS. REYNEVELD: Yeah. Go ahead, 2.2 Ms. Voelckers. I can be the last questioner. 23 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you. 24 //// 25 ////

#### RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. VOELCKERS:

2.

Q And apologies. I did fall off or get kicked out of the meeting for the last few questions, but I -- I think I heard what I needed to ask this question, which is:

I was hearing some things that I wanted to better understand because I don't know that I know quite where they are in the materials before us. So there are questions around the solar fields specifically, understanding that they're fenced, and questions about planting of vegetation under the solar panels.

My understanding from the deposition testimony of WFW's biologist is that actual restoration of shrub-steppe habitat is incredibly difficult in the best conditions.

So, Mr. McIvor, could you maybe explain the limitations of what you are agreeing to there in terms of what's possible to plant underneath solar panels? Trying to understand kind of where you were going with that or what your exact opinions were on what would be actually achievable inside a solar field in terms of habitat.

A It's my understanding from studies that have been conducted on other solar arrays and from the information that's available in the application that a

simplified mix of grasses would be planted under the solar arrays. And by "simplified," I mean relatively few species compared to what you would find in a native shrub-steppe ecosystem.

And those grasses are selected, in part, to be -to not interfere with the function of the solar arrays.

So they -- there -- there are priorities in choosing
those species that go ahead of providing wildlife
habitat.

That said, those grasses probably would be better habitat, speaking very broadly, than a dryland wheat monotype. So in that sense, they could offer better habitat to species that are tolerant of the solar arrays and occasional human incursion into those zones.

We've seen -- I say "we." It's been documented through publications that typically the species that move into these solar arrays are adapted to human disturbance. They're sort of more generalists. We tend to lose the habitat specialists. But they're not entirely without value.

But because of their structure, they would also limit a bird like, say, the ferruginous hawk would probably not forage within a solar array. It's just not the habitat they're adapted to, but other species probably would.

MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. 1 I appreciate the extra explanation and makes sense to me. 2 3 I don't have any further questions. Thank you. 4 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 5 Ms. Reyneveld, you will be the last. Your witness. 6 MS. REYNEVELD: Yeah, I just --7 JUDGE TOREM: As you sponsored him --8 9 MS. REYNEVELD: -- have a few. 10 JUDGE TOREM: -- that's appropriate. 11 MS. REYNEVELD: Okay. I just have a 12 few clarification questions. 13 14 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. REYNEVELD: 16 So Ms. Perlmutter asked you a question about managing 17 agencies and a case in which you disagreed with a recommendation of a managing agency, and you also 18 testified in this case that you deferred to Mr. Watson 19 20 and WDFW's most recent two-mile offset recommendation. 21 From your review, can you tell me whether you have 22 any reason in your expert opinion to disagree with that 23 recommendation? 24 I don't have any reason to disagree with it. 25 Thank you. 0

And do you feel as if you were able to completely 1 2 answer all of the questions that were asked of you by 3 Council and the parties? 4 I do. I've said more this morning than I have in the Α 5 past month. Thank you for your time, everyone. 6 MS. REYNEVELD: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions. Thanks so much, 7 Mr. McIvor. 8 9 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. McIvor, thank you 10 so much for your time. I want to add to that I think it was really enlightening. We've had some really 11 12 great wildlife testimony, and this was a nice wrap to 13 it today. 14 Thank you, Ms. Reyneveld, for producing such a 15 good witness. Not that any of the others weren't equally -- not equally good, but this was a nice 16 17 close-up on our broad brush of, I think the term was 18 avifauna, right? And everything else, I don't know. 19 What do you call the ones on the ground? Terra fauna? 20 THE WITNESS: Terra fauna. I like 21 that. Yes. 22 JUDGE TOREM: All right. It'll 23 work. All right. You are free to go. Thank you, sir. 24 Ms. Perlmutter, you got two words tonight. 25 (Witness excused.)

1 JUDGE TOREM: Let's turn to some questions. It's right after noon. Mr. Aramburu, have 2. 3 you heard from Mr. Simon? I see that both planes have 4 arrived at the N terminal within the last two hours. 5 (Witness Richard Simon appearing remotely.) 6 7 THE WITNESS: This is Mr. Simon. 8 I 9 am on the phone. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Excellent. Mr. Simon, thank you. Welcome back from Alaska. 11 12 Thanks. THE WITNESS: 13 JUDGE TOREM: If you're ready to 14 testify now, or do you need time to talk to Mr. Aramburu before you do? 15 THE WITNESS: I am ready to testify 16 17 now. 18 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Aramburu, would 19 that be appropriate to shift to Mr. Simon's testimony, 20 then? 21 MR. ARAMBURU: If he's ready, I'm 2.2 ready. 23 I do want to make -- as a matter of record, I do 24 pose an objection to your following the personal movements of Mr. Simon here with regard to air -- air 25

travel, that kind of thing. I've never seen that done before. I think it's at least highly unusual, so I'll just pose my objection to that.

JUDGE TOREM: Just doing you a favor, Mr. Aramburu. I told you if he wasn't available today, he wouldn't testify. You made it very clear as to what flights he would be coming back from and approximate times. Trying to do you a favor.

Mr. Simon, I hope I'm not invading by looking at publicly available information from Alaska Airlines and the flight tracker website. But I think we've established you're here.

Ms. Voelckers.

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your
Honor. If I just may real quick on the schedule. We
didn't have much break, so I just want to note that
Mr. Meninick did come to our offices in order to be
available at 11. When I saw five Council member hands
go up at 11:20, we went ahead and let him go for lunch.
But he was asked to come back in order to testify
around 1.

And so if that's now changing again, which I understand. Just, he's back after being out for a while, and I'd like to not keep asking him to come and wait in our offices unnecessarily.

2.

2.2

1 JUDGE TOREM: Understood. Tell him 2. to come back at 1. I think Mr. Simon's testimony and 3 the questions should be relatively quick. 4 MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. 5 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Mr. Simon, good morning. You are Rich Simon; is that right? Or 6 do you go by "Richard"? 7 THE WITNESS: "Richard" is my 8 9 official name, yes. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Great. 11 And you're calling in by phone this afternoon, 12 right? 13 That's correct, yes. THE WITNESS: 14 JUDGE TOREM: All right. I don't 15 know if you have them with you or in front of you. 16 have four different exhibits that you sponsored: 17 Exhibit 5500. Exhibit 5501, which was the subject of a order 18 19 striking some of the testimony, but there is a revised 20 version in the record now with the appropriate 21 red-lining. 2.2 Exhibit 5502 and 5503. 23 Mr. Aramburu, is that an accurate listing of this 24 witness's testimony? 25 MR. ARAMBURU: That's correct. And

1 there's been strike-outs to portions of those testimonies. 2 3 JUDGE TOREM: I think that's limited to 5501. Perhaps there's some other minor ones in the 4 others. But I know that Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S. has 5 taken the time to resubmit, subject to the striking 6 7 orders, and I appreciate that. I don't know which parties might have questions 8 9 for Mr. Simon. I don't have that part of the schedule 10 in front of me. Mr. McMahan, I presume it would be you, but are there any others? 11 12 I'm not seeing or hearing from any others. 13 Mr. McMahan, you do have some questions for 14 Mr. Simon? 15 MR. McMAHAN: I do, Your Honor. 16 Thank you. 17 JUDGE TOREM: All right. So after I 18 have Mr. Aramburu introduce him, I'll come to you, 19 Mr. McMahan. 20 MR. ARAMBURU: So, Mr. Simon, you're 21 available by phone. You have provided testimony 2.2 concerning electrical issues. You've also provided 23 some test- -- also provided testimony concerning the 24 responses to Mr. Poulos's testimony, which was 25 yesterday; is that correct?

1	THE WITNESS: I well, I haven't
2	seen well, Mr. Poulos's testimony was from some time
3	ago. Yeah, that was probably from a month ago. That's
4	what I responded to.
5	MR. ARAMBURU: Yes. And
6	JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Aramburu.
7	Mr. Aramburu, let me swear the witness in before you
8	start, and then we can
9	MR. ARAMBURU: Oh, okay.
10	JUDGE TOREM: make sure
11	everything he gives is sworn testimony and adopted
12	today.
13	So, Mr. Simon, I'm going to ask you, wherever you
14	might be, to raise your right hand.
15	
16	RICHARD SIMON, appearing remotely, was duly
17	sworn by the Administrative
18	Law Judge as follows:
19	
20	JUDGE TOREM: And do you, Rich
21	Simon, solemnly swear or affirm that all the testimony
22	you're adopting in Exhibits 5500, 5501, 5502, and 5503,
23	as modified by the striking order, and all your answers
24	today will the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
25	the truth?

1		THE WITNESS: Yes, I do swear.
2		(Exhibit Nos. 5500,
3		5501_T_Revised, 5502, and
4		5503_R admitted.)
5		
6		JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Mr. Aramburu,
7		now you may proceed.
8		MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. And the
9		exhibits described will be admitted, then?
10		JUDGE TOREM: Yes, they are, sir.
11		MR. ARAMBURU: Thank you.
12		JUDGE TOREM: As with every other
13		witness, by him adopting them, they are admitted.
14		MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. Thank you.
15		
16		DIRECT EXAMINATION
17		BY MR. ARAMBURU:
18	Q	So, Mr. Simon, I previously went through your
19		background here, and you indicated that you had
20		reviewed the prior written testimony of Mr. Poulos.
21		But you have not had an opportunity to either
22		listen to or review Mr. Poulos's testimony from
23		yesterday; is that correct?
24	A	That is correct.
25	Q	Okay. And you were otherwise in the state of Alaska

1 yesterday as a part of a pre- -- preplanned trip,
2 correct?

### A Correct.

Q Okay. So, Mr. Simon, Mr. McMahan -- you're not on the screen Mr. McMahan is.

I understand Mr. McMahan, who is the applicant's attorney, does have some questions for you. And so he will be going ahead and asking questions, and maybe other parties will as well. So that will be the next thing you hear on the telephone. So if you've got any questions, any problems, please interrupt and let us know, but next voice you hear is going to be Mr. McMahan.

### A That's fine.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. McMAHAN:

Q Okay. Good morning, Mr. Simon. Sorry that the next voice you have to hear is mine. That's, I suppose, the way it goes.

MR. McMAHAN: And, Your Honor, I am making some efforts to streamline this, given the hour and the expectations here. So if you -- if I drag this down, you just give me a prod and make me do something different, but I do have some questions.

Q (By Mr. McMahan) And I also just want to preface this by saying, Mr. Simon, that Dr. Poulos did in fact testify yesterday, and I can represent that I -- and I said this on the record yesterday -- I cautioned him to stay within the bounds of areas of testimony that remain after the strike motion that Mr. Aramburu referenced, and I cautioned him to not delve into these so-called off-limit targets or topics. And he did agree to hear that, and I think that we did have a good response from Dr. Poulos with regard to that.

So -- sorry. Oh, sorry. Just getting my -- sorry. I can't find it right now.

All right. So I'm just going to jump in here, Mr. Simon. Again, I appreciate your being here today. And, unfortunately, I'm citing to testimony and exhibits. I understand you're on the phone, which may be a challenge. But I'm sure Mr. Aramburu can help in pulling up your testimony as needed, so -- and I'm looking first at the June 12 testimony, and that's Exhibit 5201-T.

And first question is this: Mr. Simon, you state on Page 3, Lines 1 through 6 --

MR. McMAHAN: And, Rick, if you want to pull it up, I'm not really prepared to do so, but -- although he's -- he's not on the phone, so we may just

2.2

need to do our best.

THE WITNESS: Mr. McMahan, I have that file open on a laptop.

MR. McMAHAN: Great. Oh, that's great. Good to know, Mr. Simon. Thank you. All right.

Q (By Mr. McMahan) You state, Page 5, Lines 1 through 6, that it is typical practice that, and quote here, all permits are granted for a specific turbine array plan, which includes the number and exact locations of the turbines, and that it is unprecedented -- your word -- for permitting agencies to issue open-ended permits for Horse Heaven.

Do you recall that testimony?

#### A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q All right. So is it your belief that the -- that the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, or EFSEC henceforth, simply grants permits for a specific turbine array plan with no regulatory or environmental review?

## A No, that's not my understanding.

Q And is it your belief that EFSEC would -- and these are your quoted words -- issue open-ended permits for Horse Heaven?

Is that your belief?



A Well, to -- to explain what I was saying, my experience has been that generally construction starts after permits are granted and there's a notice to proceed for the construction. And from projects I've worked on over all these years, by the time you get to that point, everything is uniquely specified in all these attributes of the project I have listed in that testimony.

I will say I'm not entirely sure exactly what EFSEC's role in this is. I did assume that they issued some sort of final permit. If this -- if they're just issuing some sort of generic, if that's okay, then obviously what I wrote there is not appropriate to EFSEC, but I don't have knowledge.

- Q Okay. Okay. So just to clarify, then, that testimony did not actually apply to the rigors of the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council. It was more a generic observation, I gather?
- 19 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 | Q Okay. Thank you.
- And I assume that you are aware of the
  micro-siting concept and practices for permitting wind
  energy facilities?
- 24 A I'm not sure exactly what you're saying.
- 25 Q The prac- -- sorry. Sorry. I don't mean to interrupt

- 1 you, Mr. Simon.
- 2 A No, I'm not sure -- say that phrase again, and I'll have a question for you.
  - Q Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Are you aware generally, in the wind energy industry, of the micro-siting practice for actually the final location and evaluation of -- of siting wind energy facilities?

A You know, the -- I think, if I understand your question right, are you saying that a permit's granted and then the developer can then change the array?

Sometimes I've seen that in certain jurisdictions where there's some flexibility. For example, you can move a turbine 100 feet without reapplying. And other cases, it's very specific right down to the foot. So, yeah, so the --

- Q Okay.
- 18 A There are different ways different jurisdictions handle 19 that issue.
- 20 Q Okay. That's fine.
- But as to EFSEC, sounds like you don't have any knowledge about that practice with EFSEC?
- 23 A That's correct. I don't.
- 24 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 25 You mention -- and I'm just going to skip along

here to try to move along quickly here, so that's just what I'm going to do.

So referring to your testimony at -- again, I'm sorry if you're on the phone, but I'll just do my best.

You testified --

MR. McMAHAN: And this is for Mr. Aramburu's benefits: Page 9, Lines 18 through 21, and Page 10, Lines 1 through 3, of his testimony.

Q (By Mr. McMahan) I assume that you are not privy to any discussions or efforts by Scout clean energy to discuss and potentially resolve any issues regarding wake modeling particularly for Nine Canyon.

I assume you're not aware of those conversations one way or the other?

- A That's correct. And, again, I have the exact language up on my screen. That's -- and you're correct. I'm not aware of any such discussions.
- Q All right. Thank you.

And on your rebuttal testimony, Page 4, Lines 1 through 5, you state, and I quote, It is my understanding that Montana winds are currently higher priority for the Pacific Northwest investor-owned utilities than central Washington for adding to their energy portfolio.

And you go on to say, Presumably, these utilities

are all considering all associated project development costs including transmission.

So this is your assumption, correct?

- A Yes. And based on having worked with many, many utilities over the years, obviously they look at the total cost of a project. Many --
- $7 \mid Q \quad Mm-hmm$ .

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 8 A -- (indiscernible) projects have been refused because
  9 they just simply don't have transmission access or it's
  10 too expensive. So --
- 11 | O Sure.
- 12 A -- as far as I know, any utility, I've never seen one
  13 say, We don't care what the transmission situation is.
  14 We want to build the project. (Indiscernible.)
- 15 | Q Yeah. Great. I appreciate that answer.

And on Exhibit 5503-R -- I'll just pause again for Mr. Aramburu -- Page 3, Lines 18 through 21, you state the following: Finally, due to the unusual nature of winds in California, there are few locations where wind turbines can be economically sited, whereas Washington is much more suitable land area.

Do you recall that testimony?

- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And I assume that remains your belief and testimony?
- 25 A Absolutely. I mean, I am very responsible for most of

the original development counciling. There's only about four locations which are very geographically bound. With the exception to actually most of these places, an area of five by ten square miles is sort of about -- well, more than that. Maybe 10 by 20. But whereas in Washington, many, many sites have eastern part of the -- of the state and then on the Columbia Gorge, places. So there certainly would be many more areas with, you know, what appear to be suitable winds because people are, in best of my knowledge, are rushing to try to build wind farms in eastern Washington there. So, yes, I would agree with my statement.

Q Okay. And so just to sum up here, then, sounds like California is particularly constrained, and I understand that you've been at this for some 40 years, so you certainly know the conditions in California far better than I.

So California's constrained for additional wind energy. And you acknowledge that while Montana may be a fine place to develop because of the robust wind energy facilities, that substantial high-voltage long-distance transmission lines would need to be constructed and acquired over Lord knows how many years in order to implement that integration of that

2.2

resource, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A I don't know about the cost, you know, or the size. I have no opinion on that. And obviously what -- all I was stating in the testimony was that I'm aware that, you know, from several parties that they're looking for Montana wind not because it is windier and it's a different profile during the year.
- 8 Q Right. Right. Yep. Got it. Understand that. 9 All right.

MR. McMAHAN: Judge Torem, those are my abbreviated and accelerated answers to move things along. I have more, but I think -- I think that's all I really am looking for in this discussion with Mr. Simon.

And, Mr. Simon, I thank you for your time, and I appreciate the rush you made off of airplanes to attend here today. And I appreciate your testimony. Thank you.

# THE WITNESS: My pleasure,

## Mr. McMahan.

JUDGE TOREM: Let me ask Mr. McMahan or the other parties if they have any questions. And then the Council members and then Mr. Aramburu, I'll come back to you.

I don't believe there was any planned cross-exam

- from the Yakama Nation, counsel for the environment, or 1 Benton County. But if there is a need for that now, 2 3 please speak up. 4 And, Council members, if you'll start to raise 5 your electronic hands if you have any questions for Mr. Simon. 6 7 All right. I'm not seeing any. So, Mr. Aramburu, I'll let you see if there's any follow-up you'd like 8 9 with Mr. Simon. 10 MR. ARAMBURU: No follow-up
- 11 required. Thank you.

  12 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Mr. Simon,

safe travels to you. Thank you very much for being
here. We've got your exhibits and testimony admitted
to the record.

(Witness excused.)

JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, if you would tell Mr. Meninick 1:15. I propose that we come back at 1:15, take his testimony, and then Mr. Kobus, and that should wrap up the evidentiary portion of today's hearing.

Parties will then come back and talk a little bit about post-hearing briefs and get you the deadlines for those.

16

17

23

24

My understanding that will be -- that the 1 2 transcripts, per the contract that B & A Litigation has 3 with EFSEC, are going to come in ten business days 4 after each different hearing date, so they are going to 5 be stacked and sequenced. That means you'll start seeing transcripts on a sequential basis as early as 6 7 next week. So with that, we'll come back in 55 minutes at 8 9 1:15. Thank you. 10 (Pause in proceedings from 11 12:20 p.m. to 1:15 p.m.) 12 13 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Good 14 afternoon, everyone. We're just going to do a quick 15 survey to make sure it looks like everybody's back on 16 our participants list. 17 I think it looks that way. Ms. Voelckers, do we have Jerry Meninick? 18 19 You're on "mute." 20 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you. And 21 apologies. It's quite a week. 2.2 Your Honor, I believe we do have him in Mr. Jones' 23 office. 24 (Witness Jerry Meninick 25 appearing remotely.)

1 JUDGE TOREM: And I think I just saw him on the screen. 2. 3 Mr. Meninick, good afternoon. I'm Adam Torem. 4 I'm the administrative law judge helping run this 5 hearing. And I appreciate you being available today to give your testimony. 6 Do you have any questions about the process, or 7 has Mr. Jones made you aware? 8 9 THE WITNESS: No questions. 10 JUDGE TOREM: All right, sir. Ι 11 understand you had submitted some prefiled testimony. Exhibit 4004 is how it's been marked. 12 13 And, Ms. Voelckers, if you can confirm that's the 14 one exhibit, both the confidential and a redacted version. 15 16 MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor. 17 That is correct. 18 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 19 Mr. Meninick, I'm going to swear you in and have you 20 take the oath of witness for this tribunal before the 21 Energy Siting Council, and then you'll adopt the 2.2 testimony by doing so. And Ms. Voelckers will indicate 23 whether any of the questions that you might ask or 24 testimony you might share might need to be taken in a 25 closed-record session to preserve any confidentialities

and out of respect for the tradition of the Yakama 1 Nation and the confederated bands and the rest of your 2 3 people. 4 So if you raise your right hand. 5 JERRY MENINICK, appearing remotely, was duly 6 sworn by the Administrative 7 Law Judge as follows: 8 9 10 JUDGE TOREM: Do you, Jerry 11 Meninick, solemnly swear or affirm that all the 12 testimony contained in Exhibit 4004 and any testimony 13 you provide today will be the truth, the whole truth, 14 and nothing but the truth? 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 (Exhibit Nos. 17 4004\_T\_Confidential and 4004\_T\_Redacted admitted.) 18 19 20 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you. 21 Ms. Voelckers, if you'd please introduce Jerry 2.2 Meninick to the Council and the rest of the parties 23 present today. 24 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 25 Honor and Siting Council.

Mr. Meninick is a former chairman and elected leader of the Yakama Nation. He's a Yakama elder, and he's currently the deputy director of the cultural resource program, cultural services program at the Yakama Nation.

I'm going to ask that we go into closed session before I ask Mr. Meninick any questions.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you, Ms. Voelckers.

Council members, you know the drill here. This will be our last confidential session, I think, of the administrative proceeding.

Members of the public that might not be familiar, we have some confidential and sensitive information that's being conveyed by a witness, and in this case, Mr. Meninick from the Yakama Nation. We're going to move to a place where only those participants that have signed confidentiality agreements can participate, out of respect for the sensitive nature of the testimony.

Ms. Voelckers, do we anticipate more than about 15 minutes, or how long?

MS. VOELCKERS: I would guess long,
Your Honor, just based upon how long Mr. Selam's
testimony went on Monday, which I believe was an hour,
including Council member questions. So I would ask

2.

2.2

1	that we stay in closed session the same way for
2	Mr. Meninick today so that he can feel comfortable
3	answering those questions from the Council.
4	JUDGE TOREM: All right. I'll have
5	the Council put up a slide that for staff that we'll
6	be back shortly after 2:00, maybe a little bit longer,
7	that should give us what we need. They can change the
8	time if we continue to run past 2:00.
9	So let's move into closed session. Ms. Grantham
LO	will have the machines do their magic.
L1	(Closed-record session
L2	begins.)
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	(Closed-record session
19	concluded.)
20	
21	JUDGE TOREM: All right. We're back
22	in the open-record session. We just heard from Jerry
23	Meninick, a tribal elder with the Yakama Nation, and we
24	have completed his testimony, and he's been released.
25	Parties, I think that takes us now to Dave Kobus

and any very limited cross-examination that might be related to his deposition that was submitted. Council members may have questions about that.

And there was a supplemental piece of testimony to which Mr. Aramburu and other parties have objected to the ability to supplement. I think it's a very limited item. And it's been admitted, I believe, but I'm going to ask that, if Ms. Masengale has that, we had a bit of an exchange yesterday as to how that was submitted and making sure it wasn't submitted particularly, Mr. McMahan, as an exhibit but as an attachment supporting documentation for the motion you had to supplement the record.

And I think Ms. Masengale and I managed to exchange that document. She may be able to screen-share it so we can let the Council members know the very limited subject of cross-examination that might be coming up for Mr. Kobus.

And, by the way, I'm going to ask if Mr. Kobus is here. We can get him cued up on my screen.

Ms. Masengale, I'm not looking for the deposition, itself, but for that motion to supplement the deposition that had a few pages submitted by Mr. McMahan.

All right. She's looking for that.

2.

2.2

1	(Witness David Kobus
2	appearing remotely.)
3	
4	JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Kobus, while we're
5	waiting for that, I will go ahead and give you the oath
6	of witness that any of the questions you might answer
7	today would be under oath.
8	The deposition's already been submitted under
9	oath. And, Mr. McMahan, would you like him to adopt
10	that supplemental testimony as well? The deposition
11	was already submitted into evidence, and that was done
12	under oath, I'm sure. So
13	MR. McMAHAN: Yes, please, Your
14	Honor.
15	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Mr. Kobus,
16	nice to meet you, again on a screen. I think I first
17	met you on a screen when you introduced the project to
18	the Council and at the informational meeting two and a
19	half years ago. Nice to see you again.
20	If you'll raise your right hand.
21	
22	DAVID KOBUS, appearing remotely, was duly
23	sworn by the Administrative
24	Law Judge as follows:
25	////

JUDGE TOREM: Do you, Dave Kobus, solemnly swear or affirm that all the testimony in the form of answers you'll give today to any questions, as well as the supplement to your deposition testimony, is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do, sir.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.

All right. Ms. Masengale's informed me she does have that.

Mr. McMahan, I'm going to ask that Ms. Masengale display those pages that were the quick supplemental testimony that's been adopted now just so the Council members can see it. I don't think it had been previously uploaded to a folder, but I just want them to see the limited nature of it and have you introduce Mr. Kobus, and then I'll turn to Mr. Aramburu.

So this document, Ms. Masengale, if you just scroll through it slowly. It won't take but a few minutes for Council members to read what's on their screen. And this document will be made available to Council members as part of the evidence they review as you make your recommendations.

There we go. Thank you for scrolling in a very humane speed, Ms. Masengale.

All right. Council members, does anybody need

more time to review this supplement to the Kobus deposition? Just raise your hand if you do, and we can direct Ms. Masengale back to whichever page.

All right. Not seeing any hands.

Mr. McMahan, I'm going to turn it over to you. If there's anything you want called to attention, we can put it back on the screen, but I think hopefully everybody's had a chance to review it.

I'd like you to introduce Mr. Kobus, maybe give some background on the supplement, and if needed, to go into any other areas before we have Mr. Aramburu ask his questions. The more you ask, the more he'll ask.

MR. McMAHAN: I've learned that over the last two weeks.

Thank you, Your Honor. And I think a little context here is going to be important. But first of all, I think that the exhibit is Exhibit 1064. And I hope Ms. Masengale agrees with that. If she doesn't, then I'm not sure what I'll do, but -- so we would ask that that be admitted.

JUDGE TOREM: I'll state that the current state of the exhibit list does not have a 1064, but I'll ask her to extract that from the motion and mark that as such so we can keep track of that on the exhibit page.

2.

2.2

(Exhibit No. 1064 admitted.)

J

MR. McMAHAN: That's great. All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

So as at least the parties are aware, Mr. Aramburu deposed Mr. Kobus some time ago. And one of the lines of questioning had to do with the battery energy storage facility, or BESS, and specifically there was testimony in that deposition about how, in the unlikely event of fires at the battery energy storage facility, how fires would be extinguished.

And at that time -- and this was a month or so ago, I think. At that time, the methodology for fire suppression that Scout understood -- and, frankly, most of the industry, I think, understood -- was a water suppression system. A water suppression system that would deal with any potential unlikely fires.

Since then, there's been a fair amount of movement in the industry and some adoption of some and additional standards that indicate that fire suppression is not a good idea at battery energy storage facilities. And, in fact -- and these facilities, by the way, are separate containers. And if there's a fire, it's not like everything catches fire. It's a contained situation within containers,

```
1
     just for background. That's incredibly nontechnical
     summary of it from somebody who's -- couldn't be less
 2
 3
     of an engineer.
          So -- so the new standard in the industry that's
 4
 5
     been reviewed and suggested by -- by agencies and
     entities that regulate this sort of thing indicates
 6
     that in the event --
 7
                        MR. ARAMBURU: Mr. Examiner, there's
 8
     testimony going on here.
 9
10
                        MR. McMAHAN: Yes.
11
                        MR. ARAMBURU: Not the introduction
12
     of the --
13
                        MR. McMAHAN: This is --
14
                        MR. ARAMBURU: -- of the exhibit.
15
     So that's -- not here to listen to Mr. McMahan's
16
     testimony about this. So I object to this.
17
                        MR. McMAHAN: Your Honor, I'm not
     testifying to the facts of anything. I'm just trying
18
19
     to set the stage here. And as I recall, it was the
20
     Council really that asked for this -- for some
21
     explanation of this information, so I'm just simply
22
     trying to set the stage here, Your Honor. And I'm --
23
                        JUDGE TOREM:
                                      It may be --
24
                        MR. McMAHAN: -- just about done.
25
                        JUDGE TOREM: I figured you would
```

1	be. I was having some of the same feelings that
2	Mr. Aramburu had but not not quite as strongly. And
3	the Council, I think, has learned by this point in the
4	proceeding that what the attorneys say is not the
5	testimony and the evidence. So but with all due
6	respect, maybe we could hear a little bit more from
7	Mr. Kobus on how he understands
8	MR. McMAHAN: Yes.
9	JUDGE TOREM: and why he
10	submitted this.
11	So I think Mr. Aramburu's point is well-taken.
12	Much as I like the mellifluent tones of Tim McMahan,
13	let's hear from Mr. Kobus.
14	MR. McMAHAN: Yes. His tones will
15	be better than my tones.
16	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. McMAHAN:
19	Q So, Mr. Kobus, would you please go ahead and introduce
20	yourself and and your role with the facility and
21	explain kind of what's going on for the good of the
22	Council? And with that, I will go on "mute."
23	MR. ARAMBURU: Judge Torem, we are
24	here. I don't know quite what phase of this proceeding
25	we're in. There was Mr. Kobus was to come

JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Aramburu, we're in 1 2 the last couple hours. Just wait, please. Let 3 Mr. Kobus state what he's doing. And I swear you're going to get to ask him questions. Just wait. 4 5 MR. ARAMBURU: I want my --JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Kobus --6 MR. ARAMBURU: -- objection to be on 7 the record. 8 9 JUDGE TOREM: It is clear already. 10 I'll ask staff to mute you if you won't mute yourself. 11 Mr. Kobus --12 MR. ARAMBURU: I want my --13 JUDGE TOREM: -- please introduce 14 yourself and ask the questions. 15 And mute Mr. Aramburu. Something bad has now 16 happened. 17 THE WITNESS: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Dave Kobus. I'm the resident project 18 19 manager for the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center. I've 20 been involved in the project since the early days, was 21 integral with the development of the application for 22 site certification. 23 And, you know, I -- I have a strong bench at Scout 24 of experts in every aspect of project development. You 25 know, in fact, you know, we are the ones responsible to make sure we're intending to design something that
meets all of the criteria as well as is, you know,
optimal design and environmentally safe and sited in a
proper manner and all stakeholders and agencies that
work with us get the best we can offer them as to how
to handle these facilities once they're constructed.

So I was deposed recently, and I was deposed on initially the content of the application that was created back in February of 2021. And the questioning, you know, went through the process of what's in our application. We established that, you know, the -- the fire suppression design that was included was a water suppression system.

Since that application was filed, we've been following the industry both in fire protection standards as well as UL standards as well as design related to battery energy storage systems. In fact, Scout hired an expert recently, Craig Gustafson, who's been assisting me with the specifics of this facility.

Well, to shorten the story a bit, we started straying with questions away from what was in the ASC to where -- where are we going to get the water for the fire suppression, are these facilities safe, are you aware of what's happening in the world and in the industry related to lithium ion storage batteries.

And so I started responding with what was in the document, knowing full well that I had efforts ongoing within Scout to be able to assure that the design that we ultimately procure and have not done so yet. So we have to specify what we want. We have to procure the design. So, you know, we're -- that's a work in progress.

And so I was responding to questions related to what was in the ASC and, you know, indicated it says that we intend to have water fire suppression. And I knew in the back of my mind that there's a parallel effort ongoing within Scout to make sure we have the safest type of suppression system.

So immediately after I was questioned, I contacted my legal counsel and indicated I -- I knew we had efforts ongoing and, in fact, found out that we recently had an interface with a fire marshal in California for a facility we're developing there and had, in fact, evolved what Scout is intending to require in our procurement to make sure these systems are safe.

And so I felt compelled to ask my attorney to get EFSEC the best available information on what we've learned in very recent times and understand there's an NFPA standard now, a 2023 edition, that specifically

addresses these types of facilities, and there's also UL standards that we have now evolved that we can require of the designers and contract- -- or the manufacturers of these facilities that we intend to contract.

JUDGE TOREM: And, Mr. Kobus, UL is the Underwriters Laboratory; is that right?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

JUDGE TOREM: I think with that introduction, that covers the scope of what was in and the background.

The Council does have your deposition and may have had a chance to review it already. They may have some separate questions about the scope of that.

Mr. Aramburu will ask you questions based on the scope of what Mr. McMahan was introducing, what you've just said, and what was in the supplemental testimony that will be Exhibit 1064.

Mr. Aramburu, please come off "mute," and now it's your turn.

MR. ARAMBURU: I want to continue to object to the process. We had a deposition. The request is to supplement the deposition. It is not a supplement to the deposition. It is adding brand-new material. It's improper to supplement the deposition

2.2

in that -- in that fashion.

2.

And my second objection to the supplementation of the testimony is that the deposition of which he stated and agreed with the application -- the updated application, by the way -- was that water was going to be used as one of the fire suppressant elements was on July 21st.

And we were not -- it was not brought to our attention that that testimony was -- was wrong or incorrect or needed to be supplemented until August -- I believe it was August 9th, about -- more than two weeks after the testimony in the middle of these proceedings.

So part of my objection is that we have been surprised with the material. We have not had an opportunity to review it. We have not had an opportunity to bring experts together on -- on this subject matter. So that is part of our objection, and we continue our request to supplement the record.

Now, with respect to Mr. Kobus's testimony, let me ask him this question:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ARAMBURU:

Q You appeared at the deposition, and you indicated that

the method -- one of the methods of fire suppression was sprinklers, did you not?

A Yes, I did.

2.

- Q And you were well aware at the time that there were -there were other considerations that were ongoing at
  Scout as to fire suppression for the lithium ion
  batteries, weren't you?
- 8 A That's correct.
  - Q And you did not say anything about that during the course of the deposition, did you?
  - A My responses were to your questions, which asked does -- does our -- is our design safe. And at the time we submitted our application that had the capability for water fire suppression was considered safe.
    - I -- I then, you know, became concerned, because I was saying that we will install a safe system; we will require it of our vendors; that I needed to follow up with the expert at Scout to -- to understand if we can still live with our design in the ASC.

I mean, you can't revise this on the fly. All of this takes a considerable team effort. And changing the application is -- is -- could involve an amendment. You don't do that in the middle of an adjudication unless there is a compelling reason to correct

- something in this case that we feel was not adequate level of -- of design safety.
  - Q You knew all of this well before July 21st, didn't you?
- 4 A No, I didn't.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q In your deposition, at Page 124, I ask you whether automatic sprinkler systems would be installed, asking if you saw that question: "And so it is the intention of Scout to put automatic sprinklers in the BESS operations?"

And you answer, "Yes," and, "I mean, that's our statement."

Is that what you said?

- A That was what was in the ASC. We had not made a change -- at that moment I answered that question, we had not made a change to the Horse Heaven design to provide -- well, to say literally that, no, we are not going to use that suppression. These are modular facilities --
- 19 Q You've answered my question. Mr. Kobus, you've 20 answered my question. Okay?
- 21 A Okay.
- Q Okay. Now, and when did you become aware that there was going to be a change?
- 24 A When I followed up after the deposition with Craig
  25 Gustafson and he provided me this information related

to what we had recently -- what he had recently 1 negotiated with the fire marshal in California. 2 3 Why did it take until August 8th or 9th to inform us 4 that the deposition testimony was incorrect? 5 MR. McMAHAN: Your Honor, I'm going 6 to object to this. We filed a motion to supplement the 7 testimony. We -- and Your Honor ruled in favor of that motion to supplement the testimony. So we've been 8 9 through this already. And I would ask that 10 Mr. Aramburu be directed to move on to something else other than the time it took to get it or the rationale 11 for asking for the supplement. Because Your Honor 12 13 ruled on this. 14 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Aramburu, I did. 15 Do you want to be heard? 16 MR. ARAMBURU: No. My request has been continuously: This -- this was sprung on us just 17 a few days before the hearing was to start. We were in 18 19 the midst of hearing preparation. And we get this as a 20 last-minute surprise without a real opportunity to investigate the circumstances. 21 22 I've indicated continuously that we need some 23 opportunity to -- to examine this more carefully 24 without the press of time with day-long hearings. 25 that's been our request.

MR. McMAHAN: Your Honor, if I may respond. Mr. Aramburu has had, since then -- the deposition till today -- ample opportunity to secure another witness or to otherwise challenge his testimony. His testimony is about nothing more than providing this Siting Council with the best information for the safest possible facility that we can provide as part of the -- as part of the -- as part of the design. Nothing more. JUDGE TOREM: And, I think, Mr. McMahan and Mr. Aramburu, every other person watching today is very clear about what's going on here, what limited supplementation has gone on, and they've learned about the application process, the need to file an updated amended ASC before and after the adjudication, and that things change.

Mr. Aramburu, fearing to tread where angels go and acknowledging Proverbs 17:28, do you have any further questions?

MR. ARAMBURU: Our objection is on the record. Our request for additional time is on the record. We have no further questions.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.

Mr. McMahan, I'm going to ask if Chair Drew or the Council members have anything for Mr. Kobus based on

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the deposition or what we've heard today.

There's a couple hands going up.

Chair Drew, I'm going to come to you, and then we'll come back to Ms. Osborne and Lenny Young.

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Hi, Mr. Kobus.

Thank you for joining us today. I for one am very happy that we're going to continue to look at what is the safest possible installation and fire suppression system that we can have.

I guess my question is: Do you think that could change further in the future?

Because, as you know, even once should the application -- let's start with that -- be approved, that there still is lag time in -- in terms of even securing and then beginning construction. But this is a relatively new area of development in the world. So I guess my question to you is:

Do you have ideas about how we can -- until we get to that point where we absolutely, should this be approved, have to move forward, how will we proceed in -- in making sure we have the safest option?

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Chair Drew.

Appreciate the question. You're recognizing I'm -- I'm

not an expert on these systems. What I am expert at is

assuring that we demand of our vendors and

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

manufacturers that they meet the standards that we require at the time we procure equipment.

You know, at the time of our original ASC filing, water suppression systems were a standard component of the designs. And, you know, as I've stated previously, the technology's evolving. And, you know, at this point it's becoming more understood what causes fires in lithium ion batteries and what -- what can assist in suppressing them.

We're finding that copious volumes of water, even if this were available, in fact could increase the hazard associated with thermal runaway. It's not an oxygen-fed fire, so gaseous type of suppression systems aren't going to work. And so those are the -- the -- the two weights. You know, the technology associated with this extinguishing agent and the firefighting techniques are, you know, the only conceivable ways that I've been informed of or read that are being considered. And so I -- I think we're at the -- the peak of the evolution now where the NFPA society is very engaged. And --

COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Can you say

what the NFPA is?

THE WITNESS: National Fire

Protection Association.

2.2

1 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: Is very engaged. They 3 have a standards committee. In fact, Craig Gustafson is on the NFPA standards committee that's developing 4 5 these new standards. And --COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Just for 6 7 people's knowledge, who is Craig Gustafson? THE WITNESS: Craig Gustafson is our 8 Scout design expert that we hired several months ago 9 10 and leads up this procurement activity and vendor 11 interface and design interface and project development 12 interface at Scout for installing these systems. 13 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Thank you. THE WITNESS: So I don't see 14 15 anything better on the horizon. I see what we've found is quite an achievement. I wish I could have had this 16 17 at my tip of my tongue when Mr. Aramburu was questioning me. But I sure felt I needed to follow up 18 19 and get it to him as soon as we could, ask that was as 20 soon as we could. 21 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Ι 2.2 agree with you, setting the standards and the 23 procurement. So if the standards do change before that 24 time, we would then have the opportunity to make sure 25 we have the safest system. So I agree with that

Thank you. 1 approach. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Let's come 2. 3 to Elizabeth Osborne next. And, Lenny Young, I'll get you after that. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER OSBORNE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 Hi, Mr. Kobus. My name is Elizabeth Osborne. Ι am the Council member from the Department of Commerce. 8 9 And I have some questions about these technologies that 10 I hope you can help clarify for me. You did just 11 mention that you're not an expert directly on the 12 technology, so I'll understand if you can't. 13 But am I right in understanding from your 14 testimony that it's safer to simply let a fire burn itself out? Is that a fair but simplistic 15 16 characterization? 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. What we've 18 found is that the designs are evolving, where they're basically containerized equipment modules. And there 19 20 is no need for personnel entry, so there is no life-21 safety fire suppression need, which was the origination 22 of the water fire suppression design with these units. 23 And so the new strategy is you containerize it. 24 You contain it. If there's a fire, you -- you let it

burn itself out. And if the container gets hot, you

make sure it just doesn't ignite vegetation and other combustible materials around it, and so it's a very minimal use of water.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSBORNE: That's helpful. I have just a couple follow-ups, if you don't mind.

Is the containment technology, itself, what goes around the battery system, is that new, or has that been a part of these battery configurations up till now, but it doesn't represent any kind of physical configuration change to the battery system?

evolution. I mean, in the earlier days, and still now, they're designing battery storage that are in buildings. So they're in big buildings like at Moss Landing in California where they have all of these modularized batteries, but they're within a building. And people go in and do maintenance.

The evolution has been now to plan to have them in these containerized units. And I'm sure that the design of these containers is evolving relative to this experience that's been gained in doing the postmortem evaluation of battery problems that have occurred.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSBORNE: That's

25 | helpful.

And you mentioned the project in California. Are there other applications of this approach to fire suppression going on at other projects in the region or in the country, to your knowledge?

THE WITNESS: Good question.

What -- what I'm hearing -- and, again, Craig Gustafson is on this standards committee. And this -- this is the front of the sphere in determining how to combat potential problems with all the environment -- environmental hazards that are involved while this container burns. And so this is the latest technology, and I believe it is being adopted industrywide.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSBORNE: Thank you.

That concludes my question.

JUDGE TOREM: Lenny Young.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Thank you,

17 Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mr. Kobus, my name is Lenny Young, and I'm representing the State Department of Natural Resources on EFSEC.

Could you be a little more specific what safety aspects this change in methods is intended to go for?

Are we talking it's safer for a firefighter? Is this for firefighter safety? Is it to reduce the risk of a catastrophic explosion?

Beyond the general idea of safety, what specific safety elements is this change in methods intended to get at?

THE WITNESS: Yes, appreciate the question, Lenny. I'm starting to get to know you by voice, so no need for introductions.

The material that we submitted was intended to put this all in perspective. It's not only the design of the equipment, which is the UL 9540 listing; it's the full-scale fire testing of that equipment, which is the UL 9540 Alpha regulation.

And then the NFPA 855 is the full accompaniment of design elements and training elements and fire suppression elements that are involved with the installation of these systems.

And I might also add that part of this new criteria is that you do a hazard mitigation analysis of the installation that you intend to procure at the point in time that it can do you some good when you're specifying and procuring the equipment.

So it trickles down all the way to the local fire department that we intend to support over the life of the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: I think I might have made my question a little too complicated.

2.

I was just trying to find out if it's safer to let the fire burn itself out than to put water on it.

Well, how is it safer? Does it reduce firefighter risk? Does it reduce the risk of a catastrophic explosion? Why and how is it safer to use one technique than the other?

THE WITNESS: Appreciate that distinction. My understanding is that it has to do with improved safety of the fire responders when it's one of these internal-type faults that can occur in the battery.

And we're seeing evidence that there are actually fires that are caused by these internal faults that have gone on longer than necessary -- in some case, days longer -- when fire -- water is continually applied to it. And so we believe this will shorten the time of a contained fire, shorten the need for firefighter response, and therefore, you know, reduce the risk to those responders.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Okay.

Thanks. That's exactly what I was -- was hoping to hear.

And are all the combustion products -- when the fire is allowed to burn, are all the combustion products contained within the container for the entire

2.

2.2

duration of the fire?

THE WITNESS: That's -- that's a great question. My understanding is these new designs have evolved, and that is an important consideration. But I -- I can't respond any further about what venting might have to occur to -- to assure there isn't an explosive hazard. And so that's -- that's the extent of the response I can provide.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Okay. And in your answer to one of the previous questions, you said a consideration is to make sure the container doesn't get so hot that it ignites vegetation or combustible materials in the immediate vicinity of the container.

Wouldn't it be prudent to ensure that there was no such vegetation or combustibles around the container to doubly prevent that kind of a thing from happening?

THE WITNESS: Great point, Lenny. I appreciate you asking it. That, in fact, is the main reason for the fence that goes around these facilities. And there will be setback and vegetation-free zones and fire break areas, not only from the fence to the containers, but between the containers within the fenced area.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: And I have just one final question, and that is simply: Is there

any downside?

2.

2.2

As the experts have looked into, researched, and recommended this change in firefighting methods, it sounds like it's on track for bringing safety. But is there any downside, is there any tradeoff that you get something else that you don't want as a result of making this change in methods?

THE WITNESS: I appreciate the question. And there -- there possibly is, and that's why I'm not saying it's absolutely safe. I'm saying this hazard mitigation analysis that will be performed by experts in this field will be able to certify that for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Thank you. I appreciate your answers.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. I see that Eli Levitt, Department of Ecology, has some questions for you as well. You might know his voice.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Hello,
Mr. Kobus. My name's Eli Levitt. I'm the Department
of Ecology's EFSEC Council member.

I guess I'll just offer very briefly that my agency has some experience with responding to lithium ion battery fires, and there has been a lot of new research and change in this field just in the past six

months or year, including an interagency group. So, anyways, I know just a bit about it.

But one thing I'd quickly ask is that, has the applicant or your vendors done any research on how the batteries will be decommissioned at the end of life or what you would do with them if there were a fire?

THE WITNESS: Great question,

Council Member Levitt. We -- we are anticipating that
the vast majority of these battery facilities will be
recyclable. In fact, there's, you know, industry
information available to the public that shows how
they're -- the recycling techniques are improving. And
so, you know, our intention is that we will recycle to
the maximum extent practical. And, of course, our
decommissioning plans have to restore us to, you know,
the greenfield that it was prior to building the
project.

And so as I say, in that endeavor, we will -- we will attempt to recycle and salvage as much as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Yeah, I guess in the case when they do burn, they become a different type of waste, so I assume you would need a different type of plan in the case of a fire. But --

THE WITNESS: And I can't speak to



2.

1 that, but I can speak to the fact that, you know, I --I know our -- the way we manage Scout projects, we will 2 find the absolute optimal way to dispose of whatever 3 does occur, but I can't say I've seen it personally, 4 5 myself. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Okay. Thank 6 7 you. That's it. 8 JUDGE TOREM: Council members, any 9 other questions for Mr. Kobus? 10 Seeing none. 11 Other parties? 12 Ms. Voelckers, I saw your hand go up. 13 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 14 Honor. And good afternoon, Mr. Kobus. 15 I don't have a question for Mr. Kobus, but I do want to make an objection on the record when it's 16 17 appropriate, Your Honor. 18 JUDGE TOREM: Now is fine. 19 MS. VOELCKERS: We've heard from a 20 number of witnesses over the last couple of weeks that 21 sponsored significant portions of the ASC and did not 2.2 write them. I would like to make or, I suppose, maybe 23 renew a general due-process objection that allowed 24 applicant to withhold Mr. Kobus from examination by all 25 parties either through direct testimony or deposition

until less than a month before this hearing.

I understand that we are not being allowed time to rebut what we're hearing today. And, unfortunately, we have not heard directly from the fire chief, which I certainly would have questions for, myself.

You know, I just at this point renew our objection and ask that the Council rely only upon representations by any witness, including ours, that are supported by credible citations at this point. Thank you.

JUDGE TOREM: Thanks, Ms. Voelckers. Your objection's noted for the record. I think the Council is aware that Mr. Kobus presented things starting at February of 2021 and perhaps before; that he was the representative for this applicant; that the statements contained in the application and the amendments come in with multiple authors and are presented for the Council as part of the application review.

So far as you know for this adjudication, your objection is well-taken. These matters are on a parallel track with the SEPA process, which we're not getting into here, as we all know. But the Council's going to get all of this information and understands what was presented here under cross-examination for the adjudication is a different animal than what's going on

2.2

in SEPA that's being vetted through different processes than the adjudication.

So I understand your objection. Clearly, I'm not going to grant any additional time or strike witnesses or grant anything other than what we'll talk about in the final housekeeping for any supplemental testimony that parties wish to move to have the Council consider or be admitted by stipulation or otherwise.

Any other party questions before I come back to Mr. Aramburu to see if he has further questions?

All right. Mr. Aramburu, any final questions for Mr. Kobus, perhaps based on the ones that the Council asked? And you'll have to come off "mute" to do so.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ARAMBURU:

- Q The supplemental material that you presented here and is asked for admission, has that been submitted to the Benton County Fire District No. 1?
- A Good question.
  - I haven't, no.
- 22 | Q Okay. So they haven't seen it?
- 23 A I -- I don't know.
- MR. ARAMBURU: No further questions.
- JUDGE TOREM: Mr. McMahan, anything

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

else for this witness? 1 I don't believe so, 2. MR. McMAHAN: 3 Your Honor, unless any of the Council members wants a 4 better understanding of the modularization of these 5 facilities and how that has some bearing on fire. But I'm guessing that we've had enough for today on this 6 topic. But if there were further questions about that, 7 that is a piece that I didn't think was perhaps 8 9 developed enough through Council questions. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Council members, 11 anyone want to take Mr. McMahan up on his invitation on 12 modular portions of this? 13 Mr. McMahan, I'm not seeing anybody take that 14 bait, so I imagine they've got what they need. 15 MR. McMAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Mr. Kobus, thanks for 17 being available today. And we have the deposition. We 18 have the supplement to the testimony and the rest of 19 the development of that evidence. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: Parties, I think that 23 was the end -- as I go back and look at the schedule 24 for today -- the end of what we were attempting to do. 25 We still have a question about Caseymac Wallahee's

testimony. Council members, if I hadn't said it 1 already to you, Council Member Wallahee is still in 2. 3 ceremonies and is not able to be with us today with the 4 events going on with his family in the Yakama Nation. 5 His testimony prefiled has been stipulated to be admitted. It doesn't appear that we're going to get to 6 hear him live, certainly not today, for him to speak to 7 the Council as the other tribal members have. 8 Mr. McMahan, was there any other evidence that the 9 10 applicant intended to present during the adjudication? 11 MR. McMAHAN: I don't believe so, 12 Your Honor. But I bet late at night I'll think of it. 13 But no. Thank you. 14 JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. And we did our housekeeping this morning, and I think all of the 15 16 applicant's exhibits have been covered. And so the 17 final exhibit list as it stands will be going out at some point in the days ahead from Ms. Masengale. 18 19 Mr. Harper, good afternoon. Anything further from 20 the County? Is all evidence that the County wishes to submit in the record now? 21 2.2 MR. HARPER: Nothing further, Your 23 Honor. 24 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Coming to

counsel for the environment, Ms. Reyneveld:

25

Have you

now presented all the evidence that counsel for the environment wish to have before the Council?

MS. REYNEVELD: I have.

I did want to follow up on just Yakama Nation's motion for the two additional WDFW wildlife witnesses and just confirm that Your Honor was going to be making a written ruling as a follow-up to your verbal ruling.

And I want to just state also on the record that counsel for the environment agrees with Yakama Nation that both of these witnesses have very relevant expertise in wildlife and habitat issues and believe that their testimony would be helpful in clarifying testimony given even as late as today.

So counsel for the environment would like to understand, I think, more specifically the specific legal basis for your denial in writing, specifically as discovery depositions of both of these witnesses have been admitted into evidence and the admission of supplemental and also responsive testimony has been very liberal in these proceedings, so I think understanding that specific legal basis for denial would be helpful for us to consider whether or not we would like to request reconsideration.

JUDGE TOREM: Thank you,

Ms. Reyneveld. But let me clarify, this was not a ALJ

2.2

ruling. This was a question posed in writing by
Ms. Voelckers to Ms. Bumpus and to the presiding
officer as the Council. I simply relayed the decision
of the presiding officer in this matter as well as the
director of the agency.

I do believe that Ms. Bumpus will be reducing that to writing and responding to Ms. Voelckers with a copy to all parties. The only legal for that will be supplied by the assistant attorneys general who advise the Council. So, again, to be clear, it's not the administrative law judge's ruling. That letter was not addressed to me. It came during the course of the adjudication, and I simply as a courtesy am relaying so you know now what the decision of the Council was.

I hope that helps, Ms. Reyneveld.

MS. REYNEVELD: That does help.

Still a legal basis in the context of that response from EFSEC and the attorney general's office would be helpful.

JUDGE TOREM: Yes. And your colleagues at the attorneys general office are more than equipped to do that.

Aside from that, was there anything else from the counsel for the environment on what they needed in the record?

2.2

MS. REYNEVELD: 1 Nothing No. 2. further. Thank you. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, I'm coming to you with the same questions. But does the 4 Yakama Nation have all the evidence, including the 5 stipulated testimony of Caseymac Wallahee, that the 6 Yakama Nation wanted the Council to consider as part of 7 the adjudication? 8 9 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 10 Honor. We do not have any additional evidence. I -- I 11 would, just as a follow-up to what was just discussed, 12 like to be very clear on the record. I know that 13 things have been done verbally and in writing the last 14 couple weeks. Yakama Nation is making a motion to the presiding 15 16 officer, and so I just wanted to make that clear and 17 would -- and as I think I said yesterday, appreciate a written response from our presiding officer on this 18 19 matter, so thank you. 20 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 21 Mr. Aramburu, I'm coming to you to see what other 22 evidence. We have Lonnie Click's testimony that's been 23 stipulated to. We still have a supplement to that 24 coming when we get Chair Drew's questions answered. And hopefully that will be an opportunity for Mr. Click 25

in the days ahead.

2.

But aside from that pending response, does the Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S. group have any other evidence that they think is not already in the record?

MR. ARAMBURU: Judge Torem, we have continuously through these proceedings indicated our objections to the hurried and compressed nature of these proceedings, which have prejudiced us in terms of preparation of testimony for the -- for the Council.

We have made presentations, but they have been impacted by the shortness of time and the -- the compressed nature of these, these proceedings.

So I cannot say that we have had an opportunity to present all the evidence we wish to present. I can tell you now that we think -- well, first of all, the material presented by Mr. Kobus today, we've not had an opportunity to thoroughly review that material and form opinions as to whether a response is necessary.

Secondly, we think the Council should have additional information on view impacts and particularly on alternatives to that (videoconference technical difficulties) the project, which would impact views.

We've provided some testimony on that, but we've heard a number of questions from the Council.

We think some additional evidence on that point

is -- is appropriate. And in general to the question of possible alternatives to the project that cannot only address visual aesthetic issues, but -- and I won't speak for other counsel, but there have been some questions raised by the Yakama Nation. There's been questions raised by the County and others.

So we think some opportunity for supplemental testimony on those points should be allowed to us, given the compressed nature of these proceedings, and we'd be ready to go with some supplemental testimony on either September 11 or 15.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you, Mr. Aramburu.

On the motion for supplemental testimony, I'm going to allow for all parties, if they wish, to file a written motion to supplement the record with whatever testimony and an offer of proof if not the actual testimony. Those motions will be due no later than Tuesday, September 5th, at 5:00. Any request to supplement the record that come in at 5:01 are summarily denied, and anything thereafter I'm not even going to look at.

Tuesday, September 5th, 5:00. Have a good Labor

Day weekend, but Tuesday, September 5th is the deadline

for those motions. I will immediately look at them on

2.

the night of Tuesday, September 5th, and endeavor to have an order out the next day or -- I'm looking at my calendar.

I've got time on the 5th. I have a hearing on the 7th. So I'll aim for the night of the 5th and the 6th to get you an order on the supplemental testimony.

If we're going to have a supplemental hearing, it would be on the 11th or the 15th. At this time, I'm not seeing a need to schedule it formally, but the Council's been asked to hold that. Based on what I see in the written motions with identified testimony of witnesses, I'll be able to quickly make a decision if we need to change those dates into formal hearing dates, but make a justification why a written supplemental testimony won't be sufficient.

MR. ARAMBURU: May I -- may I ask, Judge Torem, would you like on September 5 to have the request for the testimony or the testimony, itself?

JUDGE TOREM: Much as Mr. McMahan set an example by attaching the proposed supplemental testimony of Mr. Kobus, I think that would be the format, given the compressed decision timing we need, to get that to me. So have the testimony ready. Count on it being considered as attached.

If I think there's a need for other parties to

object before I can make a quick ruling and just a judgment on whether it's within the bounds that we've set and whether I think it will help the Council, I want to get this done quickly, particularly if we need to have a further hearing date on the 11th or the 15th.

So submit it. I don't want just the name and the idea. I want the actual testimony included. That's a good clarification, Mr. Aramburu.

All right. We have all the evidence in, Council members. I understand there's going to be a quick meeting of the minds here at 3:00 where we'll talk about what happens next, perhaps talk about dates for our ultimate time for deliberations. But, again, it's not a time to decide anything now. You've had plenty of the last two weeks' information presented.

We'll just talk about what the Council procedure is once the adjudication is closed, and we'll know September 6th or thereabouts whether there's going to be any supplemental hearing dates. And we'll kind of talk about which of those dates might be preferable for all Council members when we get together here in 20 minutes.

Chair Drew, is there anything else that you want to put on the record for the adjudication? I have two other housekeeping items for the parties.

2.2

1 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: No. Thank you, everyone, for your participation. Thank you, Council, 2 3 for your participation. And we look forward to the 4 next step. 5 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you, Council members. б 7 MR. ARAMBURU: Thank you for your attention. 8 9 Thank you for your attention, Chair Drew and the 10 other Council members, to our presentations. 11 JUDGE TOREM: All right. We're 12 going to pause for two minutes just to stretch. We'll 13 come back at 2:45 with the housekeeping session to wrap 14 things up for the day. 15 (Pause in proceedings from 16 2:43 p.m. to 2:46 p.m.) 17 JUDGE TOREM: All right. We're back 18 19 on the record for our final housekeeping session of the 20 adjudicative hearing. It's Friday, August 25th, about 21 quarter to 3. 22 Ms. Masengale is joining us just to talk about 23 exhibits. We went over those this morning, and I think 24 the exhibit list is now complete. She was asking some questions about the depositions, and they're not going 25

to necessarily have an exhibit number, but I think we'll just have them appended to the exhibit list as the depositions of the wildlife employees.

And then we'll also list Mr. Kobus's deposition. But, again, the piece that -- piece that came in today was 1064\_X, or something to that nature, as that was the supplemental testimony supporting the deposition, so that was marked as its individual exhibit.

Mr. McMahan, maybe Ms. Schimelpfenig, you're in a position to answer this. We had TCC's witness
Mr. Apostol yesterday, and I allowed in Exhibit 5906.
That was the new map that included various colored zones. And there was some question in my mind from my review of notes this morning whether or not there was the question of Ms. Guthrie submitting any supplemental testimony or request for supplemental cross-exam of Mr. Apostol.

I would imagine that has been covered now with what I said at the end of the hearing about supplemental testimony and deadline for that.

So any questions about that outstanding request on the visual impacts, Ms. Schimelpfenig?

MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: No, Judge Torem.

Sorry. Mr. McMahan had to step out for another

pressing matter, so I'll be handling it here from here

2.2

But we just plan to submit supplemental 1 2 testimony of Brynn Guthrie pursuant to your Tuesday 3 deadline. 4 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. And, again, there'll be a motion to do that. Nothing's been 5 admitted at this time yet. 6 MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: 7 Yep. JUDGE TOREM: And if I -- if I feel 8 9 that it merits having a chance for objections from 10 others or just that the supplemental testimony just 11 didn't appear helpful to the Council, I'll make a 12 ruling in that way. And if I want to seek -- given any 13 time allowed -- responses, I'll let people know again 14 on September 6th and give a deadline to respond if I'm withholding a ruling until I hear from the affected 15 16 party. 17 MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: And you wanted 18 us -- sorry. My apologies. You wanted us to also 19 request any supplemental oral testimony that we wanted 20 to give when we did that, or wanted to ask for when we 21 file those motions? 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: Correct. Which should 23 be in the motions, just the request for supplemental

testimony with the attached proposed testimony, and

then indicate whether or not that would be supplied

24

only in writing or submitted where the witness would need to appear in front of the Council. If the sponsoring party is requesting it, and again, if I seek a response if the potential cross-examining party is seeking that as well.

MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: Thank you for the clarification.

JUDGE TOREM: The other matter that was pending was the post-hearing briefs. We had some detailed questions about that first thing this morning.

And I'm trying to figure out with Mr. Botelho's agency just exactly what the process is going to be given the volume of transcribing and perfecting those transcripts he's going to have to do.

The standard we were talking about this morning, there may be an exception that EFSEC and B & A are negotiating, would rather than each day having a ten-day measurement -- because clearly he's been busy for the last eight days -- we're looking to have it perhaps ten business days from today. And my notion of that would get us out to September 11th or 12th, that neck of the woods, when the transcripts would be available.

My notion for how long it would take the parties to digest all that and have the right amount of

2.2

citations and preferably in footnotes: Probably 30 days, I think, would be sufficient. So if I stretch that out a little further, maybe the full week after you get the transcripts and start counting from there.

Essentially 30 days takes us to kind of auspiciously to Friday the 13th, so that seemed appropriate. And we'll just have the briefs due at 5:00, post-hearing briefs, on Friday the 13th.

And if the transcripts are somehow delayed, we can reengage and extend that date as needed. But for now, that will give the Council time to receive those and consider a late October deliberations on the adjudication. And I think we heard Ms. Bumpus say that, at the meeting on Wednesday, that perhaps the FEIS might be coming out toward the end of October or thereabouts. Of course, that's subject to a different division than I have any influence over.

As to length. As to length, I think for this matter there are some complicated issues, but 50 pages was what I was thinking. Does any party think they need more than 50 pages to make their case?

I know, Mr. Aramburu, you were thinking that we wanted to have some additional maps or larger exhibits with larger versions available. So those would not necessarily be counted in the 50 pages. But I, again,

want them to be larger versions of the exhibits that are already handled on the existing master exhibit list, not new material to sneak in past the 50-page limit or not any new material added to those exhibits.

The exhibits have been admitted as they are. It's just a question of the size and the pixelation, if you will, and the level of detail one can see on a eight-and-a-half-by-eleven or on the screens that they've been displayed on.

Council has let me know that -- or at least staff has let me know they don't -- really don't want a whole lot of extra paper records coming in. So if you want to submit anything as to supplemental exhibits and the size you desire, send those by mail to EFSEC. We'll get them distributed to Council members. There's no need to submit a paper or working copy of your brief. Everybody on the Council assures me they have access to a printer either at the office or at home, and a 50-page brief doesn't seem, in these days of technology, too demanding on any one Council member's printer.

Mr. Aramburu had his hand up first. And then, Ms. Schimelpfenig, I'll come back to you.

MR. ARAMBURU: So we will intend to provide full-size copies of some of the photography

2.2

that's here. And we understand the ruling that there can't be new attachments, new materials, except as may come in during the supplemental proceedings. And so -- but the parties could provide appendices to their briefs for some particular matters that we think that the Council should have before them as they're reviewing the briefs as long as they're not new material?

JUDGE TOREM: I'm not sure what you mean, Mr. Aramburu, and I don't want to risk removing your appendix.

MR. ARAMBURU: Well, for example, the parties may wish to put the, for example, the map from the Moon memo in just so that Council members would have that readily available and not have to search through the record for it as they're reading briefs. This is typical of appellate briefs as well, so -- so -- and I -- I don't want to assume anything. I think I would request that we have an opportunity to add some of those pertinent materials so the Council members wouldn't have to go to -- to Page 107534 of the record to find that document.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. In that context, that makes sense, Mr. Aramburu. Ms. Masengale and I have been talking about ease of reference to some

of the record by having a SharePoint folder file that 1 would have everything by number. But if you're 2 3 suggesting that limited excerpts that are important to 4 each party's brief could be included, not counted 5 against the page limit, as appendices, I think in a limited fashion that would be great, but I certainly 6 don't want the appendices to dwarf the brief. That'd 7 seem to defeat the purpose of things. 8 9 MR. ARAMBURU: I think that would 10 be --11 JUDGE TOREM: How many appendices do 12 you think are anticipated? 13 MR. ARAMBURU: Oh, I don't think 14 very many. It's just that sometimes you want to 15 have -- want to have Council members going back and 16 forth as they're reviewing materials. So we'd attach 17 it to the brief so they could easily get to it. And I've written enough appellate briefs to know that --18 19 that large appendices do not help the art of 20 persuasion. Let's put it that way, so... 21 JUDGE TOREM: All right. And, 2.2 again, with the exhibit that came in from the data 23 request, I don't think we've referred to the Moon memo enough here since John Kennedy in 1962, so we'll just 24 25 press on from there. And if you need to take any

1 excerpts of that large document, that would be well-taken and save the Council members some money and 2 3 time. 4 Ms. Schimelpfenig --5 MR. ARAMBURU: Thank you. JUDGE TOREM: -- you had your hand 6 7 up. 8 MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: Yeah. Your 9 Honor, you maybe clarified this point, but we were just 10 wondering if the indices and front matter and similarly 11 any appendices would be included in that page count, 12 but it sounds like the answer to that is no. 13 JUDGE TOREM: Right. A tracking 14 table of contents should be helpful, and if there's a need for an index at the last page. But it's 50 pages 15 16 for the briefing, essentially from the caption until the signature. And, again, I just don't want the extra 17 pages to dwarf those 50. So if you've got a 99-page 18 19 document at the end, might want to reconsider some of 20 the other 49. 21 MS. SCHIMELPFENIG: Understood. 2.2 MR. ARAMBURU: Typically in 23 Washington appellate practice for briefing, tables of 24 cases, tables of contents, those kinds of documents are

not counted in the pages. And so I would -- I -- I

1 quess I would request, not assume, but request that the same practice be here. So as we have tables of 2 3 contents, other tables of cases, because there probably will be cases referred to here, that those not be 4 5 counted in the body of the brief, consistent with the rules of appellate procedure. 6 JUDGE TOREM: And I think that's 7 essentially the nature of what Ms. Schimelpfenig just 8 9 asked. So yes. 10 MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. 11 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Parties, 12 any other questions on the post-hearing briefs or 13 requested modifications to page limit, due date, or otherwise? 14 Ms. Voelckers. 15 16 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 17 I know you have a 3:00, so -- but I do have a few other -- few other points of question or 18 19 clarification. 20 And I truly don't mean this to sound facetious. 21 But what was our discovery deadline exactly? 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: I'm sorry. I don't 23 understand the question. Are you asking me to go back 24 and look at one of the prehearing orders and tell you? 25 MS. VOELCKERS: No, Your Honor.

I -- my understanding is that discovery was continuing 1 up and through to the adjudication hearing, and so I 2 3 just wanted to make sure that we were all on the same 4 page. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Ah. Okay. So you're asking me to make sure when it's actually cut off? 6 MS. VOELCKERS: What our discovery 7 cutoff was or is. Just -- I don't know that we had one 8 9 identified. And I'm not trying to put you on the spot, 10 but I would appreciate --11 JUDGE TOREM: How about 3:00 -- how 12 about 3:00 today. Did you need to do more discovery? 13 MS. VOELCKERS: We certainly have 14 many questions. But, no, Your Honor, I'm not asking for more discovery. I'm just asking for clarity. 15 16 So 3:00 works for us if it works for the other 17 parties. 18 JUDGE TOREM: All right. You've got 19 two minutes to call Mr. McMahan and ask for something. 20 MS. VOELCKERS: So something that I 21 want to raise and don't have an exact proposal to you 2.2 and Ms. Masengale. But the confidentiality of our 23 briefing. And I truly do appreciate just how quickly 24 things have been disseminated and put online. I would ask that we, if not today, at some point 25

have a discussion about how to address that in our briefing. And I'll just share my observation that we, as we're drafting the prehearing brief, were pretty careful to be general, and I think that was consistent with the opening statement directed from you.

We need to be able to be pretty specific, I think, in certain portions of our post-hearing brief. And I think that the general convention that we use for exhibits works to a point. I would submit that maybe rather than going through and gray-shading a brief -- because that makes it kind of hard to read through -- that we would be submitting confidential and redacted versions and then that there would be some opportunity for conferral and agreement on the redactions before they're put online, understanding that this has been a very transparent process and not disagreeing with that, but that there would be some sort of, if not meetings of the minds, ability to flag something before it was published online as, you know, available to the public.

So that's my request that I am trying to think through today. Just thinking that rather than shading one brief and then redacting another, that we could have unshaded briefs and redacted versions and that we would have some sort of process for agreeing on the redactions. To the extent that anyone is referring to

2.2

traditional cultural properties or cultural resource 1 impacts, I think nesting locations is a lot cleaner 2 3 and -- and certainly appreciate Ms. Masengale looking 4 out to catch those, and so I just want to flag that. 5 And then my last, I guess --6 JUDGE TOREM: Let me respond to that quickly. 7 You went exactly where I thought it should be: 8 Redacted briefs and confidential briefs. And I take 9 10 your meaning to be you'll know what you want redacted 11 in the Yakama Nation's brief, but you want a chance to 12 work with Ms. Masengale to make sure there's not a 13 inadvertent disclosure by another party who may not be 14 quite as sensitive as your staff is. 15 MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor. 16 That --17 JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. 18 MS. VOELCKERS: With Ms. Masengale 19 or with the -- the parties. I, you know, certainly 20 don't think we need to be filing any sort of motions 21 to --2.2 JUDGE TOREM: No, this is a 23 cooperative effort --24 MS. VOELCKERS: Yeah. 25 JUDGE TOREM: This is a cooperative

effort to stay within the bounds of the protection order, both in letter and spirit.

And you're not aware, but in the background, as parties were testifying and asking questions, I'm getting a chat box from Ms. Masengale saying, Okay, they're getting close.

She's paying excellent attention and taking great care of the confidential information that's been entrusted to this process and is waving the red flag consistently, saying, "Judge, Judge, we're getting" -- so she'll continue to do that with the briefs and anything else before it's posted online, and I think she'd be happy, Ms. Voelckers, to talk with you and any other sponsoring party, including Ms. Reyneveld and any of the other wildlife-type issues, the traditional cultural properties issues, and those matters.

So she's been very much communicating with me about offering a chance to redact when she sees something she thinks might need to be and seeks that clarification. And Ms. Masengale, I'm sure, will continue to do that.

Lisa, if you're listening and you want to add any reassurances or procedures, I'd love if you speak up now about exhibits and those concerns as they cross over to the post-hearing briefs.

2.

2.2

MS. MASENGALE: Absolutely. I can certainly offer that I can review all of the post-hearing briefs for anything that I would flag as public records officer that should be redacted under the Public Records Act. But I also would welcome and very much encourage any feedback from the Yakama Nation, from Shona, any of the other parties and counsel as to anything else that you flag, that you see subsequently that you think should be redacted as well or that you would request be redacted. And then I'll review all those. And all our review will certainly happen before we post anything online. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Ms. Voelckers, you had one more item. Thank you --MS. VOELCKERS: Yes. And --JUDGE TOREM: -- Ms. Masengale.

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, both.

And really appreciate all the EFSEC staff, especially Ms. Masengale's just really being on top of this and great communicator through all this, so it's been really helpful.

The last thing I -- I hesitated bringing this up

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in terms of -- I don't bring it up to suggest any criticism of the Department of Ag representative, but I would like a little more clarity -- if you are able to share, Your Honor, since you communicated directly with him -- if -- if he -- understand that his participation was more limited than the other Council members, if -- if he -- you know, especially on the terms of asking questions, and I know some Council members were less inquisitive in -- in their questions than others, but it's kind of unclear if he didn't get a chance to engage directly with our witnesses or if, you know, kind of where that's at.

So I'll stop there. But I just wanted to just flag it now that we are in housekeeping, 'cause I really don't mean to try to single someone out, but I would like a little more clarity on your perspective on terms of his level of participation and whether it's consistent with what he was hoping to be able to join us for.

JUDGE TOREM: It's a tough question,
Ms. Voelckers. I -- I had the same discussion, and I
had contact with him right before you raised it that
next day. It was definitely, I think, obvious on the
roll calls who was here and who wasn't.

There's reasons for many of the absences that are

well out of anything I can speak to. Ideally we'd have a full panel of eight Council members, the Chair plus seven, every day.

I've taken a look at the statute, though, and the statute -- I think it's 80.50.030 or .040. I could pull it up if you want. All it says about the Department of Agriculture's role here is that they had to actively petition to have a member on the Council within 60 days of the application. And they did. So now we have Derek Sandison as the Agriculture secretary, a high cabinet-level position sitting as a Council member.

I looked to see if there was anything that said, once they're in, they have an obligation or can they step out. Doesn't say. The statute's silent, as far as I could read, and the statute doesn't seem to indicate, once you get in, what your obligations are. Again, I think for appearances -- and I don't mean this in the sense of appearance of fairness. I just think for appearances of full participation, the roll call is what it is.

I don't know what will happen with the Department of Agriculture's representative. But if the Department of Agriculture intends to have a vote on the recommendation, I assure you I will make my best

efforts and enlist those of the Chair and the attorneys general assigned to this matter to make sure any vote is fully informed by a full review of the record. And that would go for any Council member, but I think for this particular matter with Mr. Sandison, we got to discuss what there is and what there is not.

So I think that's far enough. But I've been doing the research on this. I feel kind of the same concerns that you do, and I hope the other parties would echo what you do, that if you got a jury member that doesn't show up or falls asleep, you got real concerns in a trial. And if we had an alternate from Agriculture sitting in, in the back seat of the courtroom, this might be easy. But there's no alternate. And appointing an alternate at this point, if Mr. Sandison was to withdraw, doesn't help us. Puts us back in the same position or perhaps worse.

I've done the homework. I've alerted Mr. Thompson as the AG and the Chair. We're kind of rolling around all possibilities. I hope that's a well-thought-out response, but it's not been something that's a surprise to me either.

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your Honor. And I -- yeah, and I certainly am not suggesting that he can't get caught up. I just -- to



2.2

the extent that he did have questions for any of our 1 witnesses, I just wanted to flag that concern. 2 3 So that's what I have today. Thank you, Your 4 Honor. 5 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Well, if he sends out a data request, please, let's not call it 6 the Sandison memo. 7 All right. Any other housekeeping from any other 8 9 party for the record before we close out today? 10 MR. ARAMBURU: Judge Torem, you 11 spoke earlier about the date of the FEIS, and I recall 12 you saying that it's expected by the end of October. 13 The last e-mail that I have from Ms. Bumpus is sometime 14 this fall. 15 Do you have new information? 16 JUDGE TOREM: No. I thought -- I 17 maybe had heard "fall" and thought October and gone immediately to the idea of pumpkins. But if she said a 18 19 specific date, I don't know. And I thought I heard 20 something at the EFSEC Council meeting when the FEIS 21 was requested, but I can't say any more than if what

MR. ARAMBURU: Okay.

JUDGE TOREM: I know the -- the intention is to have everything in front of the Council

she said was in the fall.

2.2

23

24

so a timely recommendation under the current extension request that expires January 31st of next year, that the governor will have the recommendation, which certainly implies somewhere in the fall we would have the FEIS in order to not extend that. That's the intent as we sit.

MR. ARAMBURU: Okay. I just wanted to clarify whether there was some new information on that subject. So thank you.

JUDGE TOREM: No. And if there -if there was, they probably wouldn't tell me either,
Rick.

All right. Thanks, all. I appreciate, despite some of the friction we could have at times and a little bit of fun, most of it well received, that we have a good record here for what we've been able to create in the limited time since we, last December, gave the order about starting the adjudication.

I'll keep you posted on when the Council will be deliberating and what sessions will be closed -- deliberative sessions, as you might expect, and then any open on-the-record discussions that might be scheduled as well. That's happened in the past, I think more as a pro forma for a chance for the announcement of a recommendation. But processes

2.2

continue to evolve. If anything like that, I'll check 1 2 with the parties to make sure you're fully informed of 3 what's going on and timing going forward. 4 But I will hear from you on September 5th, and then I'll hear from you again with briefs October 13th, 5 and you'll hear from me on September 6th. б 7 All right. Thank you, all. At about ten after 3, we're adjourned. Have a good weekend. I know you've 8 9 all earned it. 10 (Proceedings adjourned at 11 3:09 p.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	verbatim Record of Proceedings, Adjudicative Hearing - August 25, 2023 Page 1765
1	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) I, John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR, ) ss a certified court reporter
2	County of Pierce ) in the State of Washington, do hereby certify:
3 4	
5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken in my presence and were adjourned on August 25, 2023, and thereafter were transcribed under my direction; that the
б	transcript is a full, true and complete transcript of the said proceedings and was transcribed to the best of my
7	ability;
8	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel
9	of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;
10	Three colors and the same accepts of the same same checken,
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of September, 2023.
12	
13	A )
14	John M.S. Botelho
15	John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR
16	Certified Court Reporter No. 2976 (Certification expires 5/26/2024.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	<b>1056</b> 1532:15,16,18 1533:13	<b>20-minute</b> 1620:6
<b>\$</b>	<b>1056_X</b> 1533:2,24 1542:7	<b>2003</b> 1640:15
<b>\$23</b> 1638:23	<b>1057</b> 1532:15	<b>2004</b> 1590:17 1597:21 1610:2,6
	<b>1058</b> 1532:16	1620:17 1623:18
	<b>1058_X</b> 1534:15 1535:17	<b>2008</b> 1569:16
<b>-10</b> 1559:15	<b>1060</b> 1532:16 1534:18 1535:7	<b>2009</b> 1610:10
<b>-14</b> 1559:16	1537:16	<b>2010</b> 1569:16
<b>-15</b> 1559:16	<b>1064</b> 1708:17,22 1709:1 1715:18	<b>2012</b> 1640:15
<b>-50</b> 1537:4	<b>1064_X</b> 1745:6	<b>2014</b> 1658:4
<b>-52</b> 1537:6	<b>107534</b> 1750:21	<b>2019</b> 1571:22 1631:5
<b>-53</b> 1532:14 1537:6	<b>10:16</b> 1607:18	<b>2021</b> 1713:9 1733:13
<b>-54</b> 1532:14 1537:7	<b>10:30</b> 1607:9,16,18,21	<b>2022</b> 1630:23
<b>-55</b> 1533:25	<b>11</b> 1527:25 1554:13 1559:15 1581:18 1587:11 1623:11 1640:14 1671:18 1741:11	
<b>-56</b> 1534:9 1537:4,5,14 1542:3,5		<b>21</b> 1544:15 1681:7 1682:17
<b>-57</b> 1533:25 1534:10	<b>11:20</b> 1671:19	21st 1716:7 1718:3
<b>-58</b> 1532:18 1534:13,14	<b>11th</b> 1742:8 1743:5 1747:21	<b>22</b> 1544:16
<b>-59</b> 1532:16,18 1534:3	<b>12</b> 1559:15 1600:23 1677:19	<b>24</b> 1630:14
<b>-60</b> 1532:18	<b>124</b> 1718:5	<b>240</b> 1663:11
<b>-7</b> 1559:14	<b>12:20</b> 1686:11	<b>244</b> 1662:13
<b>-8</b> 1559:14	<b>12th</b> 1747:21	<b>24th</b> 1531:7 1539:6
<b>-9</b> 1559:14	<b>13</b> 1584:16	<b>25</b> 1526:2 1623:22 1660:23 1664:10
	<b>13th</b> 1748:6,8 1764:5	<b>25-mile</b> 1623:12 1624:24
0	<b>14</b> 1539:6	<b>25th</b> 1526:11 1556:23 1744:20
0.25 4657.5	<b>15</b> 1585:23 1607:6 1689:20 1741:11	<b>26</b> 1547:6,11
<b>0.25</b> 1657:5 <b>040</b> 1760:5	<b>150</b> 1662:14 1663:10	<b>27</b> 1547:5,11
1760.5	<b>15th</b> 1742:8 1743:5	<b>284</b> 1600:17,20
1	<b>16</b> 1612:15	<b>29</b> 1531:8 1544:15 1571:23 1631:5,
	<b>17:28</b> 1720:18	8,11
<b>1</b> 1527:22,25 1537:9 1671:21 1672:2 1677:22 1678:7 1681:8,19 1734:19	<b>18</b> 1572:14 1681:7 1682:17	<b>2:00</b> 1690:6,8
<b>10</b> 1582:21 1600:23 1641:17 1681:8	<b>1962</b> 1751:24	<b>2:43</b> 1744:16
1683:5	<b>1999</b> 1574:19	<b>2:45</b> 1744:13
<b>100</b> 1680:14	<b>1:15</b> 1685:19,20 1686:9,11	<b>2:46</b> 1744:16
<b>1052</b> 1532:6,14		
<b>1052_X</b> 1532:2	2	3
<b>1053</b> 1532:7	<ul><li>2 1531:18 1537:13 1635:20</li><li>20 1582:21 1607:6 1616:17 1642:2 1683:5 1743:21</li></ul>	<b>3</b> 1531:20 1564:5,13,15 1598:5 1621:19 1677:22 1681:8 1682:17 1744:21 1764:7
<b>1054</b> 1532:7		
<b>1055_X</b> 1532:24		

Page 1766Index: \$23..3

**30** 1551:24 1552:7 1582:21 1748:1,5 **3:00** 1743:11 1753:17 1754:11,12,16 **5602** 1545:16 **3000** 1560:4 1561:4 **3:09** 1764:11 **5602 T** 1545:18 **3001** 1559:11 1563:17 1623:11 **5623** 1546:2 1636:20 **5623\_T** 1546:23 3001 r confidential 1561:8 **58** 1534:11 1535:13 **4** 1531:21 1565:7 1603:13 1621:22 **3001\_r\_redacted** 1561:9 1681:19 **59** 1534:11 1535:12 **3002** 1559:12 **40** 1683:16 **5906** 1745:11 **3002 R** 1561:9 **4004** 1687:12 1688:12 **5:00** 1741:19,23 1748:8 3003 1559:13 **4004 t confidential** 1688:17 **5:01** 1741:20 **3003 R** 1561:10 4004 t redacted 1688:18 **5th** 1567:21 1741:19,23,24 1742:1,4, 3004 1559:13 5 1764:4 **4007 t confidential** 1540:8 **3004 R** 1561:10 4007 t redacted 1540:9 6 3005 1559:14 **4015** 1620:8 1626:3 1657:15 **3005\_R** 1561:10 **4017 X** 1540:13 1541:13,15 **6** 1562:20 1565:16 1566:7,15 1595:16 1677:22 1678:7 3006 1559:14 **45** 1550:17 **6.2** 1641:18 **3006 R** 1561:11 **49** 1752:20 **60** 1535:13 1760:9 **3007\_R** 1561:11 5 **621** 1526:2 **3008\_R** 1561:11 **6th** 1742:5 1743:18 1746:14 1764:6 **3009\_R** 1561:12 **5** 1604:23 1605:10 1678:7 1681:20 1742:17 **3010 R** 1561:12 7 **50** 1550:17 1748:19.21.25 1752:15. **3011** 1559:16 18 **7** 1531:24 1566:22 1568:2 1569:17 **3011\_R** 1561:12 **50-page** 1749:3,19 1571:24 **3012** 1559:16 **5000** 1542:11,16 1543:14 1544:1,3 **72,000-foot** 1584:5 1655:6 **3012 R** 1561:13 **5001** 1542:16 1543:15 **75** 1582:11,20 3013 1559:16 **5001\_revised8** 1544:2 7th 1742:5 **3013\_R** 1561:13 **5001 t revised8** 1544:4 **3014 R** 1561:13 8 **5002** 1542:17 1543:14 1544:2,4 **3015 R** 1561:14 **5201-T** 1677:20 8 1556:25 **3016** 1559:17 1560:4 1561:5 **55** 1532:24 1686:8 80.50.030 1760:5 1562:18 **5500** 1672:17 1674:22 1675:2 **3016 R** 1561:14 1595:13 **80s** 1631:3 **5501** 1672:18 1673:4 1674:22 **3017** 1539:8 **82** 1607:13 **5501 t revised** 1675:3 **3020** 1539:8 **85** 1571:20 1631:4,17 **5502** 1672:22 1674:22 1675:3 **855** 1727:12 **3021** 1539:9 **5503** 1672:22 1674:22 31st 1763:2 8:30 1526:3,11 **5503-R** 1682:16 **34** 1600:6 1635:16 **8:45** 1538:16,23 **5503\_R** 1675:4 **37** 1529:2 8:55 1538:17

Page 1767Index: 30..8:55

Page 1768Index: 8th..advocate addressing 1654:13 adequate 1566:23 1588:15 1612:11 1659:21 1718:1 adequately 1630:7 adhere 1605:8 adjourned 1764:8,10 adjudication 1585:6 1630:1 1717:24 1720:16 1733:19,25 1734:2 1736:10 1738:13 1739:8 1743:17,24 1748:13 1754:2 1763:18 adjudicative 1526:14 1744:20 adjust 1593:3 **administrative** 1526:5 1560:2,17 1591:22 1674:17 1687:4 1688:7 1689:12 1706:23 1738:11 admissible 1554:23 admission 1531:3 1545:13 1734:18 1737:18 admit 1634:24 admitted 1531:13,16 1532:16,21 1533:1,4,15,25 1534:10,14,16 1535:13,22 1536:22 1537:19 1538:2 1539:9,18,22 1540:2,6,9 1541:14,15 1543:7 1544:5,10,11,14 1545:18 1546:23 1547:7.11 1553:17 1554:8 1559:24 1561:5,15 1618:9 1621:8 1675:4,9,13 1685:14 1688:18 1705:7 1708:20 1709:1 1734:8 1736:6 1737:18 1746:6 1749:5 admitting 1535:17 adopt 1528:7 1544:20 1545:3 1560:5 1687:21 1706:9

**8th** 1719:3

9

**9** 1530:2 1570:24 1577:12 1578:6,15 1681:7

95 1550:14

**95-page** 1550:12

**9540** 1727:9,11

**99-page** 1752:18

**9:00** 1526:13 1527:12 1539:2

**9:07** 1556:12

9th 1627:24 1657:15 1716:11 1719:3

Α

**a.m.** 1526:3 1607:18 **abbreviated** 1684:11

**ability** 1548:7 1635:23 1705:6 1755:18

absence 1609:21 1641:9,12

absences 1759:25

absent 1651:3

absolute 1732:3

**absolutely** 1578:5 1593:24 1599:7 1624:23 1641:14 1682:25 1721:19 1730:10 1758:1

absorb 1648:9 1665:9

abstract 1589:3

accelerated 1684:11

accept 1647:10,11

accepted 1572:8 1576:12

**access** 1533:10 1548:7 1549:21 1570:6 1603:3 1625:11 1682:9 1749:17

accessible 1616:11

accommodate 1590:2 1656:13

accomodation 1575:5

accompaniment 1727:12

accompany 1572:25

accomplished 1651:22

accurate 1610:5 1672:23

**accurately** 1565:17 1567:3,6,22 1584:20 1631:19 1632:13

achievable 1666:21

achievement 1723:16

acknowledge 1683:20

acknowledging 1720:18

acquired 1683:24

act 1613:13 1758:5

**active** 1600:1,4,6,12,14 1613:22 1614:3,18,21 1615:4 1623:8 1627:7 1628:8

actively 1637:15 1760:8

activities 1597:24 1649:21

**activity** 1566:11 1570:11 1601:13 1602:15 1637:23 1723:10

**actual** 1589:21 1611:24 1666:13 1741:17 1743:7

**Adam** 1526:5 1560:1 1687:3

adapt 1604:9

**adapted** 1583:16 1644:9 1647:25 1667:17,24

adaptive 1604:2,5 1632:23

**add** 1644:20 1664:25 1665:1 1669:10 1727:16 1750:20 1757:22

add-on 1572:23 1617:10 1656:4

added 1654:15 1749:4

**adding** 1633:21 1641:10 1642:5 1664:24 1681:23 1715:24

addition 1603:21 1629:24

**additional** 1559:14 1599:1 1625:14, 19 1653:11,20 1655:20 1683:19 1709:20 1720:21 1734:4 1737:5 1739:10 1740:20,25 1748:23

additive 1642:11.15

**address** 1548:24 1549:23 1606:5 1615:2 1632:24,25 1646:3 1659:21 1741:3 1755:1

addressed 1738:12

addresses 1715:1

**adopted** 1540:5 1541:20 1572:7,11 1603:6 1620:23 1674:11 1707:12 1726:12

**adopting** 1530:8 1560:22 1674:22 1675:13

adoption 1709:19

adult 1644:2

advantageous 1576:19

advise 1738:9

**advisory** 1573:18 1604:17,19 1606:4,20 1637:2 1660:9

advocate 1589:11

advocated 1604:24 advocating 1603:14

aerial 1664:4 aesthetic 1741:3

affected 1639:8 1746:15

affecting 1663:6

affirm 1560:21 1674:21 1688:11 1707:2

afield 1577:7

afternoon 1672:11 1686:14 1687:3 1732:14 1736:19

**Ag** 1759:2 1761:19

agencies 1652:18 1655:2,9 1668:17 1678:12 1710:5 1713:4

agency 1591:23,25 1593:20 1597:16 1598:10 1609:16 1619:19 1626:12.16 1655:7 1668:18 1730:23 1738:5 1747:12

agent 1722:16

agree 1534:8 1535:3 1564:2,20,23 1566:12,20 1578:3 1585:7 1595:3 1596:25 1597:17 1602:14 1606:13 1610:22,24 1621:8 1641:2,10,15 1643:23.24 1645:11 1656:6 1659:10 1661:5 1677:9 1683:12 1723:22,25

agreed 1565:3 1645:7 1716:4

agreeing 1666:17 1755:24

agreement 1534:13 1535:4 1599:13 1602:7 1755:14

agreements 1548:17 1689:18

agrees 1708:18 1737:9

**Agriculture** 1558:6 1760:10,24 1761:12

Agriculture's 1760:7,23

**ahead** 1546:21 1549:4 1567:18 1572:19 1597:11 1605:3 1661:15 1662:3 1665:21 1667:8 1671:19 1676:8 1706:5 1711:19 1736:18 1740:1

ahold 1527:18 1528:6

aim 1742:5

air 1663:6 1670:25

Airlines 1528:19 1671:10

airplanes 1684:16

airtime 1638:19

**Alaska** 1528:19 1670:11 1671:10 1675:25

Alberta 1575:24 1576:6

alerted 1761:18

**ALJ** 1737:25

Allan 1652:2

allowed 1629:5 1636:10 1728:24 1732:23 1733:2 1741:8 1745:11 1746:13

allowing 1607:1

alluded 1631:7

Alpha 1727:11

alternate 1552:25 1761:12,14,15

alternative 1640:22 1662:25 1663:3

alternatives 1586:14 1740:21 1741:2

altogether 1660:5

ama- 1581:2

amateur 1581:2

ambiguities 1632:2

ambiguous 1586:3 1631:23

amended 1720:15

amendment 1542:25 1717:23

amendments 1733:16

amoeba 1589:6

amount 1636:8 1662:25 1663:21 1709:18 1747:25

**amounts** 1605:8

**ample** 1720:3

Amy 1627:24

analogous 1579:16

**analysis** 1566:7,8 1599:1 1627:20 1643:11 1727:17 1730:11

Anchorage 1528:20

ancillary 1580:11

and/or 1576:20 1598:11

angels 1720:17

animal 1733:25

animals 1579:7 1581:8 1584:18

1586:6

announcement 1763:25

answering 1575:12 1660:12 1690:3

**answers** 1547:8 1560:6,23 1607:2 1634:6 1674:23 1684:11 1707:3 1730:15

**antelope** 1585:1,23 1586:10 1587:5 1625:23 1633:4,12,22

anticipate 1543:10 1559:20 1562:7 1607:1 1689:20

anticipated 1751:12

anticipating 1731:8

apologies 1666:3 1686:21 1746:18 apologize 1538:24 1611:6 1663:24

apology 1567:17 **Apostol** 1745:11,17

apparent 1571:15

appearance 1760:19 appearances 1760:18,20

appeared 1528:19 1597:15 1716:25 appearing 1556:15 1560:16 1670:6 1674:16 1686:25 1688:6 1706:2,22

**appears** 1550:11 1569:22 1607:13

appellate 1750:17 1751:18 1752:23 1753:6

appended 1745:2

**appendices** 1553:6,16,25 1750:4 1751:5,7,11,19 1752:11

appendix 1548:9,24 1549:8 1630:13 1750:11

applicant 1526:15 1529:16 1535:1 1539:24 1549:15 1550:12 1560:9 1563:14 1564:17,21 1565:7,16 1567:2,22 1571:10 1603:23 1616:3, 9,16 1617:16 1618:18 1619:1,11,23 1628:12 1637:5 1644:23 1656:6 1658:23 1662:11 1664:21 1731:4 1732:24 1733:14 1736:10

applicant's 1531:10 1548:11 1565:3,12 1571:2 1572:23 1573:17



1599:6,8 1608:16 1619:14 1624:5 1625:2 1627:17 1656:10 1676:6 1736:16

**application** 1565:13,17 1566:22 1584:19 1590:23 1617:16 1623:12 1630:14,19 1633:10,14,18 1647:14 1666:25 1712:21 1713:8,11,14 1716:4,5 1717:13,23 1720:14 1721:13 1733:15,17 1760:9

applications 1726:2

applied 1605:13 1728:16

applies 1529:1 1544:18

**apply** 1600:1 1602:15 1604:8

1679:16

appointing 1761:15

**Appre-** 1646:6

appreciated 1548:7 1646:7

**approach** 1528:7 1587:12 1589:12, 21 1593:1 1594:20 1602:12 1604:9 1724:1 1726:2

approached 1592:19

appropriately 1633:6

appropriateness 1594:19

approved 1721:13,20

approximate 1671:8

April 1556:23

**Aramburu** 1527:6 1528:19,25 1529:15,18,20 1530:4,16,24 1541:21 1542:11,19,21 1543:10,12, 21 1544:18,22,24 1545:4 1546:1,3, 22 1547:1,15 1552:19 1553:15,19 1555:7,12,23 1556:8 1605:1,4,5,17 1607:13 1629:5 1661:24 1662:1,6,7 1665:11,15 1670:2,15,18,21 1671:5 1672:23,25 1673:18,20 1674:5,6,7,9 1675:6,8,11,14,17 1677:6,17 1682:17 1684:23 1685:7,10 1705:5 1707:16 1708:11 1709:5 1710:8,11, 14 1711:2,23 1712:1,5,7,12,15 1715:15,19,21 1716:24 1719:10,14, 16 1720:2,11,17,20 1723:17 1734:10,11,16,24 1739:21 1740:5 1741:13 1742:16 1743:8 1744:7 1748:22 1749:22,24 1750:10,12,24 1751:9,13 1752:5,22 1753:10 1762:10,23 1763:7

**Aramburu's** 1681:7 1711:11

arbitrary 1587:22

**area** 1591:7 1593:7 1599:19 1600:13,21,23 1601:17 1612:16 1614:9 1619:15 1627:14 1648:13,22 1649:22 1650:12 1660:4 1663:1,4, 18 1682:21 1683:4 1721:16 1729:23

**areas** 1579:8 1581:9 1587:25 1591:16 1611:21 1649:2 1664:5 1677:5 1683:9 1708:11 1729:21

arena 1632:8 1664:19

**arguing** 1599:17 1659:3

argument 1660:7

**Argumentative** 1659:1

**array** 1579:21,25 1580:8 1667:23 1678:9,19 1680:11

**arrays** 1578:21 1579:11,15 1580:20 1581:4,12 1655:21 1666:24 1667:2, 6,14.17

arrived 1565:7 1607:14 1670:4

art 1608:22 1656:10 1751:19

**artificial** 1570:25 1571:3,12,18 1573:16,23 1574:2 1575:22 1577:14 1616:24 1617:12 1630:12 1631:1 1632:3 1640:14 1649:24 1654:9 1655:25 1656:2

artificially 1637:21

**ASC** 1713:21 1714:9 1717:20 1718:13 1720:15 1722:3 1732:21

**Asia** 1582:13

**asleep** 1761:11

aspect 1712:24

aspects 1726:22

assertion 1612:22

assessment 1593:22

**assign** 1597:25

assigned 1761:2

assist 1542:4 1722:8

assistant 1738:9

**assisting** 1713:19

Association 1722:25

**assume** 1656:17 1664:17 1679:10, 21 1681:9,13 1682:24 1731:23

1750:18 1753:1

assuming 1638:10 1649:19

assumption 1591:1 1682:3

assure 1714:3 1729:6 1760:25

assures 1749:17

**assuring** 1721:25

asymmetric 1588:24

asymmetrical 1588:24

**attach** 1751:16

attached 1742:24 1746:24

attaching 1742:20

attachment 1705:11

attachments 1750:2

attack 1580:7

attempt 1571:14 1580:7 1731:19

attempting 1735:24

attempts 1593:8 1640:7

attend 1684:16

**attention** 1708:6 1716:9 1744:8,9

1757:7

**attorney** 1560:11 1662:7 1676:7

1714:22 1738:18

attorneys 1549:20 1560:24 1629:9

1711:4 1738:9,21 1761:1

attracted 1639:25 1649:2

attractive 1578:22

attribute 1617:16

attributes 1679:7

audio 1557:23

augment 1645:5

**August** 1526:2,11 1531:7 1539:6 1627:24 1657:15 1716:10,11 1719:3

1744:20

auspiciously 1748:6

authored 1617:16 1620:18

authors 1733:16

automatic 1718:6,8

availability 1599:20 1612:6

1662:21



avian 1646:23

**avifauna** 1565:18,20,24 1566:17,24 1669:18

**avoid** 1529:11 1562:3 1570:9 1580:5 1585:24 1587:5 1630:4,7 1635:20

**avoidance** 1569:23 1570:17 1586:4,20 1612:18 1629:25

avoiding 1570:12

avoids 1632:16

**aware** 1580:22 1587:15,16 1596:9, 10 1603:5,9,21,25 1615:3 1616:7 1638:22 1660:15 1679:21 1680:5 1681:13,17 1684:4 1687:8 1709:5 1713:24 1717:4 1718:22 1733:12 1757:3

**Awesome** 1564:8

В

back 1527:5 1533:9 1535:19 1540:16 1546:4 1551:8 1554:6,10 1562:8 1569:15 1577:11,21 1578:6 1582:1 1591:18 1595:1,24 1596:1, 15 1598:8 1601:2,9,24 1602:11 1607:10,21 1621:20 1630:22 1632:1 1655:12 1670:11 1671:7,20,23 1672:2 1684:24 1685:20,23 1686:8, 15 1690:6 1704:21 1708:3,7 1713:9 1714:11 1721:4 1734:9 1735:23 1744:13,18 1749:23 1751:15 1753:23 1761:13,16

back-country 1570:6

**background** 1590:22 1611:6 1675:19 1708:10 1710:1 1715:11 1757:3

backwards 1654:5

**bad** 1547:4 1567:19 1584:7,8 1712:15

bait 1735:14

balanced 1646:2

**bald** 1635:1

ballpark 1552:3 1600:18,20,23

bandied 1534:21 bands 1688:2 bare 1612:17

barotrauma 1639:17,21

base 1576:8,10

based 1539:17 1567:10 1580:3 1588:1 1591:12,14 1612:19 1614:7 1617:14 1618:25 1627:12,14,16 1658:3 1659:18 1660:14 1682:4 1689:23 1715:15 1720:25 1734:12 1742:10

basically 1601:9 1635:8 1724:19

basin 1644:12 1660:20

**basis** 1598:23 1599:17 1627:9,10 1686:6 1737:16,21 1738:17

bat 1604:24 1632:24 1665:1

**bats** 1564:24 1565:5,8 1566:18 1584:18 1606:5 1632:12,13,17,18 1639:7,9,19,20,25 1640:7 1646:21 1663:21 1664:19 1665:6

**batteries** 1713:25 1717:7 1722:8 1725:17 1731:5

**battery** 1530:19 1709:7,10,21 1713:17 1725:8,9,11,14,23 1728:11 1730:24 1731:9

bear 1643:15 1661:2

**bearing** 1735:5

**began** 1578:9

**beginning** 1655:13 1721:15

**begins** 1585:15,21 1690:12

behalf 1561:25

behavior 1569:23 1570:13

**behaviors** 1647:18

**belief** 1567:24 1657:11 1678:16,22, 25 1682:24

bench 1712:23

beneath 1578:21 1579:10

beneficial 1579:11 1581:4

**benefit** 1564:11 1580:11 1581:4 1649:22

benefits 1681:7

**Benton** 1526:20 1537:17 1546:10 1558:7 1636:10 1640:18 1685:2

1734:19

**BESS** 1709:8 1718:8

**bet** 1736:12

**big** 1576:16 1585:12 1587:17 1725:15

biodiversity 1638:24

**biological** 1588:5 1591:19 1612:23 1627:12 1663:13

**biologically** 1586:16 1588:12 1589:12 1593:1 1594:21

**biologist** 1574:7 1581:2 1608:16 1639:1 1640:16 1666:13

biologist's 1619:14

**biologists** 1573:18 1597:25 1611:2

**biology** 1591:14 1593:13 1635:8

**bird** 1566:2,10 1569:21 1574:14 1591:24 1592:1 1619:20 1635:3,19, 23,24 1636:18 1637:15 1642:2,12 1646:4 1647:24 1665:2,8 1667:22

bird's 1592:5 1612:4

**birds** 1566:1,8 1568:22 1569:3 1570:8,12,20 1572:8 1573:5 1575:5, 6 1576:3,21 1579:23 1595:1 1601:6 1611:21 1612:8 1637:18 1644:2 1647:8 1663:21 1665:7

**bit** 1529:10 1536:9 1537:3 1562:8 1569:10 1571:6,7 1576:15 1586:3 1587:9 1588:10 1591:2 1593:3 1608:24 1634:6 1646:24 1647:5 1665:5 1685:23 1690:6 1705:8 1711:6 1713:20 1731:2 1763:15

**black** 1640:23

**blades** 1639:19

blame 1605:25 1652:23

**blinds** 1574:5 **blocking** 1580:8

board 1544:22,24 1546:2,22

**body** 1604:8 1609:22 1633:21

1634:1 1753:5

bolster 1571:3 1577:14

**Botelho's** 1747:11

bottleneck 1644:1

bottom 1544:15 1547:6

Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Adjudicative Hearing - August 25, 2023

**bound** 1683:3

boundaries 1588:12

**bounds** 1598:25 1677:5 1743:2

1757:1

**box** 1547:10 1757:5

**boy** 1661:12

**brand-new** 1715:24

breading 1644:3

**break** 1529:14 1530:4 1607:9,16

1671:16 1729:21

**breeding** 1564:22 1573:6 1574:24 1614:4,10,23 1635:16 1636:2

1648:23,24,25

Brewster 1558:3,4 1646:16,19

1648:11 1649:6

**briefing** 1553:2 1554:5 1752:16,23

1754:23 1755:2

**briefings** 1550:22

briefly 1553:6 1557:23 1730:22

**briefs** 1550:9 1551:2,22 1552:17 1553:4 1556:3,5 1685:24 1747:9 1748:7,8 1750:5,7,17 1751:18 1753:12 1755:23 1756:9 1757:11,25 1758:3 1764:5

**bring** 1532:20 1552:13 1716:17 1759:1

bringing 1540:22 1730:4 1758:25

**broad** 1669:17

broadly 1565:20 1591:11 1667:11

**Brost** 1558:9,15

brought 1591:7 1716:8

**brush** 1669:17

Brynn 1531:12 1746:2

**buffer** 1562:21,23 1590:1,7 1591:10,14 1595:18,22 1597:1,23 1598:1,21 1599:3,20,24 1600:1 1601:21 1602:15 1617:23 1627:12, 21 1628:9 1630:8 1636:8 1637:20 1641:11,18 1658:18 1659:11,16,17

**buffers** 1594:20 1596:6,16 1597:19 1641:4 1663:9,11,12

build 1559:7 1682:14 1683:11

**building** 1725:17 1731:16

**buildings** 1725:15

**built** 1568:11 1614:17

**Bumpus** 1738:2,6 1748:13 1762:13

**bunch** 1574:2

burdensome 1538:7

**burn** 1582:10,13 1724:14,25 1728:2,

24 1731:22

**burns** 1582:17 1726:11

business 1575:11 1686:3 1747:20

**busy** 1747:18

С

C.A.R.E.S. 1662:8 1673:5 1740:3

cabinet-level 1760:11

calendar 1742:3

**California** 1682:19 1683:15,17 1714:18 1719:2 1725:16 1726:1

California's 1683:19

**call** 1538:18 1540:3 1545:3 1556:20, 21 1561:21 1562:9 1563:17 1592:11,12 1609:18 1669:19 1754:19 1760:20 1762:6

called 1708:6

calling 1618:18 1672:11

calls 1759:24

**Canyon** 1681:12

capability 1717:14

capacity 1544:23 1546:2

caption 1752:16

capture 1615:16

**care** 1541:19 1547:13,18 1602:10

1682:13 1757:8

career 1619:17 1644:12

careful 1554:17 1755:4

carefully 1573:2 1719:23

carryover 1547:10

**case** 1539:20 1547:20 1635:2

1668:17,19 1689:15 1718:1 1728:14

1731:22,24 1748:21

cases 1680:15 1752:24 1753:3,4

Caseymac 1528:14 1530:8

1539:16,21 1540:3 1547:18 1735:25

1739:6

cast 1569:10

catastrophic 1726:25 1728:4

catch 1527:10 1533:8 1612:24

1756:4

catches 1709:24

catching 1535:14

catchy 1628:2

category 1545:25 1591:21,22

caught 1761:25

caused 1728:13

caution 1645:12

cautioned 1677:4.7

caveat 1622:13 1654:15

caveats 1617:12

**Center** 1712:19

central 1681:23

**century** 1650:10

ceremonies 1736:3

certification 1599:13 1712:22

certify 1730:12

Chair 1526:5 1557:3,4 1558:16 1562:9 1634:13,15,17 1635:4,22 1636:5 1638:4,8 1646:8 1652:6,9 1653:10 1659:23 1720:24 1721:3,5, 22 1722:22 1723:6,13,21 1739:24 1743:23 1744:1,9 1760:2 1761:1,19

Chair's 1547:8

chairman 1689:1

challenge 1635:18 1636:4 1677:17

1720:4

challenges 1584:14 1650:15

challenging 1639:11 1652:16

**chance** 1542:24 1553:23 1558:18 1559:5 1591:4 1620:11 1621:25 1708:8 1715:13 1746:9 1756:11

**change** 1552:23 1567:25 1578:1,3

1757:18 1759:10 1763:24

1582:2,4 1583:14,21 1584:7,11 1590:10,12 1592:11,20,21,23,24 1597:9 1604:9 1646:3 1649:17 1657:20 1658:8 1661:9 1680:11 1690:7 1718:14,15,23 1720:16 1721:11 1723:23 1725:11 1726:22 1727:2 1730:3,7,25 1742:13

changed 1543:14 1590:6 1618:1

changing 1570:12 1671:22 1717:22

**channels** 1597:16

**characterization** 1564:19 1724:16

**characterize** 1616:12 1631:19 1664:15

characterizes 1619:7

chat 1527:9 1757:5

**cheat-** 1583:16

**cheatgrass** 1582:8,12,15,17,24,25 1583:2,3,8,16

**check** 1530:4 1546:4,5 1551:9 1555:22 1607:14 1764:1

chief 1528:7 1536:22 1733:4

**choice** 1662:18 **choose** 1636:23

choosing 1667:7 chukar 1583:1,2

**ciate** 1646:7

**circle** 1551:7 1588:1,3 1589:1 1611:9

circles 1582:1 1588:22

**circular** 1612:13

circumstances 1719:21

**citation** 1552:18 1617:18 1623:20 1624:4 1630:22

citation's 1590:19

**citations** 1550:20,21,25 1733:9

1748:1

cite 1540:19 1551:12

cited 1540:18 1616:9

citing 1548:13 1551:14 1677:15

**citizens** 1662:8

clarification 1549:6 1550:4

1573:12 1668:12 1743:8 1747:7 1753:19 1757:20

clarified 1752:9

**clarify** 1633:11 1634:4 1679:15 1724:10 1737:25 1763:8

clarifying 1737:12

clarity 1754:15 1759:3,16

**clean** 1531:20 1542:2 1681:10

1712:19

**cleaner** 1756:2

**clear** 1536:24 1570:17 1583:12 1584:15 1589:15 1596:12 1599:4 1671:6 1712:9 1720:12 1738:10 1739:12.16

Click 1528:7 1530:17,24 1739:25

Click's 1547:7 1739:22

**clicking** 1543:25

cliffs 1640:23

**climate** 1578:1,3 1582:1,3 1583:13, 21 1584:7,11 1646:3 1661:9

**climates** 1583:17

**close** 1536:7,16 1580:2 1593:11 1602:1 1605:24 1628:17 1636:11 1645:9 1757:6 1762:9

**close-up** 1669:17

**closed** 1559:6,9 1563:20 1689:6 1690:1,9 1743:17 1763:20

**closed-record** 1687:25 1690:11 1704:18

**closer** 1651:5

code 1532:3

colleagues 1619:13 1738:21

**collect** 1566:10 **collection** 1578:9

collectively 1652:24

collects 1604:7

**collision** 1569:1 1642:24 1663:5

collisions 1568:15 1660:17

**Colorado** 1596:16 **colored** 1745:12

**Columbia** 1569:11 1574:18 1648:1 1660:20 1683:7

combat 1726:8

combustible 1725:2 1729:12

combustibles 1729:15

**combustion** 1728:23,24

comfortable 1555:17 1690:2

**comment** 1535:2 1545:8,11,14 1546:15 1601:24 1622:12

**comments** 1530:15 1554:19 1646:25 1648:12

Commerce 1557:7 1724:8

Commission 1558:2

committed 1603:23

**committee** 1573:19 1604:17,19 1606:4,20 1637:2 1660:9 1723:3,4 1726:7

**common** 1550:19 1554:22 1644:5, 13 1645:13 1647:24 1648:1

**commonly** 1637:25

communicated 1759:4

communicating 1757:17

**communication** 1618:3 1625:12

**communications** 1590:24 1598:12,16 1616:10 1625:7

communicator 1758:23

**community** 1545:15 1546:12

**comp** 1534:1

compared 1667:3

compelled 1714:22

compelling 1591:9 1717:25

competing 1573:24 1658:22,24

competitors 1573:7

complementary 1545:10

**complete** 1583:4 1633:24,25

1641:5 1744:24

completed 1704:24

completely 1563:12 1669:1

complex 1580:15

**complicated** 1638:6 1727:25 1748:19

complications 1529:11 component 1722:4

comprehensive 1533:19 1534:12

**compressed** 1553:21 1740:7,12 1741:9 1742:22

compromise 1611:17,23 conceivable 1722:17 concentrates 1640:2 concentric 1611:3

**concept** 1587:25 1635:6 1649:16 1679:22

**concern** 1528:13 1535:19 1540:25 1545:6,12 1548:12 1553:10,13 1593:14 1615:24 1646:24 1664:18 1762:2

concerned 1717:16

**concerns** 1528:16 1543:23 1569:24 1632:25 1643:12 1656:13 1757:24 1761:8,11

**concluded** 1564:21 1592:17 1704:19

concludes 1630:15 1726:14

**conclusion** 1565:4 1579:5 1592:24 1598:23 1631:22 1635:15

conclusions 1622:17 1656:18

**concur** 1647:15 **condense** 1554:4

**conditions** 1589:22 1604:6 1616:4 1666:15 1683:17

**conducted** 1585:20 1635:11 1666:24

confederated 1688:2

conference 1529:5

conferral 1755:14

**confidential** 1548:20 1549:2,15 1559:12 1687:14 1689:11,14 1755:12 1756:9 1757:8

confidentialities 1687:25

**confidentiality** 1548:17 1689:18 1754:22

configuration 1725:11

configurations 1725:9

confine 1637:20

**confirm** 1527:24 1536:21 1539:2 1564:15 1687:13 1737:6

**confirmed** 1527:22 1539:7 1617:18

conflicting 1587:4 confused 1536:4

**conjunction** 1571:19 **connect** 1583:10,13

consequence 1642:15

consequences 1643:16 1662:18

conservation 1638:24 1652:14

considerable 1717:22

**consideration** 1602:25 1651:8 1654:12 1729:4,11

considerations 1717:5

**considered** 1610:3 1656:4 1717:14 1722:19 1742:24

**consistent** 1587:7 1589:25 1610:3 1618:25 1629:5 1753:5 1755:4 1759:18

consistently 1757:10

constitute 1602:3 1661:10

constituted 1565:12

constrained 1683:15,19

constructed 1683:24 1713:6

**construction** 1570:11 1575:5 1585:15,21 1660:14 1679:2,4 1721:15

**consultation** 1590:25 1591:3 1623:13 1624:7 1625:3,9

contact 1527:20 1529:6 1759:22

contacted 1714:14

contacts 1558:19,24

**contained** 1688:12 1709:25 1728:17,25 1733:15

**container** 1724:25 1726:11 1728:25 1729:11,13,15

containerize 1724:23

containerized 1724:19 1725:20

**containers** 1709:23,25 1725:21

1729:22

containment 1725:7

content 1616:11 1713:8

contents 1752:14,24 1753:3

**context** 1604:3 1617:10 1625:6 1630:1 1632:24 1642:20 1643:8 1647:19 1662:24 1708:16 1738:17 1750:24

continually 1542:12 1728:15

**continue** 1527:20 1586:18,21 1594:21 1690:8 1715:21 1716:19 1721:7 1757:11,21 1764:1

continued 1526:6 1594:19 1645:20

continuing 1530:17,18,20 1754:1

continuously 1719:17,22 1740:6

**contract** 1552:9 1686:2 1715:5

**contract-** 1715:3

contribute 1643:4 1645:19

control 1651:19 1652:19 1655:2

**convention** 1550:20 1755:8

**conversation** 1548:5 1593:15,19 1602:2 1615:16 1616:3 1617:23 1618:6 1646:20

**conversations** 1616:7,12 1681:13

**conversion** 1581:20 **converted** 1583:7 **conveyed** 1689:15

Cooke's 1536:7,19 1537:22

cooperative 1756:23,25

copies 1555:13,16 1749:25

copious 1722:10

**copy** 1548:18,20 1549:14 1555:15 1564:6 1738:7 1749:16

**core** 1587:25 1591:16 1611:20 1627:14

**correct** 1526:23 1532:11 1533:21 1539:3,13 1542:8 1553:18,19 1567:8 1574:10 1577:20 1578:10 1579:1 1584:22 1585:3,4,17,18,22 1589:18,19 1593:3 1595:20,23



Page 1775Index: correction..Dave

1596:14 1598:19 1599:10 1603:11, 20 1604:15,25 1605:18 1606:18,19 1611:12 1612:1,2 1613:8,19,20 1614:1,2,11,12 1615:17,25 1616:1 1623:13,14,18,19 1625:12,13 1648:15 1654:15,22 1656:21 1657:19,23 1659:20 1660:6 1672:13,25 1673:25 1675:23,24 1676:2,3 1680:23 1681:15,16 1682:3 1684:1 1687:17 1715:8 1717:8,25 1746:22

correction 1562:15

correctly 1553:15 1579:6 1581:9

correspondence 1533:3

cost 1682:6 1684:2

costs 1682:2

Council 1526:4 1527:15 1541:11 1548:12 1550:20 1551:17 1552:6 1553:10,22 1555:1,8,14,16,21,23 1556:6,20,22 1557:2,4,8,12,16,22 1558:3,18 1560:24 1561:19 1562:9, 10,12 1607:12 1617:3,11 1620:24 1621:6,14 1622:22 1634:12,13,17 1635:22 1636:5 1637:4 1638:4,12 1640:8 1641:16 1642:4,19 1643:19 1644:4,17 1645:3,8,17 1646:6,17,19 1648:11 1649:6,12,14 1651:9,13,15 1652:6,9 1653:9,10,17 1654:8,24 1660:13 1669:3 1671:18 1678:17 1679:17 1684:23 1685:4 1687:21 1688:22,25 1689:10,25 1690:3,5 1705:2,16 1706:18 1707:12,19,21, 25 1710:20 1711:3,22 1715:12 1720:6,25 1721:5 1722:22 1723:6, 13,21 1724:5,8 1725:4,24 1726:13, 16 1727:24 1728:20 1729:9,24 1730:14,19,21 1731:8,21 1732:6,8 1733:7,12,17 1734:7,12 1735:3,9,10 1736:1,2,8 1737:2 1738:3,10,14 1739:7 1740:9,19,24 1743:3,9,16,21 1744:1,2,6,10 1746:11 1747:2 1748:11 1749:10,15,17,20 1750:6, 14,20 1751:15 1752:2 1759:6,8 1760:2,8,12 1761:4 1762:20,25 1763:19

**Council's** 1552:2 1653:16 1733:22 1742:10

counciling 1683:1

Councilman 1528:5

**counsel** 1527:2 1538:12 1542:23 1561:25 1634:3 1685:1 1714:15

1736:25 1737:1,9,14 1738:24 1741:4 1758:8

count 1742:23 1752:11

**counted** 1748:25 1751:4 1752:25 1753:5

Countering 1637:18

**counties** 1640:18

counting 1748:4

country 1579:23,24 1726:4

**County** 1526:20 1533:20 1534:12 1538:2 1546:10 1558:7 1636:10,13 1685:2 1734:19 1736:20 1741:6

County's 1537:17

**couple** 1550:10 1551:5 1573:13 1606:1 1633:3 1638:18 1712:2 1721:2 1725:5 1732:20 1739:14

**court** 1550:24 1551:9,18 1552:20,23 1595:7 1599:22

**courtesy** 1738:13

**courtroom** 1761:13

cover 1543:2

covered 1736:16 1745:18

covers 1715:10

**CR** 1554:13

**Craig** 1713:18 1718:24 1723:3,7,8 1726:6

cranes 1648:13,17

**cranking** 1552:11

**create** 1579:11 1629:6 1635:24 1651:4 1763:17

created 1653:5 1713:9

creates 1582:18

credibility 1619:21 1621:17

credible 1733:9

criteria 1713:2 1727:17

**critical** 1593:24

criticism 1759:2

**cross** 1534:4 1541:9 1655:13

1757:24

**cross-exam** 1532:2 1533:6 1536:19 1538:14 1539:7 1559:18,23 1562:6

1607:22.25 1684:25 1745:16

**cross-examination** 1563:8 1605:9,12 1608:12,21 1626:15 1676:16 1705:1,17 1716:23 1733:24 1734:15

cross-examining 1532:4 1747:4

cued 1705:20

cultural 1689:3,4 1756:1 1757:16

**cumulative** 1559:13 1664:10,11,13, 18 1665:4

cumulatively 1664:25 1665:1

**current** 1610:7,12,19,25 1622:16 1627:5 1629:15,18,21 1708:22 1763:1

**cursor** 1587:18

curtail 1636:22

**curtailment** 1606:10,13,17 1636:16,17 1637:14

**cut** 1557:23 1604:12 1605:6 1610:17 1754:6

cutoff 1601:22 1754:8

cycle 1582:19

cycles 1583:22

D

damage 1639:22

**data** 1565:13 1566:11 1571:21 1572:1,3 1577:16 1578:8 1587:4 1588:8 1604:7,14 1609:10 1632:9 1633:8,13,19 1634:1 1641:5,9,13 1659:18,21 1751:22 1762:6

data-based 1658:22

data-driven 1606:14

database 1601:8 1602:12

**date** 1535:17,19 1551:22 1552:1,18 1569:6 1600:10 1601:22 1686:4 1743:5 1748:10 1753:13 1762:11,19

dated 1623:18

dates 1535:22 1552:2 1742:13,14

1743:12,19,20

Dave 1544:13 1704:25 1707:1

1712:18



**David** 1706:1,22

**day** 1528:4 1533:16 1552:9 1556:13, 25 1741:24 1742:2 1744:14 1747:17 1759:23 1760:3

day-long 1719:24 daylight 1637:15

**days** 1538:8 1551:24 1552:7 1608:16 1638:19 1644:7 1686:3 1712:20 1719:18 1725:13 1728:15 1736:18 1740:1 1747:19,20 1748:2, 5 1749:19 1760:9

dead 1639:20

deadline 1741:24 1745:20 1746:3,

14 1753:21

deadlines 1685:24

deal 1709:17

decade 1614:20,21

decades 1601:10 1611:11

**December** 1763:17 **decide** 1743:14

decided 1547:22 1552:23

decision 1738:3,14 1742:12,22

decisions 1606:13 1637:5

**decline** 1568:14 1642:6 1645:20

**declines** 1615:7 1643:5 **declining** 1645:10

decommissioned 1731:5

decommissioning 1731:15

dedicated 1619:17

deep 1622:1 deeply 1663:2 defeat 1751:8

**deference** 1592:3 1619:20 1626:18,

**define** 1641:6,10 **define** 1635:7

definition 1597:5 1606:10 1657:20

degradation 1650:8,17

degraded 1652:22

**degree** 1576:23 1646:3 1648:18

1665:10

**delayed** 1748:9

deliberating 1763:20

deliberation 1552:2

deliberations 1743:13 1748:12

deliberative 1763:21

**delve** 1677:7

demand 1721:25

demanding 1749:20

demonstrated 1611:24

demonstrates 1569:22

demonstrative 1534:3 1554:15

denial 1737:16,21 denied 1741:21

**department** 1557:6,10,14,18,24 1558:6 1630:17 1638:15,16,24 1649:14 1651:14 1724:8 1726:19 1727:22 1730:17,20 1759:2 1760:7, 22,23

dependent 1586:7 1640:21 1662:21

depending 1608:1 1635:6

deplanes 1607:15

deposed 1709:6 1713:7

**deposition** 1611:10 1615:6 1618:9, 10 1620:19 1625:17 1666:12 1705:2,21,23 1706:10 1707:4 1708:2 1709:9 1715:12,22,23,24,25 1716:3,25 1717:10 1718:5,24 1719:4 1720:3 1721:1 1732:25 1735:17 1745:4,7

deposition's 1706:8

**depositions** 1737:17 1744:25

1745:3

**deputy** 1689:3

**Derek** 1760:10

derived 1591:3 1623:13 1624:6

1625:3

describe 1642:5 describing 1631:1 description 1570:23

descriptions 1535:15 1622:17

desert 1579:18 1582:6

**design** 1585:20 1627:22 1713:1,3, 12,16 1714:3,6 1717:12,20 1718:2, 15 1720:8 1723:9,11 1724:22 1725:21 1727:8,13

designate 1637:21 designation 1545:22

designed 1632:15 1645:19

designers 1715:3 designing 1725:14

**designs** 1722:5 1724:18 1729:3

**desirable** 1586:11 **desire** 1749:14

detail 1552:14 1614:25 1749:7

**detailed** 1747:10

details 1618:14 1665:5

**detected** 1601:5 1604:6 1642:24

1660:16

determinations 1615:13

determine 1588:11 determined 1545:22

determining 1613:10 1726:8

develop 1683:21

developed 1637:1 1735:9

developer 1637:6 1680:11

developing 1714:18 1723:4

**development** 1570:15 1580:5 1593:17 1602:1 1636:10 1644:18 1682:1 1683:1 1712:21,24 1721:16 1723:11 1735:19

developments 1593:10,11 1637:3

**DFW** 1541:4 1597:18 **dialogue** 1638:18 **diameter** 1563:11

differ 1655:9

difference 1563:11 differential 1639:19,22 differently 1576:3 1629:8

differing 1659:11

**difficult** 1580:4,6 1617:22 1643:17 1646:5 1650:22 1666:14

1010.0 1000.22 1000

difficulties 1740:22

digest 1747:25 dinner 1566:5

**direct** 1539:11 1613:3 1617:4,18 1633:7 1639:15 1640:6 1642:24 1660:17 1675:16 1708:3 1711:17 1732:25

directed 1719:10 1755:5

**directly** 1584:7 1595:6 1617:17 1659:21 1724:11 1733:4 1759:4,11

director 1689:3 1738:5

disagree 1574:20 1596:20,22,23

1597:21 1668:22,24

disagreed 1668:17

disagreeing 1599:16 1755:16

disagreements 1656:24

disclose 1558:21

disclosure 1756:13

**discovery** 1567:11 1617:14 1620:15 1625:15,17,20,24 1737:17 1753:21 1754:1,7,12,15

- - , , , -

**discuss** 1681:11 1761:6

**discussed** 1533:3 1553:6,20 1637:19 1639:6 1739:11

discussing 1537:4

**discussion** 1590:20 1594:23 1613:21 1638:17 1647:17 1655:11 1684:13 1755:1 1759:21

**discussions** 1611:1 1622:2 1651:3 1681:10,17 1763:22

disparaging 1656:17,21

disperse 1579:7 1580:19

dispersing 1581:8

**display** 1707:11

displayed 1749:9

**displays** 1531:8

dispose 1732:3

disproportionate 1566:16 1647:3,

**dispute** 1597:6

disrespect 1595:11

disseminated 1609:7,15 1754:24

dissemination 1609:13

distance 1589:17,18

distances 1664:9

distinction 1570:4 1613:15 1615:4

1728:8

distinctions 1582:11

distributed 1749:15

district 1640:15 1734:19

**disturbance** 1569:17,22,24 1570:11 1597:24 1644:10 1667:18

disturbed 1651:6

division 1748:17

**DNR's** 1651:16

**document** 1564:18 1598:17 1620:18 1622:14 1623:25 1625:7 1626:6 1630:1,25 1631:13 1705:15 1707:17,20 1714:2 1750:22 1752:1,

19

documentation 1705:12

**documented** 1574:14 1578:8 1601:12 1602:16 1649:3 1667:15

**documents** 1553:22 1609:17 1622:14 1631:3 1752:24

dogs 1611:6

**Don** 1530:2 1556:18 1560:20

**Donald** 1556:14 1560:16

door 1554:10

dots 1583:10

double-check 1596:1

double-up-your-questions

1665:16

doubly 1729:16

downside 1730:1,5

**draft** 1602:24 1620:16 1622:6 1626:4,8 1657:14,15,17,18,20,22

1658:3,7

drafting 1755:3

drag 1676:23

draw 1588:3

drawing 1614:19

**Drew** 1526:4 1557:4 1634:13,15,17 1635:5,22 1636:5 1638:4,8 1646:8 1652:6,9 1653:10 1720:24 1721:3,5, 22 1722:22 1723:6,13,21 1743:23 1744:1.9

Drew's 1659:23 1739:24

**drift** 1619:5

drill 1689:10

drive 1612:7

**dropped** 1601:7

drought 1583:22

dry 1583:17,24

dryland 1667:11

**due** 1551:23 1552:18 1613:2 1642:24 1660:17 1682:18 1711:5

1741:18 1748:7 1753:13

due-process 1732:23

**duly** 1560:16 1674:16 1688:6 1706:22

1700.22

duplicate 1541:1,2

duration 1729:1

dwarf 1751:7 1752:18

dynamic 1654:21

Ε

e-mail 1533:3 1762:13

e-mailed 1620:16

e-mails 1598:17

eagle 1635:1

earlier 1527:23 1544:11 1601:24 1608:15 1612:23 1615:12 1620:10 1627:14 1631:7 1633:18 1655:20 1659:14 1665:7 1725:13 1762:11

**early** 1530:13 1583:19 1686:6 1712:20

earned 1764:9

ease 1540:23 1750:25

easier 1663:10

easily 1559:19 1751:17

eastern 1630:16,21 1631:20 1683:6,

11

**easy** 1585:13 1588:3 1639:12

1652:22 1761:14

eat 1579:12 1581:6 1584:9

echo 1761:9

ecologist 1561:23

Ecology 1557:11 1730:17

Ecology's 1649:13,14 1730:21

economically 1682:20

ecoregion 1569:11

ecosystem 1579:18,19 1667:4

**Edgar** 1652:2 **edge** 1637:24

**edition** 1714:25

educate 1565:22 1622:6

effect 1541:14 1584:11 1663:21

effective 1632:4,6 effectively 1629:2

effectiveness 1630:12

effects 1559:13 1583:13

efficiently 1654:6

**effort** 1564:17 1571:3,12,22 1577:14 1626:24 1631:4,6 1637:10 1644:23 1645:24 1664:22 1714:12 1717:22

1756:23 1757:1

**efforts** 1530:17,18,20 1566:10 1571:16 1572:2 1639:3 1650:11 1652:15 1676:22 1681:10 1714:2,16

1761:1

**EFSEC** 1529:1 1554:25 1557:3 1599:11 1637:4 1638:16 1649:14 1651:17 1678:17,22 1679:14 1680:21,22 1686:3 1714:23 1726:20 1730:21 1738:18 1747:16 1749:14 1758:21 1762:20

**EFSEC's** 1679:10

eggs 1643:21

eight-and-a-half-by-eleven

1749:8

**eighth** 1543:15

**EIS** 1633:19

elder 1689:2 1704:23

elected 1689:1 electrical 1673:22 electronic 1685:5

electrons 1556:1

electronically 1556:11

elements 1716:6 1727:2,13,14

Eli 1557:12 1649:13 1730:17,20

**eliminate** 1637:12 1638:2 1647:20

1660:5

eliminated 1599:24

**Elizabeth** 1557:8 1724:3,7

emerges 1583:19

**emerging** 1619:2,12 1620:2

employees 1745:3 encompass 1611:21

encounter 1639:21 1654:10

encountered 1653:3 1657:7

encountering 1639:15

**encourage** 1617:11 1758:6

encouragement 1575:6

encouraging 1573:7

encroachment 1593:10 1636:9

**end** 1529:9 1534:6 1540:16 1551:8 1624:15 1731:5 1735:23,24 1745:19

1748:15 1752:19 1762:12

**endangered** 1603:10 1611:19 1612:19 1613:1,2,10,12 1621:2 1634:23,25 1642:6 1645:10,11

endeavor 1731:18 1742:1

**energy** 1526:4 1555:25 1569:6,9 1585:24 1597:24 1647:8 1648:20 1678:17 1679:17,23 1680:5,8 1681:10,24 1683:20,22 1687:21 1709:7,10,21 1712:19 1713:17

engage 1759:11

**engaged** 1613:17 1615:18 1722:21 1723:2

engineer 1710:3

enhance 1630:18 1655:21 1656:12

enhanced 1618:6 enlightening 1669:11

enlist 1761:1

**enormous** 1650:17

**ensure** 1729:14

entertain 1554:20

entire 1594:10 1611:22 1728:25

entities 1613:14 1710:6

**entity** 1613:9,17

entrusted 1757:9

entry 1724:20

enumerated 1568:13

**environment** 1527:2 1555:24 1562:1 1576:16 1579:12 1581:10 1685:1 1726:9 1736:25 1737:2,9,14 1738:24

environment's 1538:12

environmental 1678:19 1726:10

environmentally 1713:3

equally 1605:13 1669:16

**equates** 1641:18

equipment 1589:18 1722:2 1724:19

1727:9,10,20

**equipped** 1738:22

Erik 1540:12 1574:9

error 1562:23

**essential** 1572:25

**essentially** 1545:10 1583:19 1748:5 1752:16 1753:8

establish 1550:19

established 1671:12 1713:11

establishing 1663:9

estimate 1565:8,12 1606:25

et al 1623:18 1625:6

evaluated 1662:23

**evaluation** 1526:4 1678:17 1679:17 1680:7 1725:23

**event** 1582:18,20 1635:9,10,17 1642:13,14 1709:10 1710:7

**events** 1648:7 1736:4 **Eventually** 1565:10

**everybody's** 1549:17 1686:15 1708:8

everything's 1556:10

evidence 1586:4 1621:8,15 1632:5, 7 1639:24 1706:11 1707:21 1711:5 1728:12 1735:19 1736:9,20 1737:1, 18 1739:5,10,22 1740:3,14,25 1743:9

evidentiary 1685:21

evolution 1722:20 1725:13,19

**evolve** 1764:1

**evolved** 1579:18 1582:9,10,13 1583:1 1714:19 1715:2 1729:4

**evolving** 1542:12 1604:8 1722:6 1724:18 1725:21

**exact** 1590:19,25 1612:14 1616:10 1621:24 1623:24 1666:20 1678:10 1681:15 1754:21

exam 1539:11

**examination** 1623:1 1668:14 1675:16 1711:17 1732:24

**examine** 1719:23

Examiner 1605:1 1710:8

examining 1601:25

exceeded 1564:17 1605:10

**exceeds** 1615:1

excellent 1603:25 1670:10 1757:7

exception 1683:3 1747:16

excerpts 1751:3 1752:1

**exchange** 1705:9,15

exchanges 1616:8

**exclude** 1647:16

**excluding** 1566:18,19

**exclusion** 1612:13

**exclusionary** 1611:4,16 1615:14

1618:12

**exclusive** 1565:19

excuse 1549:9 1647:3

excused 1669:25 1685:16 1735:20

**exercise** 1535:1 1645:12

exhausted 1543:24

exhibit 1531:4 1534:15 1536:6,20 1539:1,5 1540:7,19,23 1541:3,8,15 1542:11,12 1543:22 1544:3,25 1545:9,17,18 1546:23 1547:24 1553:17 1554:9,15,18,24 1559:4,16 1561:6,7 1562:18 1563:17 1595:13 1607:25 1620:8 1623:11 1626:3 1636:20 1657:14,15 1672:17,18,22 1675:2 1677:20 1682:16 1687:12,14 1688:12,16 1705:11 1708:17,22,25 1709:1 1710:14 1715:18 1736:17 1744:24 1745:1,2,8,11 1749:2 1751:22

exhibit's 1554:8

exhibits 1531:1 1532:2 1536:14,18, 20,22 1537:2,9,15,18 1538:13,14 1539:7,11,15,18,22 1541:19 1542:13 1544:9,17 1550:2,3 1554:3 1559:18,23 1560:4,22 1561:4 1618:8 1672:16 1674:22 1675:9 1677:16 1685:14 1736:16 1744:23 1748:23 1749:1,4,5,13 1755:9 1757:24

existence 1633:15

existing 1749:2

exists 1588:16

expanding 1554:13

expansion 1554:18

**expect** 1541:20 1563:14 1566:16 1573:17 1576:12 1586:16 1588:22, 23 1596:10 1622:17 1647:4 1763:21

expectations 1676:23

**expected** 1647:6,23 1657:4,5 1665:10 1762:12

**expense** 1573:7

**expensive** 1682:10

**experience** 1558:25 1609:25 1622:15 1679:1 1725:22 1730:23

experiences 1577:1

experiencing 1642:13

experiment 1572:22 1573:1 1617:1

**expert** 1619:16 1626:6,9 1628:11 1629:14 1656:16 1668:22 1713:18 1717:19 1721:24 1723:9 1724:11

expertise 1626:16 1659:8 1737:11

**experts** 1626:19 1627:17 1712:24 1716:17 1730:2,12

\_

**expires** 1763:2

**explain** 1590:9 1614:13 1639:7 1666:16 1679:1 1711:21

explained 1587:24

explanation 1668:2 1710:21

**explicit** 1554:14

explosion 1726:25 1728:5

**explosive** 1729:7 **exposed** 1663:22

**expressed** 1663:14

extend 1748:10 1763:5

extension 1763:1

**extent** 1650:16 1729:7 1731:14 1755:25 1762:1

**external** 1609:8,20

extinguished 1709:11

extinguishing 1722:16

**extra** 1529:7 1555:9 1605:7 1668:2 1749:12 1752:17

extract 1708:23

extrapolate 1577:1

extremely 1654:4

extrinsic 1568:5 1577:23

eye 1558:15

F

**facetious** 1753:20

facilities 1564:17 1569:6,9 1580:13 1585:24 1586:23 1587:6 1596:8 1597:20 1648:20 1679:23 1680:8 1683:22 1709:22,23 1713:6,23 1715:1,4 1718:18 1729:19 1731:9 1735:5



facing 1613:6

fact 1579:17 1580:5 1598:8 1599:11 1604:2 1626:7 1632:22 1637:18 1646:2 1655:21 1659:14 1677:2 1709:22 1712:25 1713:17 1714:16, 19 1722:11 1723:3 1729:18 1731:10 1732:1

factors 1568:16 1613:3,7

facts 1710:18

**fair** 1564:19 1589:4 1610:22 1612:25 1614:24 1616:15,22 1617:15,20,21 1624:5 1625:1 1709:18 1724:15

fairly 1579:13 1643:3

fairness 1760:19

**fall** 1648:8 1666:3 1762:14,17,22 1763:4

falls 1545:24 1761:11

**familiar** 1640:10 1644:5 1650:12 1689:13

family 1527:19 1736:4

fancy 1566:1

farm 1557:1 1570:6

farmed 1647:25

farms 1683:11

fashion 1651:5 1716:1 1751:6

fatal 1639:22

fatalities 1574:14,15 1604:24

**fatality** 1574:13,18 1603:19,22 1606:8 1636:17

fate 1631:9,12

faults 1728:10,13

fauna 1669:19,20

favor 1637:11 1671:5,8 1719:7

fearing 1720:17

**February** 1713:9 1733:13

**federal** 1613:16

federally 1596:13

feedback 1550:2,3 1758:7

**feel** 1528:17 1551:21 1556:24 1619:23 1659:3 1669:1 1690:2 1718:1 1746:8 1761:8

feelings 1541:7 1711:1

feet 1680:14

FEIS 1748:15 1762:11,20 1763:5

**fellows** 1656:22

felt 1584:12 1640:9 1714:22 1723:18

fence 1729:19.21

fenced 1666:10 1729:23

ferruginous 1562:22 1565:20 1566:19 1567:4,7,14,23 1568:4,7 1569:18 1571:13 1573:8 1574:3,5, 14 1575:16,21 1576:2,6 1577:24 1578:4,7 1579:7,12,16 1580:6 1581:5,14,19,23 1584:8,9,13 1588:2,22 1591:6,16 1593:12,18 1594:7 1596:7,12 1597:2,19 1599:5 1600:4 1601:13 1603:16 1606:5 1610:21 1611:12,18 1613:19 1614:8,18 1617:23 1622:7 1623:9, 23 1626:17 1627:7,10 1629:21,23 1630:5,24 1634:22 1635:3,12 1637:11 1639:5 1640:14,17 1641:23 1642:25 1643:11 1645:18,20 1646:21 1647:17 1653:6 1654:13 1655:15 1656:16 1660:16 1661:6,11 1663:8 1667:22

fewer 1576:10 1663:14

**fidelity** 1614:8

field 1580:16 1635:7 1660:2 1666:21 1730:12.25

fields 1666:9

**figure** 1551:22 1552:1 1590:25 1623:12 1625:8 1747:11

figured 1710:25

figures 1590:21 1663:2

**file** 1543:21 1554:11 1678:3 1720:15 1741:15 1746:21 1751:1

filed 1713:14 1719:6

files 1555:18

filing 1722:3 1756:20

**final** 1679:11 1680:7 1729:25 1734:6,11 1736:17 1744:19

finalized 1585:21 1657:22

Finally 1682:18

**find** 1554:16 1571:21 1579:24 1580:3,4,6 1667:3 1677:12 1728:1 1732:3 1750:22

Page 1780Index: facility..flyways

finding 1722:10

**findings** 1586:2 1591:16 1609:10 1612:3

**fine** 1533:18 1563:23 1575:11,14 1597:8,10 1676:14 1680:20 1683:21 1732:18

**fire** 1528:7 1530:19 1582:9,18,19,20 1583:17 1584:2 1650:2 1709:13,20, 24,25 1713:12,15,23 1714:10,17 1716:6 1717:1,6,14 1719:2 1721:8 1722:13,24 1724:14,21,22,24 1726:2 1727:10,13,21 1728:2,9,15, 17,24 1729:1,21 1731:6,24 1733:4 1734:19 1735:5

firefighter 1726:23,24 1728:3,18

firefighting 1722:16 1730:3

**fires** 1584:2 1709:10,11,17 1722:7 1728:13 1730:24

firm 1528:1

**Fish** 1557:14 1562:20 1595:16 1638:15

fit 1663:10

fits-all 1659:17

five-year 1603:23 1615:4

fix 1592:13

fixed 1543:6,16

**flag** 1755:18 1756:4 1757:9 1758:3,9 1759:14 1762:2

Fletcher 1545:24 1546:9,18 flexibility 1527:14 1680:13

flexible 1529:23,25

flight 1530:5 1607:13 1671:11

flights 1528:20 1529:14 1671:7

fluctuated 1591:2

flush 1581:12

fly 1570:21 1717:21

**flyovers** 1649:4

flyways 1570:22

focus 1615:7 1639:2 1642:17

focuses 1611:20 1615:8

focusing 1638:25

folder 1548:8 1707:14 1751:1

folks 1559:8

**follow** 1530:2 1651:17 1717:18

1723:18 1737:4

**follow-up** 1548:5 1577:5 1608:20 1622:22,25 1685:8,10 1737:7

1739:11

**follow-ups** 1725:5

food 1578:22 foot 1680:15

footnoted 1572:2

footnotes 1550:21 1552:22 1748:1

**footprint** 1568:11

forage 1579:24 1580:7,16,21

1582:25 1667:23

foraging 1570:3,4,5 1579:6 1580:3

1637:16 1640:6

**forever** 1601:9

**form** 1609:15,17,19 1626:4,8 1641:11 1657:18 1707:3 1740:17

forma 1763:24

formal 1550:23 1556:13,19 1609:25

1619:3 1742:13

formalized 1598:10

formally 1556:21 1620:23 1742:9

**format** 1551:2 1742:22

forms 1550:25 1646:9

forthcoming 1620:17

forward 1534:7 1548:22 1571:10

1593:5 1645:5 1721:20 1744:3 1764:3

1704.5

Foster 1526:21,22,23 1542:4

**found** 1584:23 1600:6 1605:9 1714:16 1723:15 1724:18

foundation 1622:16

fragmentation 1568:15

**Franklin** 1640:18

frankly 1535:10 1709:14

free 1530:25 1551:21 1669:23

**frequent** 1635:14

frequently 1582:22 1648:3

friction 1763:14

Friday 1526:1,11 1556:23 1744:20

1748:6,8

friendly 1542:4 1629:6

**front** 1560:8 1619:9 1620:22 1635:18 1646:5 1672:15 1673:10 1726:8 1747:2 1752:10 1762:25

frustration 1619:22

fuel 1582:18

full 1578:14 1600:8 1714:2 1727:12

1748:3 1760:2,20 1761:3

full-scale 1727:10

full-size 1749:25

**fully** 1544:10 1626:5 1634:2 1761:3

1764:2

**fumbling** 1661:16

**fun** 1763:15

function 1650:25 1667:6

functions 1651:5

funds 1649:20

future 1602:4 1636:15 1650:24

1721:11

G

gained 1725:22

game 1652:23

gap 1631:18

gaps 1567:13

gaseous 1722:13

gather 1679:18

gave 1552:14 1763:18

**geese** 1648:13

general 1565:21 1566:17,24

1610:16,18 1614:9 1621:23 1646:23 1727:1 1732:23 1738:9,21 1741:1

1755:4,8 1761:2

general's 1738:18

generalists 1667:18

**generally** 1576:17 1584:21 1585:23 1609:6,18 1610:2 1618:20 1619:6

Page 1781Index: focus..granted

1629:24 1630:3 1642:11 1660:20

1679:2 1680:5

generated 1609:11 1619:14

generic 1679:12,18

generous 1572:24,25

geographic 1569:10

geographically 1683:2

get all 1733:23

giant 1578:4

give 1528:1 1529:10 1538:18

1560:6,23 1561:18 1592:3 1597:22

1598:2 1606:25 1608:18 1626:18,19

1633:24 1644:24 1676:24 1687:6 1690:7 1706:5 1707:3 1708:9

1090:7 1700:5 1707:3 1708:

1746:14,20 1748:11

**giving** 1530:22

**glad** 1563:2

**goal** 1611:18

goalposts 1619:23,24

goals 1626:25

gold 1609:8

**good** 1526:10,17,19,25 1527:7,17

1529:20 1547:1,15 1549:18 1551:19

1552:12 1556:22 1559:1 1560:1

1552.12 1550.22 1559.1 1560.1

1566:25 1569:20 1570:23 1575:19

1581:10 1585:14 1600:19 1601:3

1608:3,5,14 1620:25 1623:3

1631:16 1635:4 1639:10 1650:5

1651:15,25 1661:17 1669:15,16

1672:6 1676:18 1677:9 1678:5

1686:13 1687:3 1709:21 1711:21

1726:5 1727:19 1732:14 1734:20

1736:19 1741:23 1743:8 1763:16

1764:8

Gorge 1683:8

governor 1551:18 1763:3

**GPS** 1633:19

grant 1734:4,5

granted 1678:9 1679:3 1680:10



**Grantham** 1557:3,6,10,14,18 1558:1.5.10.12 1690:9

grants 1678:18

grass 1579:10 1583:18

**grasses** 1578:21 1580:14 1655:21

1667:1,5,10

grasslands 1576:7 1647:25

gravel 1570:7 gray 1609:22,23

gray-shading 1755:10

great 1547:17 1564:10 1574:4,5 1608:9 1644:5,12,13,21 1647:18 1651:20 1653:4 1669:12 1672:10 1678:4,5 1682:15 1709:3 1729:3,17 1731:7 1751:6 1757:7 1758:23

greater 1566:9,10 1642:14,15

1649:21 1661:10

greatest 1647:16 1664:18

greatly 1605:10

greenfield 1731:16

Greg 1532:1,21

grew 1580:18

grilling 1563:10

grossly 1581:13

**ground** 1528:23 1529:13 1530:5 1570:20 1585:17 1669:19

grounds 1621:4

group 1644:3 1731:1 1740:3

groups 1662:8

grouse 1652:16 1655:1

guarantee 1555:7

guaranteed 1617:7 1637:12

**guess** 1537:4,6 1542:16 1548:18 1649:15 1650:7 1689:22 1721:10,17 1730:22 1731:22 1753:1 1756:5

guessing 1552:7 1735:6

**guidance** 1543:1 1550:18 1551:1,6 1597:1,5,13,18,22 1598:10 1602:24 1618:2 1626:13,20 1629:16,18

**guide** 1543:3

guideline 1620:17

**guidelines** 1540:13,17,21 1541:4 1610:1,2,7,11 1619:4,10 1657:16

**Gustafson** 1713:18 1718:25 1723:3,7,8 1726:6

**Guthrie** 1531:12 1605:6 1745:15 1746:2

Н

habit 1567:20

habitat 1562:2 1568:14,15 1576:23, 24 1579:17 1581:20 1582:16,25 1583:4,7 1584:12 1594:25 1610:1,6 1613:3 1648:22,24 1650:9,11,17,21, 22 1651:1,5 1652:21,22 1666:14,22 1667:9,11,13,19,24 1737:11

habitats 1582:9 1597:22

half 1550:17 1650:10 1706:19

**hand** 1560:14 1563:3 1598:2 1632:23 1651:13 1665:19 1674:14 1688:4 1706:20 1708:2 1732:12 1749:22 1752:6

handle 1680:18 1713:6

**handled** 1749:2

**handling** 1745:25

**hands** 1634:13 1671:18 1685:5 1708:4 1721:2

handy 1546:8

hang 1555:5

**happen** 1577:17 1606:10 1758:13 1760:22

**happened** 1538:8 1547:4 1712:16 1763:23

**happening** 1570:20 1713:24 1729:16

happy 1721:7 1757:13

**hard** 1583:7,8 1650:18 1656:13 1755:11

harkens 1601:24

**Harper** 1526:24 1533:5,7,19,21 1534:8 1536:2,13,17 1537:11,21,24 1538:4,7 1539:25 1541:22,24 1542:2,8 1736:19,22

**hawk** 1562:22 1565:20 1568:4 1571:13 1573:8 1574:3,14 1575:16,

20,21 1576:2 1577:24 1578:4,8 1580:6,20 1581:19,23 1584:9,13 1588:6,11 1591:6 1593:12,14,18 1594:8 1596:7,12 1597:2 1599:5 1600:4 1601:13 1603:16 1611:18 1613:6,19 1614:18 1617:24 1622:7 1623:9 1626:17 1627:11 1629:21,23 1630:5,24 1634:22 1635:3 1637:11 1643:11 1645:14 1647:17 1653:6 1661:7,11 1662:10 1663:8 1667:22

hawks 1566:19 1567:4,8,14,23 1568:7 1569:18 1570:3,5 1574:6 1575:22 1578:11 1579:7,12,21 1581:5,14 1584:8 1588:2,22 1591:17 1593:7 1597:19 1606:5 1610:21 1611:12 1614:8 1635:13 1639:5 1640:14,17 1641:23 1642:25 1645:18,20 1646:21 1649:25 1654:14 1656:16 1660:16

**hawks'** 1570:1 1576:7 1579:16 1580:3 1623:23 1627:7 1655:15

**hazard** 1722:12 1727:17 1729:7 1730:11

hazards 1726:10

he'll 1708:12

head 1610:13 1663:2

headed 1658:11,14,16

heading 1602:9 1614:25

heads 1541:11 1558:22

**hear** 1528:22 1547:20 1640:3 1676:10,12,19 1677:9 1711:6,13 1728:22 1736:7 1746:15 1764:4,5,6

**heard** 1560:10 1605:2 1636:7,8 1664:7 1666:5 1670:3 1704:22 1719:15 1721:1 1732:19 1733:4 1740:23 1748:13 1762:17,19

hearing 1526:14 1528:9 1545:8,11 1547:22 1551:4,9 1556:13,25 1558:20 1575:13 1577:13 1638:20 1648:14 1661:23 1666:6 1673:12 1685:22 1686:4 1687:5 1719:18,19 1726:6 1733:1,3 1742:4,7,13 1743:5,19 1744:20 1745:19 1754:2

hearings 1530:22 1553:21 1719:24

heart 1652:21

**Heaven** 1526:13 1556:25 1558:5 1611:11 1628:4 1678:13,24 1712:19 1718:15



height 1580:1

helpers 1542:18

**helpful** 1541:11 1543:3 1549:25 1550:8 1598:4 1616:25 1621:5 1622:5 1637:16 1638:5 1725:5,25 1737:12,22 1738:19 1746:11 1752:14 1758:24

**helping** 1687:4 **helps** 1738:15

henceforth 1678:18

herd 1560:2

hesitant 1652:13 hesitate 1527:25 hesitated 1758:25

heterogeneous 1580:15

**Hey** 1574:4 1592:12 **hierarchy** 1609:5

**high** 1559:7 1562:11 1579:18 1582:6 1614:8 1635:17 1643:17 1649:4,15 1760:11

high-voltage 1683:22

higher 1576:19 1584:1 1681:21

highest 1576:14 highlighted 1546:13 highlights 1621:17

**highly** 1671:2

Hills 1611:11

hired 1713:18 1723:9

histor- 1614:14

**historic** 1593:12 1594:6 1600:23 1601:7,25 1602:3,6 1603:7 1613:22 1614:14,20 1615:5,24 1623:8 1627:7 1628:9 1630:8

**historical** 1600:2,15 1601:1,11,17, 22 1616:5

history 1634:23

hoary 1564:24 1632:17

hold 1640:20 1648:18 1742:10

**holds** 1567:5

home 1555:18 1611:22,24 1636:23

1637:19 1749:18

homework 1546:22 1761:18

**Honor** 1526:16,18,21 1527:17 1532:19 1533:7,17 1534:1,24 1535:16 1536:3,11,25 1537:6 1538:5,21 1539:24 1540:15 1541:25 1543:5 1546:4 1548:1 1550:8 1557:23 1559:21 1561:23 1562:13 1563:6 1577:4,9 1594:9,17 1597:8 1605:14 1607:4 1620:5 1621:3,11 1622:10,25 1624:8,20 1628:14,20 1634:18 1638:13 1651:23 1653:22 1671:15 1673:15 1676:21 1686:22 1687:16 1688:25 1689:23 1706:14 1708:15 1709:4 1710:17,22 1712:18 1719:5,7,12 1720:1 1724:6 1726:17 1732:14.17 1735:3.15 1736:12.23 1737:6 1739:10 1752:9 1753:17,25 1754:14 1756:15 1759:4 1761:24 1762:4

**hope** 1528:17 1577:18 1588:16 1632:9 1663:16 1671:9 1708:18 1724:10 1738:15 1761:9,20

hoped 1553:20

hoping 1728:21 1759:18

horizon 1723:15

horn 1644:21

**horned** 1644:6,14 1647:24 1651:20 1653:4

**Horse** 1526:13 1556:25 1558:5 1611:11 1628:4 1678:13,23 1712:19 1718:15

**hot** 1724:25 1729:12

**hour** 1530:11 1607:5 1676:22 1689:24

**hours** 1528:22 1605:10 1637:15 1670:4 1712:2

house- 1548:2

**housekeeping** 1526:12 1535:23 1550:1,6 1555:11 1734:6 1736:15 1743:25 1744:13,19 1759:14 1762:8

housing 1636:10

How's 1600:17

**human** 1569:21,23 1570:10 1580:5 1597:24 1644:10,18 1667:14,17

humane 1707:24 hundred 1582:11 hunt 1644:25

**hunting** 1574:5

**hurried** 1740:7

**hypothetical** 1578:12 1579:14

1580:21 1581:16

hypothetically 1616:17 1626:10

ı

ice 1579:13

idea 1549:18 1592:21 1663:17 1709:21 1727:1 1743:7 1762:18

ideal 1641:18,20

Ideally 1659:20 1760:1

ideas 1721:18

identified 1541:9 1559:19 1568:17 1569:1 1612:15 1614:1 1615:8 1617:5 1639:14 1644:1 1742:11 1754:9

**identify** 1560:10

identifying 1592:4 1602:6 1626:25 1645:24

**ignite** 1725:1 **ignites** 1729:12

ignore 1633:25

illuminative 1654:4

**illusion** 1650:23

imagine 1529:12,23 1568:1 1663:9 1735:14 1745:18

immediately 1576:24 1714:14 1741:25 1762:18

**impact** 1552:22 1566:17 1567:3 1584:20 1633:6 1635:2 1642:5 1647:4,6,8,23 1650:4 1663:20 1664:10,11,13 1740:22

impacted 1662:9 1665:8 1740:11

impacting 1646:4

impacts 1555:24 1562:1,3 1565:8, 18 1566:8 1567:7,13,14,23 1568:5 1617:5 1630:4,7 1632:17,19 1633:12 1646:23 1647:13 1662:23 1664:12,19,22,23 1665:4 1740:20 1745:22 1756:2



imperilled 1638:22

implement 1641:12 1683:25

implementation 1598:21

**implemented** 1569:7,25 1586:10

1599:2

implementing 1647:11

**implies** 1763:4

importance 1611:12

important 1562:16,24 1575:19 1591:8 1594:5 1626:19 1627:16,21 1628:11 1629:15,17 1633:13,16 1639:7 1708:16 1729:4 1751:3

imposed 1599:20

impossible 1617:22

**improper** 1715:25

improved 1728:9

improving 1731:12

in-depth 1646:20

in-line 1550:21,25

inadvertent 1756:13

inappropriate 1575:10

**include** 1554:2 1570:14

included 1615:9 1713:12 1743:7

1745:12 1751:4 1752:11

includes 1566:23 1601:4 1603:10

1678:10

including 1556:20 1611:2 1662:10 1682:2 1689:25 1731:1 1733:8

1739:5 1757:14

**incorporate** 1627:19 1628:7,9,12

1629:15,18 1633:13,16

incorporated 1572:1 1631:15

1633:9

incorporates 1628:5

incorporating 1630:8

incorrect 1592:18 1716:10 1719:4

increase 1573:6 1722:11

**increases** 1644:20

increasing 1583:23

incredibly 1666:14 1710:1

incursion 1667:14

index 1752:15

indicating 1590:23

indication 1575:16

indications 1532:3

indicative 1575:4

indices 1752:10

indiscernible 1682:8,14

individual 1552:8 1554:25 1555:7 1636:1 1641:6 1664:8,9,12 1745:8

individuals 1641:8

industry 1603:14 1680:6 1709:15,

19 1710:4 1713:15,25 1731:10

industrywide 1726:12

**influence** 1748:17

influenced 1576:22

influences 1568:9

inform 1719:3

information 1554:20 1590:17

1591:8 1593:5 1597:14 1599:22

1609:5,7,10,14 1610:25 1619:25

1622:18 1625:14,19 1626:9 1632:8 1666:25 1671:10 1689:14 1710:21

1714:23 1718:25 1720:6 1731:11

1733:23 1740:20 1743:15 1757:8

1762:15 1763:8

informational 1706:18

informative 1625:22

informed 1589:12 1593:1 1594:22

1707:8 1722:18 1761:3 1764:2

infrastructure 1603:7

initial 1564:3 1568:2 1570:24 1578:7 1584:16 1589:20 1592:17

1657:4

initially 1587:21 1590:15 1657:7

1713:8

inquisitive 1759:9

**insects** 1640:2

inside 1580:7 1666:21

insight 1571:6

install 1663:11 1717:17

installation 1631:3 1632:3 1721:8

1727:15,18

installed 1630:17 1631:6 1632:6

1718:6

installing 1723:12

instance 1603:5,6 1621:6 1652:20

insufficient 1599:21

integral 1604:18 1712:21

integration 1683:25

intend 1714:10 1715:4 1727:18,22

1749:24

intended 1577:8 1652:7 1726:22

1727:2,7 1736:10

intending 1713:1 1714:19

intends 1760:24

intense 1530:21 1641:7

intent 1763:6

intention 1718:7 1731:13 1762:25

interaction 1596:7 1639:8

interagency 1731:1

interest 1624:11 1663:19

interested 1662:9

interesting 1571:9 1594:23 1595:4

1643:8 1654:23 1659:25

interface 1714:17 1723:11,12

interfere 1667:6

interject 1541:22,24

internal 1609:17 1639:22 1728:13

internal-type 1728:10

interpret 1553:15

interpretation 1598:2

interrupt 1567:19 1605:17 1676:11

1679:25

**intervene** 1534:19

**introduce** 1638:10 1646:16 1673:18

1688:21 1707:15 1708:9 1711:19

1712:13

introduced 1706:17

introducing 1715:16

Page 1785Index: introduction..Labor

introduction 1561:18 1562:5 1710:11 1715:10

introductions 1727:6

invading 1671:9

invasive 1582:7 1650:1

invested 1638:23 investigate 1719:21

investor-owned 1681:22

**invitation** 1735:11 **involve** 1717:23

**involved** 1652:14 1712:20 1726:10 1727:14

involvement 1530:21

ion 1530:19 1713:25 1717:6 1722:8 1730:24

**issue** 1542:2 1543:7 1587:11 1597:6 1632:1 1644:11 1656:25 1678:12,23 1680:19

issued 1597:15 1679:10

**issues** 1550:15 1673:22 1681:11 1737:11 1741:3 1748:19 1757:15,16

**issuing** 1599:12 1679:12

item 1705:7 1758:16

**items** 1544:8 1545:7 1555:11 1743:25

J

jackrabbits 1576:9

James 1625:17

**Jansen** 1531:14 1540:13 1574:8,9 1593:5 1627:18 1656:18

Jansen's 1572:2 1643:9

**January** 1763:2

**Jerry** 1530:7 1686:18,24 1688:6,10, 21 1704:22

**Jim** 1576:5

John 1751:24

**John's** 1552:10

join 1759:18

joined 1527:3

joining 1538:16 1721:6 1744:22

joins 1558:15 Jones 1687:8

Jones' 1686:22

journal 1597:15 1609:13

judge 1526:5,10,19,22,25 1528:2 1529:12,22 1530:1,16,23 1532:8,12, 22,25 1533:5,12 1534:9,18 1535:5, 11,18 1536:13 1537:8,12,22,25 1538:6,22,25 1539:4,14 1540:1,12, 24 1541:18,23 1542:1,6,9,23 1543:9,13,20 1544:7 1545:2,5,21 1546:7 1547:3,17 1548:2,23 1549:1, 7,12,13,22 1550:5 1551:7 1553:14 1554:6 1555:20 1556:9,17 1557:20 1558:8,11,14 1559:22 1560:2,18,20 1561:2,17 1562:4 1563:1 1574:22 1575:13 1577:6,10 1594:11,14 1595:3 1597:10 1605:3,16,25 1606:24 1607:8,20 1621:7,13 1622:11,21 1624:11 1628:16,22 1629:4 1634:11 1638:9 1646:15 1649:9 1651:12 1652:1 1653:10,18 1659:2,5 1661:20 1662:3 1665:15 1668:4,7,10 1669:9,22 1670:1,10, 13,18 1671:4 1672:1,5,10,14 1673:3,17 1674:6,10,18,20 1675:6, 10,12 1684:10,21 1685:12,18 1686:13 1687:1,4,10,18 1688:8,10, 20 1689:8 1690:4 1704:21 1706:4, 15,24 1707:1,7 1708:21 1710:23,25 1711:9,23 1712:1,6,9,13 1715:6,9 1719:14 1720:10,23 1723:1 1724:2 1726:15 1730:16 1732:8,18 1733:10 1734:25 1735:10,16,22 1736:14,24 1737:24 1738:20 1739:3,20 1740:5 1741:12 1742:17,19 1744:5,11,18 1745:23 1746:4,8,22 1747:8 1750:9, 23 1751:11,21 1752:6,13 1753:7,11, 22 1754:5,11,18 1756:6,17,22,25 1757:10 1758:15,19 1759:20 1762:5,10,16,24 1763:10

**judge's** 1738:11

judgment 1743:2

judicial 1553:1

July 1567:21 1716:7 1718:3

jump 1534:2 1677:13

**jumping** 1655:25

juncture 1562:14

**June** 1677:19

jurisdiction 1575:1

jurisdictions 1680:12,18

jury 1761:10

justification 1742:14

juvenile 1644:1

Κ

Kathleen 1526:4 1557:4

**Kennedy** 1751:24

key 1554:2

kicked 1666:3

kills 1639:9

kilometers 1562:24 1641:17

kind 1529:9 1531:6,22 1536:3,9 1537:1,3 1543:1 1561:19 1568:21 1581:21 1593:22 1595:12 1620:20 1632:1 1633:8 1649:17 1666:19 1671:1 1711:21 1725:10 1729:16 1743:19 1748:5 1755:11 1759:10,12 1761:8.19

kinds 1752:24

knew 1714:11,15 1718:3

**knowing** 1626:5 1629:25 1631:18 1633:15 1714:2

**knowledge** 1598:25 1604:8 1610:19 1615:1 1628:3 1631:18 1633:21 1657:11 1679:14 1680:22 1683:10 1723:7 1726:4

**Kobus** 1529:22 1530:2 1685:20 1704:25 1705:18,19 1706:1,4,15,22 1707:1,16 1708:1,9 1709:6 1711:7, 13,19,25 1712:3,6,11,18 1715:6 1718:19 1720:25 1721:5 1724:7 1726:18 1730:20 1732:9,14,15,24 1733:12 1734:12 1735:16 1740:16 1742:21

Kobus's 1716:20 1745:4

**Krupin** 1544:9

L

**Labor** 1741:23



Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Adjudicative Hearing - August 25, 2023 Page 1786Index: Laboratory..loaded

Laboratory 1715:7

Lacey 1526:3

lack 1652:21 1662:20

**lacking** 1632:8

lag 1721:14

**Lally** 1534:23 1535:2 1537:15

land 1652:24 1682:21

Landing 1725:16

lands 1647:25

landscape 1570:1 1584:1,14 1586:11,13,19,22 1588:2,6,19 1604:6 1625:23 1633:23 1651:4 1663:10

landscapes 1570:12 1576:1

1582:15

language 1681:15

**laptop** 1678:3

large 1637:19 1751:19 1752:1

**larger** 1553:16,22 1554:2,15,24 1612:1,3 1662:14 1663:3,6 1748:23, 24 1749:1

lark 1647:24

Larson 1623:18 Larson 1625:6

last-minute 1719:20

late 1530:12 1631:3 1736:12

1737:13 1748:12

latest 1726:11 latitude 1598:2

law 1526:5 1528:25 1544:18 1560:2,

18 1674:18 1687:4 1688:8 1706:24

1738:11

laws 1654:17

lawyer 1565:22

lawyers 1555:16 1562:8

lay 1570:18

layouts 1662:12

**lead** 1624:15 1626:16

leader 1545:15 1689:2

**leadership** 1546:2,11

leading 1624:9 1628:15

leads 1723:10

learn 1604:7 1647:9

**learned** 1567:11 1613:23 1617:14 1625:19,22 1634:20 1640:12 1708:13 1711:3 1714:24 1720:14

lease 1649:21

leave 1580:19 1581:11 1594:25

1629:8 1649:16

leaves 1535:13

led 1590:12 1625:15 1630:22

leeway 1597:25

left 1528:20 1531:18 1638:5

legal 1714:15 1737:16,21 1738:8,17

legislature 1638:23

**Lehman** 1547:11

length 1552:18,22 1572:6 1748:18

lengths 1550:11

**Lenny** 1557:24 1651:13,16 1721:4 1724:4 1726:15,18 1727:5 1729:17

less-known 1638:20

lethal 1651:19 1652:13,18 1655:2

letter 1738:11 1757:2

**letters** 1598:16

**level** 1584:5 1588:14,16 1613:18 1614:25 1649:15 1655:6 1718:2

1749:7 1759:17

levels 1582:16

**Levitt** 1557:12 1649:9,10,12,13 1650:6 1651:9 1730:17,19,20

1731:8,21 1732:6

liberal 1737:20

life 1641:24 1727:22 1731:5

life- 1724:20

light 1633:20

likelihood 1562:11 1602:7 1647:20

likes 1629:5

likewise 1583:6

**limit** 1621:17 1665:16 1667:22 1749:4 1751:5 1753:13

limitation 1548:18

limitations 1666:17

**limited** 1568:10 1576:13 1582:25 1634:23 1673:3 1705:1,6,17 1707:15 1720:13 1751:3,6 1759:6

1763:17

limits 1615:1 1665:13

**Linda** 1547:10

**lines** 1550:16 1644:24 1650:3 1677:22 1678:7 1681:7,8,19 1682:17 1683:23 1709:6

link 1582:3

linked 1584:10

links 1553:4

**Lisa** 1757:22

list 1531:5 1532:20 1536:21 1539:1, 5 1543:1 1552:13 1559:4,8,16 1561:6 1611:19 1613:2 1686:16 1708:22 1736:17 1744:24 1745:2,4 1749:3

listed 1596:13 1602:18,20 1613:1

1679:7

listen 1675:22 1710:15

listening 1664:7 1757:22

listing 1672:23 1727:9

lists 1536:6

literally 1718:16

**literature** 1585:25 1586:3,19 1609:22,23 1611:25 1660:18

**lithium** 1530:19 1713:25 1717:6 1722:8 1730:23

Litigation 1686:2

live 1717:20 1736:7

lived 1642:7

lives 1643:3

living 1584:14

**Livingston** 1557:16,17 1634:16 1638:9,12,14 1639:11 1640:8 1641:16 1642:4,19 1643:19 1644:4, 17 1645:3,8,17 1646:6,14 1660:13

Livingston's 1651:18

loaded 1652:4



local 1564:22 1662:8 1727:21

locate 1548:8 1623:24 1624:3

**located** 1601:5

location 1603:7 1680:7

locations 1616:5 1678:10 1682:19

1683:2 1756:2

locust 1640:23

logical 1593:15

lone 1640:23

**long** 1543:6,18 1556:10 1590:19 1607:1 1641:24 1643:3 1644:11 1664:11 1689:21,22,23 1747:24 1750:7

long-distance 1683:23

**longer** 1583:24 1584:2 1614:18 1642:7 1690:6 1728:14,15

longevity 1641:23

Lonnie 1528:7 1530:24 1739:22

**looked** 1544:25 1575:21 1580:23 1730:2 1760:13

**Loop** 1526:2

Lord 1683:24

lose 1635:19 1642:17 1667:19

**loss** 1568:14 1583:5 1613:3,4

1643:17 1650:16

lost 1635:19 1636:1 1651:6

lot 1529:18 1550:24 1552:14,20 1553:3 1554:3 1558:24 1573:9 1611:25 1618:2 1619:20,21 1620:21 1631:25 1634:20 1636:8 1641:7 1643:16 1645:23,24 1647:12 1648:12 1654:4 1655:24 1656:11 1661:2 1664:7 1730:24 1749:12 1756:2

.....

lots 1568:22 1656:7

love 1599:18 1757:23

**low** 1571:24 1582:16 1635:12,16

1643:3 1648:19 1649:5

**lump** 1591:21

lunch 1530:11,12,13 1671:19

М

**machines** 1690:10

made 1529:6 1564:20 1572:4 1576:5 1589:25 1593:8 1601:24 1605:8 1615:4 1618:5 1633:20 1637:5,10 1644:23 1656:7,10 1658:23 1664:22 1671:6 1684:16 1687:8 1707:20 1718:13,15 1727:25 1740:10

magic 1690:10

magnitude 1635:9,17

mail 1749:14 main 1729:18

maintain 1591:17 1594:2

maintained 1593:22

maintenance 1725:18

major 1582:5,6 1625:25

majority 1583:3 1731:9

make 1529:8,21 1542:10 1545:1 1549:16 1552:10 1553:14 1554:17 1555:1 1570:3 1573:25 1590:9 1592:11,21 1602:19 1606:16 1615:13 1659:7 1670:23 1674:10 1676:24 1686:15 1707:22 1713:1 1714:12,20 1723:24 1725:1 1729:11 1732:16,22 1739:16 1742:12,14 1743:1 1746:11 1748:21 1754:3,6 1756:12 1760:25 1761:2 1764:2

**makes** 1591:7 1626:8 1661:15 1668:2 1750:24 1755:11

**making** 1553:10 1584:14 1588:7 1590:12 1613:15 1676:22 1705:10 1721:21 1730:7 1737:6 1739:15

**mammals** 1578:22 1580:17 1583:6 1584:20 1585:8

**manage** 1626:17 1636:13,14 1645:4 1732:2

managed 1636:18 1705:14

management 1604:3,5,9 1619:19 1623:16 1624:25 1626:3,13 1632:24

manager 1712:19

managing 1591:24 1593:20 1636:7 1646:8 1652:18 1655:1,6,9 1668:16,

manner 1713:4

manufacturers 1715:4 1722:1

**map** 1534:25 1588:3 1664:2,3 1745:12 1750:13

maps 1748:23

mark 1532:9 1533:15 1534:10 1541:13 1544:1 1546:21 1561:6 1620:6 1708:24

**marked** 1542:7 1544:10 1545:16 1687:12 1745:8

market 1662:21

marshal 1714:17 1719:2

Masengale 1531:4 1532:13 1533:15 1535:14,16 1536:15 1539:5 1540:2 1541:13 1542:9 1544:1 1548:23,25 1549:5,19 1550:4 1561:5 1563:16,18,24 1595:12 1608:1 1620:7 1705:8,14,21 1707:10,17,24 1708:3,18 1736:18 1744:22 1750:24 1754:22 1756:3, 12,18 1757:5,20 1758:1,19

**Masengale's** 1531:15 1539:8 1707:8 1758:22

master 1749:2

master's 1648:18

match 1612:14

matches 1536:23

**material** 1529:4 1530:20 1553:8 1554:1,9 1595:8 1620:22 1715:25 1716:15 1727:7 1734:17 1740:16,17 1749:3,4 1750:8

materially 1535:24

**materials** 1553:7,11 1602:21 1619:10 1666:8 1725:2 1729:13 1750:2,20 1751:16

**math** 1562:23 1563:3 1631:10 1635:20 1641:17

**matter** 1542:15 1550:1,6 1551:18 1563:14 1574:9 1627:18 1670:23 1716:18 1738:4 1739:19 1745:25 1747:8 1748:19 1752:10 1761:2,5

**matters** 1534:20 1535:24 1733:20 1750:5 1757:16

maximum 1731:14 Mcclain's 1537:2 Mcivor 1527:11 1530:2 1538:10,12 1539:2 1556:14,18 1559:3,10,19,23 1560:1,16,20 1561:23 1562:7 1563:10 1564:1 1575:11,15 1577:11 1594:13 1595:11,15 1597:8,12 1605:23 1606:25 1607:7,23 1608:2, 6 1620:9 1621:4 1623:3 1627:23 1629:11 1634:2,9,14,19 1638:10,14 1646:12,16,20 1649:13 1651:16 1653:11 1654:3 1658:2 1659:2 1662:7 1664:1 1665:12,18 1666:16 1669:8,9

Mcivor's 1539:11

Mcmahan 1526:16 1529:17,21,23, 24 1531:11 1532:5,6,10,18,19,23 1533:2,14,17,23 1534:5 1535:7,8 1539:23 1673:10,13,15,19 1676:4,5, 6,13,17,21 1677:1,23 1678:2,4,7 1681:6,9 1684:10,20,21 1705:11,24 1706:9,13 1707:10 1708:5,13 1709:3 1710:10,13,17,24 1711:8,12, 14,18 1715:16 1719:5 1720:1,11,24 1734:25 1735:2,11,13,15 1736:9,11 1742:19 1745:9,24 1754:19

Mcmahan's 1710:15

meaning 1533:25 1610:1 1614:9 1756:10

means 1660:1 1686:5

meant 1595:11 1660:10

measure 1572:23

measured 1552:8 1627:15

measurement 1747:18

**measures** 1584:23 1632:14 1637:16 1655:10

mechanically 1592:10

mechanisms 1639:13

meet 1608:2 1706:16 1722:1

**meeting** 1666:4 1706:18 1743:11 1748:14 1762:20

meetings 1755:17

meets 1713:2

mellifluent 1711:12

**member** 1527:15 1557:8,12,16,22 1558:3 1638:12 1640:8 1641:16 1642:4,19 1643:19 1644:4,17 1645:3,8,17 1646:6,19 1648:11 1649:6,12,14 1651:9,13,15 1653:9

1654:8,25 1660:13 1671:18 1689:25 1724:5,8 1725:4,24 1726:13,16 1727:24 1728:20 1729:9,24 1730:14,19,21 1731:8,21 1732:6 1736:2 1760:8,12 1761:4,10

member's 1749:20

members 1553:23 1555:1,8,14,17, 23 1556:6,20,23 1558:18 1560:24 1561:19 1562:10,12 1607:12 1634:13 1653:11 1684:23 1685:4 1689:10,13 1705:3,16 1707:13,19, 21,25 1720:25 1732:8 1735:3,10 1736:1,8 1743:10,21 1744:6,10 1749:15 1750:14,21 1751:15 1752:2 1759:6.8 1760:2

**memo** 1618:11,16,19 1627:24,25 1628:5 1629:24 1630:3 1632:16 1634:5 1750:14 1751:23 1762:7

memory 1600:18 1618:25

**Meninick** 1527:22 1530:7 1539:16 1541:20 1671:17 1685:19 1686:18, 24 1687:3,19 1688:6,11,22 1689:1, 7,16 1690:2 1704:23

Meninick's 1527:14

**mention** 1577:25 1578:1 1633:7 1647:1 1680:25 1724:11

mentioned 1638:18 1641:2 1644:18 1665:7 1726:1

merits 1746:9

message 1643:14 1661:1

**met** 1706:17

method 1717:1

methodology 1709:13

**methods** 1717:1 1726:22 1727:2 1730:3.7

metric 1563:2

**MFT** 1549:20

micro-siting 1679:22 1680:6

middle 1544:16 1716:12 1717:24

midst 1719:19

migration 1649:1

migratory 1565:4

mike 1557:16 1638:14 1661:24

**miles** 1562:24 1588:4 1589:16 1591:15 1627:7 1641:19,20 1658:17 1664:11 1683:4

Page 1788Index: Mcivor..modular

million 1638:23

mind 1554:1 1575:10 1590:19 1663:17 1714:11 1725:6 1745:13

minds 1655:8 1743:11 1755:18

mine 1676:19

Minelli 1544:20 1545:25

Minelli's 1545:6 minimal 1725:3

minimization 1645:25

**minimize** 1644:23 1647:13,20 1664:22

minimum 1612:18

minor 1562:23 1673:4

**minus** 1551:25

minute 1551:14

**minutes** 1605:19 1607:6 1618:16 1686:8 1689:21 1707:19 1743:22 1744:12 1754:19

missed 1535:21 misspoke 1543:14

misstep 1529:9 mistake 1595:17

mitigate 1562:2 1630:4 1643:18

**mitigates** 1632:17

**mitigation** 1566:23 1584:23 1616:15 1617:4,8 1632:14 1645:25 1651:20 1656:3,9 1727:17 1730:11

mix 1667:1 mixed 1537:1

**Mm-hmm** 1564:14 1571:1 1578:2, 24 1581:24 1584:6 1645:7 1682:7

**modeling** 1572:2 1681:12

moderated 1639:16

**modifications** 1627:25 1630:3 1753:13

modified 1628:4 1674:23 modular 1718:17 1735:12



modularization 1735:4

modularized 1725:17

modules 1724:19

moisture 1583:18 1584:1

moment 1608:6 1649:17 1656:23

1718:14

Monday 1548:5 1689:24

money 1752:2

monitoring 1572:24 1574:13,18 1603:14,19,22,24 1604:24 1605:18 1606:8 1632:22 1642:21 1653:3 1654:9 1660:15

monotype 1583:8 1667:12

Montana 1681:21 1683:20 1684:6

**month** 1552:5 1669:5 1674:3 1709:12 1733:1

months 1723:9 1731:1

**Moon** 1627:24,25 1628:5 1629:24 1630:3 1632:16 1750:14 1751:23

Morgan 1531:17

morning 1526:10,15,17,19,20 1527:1,7,11,17,21 1528:21 1556:22 1558:13 1560:1 1563:15 1608:3,5, 14 1611:2 1612:24 1631:8 1635:4 1639:10 1650:5 1651:15,25 1659:14 1664:7 1669:4 1672:6 1676:18 1736:15 1744:23 1745:14 1747:10,

morphology 1580:4

**mortalities** 1569:8,14 1632:24 1640:6 1642:23 1643:4 1647:21 1648:10 1660:16,22

**mortality** 1569:2 1613:3 1642:5,10, 11,13 1643:16 1644:15 1647:21 1648:4,7,15,20 1661:2,6 1664:25 1665:2.10

Moss 1725:15

**motion** 1543:22 1554:11,12,14 1677:6 1705:12,22 1708:23 1719:6, 8 1737:5 1739:15 1741:14,16 1746:5

**motions** 1554:20 1741:18,25 1742:11 1746:21,23 1756:20

mouth 1615:15

**move** 1545:14 1548:22 1550:9 1551:5 1573:4 1588:22,23 1616:23 1621:16 1624:12 1628:23 1659:9 1667:17 1680:14 1681:1 1684:11 1689:17 1690:9 1719:10 1721:20 1734:7

moved 1552:21 1644:12

movement 1612:7 1709:18

movements 1637:20 1670:25

**moving** 1528:18 1573:9 1619:24 1639:15 1648:13

**multiple** 1611:1 1613:2,25 1615:9 1733:16

multiplied 1635:9

**Murphy's** 1528:25 1544:18,19

**mute** 1649:10 1661:24 1686:19 1711:22 1712:10,15 1715:19 1734:13

mutual 1534:13

Ν

**nail** 1589:15

name's 1730:20

narrative 1607:2

narratives 1605:24

**narrow** 1664:16

narrower 1596:16

narrowing 1565:2

**Nation** 1534:19 1541:19 1550:6 1560:12 1608:14 1685:1 1688:2 1689:2,5,16 1704:23 1736:4 1737:9 1739:5,7,15 1741:5 1758:7

Nation's 1633:8 1737:4 1756:11

National 1722:24

**native** 1582:8,16,17,23 1583:2,4,23 1650:1 1655:20 1667:3

**natural** 1557:18,24 1573:5 1651:14 1726:19

**nature** 1682:18 1689:19 1707:15 1740:7,12 1741:9 1745:6 1753:8

**necessarily** 1536:19 1550:23 1588:5 1614:12,19 1615:7 1617:3 1655:7 1745:1 1748:25 neck 1747:22

**needed** 1549:23 1666:5 1677:18 1708:10 1716:10 1717:18 1723:18 1738:24 1748:10

negative 1558:22 1568:8

neglected 1577:25 negotiated 1719:2 negotiating 1747:17

**nest** 1571:3,13,20 1573:5,23 1576:14,18,22 1577:14 1591:17 1593:12 1601:7,25 1602:3 1603:24 1614:1,3,11,15,17 1615:9 1616:24 1617:12 1628:8 1630:8,12 1631:2,7, 14 1653:1 1654:10 1655:25 1656:2

**nesting** 1570:4 1571:17 1572:11,15 1574:23 1575:21,22 1576:4,10,13 1593:8,16 1600:7 1627:8 1630:15, 16,18,20,21 1631:19,20 1632:3 1636:12,23 1637:23 1640:22,25 1641:11 1756:2

nestlings 1644:16

**nests** 1562:22 1570:25 1573:16 1574:3 1589:17 1600:2,4,12,15 1601:1,12,17,22 1602:15 1613:22 1628:10 1644:15 1649:25 1653:5

net 1569:10 nets 1640:14

Neutzmann 1587:20 1589:16

Neutzmann's 1587:12

**Nevada** 1575:25

newer 1614:21

**nexus** 1582:2,3

**NFPA** 1714:25 1722:20,23 1723:4 1727:12

**nice** 1669:12,16 1706:16,19

**night** 1545:7 1736:12 1742:1,5

**ninth** 1543:21

**nodding** 1541:11

Nonetheless 1664:23

nonresponsive 1594:10 1595:2,5

nontechnical 1710:1

noon 1670:2

**Northwest** 1681:22

**Nos** 1540:7 1544:3 1561:7 1675:2 1688:16

notation 1540:14

**note** 1531:23 1535:21 1540:6 1542:10 1545:1 1562:25 1565:11,16 1566:15,22 1567:2 1585:23 1605:23 1624:14 1629:1 1671:16

**noted** 1565:7 1567:21 1568:2,3 1577:23 1578:7 1585:15 1733:11

**notes** 1531:15 1533:6,10,16 1534:11 1745:14

Nothing's 1746:5

**notice** 1679:3

notion 1747:20,24

**nuance** 1571:7 1577:12

**nuanced** 1589:12 1592:25 1594:21 1602:12

**number** 1532:22 1540:19 1568:9,16 1569:9,11 1572:12 1582:7 1591:18 1618:1,8 1624:2 1639:13 1643:4 1656:7 1661:6 1678:10 1732:20 1740:24 1745:1 1751:2

**numbers** 1543:24 1591:13 1624:1 1643:3 1644:6.21

numerical 1531:11

## 0

oath 1687:20 1706:5,7,9,12

**object** 1621:4 1624:9 1629:3 1710:16 1715:22 1719:6 1743:1

objected 1619:1 1705:5

**objecting** 1618:17

**objection** 1539:19,21,23 1541:12 1543:19 1597:4 1605:2 1622:10 1624:14 1658:25 1659:6 1670:24 1671:3 1712:7 1716:2,14,18 1720:20 1732:16,23 1733:6,20 1734:3

objection's 1733:11

objections 1531:2 1740:7 1746:9

objectives 1626:25obligation 1760:14

obligations 1760:17

obliterated 1582:23

observation 1679:18 1755:2

observations 1572:4

obstacle 1570:8

**obtained** 1625:15

obvious 1759:23

occasional 1667:14

occupancy 1571:21,24

**occupied** 1571:23 1572:12,14 1613:22 1614:3,17,18 1615:8 1623:23

occupy 1591:17

**occur** 1568:10 1582:14,21 1583:25 1584:3 1640:6 1728:10 1729:6 1732:4

occurred 1558:20 1568:17 1575:24 1630:15,20 1631:20 1650:9 1725:23

occurring 1582:20,22 1635:9

October 1748:12,15 1762:12,17 1764:5

odd 1640:4

**odds** 1637:10

off-limit 1677:8

offense 1629:12

**offer** 1542:22 1562:15 1571:6 1572:23 1576:1 1578:22 1579:2 1580:16 1667:12 1713:5 1730:22 1741:17 1758:2

**offered** 1532:2,9,13 1535:9,12 1537:16 1538:2 1539:9 1541:9 1542:7,14,18 1562:14 1572:24 1576:2

offering 1535:6 1576:19 1757:18

offers 1576:24 1656:11

**office** 1686:23 1738:18,21 1749:18

**officer** 1546:9,17 1738:3,4 1739:16,

18 1758:4

offices 1554:25 1671:17,25

official 1546:17 1672:9

officially 1626:7

**offset** 1587:10,13,21,22 1590:20 1591:20 1593:1 1623:7,12,25 1624:25 1627:6,19 1628:6,7,13 1657:5 1668:20

offsets 1589:9 1591:2 1611:3

**older** 1614:20 1619:10

on-the-record 1763:22

**one's** 1532:25 1540:14 1545:13 1635:7

one-mile 1562:22 1595:18

**one-size-** 1659:16

one-size-fits-all 1587:12

one-year 1615:3

ongoing 1647:12 1714:2,12,16

1717:5

**online** 1526:15 1754:24 1755:15,19

1757:12 1758:14

**open** 1579:23,24 1581:13 1594:2,25 1647:24 1654:8 1678:3 1763:22

open-ended 1678:12,23

open-record 1704:22

**opening** 1755:5

operation 1606:11 1636:22

operational 1604:14

operations 1718:9

operator 1637:4

**opinion** 1565:12 1567:22 1584:19 1617:2 1619:8,12 1621:12,14 1622:4 1626:6 1627:9 1628:11 1629:14 1631:18 1632:2 1668:22 1684:3

opinions 1666:20 1740:18

opportunistic 1573:22

**opportunities** 1571:17 1576:1,4, 10,21,23 1579:7 1580:17 1598:22 1630:18 1645:1

**opportunity** 1547:21 1555:3,10 1562:14 1571:9 1604:7 1608:18 1617:6 1627:23 1636:2 1638:1 1650:13 1653:5 1659:24 1660:3 1675:21 1716:16,17 1719:20,23 1720:3 1723:24 1739:25 1740:13,17 1741:7 1750:19 1755:13



**opposed** 1541:8

optimal 1713:3 1732:3

option 1636:7,21 1721:21

options 1586:8 1636:15 1640:22

oral 1746:19

orally 1598:13

**order** 1615:12 1656:8 1671:17,20 1672:18 1674:23 1683:25 1742:2,6

1757:2 1763:5,18

orders 1673:7 1753:24

ordinarily 1647:15

Oregon 1642:23

organization 1597:16 1609:16

original 1590:5 1595:4 1604:23

1659:15 1683:1 1722:3

origination 1724:21

**Osborne** 1557:8,9 1721:4 1724:3,5,

7 1725:4,24 1726:13

**outcome** 1559:1

outlet 1609:13

outstanding 1745:21

overburdened 1552:10

overcommit 1527:24

overhead 1644:24

**Overruled** 1622:11

oversee 1637:4

oversees 1637:5

oversimplified 1581:13

oversize 1553:8

overstepping 1598:25

owl 1644:14,21 1654:16

owls 1644:6 1651:20 1653:4

1654:11

oxygen-fed 1722:13

Ρ

p.m. 1686:11 1744:16 1764:11

Pacific 1681:22

**pages** 1531:8 1550:14,17 1551:12 1552:21 1621:20 1623:25 1705:23 1707:11 1748:19,21,25 1752:15,18,

25

pair 1614:4,10 1636:23

pair's 1637:23 1641:6

pairs 1600:6 1635:16

Pam 1544:20 1545:6

panacea 1573:10 1641:2

panel 1579:21 1760:2

panels 1666:11,18

**paper** 1553:22 1555:8,13,15,16 1556:6 1609:7 1643:9 1749:12,16

paper-oriented 1555:5

paperless 1555:21

papers 1592:17

paragraph 1578:14 1631:1

parallel 1714:11 1733:21

parameters 1550:9

parcel 1663:6

part 1562:23 1583:21 1593:6 1604:18 1648:24 1651:20 1667:5 1673:9 1676:1 1683:7 1707:21 1716:14,18 1720:8 1725:9 1727:16 1733:17 1739:7

parte 1558:19,24

partially 1544:10,14

participants 1686:16 1689:17

participate 1689:18

participation 1744:2,3 1759:5,17

1760:20

parties 1535:25 1539:19 1540:18 1541:2,7 1543:23 1549:15 1551:2,8 1552:15 1554:7 1556:21 1559:18 1629:2 1669:3 1673:8 1676:9 1684:5,22 1685:23 1688:22 1704:25 1705:5 1709:5 1732:11,25 1734:7 1735:22 1738:8 1741:15 1742:25 1743:25 1747:24 1750:4,13 1753:11 1754:17 1756:19 1757:4 1758:8 1761:9 1764:2

partners 1571:20

partnership 1613:13

parts 1568:17 1577:2 1612:4

party 1528:13 1539:21 1545:5

1546:11,17 1552:16 1559:22 1734:9 1746:16 1747:3,4 1748:20 1756:13

1757:14 1762:9

party's 1554:11 1751:4

past 1538:12 1669:5 1690:8 1730:25

1749:3 1763:23

patience 1529:10 1608:10

pattern 1589:6

pause 1607:17 1682:16 1686:10

1744:12,15

paying 1757:7

peak 1722:20

peer 1609:8,12,18,20

peer-review 1611:25

peer-reviewed 1597:15 1609:13,21

1619:3,13

penalty 1657:9

pending 1544:17 1740:2 1747:9

people 1530:10,12 1556:3 1683:10

1688:3 1725:18 1746:13

people's 1564:11 1723:7

perceive 1576:18 1586:17 1588:2

perceived 1586:10

percent 1571:25 1572:14 1635:21

percentage 1572:13 1601:11

perch 1576:19 1644:24

perfect 1549:22 1611:9 1612:14

perfecting 1747:13

perfectly 1611:3

performed 1730:11

**performs** 1631:14

period 1584:2

periodically 1585:13 1631:14

**perjury** 1657:9

**Perlmutter** 1526:17 1543:4,5,16,18 1559:20 1560:10 1561:21 1562:5 1563:3,5,9,13,16,22,25 1564:1 1572:20 1573:11,13 1574:22 1575:9 1577:4,8,11 1594:9,12,17 1595:9,15



1597:7,12 1605:10,14,22 1606:3,4, 22 1613:21 1620:10 1621:3 1622:9 1624:8,14 1628:14,22,25 1653:18, 22 1654:2 1658:1,3 1659:7,10 1661:18 1668:16 1669:24

**Perlmutter's** 1595:6 1608:20 1623:16

permit 1598:21 1679:11

permit's 1680:10

permits 1678:9,12,18,23 1679:3

permitting 1678:12 1679:22

person 1720:11

**personal** 1590:24 1616:10 1618:3 1625:7,9,11 1670:24

personnel 1724:20

perspective 1643:1 1727:8 1759:16

persuasion 1751:20 pertaining 1623:7

pertinent 1750:20

petition 1534:19 1535:3 1760:8

phase 1711:24

**phone** 1670:9 1672:11 1673:21 1677:16,25 1681:4

photograph 1664:1,4 photography 1749:25

phrase 1573:23 1609:2 1680:2

PHS 1620:17 physical 1725:10 physically 1580:9 picture 1633:24

**piece** 1546:19 1572:3 1705:4 1735:8 1745:5

pieces 1573:9 pin 1621:24

pixelation 1749:6

**place** 1571:12 1573:3 1588:15 1593:15 1616:8 1618:3 1632:21 1648:18 1649:21 1652:19 1683:21 1689:17 places 1594:4 1683:4,8

placing 1573:16

**plan** 1533:20 1534:1,12 1616:15 1678:9,19 1725:19 1731:24 1746:1

plane 1529:7 planes 1670:3

**planned** 1604:20,21 1607:4 1684:25

planning 1563:10 1566:5 1626:24

**plans** 1731:15

plant 1582:7 1666:18

**planted** 1578:21 1579:10 1580:14, 15 1667:1

planting 1650:1 1655:20 1666:11

plants 1583:23 plate 1627:1

Plateau 1569:11 1574:19 1648:1

**platform** 1573:5 1576:14 1640:25 1653:1,4 1654:10,11

**platforms** 1571:13,18,20,22,23 1572:3,7,11,15 1573:3,24 1574:24 1575:22 1576:11,18,22 1616:24 1617:12 1630:12,16,21 1631:2,4,5, 8,11,15,17,20 1632:4,9 1640:13,14, 19 1655:25 1656:3

pleasure 1684:19

plenty 1629:6 1743:14

**Poe** 1652:2

**point** 1528:21 1536:8,11 1543:6 1552:12 1562:25 1570:2,10 1572:9 1576:6 1577:16 1588:7 1593:9 1627:2,3 1630:6 1652:2 1658:10 1665:3 1679:6 1711:3,11 1721:19 1722:7 1727:19 1729:17 1733:6,9 1736:18 1740:25 1752:9 1754:25 1755:9 1761:15

**points** 1625:25 1659:7 1741:8 1753:18

poisoning 1568:16 1581:21

policy 1603:7 political 1546:11 polygon 1588:24 pontificated 1634:5

pontificating 1634:20

**population** 1564:22 1568:7 1573:4, 6 1592:5 1594:3 1635:21 1642:10, 16 1643:5,10,15,22,25 1644:2,6 1661:1,7 1664:20,24

**populations** 1571:4 1577:15 1580:18 1592:1 1645:6 1646:23 1648:9,14 1655:22 1665:2,9

portfolio 1681:24

**portion** 1566:2 1576:6 1685:21

**portions** 1617:15 1673:1 1732:21 1735:12 1755:7

**pose** 1670:24 1671:3

posed 1634:3 1738:1

poses 1578:3

**position** 1536:3,12 1613:2 1617:17 1652:16 1653:7 1745:10 1760:11 1761:17

positive 1559:1 1650:4 possibilities 1761:20

**possibly** 1527:23,25 1575:25 1585:5 1730:9

**post** 1758:13

post- 1575:4

**post-construction** 1574:13,18 1603:18,22,24 1604:14 1606:8 1642:21 1648:3

post-constructive 1660:14

**post-hearing** 1551:22 1552:17 1685:24 1747:9 1748:8 1753:12 1755:7 1757:25 1758:3

post-hearings 1550:9

posted 1757:12 1763:19

postmortem 1725:22

**potential** 1565:18 1567:3,6,13,23 1630:7 1650:4 1662:23 1709:17 1726:9 1747:4

potentially 1565:19 1573:18 1602:17 1644:20 1663:5 1681:11

Poulos 1675:20 1677:2,10

Poulos's 1673:24 1674:2 1675:22

power 1540:13 1541:4 1644:24

prac- 1679:25

practical 1731:14

**practice** 1678:8 1680:6,22 1752:23

1753:2

practices 1575:4 1679:22

**pray** 1580:7 **pre-** 1676:1

**precinct** 1546:9,16 **precludes** 1558:24

**predation** 1579:2 1586:15 1643:21 1652:17

predators 1574:3 1576:20 1652:23,

predetermine 1606:17 predominantly 1637:23

preface 1677:1

prefer 1540:19 1550:21

preferable 1743:20

preferably 1748:1

preference 1663:13

**preferred** 1579:17 1640:25

prefiled 1556:4 1687:11 1736:5

prehearing 1753:24 1755:3

prejudiced 1740:8

preliminaries 1556:19

preparation 1719:19 1740:9

prepared 1561:24 1622:15 1677:24

preplanned 1676:1

preponderance 1586:4

presence 1569:23 1576:21 1582:9

1585:16 1599:3 1644:10

**present** 1556:18 1557:9,17,25 1558:4,18 1570:8 1579:6 1663:5 1688:23 1736:10 1740:14

presentations 1740:10 1744:10

**presented** 1535:20 1619:25 1625:5 1733:12,17,24 1734:17 1737:1

1740:16 1743:15

**preserve** 1687:25

preserving 1598:22 1615:24

presiding 1738:2,4 1739:15,18

press 1624:17 1719:24 1751:25

pressing 1745:25

pressure 1547:19 1639:18,21

**presume** 1673:10 **presuming** 1555:13

pretty 1555:21 1562:11 1645:9

1755:3,6

prevent 1729:16

**previous** 1546:17 1598:8 1614:23

1729:10

previously 1675:18 1707:14 1722:5

**prey** 1568:14 1576:8,9,20 1579:24 1581:5,11,19,23 1583:6 1584:8,12 1612:6,8,9,11 1613:4 1654:13 1655:15,22

preyed 1581:14

primarily 1576:7,8,9 1647:23

**primary** 1582:3

principal 1639:14

printer 1749:18,21

prior 1675:20 1731:16

priorities 1667:7

**priority** 1597:22 1610:1,6 1623:17

1626:4 1681:22

**privy** 1681:9

pro 1763:24

probability 1602:4 1635:8,15

**problem** 1545:8 1546:16 1575:25 1583:21 1585:12 1596:4 1635:16 1637:13 1643:22 1652:21

problematic 1644:3

**problems** 1582:5,6 1644:14 1676:11 1725:23 1726:9

procedure 1743:16 1753:6

procedures 1757:23

proceed 1560:13 1626:14 1675:7

1679:3 1721:20

proceeding 1608:15 1689:12

1711:4,24 proceedings 1526:6 1529:1

1607:17 1686:10 1716:13 1737:20 1740:6,8,12 1741:9 1744:15 1750:3

1764:10

**process** 1567:11 1568:13 1602:5 1617:15 1618:1 1620:15 1687:7 1713:10 1715:22 1720:14 1733:21

1747:12 1755:16,24 1757:9

processes 1734:1 1763:25

procure 1714:4,5 1722:2 1727:18

procurement 1714:20 1723:10,23

procuring 1727:20

prod 1676:24

**producing** 1669:14

**product** 1568:8

products 1728:23,25

professional 1619:8,12 1622:4

1656:23

profile 1684:7

profoundly 1584:11

program 1689:4

progress 1714:7

**project** 1526:13 1557:1 1558:6 1564:16 1566:16 1567:16 1568:5, 11,23 1569:25 1577:23 1584:20

1586:9,10 1588:18 1593:7 1594:1 1598:21 1599:2 1600:13,21,23

1601:17 1606:11 1611:14 1612:16

1619:15 1627:20,22 1628:1,4

1632:13,15,19 1633:6 1635:12

1636:23 1637:1,6 1644:19 1645:2,

19 1646:2 1647:1,2,19,22,23 1648:5,21 1651:21 1659:18 1660:4

1661:10 1662:10 1664:4,10,12,25

1679:7 1682:1,6,14 1706:17 1712:18,20,24 1723:11 1726:1

1727:23 1731:17 1740:22 1741:2

**project's** 1562:1 1565:8,18 1566:8 1567:3 1590:2 1617:5

projects 1647:8,11 1652:14 1679:4

**promise** 1640:21

1682:8 1726:3 1732:2

promised 1665:12

prong- 1633:4

**pronghorn** 1585:3,7 1633:4,7,12 1646:21

proof 1741:17

proper 1565:13 1713:4

properties 1756:1 1757:16

proposal 1604:1 1662:12,14

1754:21

proposals 1656:11

propose 1596:6 1607:9 1685:19

**proposed** 1568:5 1577:14,23 1584:23 1594:1 1599:23 1611:14 1617:9 1623:12 1644:19 1656:3 1658:18 1662:11 1742:20 1746:24

**proposes** 1627:25

protecting 1655:10

**protection** 1579:2 1654:17 1713:15 1722:25 1757:1

protections 1656:12

proved 1650:21

proven 1632:4,6

**Proverbs** 1720:18

**provide** 1560:8 1626:12 1630:19 1649:22 1663:10,12 1688:13 1718:16 1720:7 1729:8 1749:25 1750:4

**provided** 1548:11 1551:13 1561:25 1564:4 1577:13 1620:14 1673:21, 22,23 1718:25 1740:23

providing 1573:23 1667:8 1720:6

proximity 1593:12,17 1594:1

1602:1

prudent 1729:14

**public** 1529:5 1545:8,10,14 1546:14 1559:8 1563:3 1631:10 1632:8 1635:20 1646:24 1689:13 1731:11 1755:19 1758:4,5

publication 1630:22

**publications** 1591:6 1622:2

1667:16

publicly 1597:14 1634:24 1671:10

**published** 1597:1,5,13,18 1598:17 1620:1,2 1626:8 1755:19

**publishes** 1609:25

**pull** 1533:16 1618:16 1620:7 1677:24 1760:6

pulling 1677:18

**pumpkins** 1762:18

pun 1577:8 1652:7

punch 1645:18

purpose 1543:3 1751:8

pursuant 1746:2

**pursue** 1612:18

pushed 1546:14

**put** 1535:25 1538:15,23 1541:3 1558:19 1561:19 1570:18 1571:10, 19,23 1572:3 1574:2 1581:1 1584:4 1591:13 1593:5 1595:13 1600:18 1609:1 1615:15 1624:16 1634:13 1641:21 1642:20 1643:1 1649:4 1657:14 1690:5 1708:7 1718:8 1727:7 1728:2 1743:24 1750:13 1751:20 1754:9,24 1755:15

**Puts** 1761:16

**putting** 1534:7 1576:11 1649:24 1663:25

Q

qualifying 1586:5

qualms 1655:3

quantification 1567:13

quantified 1567:3,22

**quantifies** 1565:17 1567:6 1584:20 1632:13 1633:6

quantify 1569:4 1664:15

quarter 1744:21

ques- 1567:7

**question** 1528:18 1532:5 1534:9 1535:12 1537:14 1547:21 1548:5,19 1555:19 1567:8 1569:20 1574:11 1575:8,12,19 1577:22 1582:1 1585:5,10,13 1592:7 1594:16 1595:2,5,7 1596:11 1597:9 1598:9 1599:14,22 1601:3,14 1603:17 1610:10 1621:23 1624:18,22 1627:4 1629:11,14 1633:9 1635:5 1639:11 1642:18 1643:9 1650:6 1651:10 1652:5 1653:2,7 1654:9 1659:21 1660:8 1661:13,17 1663:16,23 1665:3 1666:5 1668:16 1677:21 1680:3,9 1716:21 1718:7,14,19,20 1721:10,17,23 1726:5,14 1727:5,25 1729:3,25 1730:9 1731:7 1732:15 1734:20 1735:25 1738:1 1741:1 1745:13,15 1749:6 1753:18,23 1759:20

questioned 1714:14

questioner 1665:22

**questioning** 1563:12 1605:6 1625:11 1633:2 1657:2 1709:7 1713:9 1723:18

questions 1529:16,18,19 1538:1 1539:10 1547:9,24 1552:15 1560:13,23 1562:10 1563:15 1605:21 1606:2,23,25 1607:4,6,10 1608:16,17,20 1616:23 1620:5,12 1622:20,23 1623:6,16 1626:2,16 1628:19 1630:11 1632:12 1633:3 1634:3,10,14,22 1638:11 1646:18 1649:8 1651:18 1653:11,17,20 1659:23 1661:21 1662:2 1664:8 1665:12,18 1666:4,9,10 1668:3,12 1669:2,7 1670:2 1672:3 1673:8,13 1676:7,8,11,25 1684:22 1685:5 1687:7,9,23 1689:7,25 1690:3 1705:3 1706:6 1707:3 1708:12 1712:4,14 1713:21 1714:8 1715:14, 15 1717:11 1720:19,22 1724:9 1729:10 1730:17 1732:9 1733:5 1734:9,10,11,24 1735:7,9 1739:4,24 1740:24 1741:5,6 1744:25 1745:21 1747:10 1753:12 1754:14 1757:4 1759:8,9 1762:1

**quick** 1561:18 1577:21 1671:15 1672:3 1686:14 1707:11 1743:1,10

**quickly** 1551:11 1628:19 1640:13 1681:1 1731:3 1742:12 1743:4 1754:23 1756:7

quote 1566:8 1678:8 1681:20

quoted 1652:2 1678:23

R

radius 1563:11 1649:25

Ragdale 1548:6

Ragsdale 1531:14 1548:6

**Rahmig** 1531:17 1586:1 1627:18 1631:9

Rahmig's 1587:2 1656:18

raise 1560:14 1612:12 1674:14 1685:4 1688:4 1706:20 1708:2 1754:21

raised 1536:11 1550:16 1741:5,6 1759:22

range 1568:8,18 1570:1 1575:20 1576:7,17 1577:2 1611:22 1612:4, 11 1627:15 1636:24 1642:3 1648:25 1649:5 1662:23

range-wide 1568:10

ranges 1611:24 1637:19

raptor 1625:17

rarely 1556:23 1641:5

rate 1571:24 1576:14 1631:16

rates 1571:21 rational 1602:2 rationale 1719:11 raven 1644:13,20

ravens 1644:5,24 1651:19 1652:17 1653:4 1654:11

reach 1529:13 reacting 1576:3

**read** 1556:4 1592:16,17 1618:14,21 1621:25 1642:1 1707:19 1722:18 1755:11 1760:16

readily 1572:10 1750:15

reading 1551:20 1556:3 1571:15 1750:16

**ready** 1526:12 1556:12 1607:25 1670:13,16,21,22 1741:10 1742:23

**real** 1643:21 1660:7 1671:15 1719:20 1761:11

realistic 1593:21

reality 1588:6 1591:19 1612:23 1627:13

realm 1659:8

reapplying 1680:14

**reason** 1544:17 1545:13 1546:14 1552:22 1566:15 1574:19 1591:20

1596:20 1599:16 1648:4,17,19 1668:22,24 1717:25 1729:19

**reasonable** 1565:8 1584:24 1612:21,22 1613:5 1635:15 1655:8

reasons 1619:21 1662:19 1759:25

reassurances 1757:23

rebut 1733:3

rebuttal 1530:18 1681:19

**recall** 1536:23 1546:1 1576:9 1597:23 1618:19,20 1623:19,21 1678:14 1682:22 1710:19 1762:11

recalls 1600:18 receive 1748:11

received 1646:25 1763:15

recent 1591:5 1611:25 1620:20 1630:23 1631:4 1637:25 1668:20 1714:24

recently 1619:14 1713:7,18 1714:17 1719:1

recited 1560:22

recognition 1632:22 1646:7

recognize 1609:4 1624:12 1664:3,6

recognized 1609:6,18 recognizing 1721:23

recollection 1531:15 1660:18

**recommend** 1595:18 1596:16 1606:7 1623:22 1652:13

recommendation 1552:24 1591:10 1595:22 1596:2,3 1598:9,11 1611:17 1617:17 1624:6,25 1625:3, 5 1626:20 1627:6,19 1629:25 1668:18,20,23 1760:25 1763:1,3,25

recommendations 1562:2 1590:17 1597:23 1618:12 1623:17 1625:1 1626:3 1628:6,13 1650:25 1658:23,24 1707:22

**recommended** 1626:13 1641:19 1657:5 1730:3

reconsider 1537:3 1752:19

reconsideration 1737:23

**record** 1535:10 1542:22 1553:4 1554:14 1556:21 1558:19 1559:9 1562:17 1566:6 1590:18 1593:6

1601:4 1623:5 1629:1,7 1633:11 1670:23 1672:20 1677:4 1685:15 1705:13 1712:8 1716:19 1720:21,22 1732:16 1733:11 1736:21 1737:8 1738:25 1739:12 1740:4 1741:16,20 1743:24 1744:19 1750:16,22 1751:1 1761:3 1762:9 1763:16

recorded 1604:6 recordings 1551:10

records 1749:12 1758:4,5

**recover** 1592:5 1594:3 1613:10 1626:17,23

recovered 1635:1 1639:20

recoveries 1599:12

recovering 1592:1 1599:5 1621:2

recovers 1594:8

recovery 1598:22 1612:19 1626:25

**RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 

1654:1 1662:5 1666:1

recyclable 1731:10 recycle 1731:13,19

recycling 1731:12

red 1757:9

red-lining 1672:21 red-tail 1645:14 redact 1757:18

**redacted** 1548:9 1549:6,8,10,20 1559:12 1563:19,23 1687:14 1755:12,23 1756:9,10 1758:4,10,11

redacting 1755:22 redaction 1563:22 redactions 1755:14,25

**redirect** 1607:11 1623:1 1629:6 1633:3 1653:14,16 1668:14

**reduce** 1638:1 1660:3 1664:22 1726:24 1728:3,4,18

reducing 1637:17 1738:6

reengage 1748:10

refer 1569:17 1614:11

reexpand 1594:4

reference 1535:4 1540:23 1604:2



1617:18 1750:25

referenced 1535:9 1541:6 1618:9

1625:16 1677:7

references 1590:23

referencing 1535:6 1549:7,12

referred 1587:2 1589:11 1751:23

1753:4

referring 1564:24 1578:17 1585:3

1614:3 1681:3 1755:25

refers 1589:6,17 1614:16

reflect 1610:3,7,12,19,23 1620:3

reflected 1584:13 1619:3 1630:2

reflection 1588:5 1612:6

reflective 1591:15

reflects 1620:20 1629:21

refrained 1605:20

refused 1682:8

**regard** 1530:18 1597:2 1655:1 1657:3,14 1663:19 1670:25 1677:10

regimen 1650:3

**region** 1562:20 1578:12 1595:16 1612:3 1619:18 1627:11 1638:2

1660:3 1726:3

regional 1571:3 1577:15 1664:23

regulate 1710:6 regulation 1727:11

regulatory 1626:12 1678:19

related 1582:3 1705:2 1713:17,25

1714:8 1718:25

relates 1639:17

relative 1725:21

**relayed** 1738:3

relaying 1738:13

**released** 1704:24

relevance 1621:4

relevant 1621:6 1737:10

reliable 1622:18

reliance 1618:17

rely 1556:1 1733:7

relying 1619:1 1624:5 1625:2

remain 1677:6

remaining 1530:9

remains 1572:16 1682:24

**remember** 1533:19,22 1534:4,21 1535:2,5,7 1537:8 1538:8 1541:5

1545:25 1618:23 1642:22 1655:17 1661:3

...

REMEMBERED 1526:1

remind 1546:1 1618:13

remotely 1556:15 1560:16 1670:6 1674:16 1686:25 1688:6 1706:2,22

removal 1650:1 1652:13

removed 1653:5

removing 1750:10

renew 1622:9 1732:23 1733:6

renewable 1555:25

reoccupation 1594:3 1615:20,25

reoccupied 1602:4 1616:6

repeat 1629:12

replaced 1582:24

reply 1537:19

repopulated 1594:7

report 1619:15 1631:6

reported 1631:12

reporter 1551:9 1595:7

reports 1571:16 1609:15

represent 1563:13 1608:14 1620:14

1677:3 1725:10

representation 1624:6 1625:2

representations 1733:7

representative 1544:23 1651:17

1733:14 1759:2 1760:23

represented 1574:12,17 1632:16,

20

representing 1638:15 1726:19

represents 1619:19 1635:23

**Republican** 1546:10,17

reputable 1658:22,24

request 1548:15 1555:22 1556:2,6 1715:23 1716:19 1719:16,25 1720:21 1737:23 1741:19 1742:18 1745:16,21 1746:19,23 1750:19 1751:23 1753:1 1755:20 1758:10 1762:6 1763:2

requested 1753:13 1762:21

requesting 1747:3

require 1595:21 1641:7 1714:20

1715:3 1717:18 1722:2

required 1685:11

requirement 1596:2 1599:11

requires 1562:21 1595:25

rescheduling 1528:10

research 1609:11 1614:7 1618:18

1619:2,13 1620:2,20 1627:10 1632:2 1643:25 1647:12 1730:25

1731:4 1761:8

researched 1730:2

resident 1712:18

residential 1570:14 1593:10,11,17

resolve 1530:25 1533:15 1552:17

1553:9,12 1681:11

resolved 1555:19

resort 1652:20

resource 1664:16 1684:1 1689:4

1756:1

resources 1557:19,24 1649:20

1651:14 1726:19

respect 1594:12,13 1605:23 1629:1

1688:1 1689:19 1711:6 1716:20

respond 1576:21 1586:7 1589:21

1605:24 1720:2 1729:5 1746:14

1756:6

responded 1674:4

responders 1728:9,19

responding 1618:11 1714:1,8

1730:23 1738:7

**response** 1546:5 1559:10 1578:14 1594:10 1623:15 1626:15 1627:4 1646:8 1653:16 1654:7,24 1657:2 1659:23 1677:10 1728:18 1729:8 1738:18 1739:18 1740:2,18 1747:4

1761:21



**responses** 1634:4 1673:24 1717:11 1746:13

responsibility 1599:5 1613:12,15 1626:22 1627:2 1640:17

**responsible** 1591:24,25 1613:9 1619:19 1682:25 1712:25

responsive 1551:3 1561:24 1562:18 1594:16 1604:5 1623:7,10 1737:19

**rest** 1528:4 1538:1 1547:23 1599:8 1640:3 1688:2,22 1735:18

restate 1624:22

restoration 1649:20 1650:11 1666:13

restore 1650:22 1731:15

restrictions 1605:12

rests 1599:6

resubmit 1673:6

result 1645:1 1647:22 1662:25 1730:6

return 1582:19 1614:9

reuse 1602:7

review 1551:13,17 1591:4 1602:24 1609:8,12,17,18,19,20 1620:11 1627:23 1630:23 1668:21 1675:22 1678:20 1707:21 1708:1,8 1715:13 1716:16 1733:18 1740:17 1745:14 1758:2,12,13 1761:3

**reviewed** 1602:21 1618:8,11 1626:5 1628:2 1675:20 1710:5

reviewing 1620:25 1750:7 1751:16

revise 1717:21

revised 1566:22 1592:18 1623:11 1630:13 1633:10,14 1636:20 1672:19

Revised8 1543:16

**revision** 1543:15

revisit 1594:24

Reyneveld 1527:3 1528:17 1538:15,20,23 1539:3,12 1559:2 1561:17,22 1592:19 1594:11,14,15 1597:4 1607:11 1622:21,24 1623:2, 5 1624:12,17,18,23,24 1628:17,18 1629:2,7,10,13 1634:8 1653:13,15 1658:25 1665:19,21 1668:5,6,9,11, 15 1669:6,14 1736:25 1737:3,25 1738:15,16 1739:1 1757:14

Reyneveld's 1624:10 1657:2

Rich 1544:16 1672:6 1674:20

Richard 1670:5 1672:7,8 1674:16

Rick 1662:7 1677:23 1763:12

ridiculous 1585:5

riding 1560:2

rigorous 1609:19

rigors 1679:16

**risk** 1584:1 1624:22 1629:12 1635:6, 7 1636:11,13,18 1637:7,12,17 1638:1,2 1646:8 1647:16,18,20 1648:20 1649:5 1654:13 1660:1,3 1661:10 1663:5,21 1726:24 1728:4, 19 1750:10

risky 1576:25

Ritter 1650:23

Ritter's 1625:21

River 1660:20

roads 1570:6,7

**robust** 1580:18 1612:10 1648:8,14 1655:16 1665:9 1683:21

role 1679:10 1711:20 1760:7

roll 1556:20 1557:2 1759:24 1760:20

rolling 1761:19

Ronnie 1545:24 1546:9

room 1655:11

rotating 1639:19 1650:2

rotor-swept 1649:5 1663:1,4

rotors 1639:15,25

**roughly** 1569:5,16 1582:11,13 1635:20 1660:23,24

ruled 1719:7,13

rules 1753:6

ruling 1737:7 1738:1,11 1743:1

1746:12,15 1750:1

run 1687:4 1690:8

runaway 1722:12

rush 1684:16 rushing 1683:11

S

**safe** 1607:5 1685:13 1713:3,23 1714:21 1717:12,15,17 1730:10

safeguards 1566:23

safer 1724:14 1726:23 1728:1,3,5

**safest** 1714:13 1720:7 1721:8,21 1723:25

**safety** 1718:2 1724:21 1726:21,24 1727:1,2 1728:9 1730:4

sage 1652:16 1655:1

sagebrush 1576:16

**salvage** 1731:19

**sanctions** 1554:12

sandhill 1648:13,17

**Sandison** 1760:10 1761:5,15

1762:7

**Sarah** 1623:5

Savage 1550:13

save 1752:2

**saved** 1650:6

**saves** 1563:2

**scale** 1650:16

scenario 1581:16 1637:8

**schedule** 1529:23 1530:14 1540:17 1560:9 1605:9,11 1671:15 1673:9 1735:23 1742:9

scheduled 1763:23

schedules 1529:2

scheduling 1527:14 1528:18

scheduling-wise 1530:15

**Schimelpfenig** 1745:9,22,23 1746:7,17 1747:6 1749:23 1752:4,8, 21 1753:8

**science** 1608:23 1609:4,5,9,11,24 1610:4,8,12,23 1612:20 1620:3 1622:16 1627:8 1629:20 1640:11 1658:19

scientific 1568:21 1608:25 1609:21 1620:22 1660:1

scientist 1565:23 1634:24

scientists 1656:20,24

scope 1715:10,14,15

scorecard 1539:8 1543:10

**Scout** 1681:10 1709:14 1712:23 1713:18 1714:3,12,19 1717:6,19 1718:8 1723:9,12 1732:2

**screen** 1531:9 1555:3 1564:9 1620:13 1621:11 1663:25 1664:1 1676:5 1681:16 1687:2 1705:20 1706:16,17 1707:20 1708:7

screen-share 1705:16

**screens** 1749:8

scroll 1538:12 1559:3 1707:18

scrolling 1531:22 1540:12 1707:23

search 1612:8 1750:16

**season** 1572:5 1583:20,24 1614:5,

22 1636:3

**seasonal** 1637:14

**seasons** 1614:23

**seat** 1761:13

**Seattle** 1528:23

secretary 1760:11

secure 1720:3

**securing** 1721:15

seek 1746:12 1747:3

**seeking** 1747:5

seeks 1757:19

sees 1757:18

**Selam's** 1689:23

selected 1667:5

selection 1662:15

send 1554:24 1556:7 1749:14

sends 1762:6

**sense** 1571:11 1587:25 1591:23 1606:16 1611:22 1630:6 1667:12

1668:2 1750:24 1760:19

sensitive 1569:21 1689:14,19

1756:14

SEPA 1662:24 1733:21 1734:1

**separate** 1709:23 1715:14

**September** 1528:10 1552:6 1741:11,19,23,24 1742:1,17

1743:18 1746:14 1747:21 1764:4,6

**sequence** 1531:11

sequenced 1686:5

sequential 1686:6

**series** 1544:8

**serve** 1651:16

**Service** 1562:21

services 1689:4

**session** 1526:12,14 1529:5 1535:23 1546:6 1559:6,8 1563:20 1687:25

1689:6,11 1690:1,9,11 1704:18,22

1744:13,19

sessions 1763:20,21

**set** 1552:3 1639:19 1710:19,22

1742:20 1743:3

setback 1623:22 1729:20

**setting** 1723:22

severe 1586:15,17

**shading** 1755:21

**shaking** 1558:21 1610:13

**share** 1607:25 1687:24 1755:2

1759:4

**shared** 1549:6.11

**Sharepoint** 1548:8 1751:1

**Sharp's** 1544:13

she'd 1757:13

**she'll** 1527:10 1560:11 1757:11

shift 1531:6 1532:1 1670:19

**Shona** 1560:12 1608:2 1758:7

**Shook** 1531:17

shooting 1568:15 1581:21

shortcomings 1652:24

shorten 1713:20 1728:16,17

**shortens** 1582:18

**shortly** 1537:13 1538:16 1567:25

1605:17 1690:6

shortness 1740:11

**shoulders** 1599:6,9

**show** 1534:23 1563:19,20 1616:17

1639:20 1761:11

**showing** 1664:4

shows 1648:3 1731:11

**shrub-steppe** 1582:9,15 1636:9 1650:8,17,21 1666:14 1667:4

**shut** 1563:12

side 1532:7,17 1547:16

**sidestep** 1652:12

**signal** 1640:3

signature 1752:17

signed 1548:16 1657:8 1689:18

**significant** 1550:15 1568:9 1570:8 1592:3 1644:14,15 1650:10 1665:4,

6 1732:21

signs 1639:20

silent 1760:15

silly 1652:10

**silver-haired** 1564:25 1632:18

similar 1579:20 1624:1

**similarly** 1752:10

**Simon** 1528:22 1529:1,16 1530:4 1544:16 1607:14 1670:3,5,8,10,25 1671:9 1672:5,6 1673:9,14,20 1674:13,16,21 1675:18 1676:4,18

1677:2,14,21 1678:5 1680:1 1684:14,15 1685:6,9,12

**Simon's** 1530:10 1670:19 1672:2

simplified 1667:1,2

simplistic 1724:15

**simply** 1554:8 1555:1 1626:22 1678:18 1682:9 1710:21 1724:14

1729:25 1738:3,13

simultaneous 1572:17 1657:24

simultaneously 1623:4

single 1635:3 1759:15

single-lane 1570:6

**sir** 1560:14 1561:3 1669:23 1675:10 1687:10 1707:6

sit 1616:16 1763:6

site 1526:4 1564:18 1565:5 1585:16, 20 1588:15 1589:10,22 1593:10 1599:13 1601:7 1614:15 1616:4 1625:23 1635:12 1636:12,23 1644:25 1650:13 1656:12 1664:4 1678:17 1679:17 1712:22

site's 1578:11

site-specific 1615:13

**sited** 1682:20 1713:3

**sites** 1578:8,17,18 1588:10 1593:12 1594:6 1601:25 1602:6 1614:1 1615:10,19 1630:9 1636:12 1664:9 1683:6

**siting** 1611:4 1627:6 1680:7 1687:21 1688:25 1720:6

**sitings** 1623:8

sitting 1760:11 1761:13

**situation** 1530:24 1553:11 1568:7 1577:2 1579:14 1588:13 1598:1 1604:10 1637:22 1638:6 1653:2 1654:21,25 1682:13 1709:25

**size** 1555:9 1591:2,14,16 1664:12, 24 1684:2 1749:6,14

**sizes** 1554:2 1598:1 1617:23 1627:14 1662:12 1664:20

**skating** 1579:13

**skip** 1680:25 **slide** 1690:5

slightly 1663:3

**slim** 1602:8

slower 1621:21

**slowly** 1707:18

**small** 1562:15 1564:22 1572:13 1578:22 1580:17 1583:6 1642:10,15 1646:3 1650:2

smaller 1591:1 1662:13

**smarter** 1532:7,17

**sneak** 1554:10 1749:3

snow 1648:13

so-called 1677:8

society 1722:20

**solar** 1578:8,17,21 1579:11,15,21, 25 1580:7,19 1581:4,12 1655:21 1666:9,11,18,21,24 1667:2,6,13,17, 23

**solemnly** 1560:21 1674:21 1688:11 1707:2

someday 1595:1

someplace 1570:21

sooner 1581:10

**sort** 1536:21 1552:3 1592:7,10 1602:12 1619:6 1650:2 1667:18 1679:11,12 1683:4 1710:6 1755:17, 24 1756:20

sorts 1648:9

sound 1753:20

sounded 1574:25

**sounds** 1530:3 1541:5 1543:23 1558:16 1573:24 1680:21 1683:14 1730:4 1752:12

**source** 1578:23 1590:20 1619:22 1622:5,18 1626:9 1639:17 1644:15

sources 1569:2 1590:16 1642:5

Southeast 1526:2

span 1641:25

**speak** 1529:8 1613:24 1685:3 1731:25 1732:1 1736:7 1741:4 1757:23 1760:1

**speaking** 1572:17 1584:17 1586:16 1623:4,15 1626:10 1629:23 1657:24 1667:11

specialist 1625:17

specialists 1667:19

**species** 1573:24 1581:5,11 1582:7 1583:2 1593:24 1596:13 1597:22 1598:22 1599:6,12 1603:8,10 1610:2,6 1612:19 1613:1,10,16 1621:2 1622:3,4 1623:17 1626:4,23 1634:25 1638:20,22,25 1639:24 1642:6 1643:2 1644:9 1645:1,11,13 1647:15,18 1648:2,8 1649:23 1650:1,2 1652:15 1655:1,10 1656:12 1665:1,8 1667:3,8,13,16,24

**specific** 1556:1 1588:10,14 1589:10

1590:2 1597:23 1600:17 1603:16 1610:13,20 1611:4 1614:4 1615:19 1616:4 1624:4 1629:25 1636:22 1650:13 1659:18 1678:9,18 1680:15 1726:21 1727:1 1737:15,21 1755:6 1762:19

**specifically** 1559:23 1564:5,24 1565:11 1566:2 1570:25 1578:17 1593:7 1604:2,13 1611:11 1643:7 1644:1 1660:19,21 1666:9 1709:8 1714:25 1737:15,16

specificity 1662:20

**specifics** 1588:20 1596:9,10 1620:12 1713:19

speed 1707:24

**sphere** 1726:8

**spirit** 1757:2

spoke 1625:14 1762:11

**sponsor** 1541:4

**sponsored** 1540:24 1668:7 1672:16 1732:21

**sponsoring** 1542:14 1548:6 1559:4 1747:3 1757:14

**spot** 1754:9

**spots** 1551:19

sprinkler 1718:6

sprinklers 1717:2 1718:8

**sprung** 1719:17

square 1526:2 1683:4

squirrels 1585:17

Stacey 1558:3 1646:16

stack 1637:10

**stacked** 1686:5

**staff** 1557:2 1559:7 1561:5 1588:17, 18 1690:5 1712:10 1749:10 1756:14 1758:21

**staffing** 1626:24

**stage** 1644:2 1710:19,22

stakeholders 1713:4

**standard** 1603:14 1609:8 1710:4 1714:25 1722:4 1747:15

standards 1709:20 1713:16 1715:2

1722:1 1723:3,4,5,22,23 1726:7

standpoint 1663:7

**stands** 1736:17

**start** 1560:11 1562:5 1564:1 1570:12 1582:22 1608:22 1614:15 1650:18,19 1674:8 1685:4 1686:5 1719:18 1721:13 1748:4

**started** 1526:14 1569:7 1574:19 1595:15 1713:20 1714:1

**starting** 1537:9 1559:11 1569:6 1642:17 1727:5 1733:13 1763:18

starts 1594:3 1679:2

**state** 1569:5 1571:12,16 1591:24 1592:2,6 1600:5,8,16 1601:12 1610:19 1613:1,11,12,17 1617:13 1623:11 1631:2 1635:17 1645:10,21 1650:9 1660:19,21 1675:25 1677:21 1678:7 1681:20 1682:17 1683:7 1708:21,22 1712:3 1726:19 1737:8

state-listed 1613:19

**stated** 1626:15,18 1627:4 1631:10 1658:17 1716:3 1722:5

**statement** 1564:20 1566:13,20 1567:5 1577:12 1586:6 1587:15 1595:24 1610:5 1613:5 1630:20 1631:19 1641:15 1683:13 1718:11 1755:5

statements 1733:15

states 1577:1 1596:6,15

**stating** 1684:4

**status** 1527:15 1531:23 1536:6 1548:24 1568:8 1593:7 1613:16 1630:23

**statute** 1760:4,5,16

statute's 1760:15

stay 1677:5 1690:1 1757:1

**staying** 1575:15

steep 1642:6

**step** 1637:14 1744:4 1745:24 1760:15

**stepped** 1557:21 **stepping** 1632:1

**steps** 1582:12 1637:10

stewards 1652:25

stick 1555:18

**stimulus** 1570:9

**stipulate** 1531:3 1539:17 1542:16

**stipulated** 1541:14 1544:2 1545:9, 17 1546:19,21 1547:19 1736:5 1739:6,23

stipulating 1545:12

**stipulation** 1528:8,14 1540:3,5,6,10 1541:8,16 1544:5 1545:19 1546:24 1547:7 1734:8

stipulations 1544:19

**stop** 1637:3 1759:13

stopover 1649:3

stopovers 1649:1

stops 1636:17

stopwatch 1605:18

**storage** 1709:8,10,22 1713:17,25

1725:14

**story** 1583:11 1713:20

**strange** 1639:23

strategic 1573:19

strategically 1573:16

strategy 1580:3 1606:17 1724:23

straying 1713:21

streamline 1676:22

stress 1583:23

stretch 1744:12 1748:2

stricken 1544:8,21 1594:10

**strictly** 1664:17

**strike** 1545:14 1554:11,21 1595:5,8 1635:3,15 1639:15 1659:17 1677:6

1734:4

strike-outs 1673:1

**strikes** 1640:6

striking 1672:19 1673:6 1674:23

strong 1541:7 1712:23

strongly 1711:2

**structure** 1667:21

structured 1579:25

struggling 1536:20,23 1643:22

**studied** 1648:17

**studies** 1559:14 1575:3,20,24

1580:12,22 1666:23

**study** 1559:13 1623:21,22 1641:7

1658:4

studying 1611:10 1619:18

stuff 1558:23 1579:12

**style** 1552:18

styles 1550:12

**subject** 1574:23 1658:7 1672:18 1673:6 1705:17 1716:18 1748:16

1763:9

subjectively 1570:7

**submit** 1736:21 1743:6 1746:1

1749:13,16 1755:9

submittals 1593:9

**submitted** 1528:12 1529:4 1549:3, 11,16 1590:15 1592:14 1687:11 1705:2,9,10,23 1706:8,11 1711:10 1717:13 1727:7 1734:18 1747:1

submitting 1554:9 1602:21

1745:15 1755:12

subsequently 1758:9

substance 1622:12

substantial 1649:20 1683:22

substantiative 1543:2

**substantively** 1543:24 1579:20

**subtle** 1582:10

**success** 1617:6

successful 1571:18 1572:15

1630:15,20

**succinct** 1570:23

suffer 1652:17

**sufficient** 1553:11 1584:24 1634:6 1742:15 1748:2

sufficiently 1630:4 1632:16

suggest 1528:6 1586:4,19 1759:1

suggested 1587:21 1637:13 1710:5

**suggesting** 1599:4 1637:21 1751:3 1761:25

suggestion 1589:25

suggestions 1656:8,11

suggests 1549:18 1577:15 1589:20

**suitable** 1594:2 1648:23 1682:21 1683:9

**sum** 1683:14

summarily 1741:21

**summary** 1616:14 1620:20,25 1710:2

**superior** 1550:24

**supplement** 1571:17 1573:4 1705:6,13,22 1707:4 1708:1,10 1715:23,24,25 1716:19 1719:6,8,12 1735:18 1739:23 1741:16,20

**supplemental** 1528:9 1547:22 1555:9 1561:25 1562:17 1572:15 1590:1,6 1592:15 1598:5 1602:20 1603:13 1618:7 1625:16 1659:15 1705:4 1706:10 1707:11 1715:17 1734:6,17 1737:19 1741:7,10,14 1742:6,7,15,20 1743:19 1745:7,15, 16,20 1746:1,10,19,23 1749:13 1750:3

supplementation 1716:2 1720:13

supplemented 1716:10

supplied 1738:9 1746:25

support 1630:19 1651:19 1727:22

supported 1733:8

supporting 1705:12 1745:7

suppose 1575:9 1676:19 1732:22

suppressant 1716:6

suppressing 1722:9

**suppression** 1709:14,16,21 1713:12,13,23 1714:10,13 1717:1,6, 14 1718:17 1721:8 1722:4,13 1724:21,22 1726:3 1727:14

**supreme** 1551:18 1552:23

**surprise** 1719:20 1761:21

surprised 1716:15 surrounding 1576:24 **survey** 1556:5 1585:19 1600:6,10 1686:15

**surveyed** 1585:16

**surveys** 1585:20 1631:14,15 1635:11 1648:4

**survive** 1622:8

surviving 1613:7

**suspect** 1640:5

sustain 1659:6

**swear** 1560:5,21 1674:7,21 1675:1 1687:19 1688:11 1707:2 1712:3

swept 1663:18

switch 1537:17 1586:12

**sworn** 1560:17 1674:11,17 1688:7 1706:23

**system** 1552:20 1553:1 1563:2 1709:16 1713:13 1714:13 1717:17 1721:9 1723:25 1725:8,11

**systems** 1713:17 1714:20 1718:6 1721:24 1722:4,13 1723:12 1727:15

## Т

**table** 1532:7,17 1533:9 1566:5 1653:3,7 1752:14

tables 1752:23,24 1753:2,3

TAC 1632:21

tag 1586:5

tailor 1589:9

tailored 1590:2

take-home 1643:14 1660:25

**takes** 1541:18 1544:15 1547:19 1704:25 1717:22 1748:5

**taking** 1528:13 1637:14 1655:5 1757:7

talk 1530:7 1531:1 1555:24 1565:24 1568:4 1569:17 1570:17 1573:15,22 1574:8 1581:8,18,22 1583:11 1585:7 1587:9 1597:12 1601:1 1615:23 1616:18 1636:9 1641:22 1647:5 1655:24 1670:14 1685:23 1734:5 1743:11,12,16,20 1744:22 1757:13

**talked** 1544:11 1574:13 1581:19 1589:16 1611:8 1615:12,17 1636:16 1641:22 1643:20 1646:22 1649:23 1654:23 1655:19 1661:5

talking 1540:21 1564:2 1565:4 1570:18,24 1574:8 1579:10 1585:2, 8 1589:13,23 1592:23 1594:25 1595:16 1601:21 1602:11 1603:18 1604:13,16 1614:22 1631:11 1636:6 1641:4 1660:4 1663:8 1664:17 1726:23 1747:15 1750:25

tardiness 1538:24

targets 1677:8

task 1646:5

TCC 1542:13,25 1544:23

**TCC's** 1745:10

team 1717:22

Tech 1588:18 1618:11

**technical** 1573:18 1604:17,19 1606:4,20 1637:2 1660:9 1740:21

technique 1728:6

**techniques** 1645:25 1722:17 1731:12

technologies 1724:9

technology 1722:15 1724:12 1725:7 1726:11 1749:20

technology's 1722:6

telephone 1676:10

telling 1583:11

ten 1683:4 1686:3 1747:20 1764:7

ten-day 1747:18

tend 1580:5 1621:7 1648:8 1667:19

**term** 1608:22 1612:23 1614:14,16 1627:13 1656:10 1669:17

terminal 1670:4

**terms** 1570:18 1589:8,9 1594:24 1616:5 1621:2 1654:16 1666:17,21 1721:14 1740:8 1759:1,7,17

**Terra** 1669:19,20 **terrain** 1580:9

terrific 1589:8

territories 1572:12,14 1591:18

1593:8,16,25 1594:2 1600:7 1612:15 1615:9,24 1616:5 1623:9, 23 1627:8

**territory** 1593:22 1597:2 1602:3 1614:1 1640:22 1641:6,10,11

**Tesoro** 1550:12

test- 1673:23

**testified** 1545:7 1574:9 1586:2 1587:4 1620:10 1654:7 1655:12,24 1656:2,23 1657:8,17 1660:12 1668:19 1681:5

**testify** 1529:4 1539:20 1540:4 1561:24 1670:14,16 1671:6,20 1677:3

testifying 1629:3 1710:18 1757:4

testimonies 1673:2

testimony 1527:12 1528:8,12,14 1530:8,19 1531:3 1536:7,19,22 1537:19,23 1538:11 1544:13,21 1545:11,25 1546:8,12,18 1547:7 1551:13 1556:4 1559:11 1560:7,21 1561:25 1562:18,19 1564:3 1568:3 1570:24 1574:24 1576:5 1578:7 1584:17 1585:2 1587:2,11 1589:20 1590:1,5,6,10,16 1591:5 1592:15, 16,18 1595:25 1598:5 1602:20,22 1603:13 1604:23 1607:22 1615:6,22 1617:19 1618:7 1620:1,19 1623:7, 10 1624:15 1625:16,21 1630:2 1631:25 1633:7 1634:9 1636:20 1637:22 1646:23 1647:1 1650:24 1654:3 1655:13 1657:3,4 1659:14, 16 1662:16 1666:12 1669:12 1670:19 1672:2,19,24 1673:21,23, 24 1674:2,11,21 1675:20,22 1677:5, 15,18,19 1678:14 1679:8,15 1681:3, 8,19 1682:22,24 1684:4,17 1685:14, 20 1687:6,11,22,24 1688:12 1689:19,24 1704:24 1705:4 1706:10 1707:2,4,12 1709:9 1710:9,16 1711:5 1715:17 1716:3,9,12,20 1719:4,7,8 1720:5 1724:14 1732:25 1734:6 1735:18 1736:1,5 1737:12, 13,19 1739:6,22 1740:9,23 1741:8, 10,14,17,18 1742:6,11,15,18,21,23 1743:7 1745:7,16,20 1746:2,10,19, 24

testing 1727:10

Tetra 1588:18 1618:11

**TGIF** 1556:24

**That'd** 1751:7

there'd 1648:23

there'll 1746:5

thereabouts 1743:18 1748:16

thermal 1722:12

thickness 1580:1

thin 1579:13

thing 1548:14 1581:21 1584:7,8 1639:6 1640:4 1646:22 1650:20 1671:1 1676:10 1710:6 1729:16 1731:3 1747:10 1758:25

things 1528:3 1552:23 1564:2 1568:12,13,14,23 1570:14,19,22 1573:13 1581:5,20 1583:1 1589:5 1593:23 1625:24 1644:23 1649:24 1654:18 1655:20 1656:7 1666:6 1684:11 1720:16 1733:12 1739:13 1744:14 1751:8 1754:24

**thinking** 1537:6 1552:15 1650:25 1748:20,22 1755:21

thinks 1621:1,5 1757:19

**Thompson** 1761:18

**thought** 1529:3 1544:21 1552:20 1553:3 1554:4 1570:19 1585:11 1645:24 1756:8 1762:16,17,19

thought-provoking 1661:13

thoughtful 1573:19 1635:5

thoughtfully 1573:2,15

thoughts 1543:4 1554:4

threat 1578:4 1581:22 1586:17

**threats** 1568:3,5,22 1569:2,18 1577:22 1581:18 1586:15 1661:6

three-dimensional 1580:1

thrives 1582:17

**thriving** 1583:8

tie 1591:18

tier 1609:14

tightrope 1585:11

till 1607:9,16 1720:3 1725:9

**Tim** 1533:22 1711:12

**time** 1528:21 1529:7 1536:9,14 1537:3 1539:1,19 1542:25 1543:6,

17 1544:25 1551:14 1567:24 1572:7 1583:7,8 1584:2 1591:1,4 1605:7,12 1610:4 1614:17 1620:16 1622:20 1624:12 1634:10 1646:12 1651:24 1657:12,13 1669:5,10 1670:14 1673:6 1674:2 1679:5 1684:15 1690:8 1708:1 1709:6,12,13 1717:4, 13 1719:11,24 1720:21 1721:14 1722:2,3 1723:24 1727:19 1728:17 1733:2 1734:4 1740:11 1742:4,8 1743:13,14 1746:6,13 1748:11 1752:3 1763:17

timely 1763:1

**times** 1618:1 1671:8 1714:24 1763:14

timing 1551:10 1742:22 1764:3

tip 1723:17

title 1628:2

titling 1627:25

today 1528:24 1529:23,25 1535:23, 25 1538:11,15 1539:20 1540:4 1541:20 1544:18 1545:23 1547:4, 13,23 1549:21 1550:6 1552:8 1553:9 1556:24 1558:11,17 1559:3, 6 1560:6,23 1561:20 1563:2 1569:7 1575:14 1629:8 1638:18 1652:10 1669:13 1671:6 1674:12,24 1677:14 1684:17 1687:5 1688:13,23 1690:2 1706:7 1707:3 1720:3,12 1721:1,6 1733:3 1735:6,17,24 1736:3,7 1737:13 1740:16 1745:5 1747:20 1754:12,25 1755:21 1762:3,9

today's 1551:8 1685:22

told 1596:3,19 1599:15 1671:5

**tolerant** 1667:13

tones 1711:12,14,15

tongue 1723:17

tonight 1566:6 1669:24

tool 1641:1

tools 1632:23

top 1531:24 1642:12 1758:22

**topic** 1609:6 1610:19 1619:17,18 1656:16 1735:7

topics 1677:8

topography 1612:14



**Torem** 1526:5.10.19.22.25 1528:2 1529:12.22 1530:1.16.23 1532:8.12. 22,25 1533:5,12 1534:9,18 1535:5, 11,18 1536:13 1537:8,12,22,25 1538:6,22,25 1539:4,14 1540:1,12, 24 1541:18,23 1542:1,6,9,23 1543:9,13,20 1544:7 1545:2,5,21 1546:7 1547:3,17 1548:2,23 1549:1, 7,12,13,22 1550:5 1551:7 1553:14 1554:6 1555:20 1556:9,17 1557:20 1558:8,11,14 1559:22 1560:1,20 1561:2,17 1562:4 1563:1 1574:22 1575:13 1577:6,10 1594:11,14 1595:3 1597:10 1605:3,16,25 1606:24 1607:8,20 1621:7,13 1622:11,21 1624:11 1628:16,22 1629:4 1634:11 1638:9 1646:15 1649:9 1651:12 1652:1 1653:10,18 1659:2,5 1661:20 1662:3 1665:15 1668:4,7,10 1669:9,22 1670:1,10, 13,18 1671:4 1672:1,5,10,14 1673:3,17 1674:6,10,20 1675:6,10, 12 1684:10,21 1685:12,18 1686:13 1687:1,3,10,18 1688:10,20 1689:8 1690:4 1704:21 1706:4,15 1707:1,7 1708:21 1710:23,25 1711:9,23 1712:1,6,9,13 1715:6,9 1719:14 1720:10,23 1723:1 1724:2 1726:15 1730:16 1732:8,18 1733:10 1734:25 1735:10,16,22 1736:14,24 1737:24 1738:20 1739:3,20 1740:5 1741:12 1742:17,19 1744:5,11,18 1745:23 1746:4,8,22 1747:8 1750:9,23 1751:11,21 1752:6,13 1753:7,11,22 1754:5,11,18 1756:6,17,22,25 1758:15,19 1759:20 1762:5,10,16, 24 1763:10

total 1631:17 1663:18 1682:6

tough 1650:6 1759:20

tower 1636:22

**towers** 1569:1 1662:20 1663:10,11,

**Townsend's** 1585:16

trace 1618:4 1649:16

traceable 1591:14

**track** 1590:14 1617:22 1618:4 1640:17 1708:24 1730:4 1733:21

tracker 1671:11

**tracking** 1536:5 1601:6 1633:19 1752:13

tradeoff 1730:5

tradeoffs 1647:10

tradition 1688:1

traditional 1551:11 1756:1 1757:15

**training** 1727:13

transcribing 1747:13

transcript 1551:15,21,24,25

**transcripts** 1551:10,12,23 1552:7 1686:2,6 1747:14,22 1748:4,9

transferring 1562:23

transmission 1682:2,9,13 1683:23

transparent 1755:16

**Transportation** 1558:2 1630:18

**travel** 1671:1 **travels** 1685:13

tread 1720:17

treat 1542:19 1629:7

**Tri-cities** 1639:2 1640:16 1662:8

trees 1576:13,16 1640:23,24

1673:5 1740:3

**trial** 1761:12

tribal 1704:23 1736:8

tribunal 1687:20

trickles 1727:21

trip 1676:1

trouble 1643:15 1661:1

**true** 1532:11 1568:25 1655:4,11 1657:11 1658:21

**truth** 1560:24,25 1674:24,25 1688:13,14 1707:5

**Tuesday** 1741:19,23,24 1742:1 1746:2

**turbine** 1610:10 1616:4 1623:8,22 1627:6 1636:17 1662:11,12 1678:9, 19 1680:14

**turbines** 1599:23,24 1611:5 1616:18 1639:8 1642:25 1660:17 1662:13,14,15,22 1664:8,9 1678:11 1682:20

**turn** 1556:13 1562:6 1564:13 1584:16 1604:22 1630:13 1634:12

1670:1 1707:16 1708:5 1715:20

turning 1623:10

two-mile 1562:21 1587:9,21,22 1590:7 1591:10,20 1595:17 1598:20 1599:3,20,24 1600:1 1601:21 1611:3,16 1612:13 1615:14 1618:12 1623:7 1627:12,19 1628:5,7,9,12 1630:8 1637:20 1649:25 1658:17 1668:20

two-year 1603:21 1615:3

**type** 1622:15 1636:21 1637:7 1641:9 1650:22 1714:13 1722:13 1731:23, 24

**types** 1637:3 1651:1 1662:22 1715:1

**typical** 1566:9,10 1678:8 1750:17

**typically** 1609:4,15 1642:3 1667:16 1752:22

U

**U.S.** 1562:20 1595:16

**UL** 1713:16 1715:2,6 1727:9,11

ultimate 1551:17 1743:13

**ultimately** 1542:14 1613:14 1655:8 1714:4

ultrasonic 1640:2

unable 1623:24 1624:3

unclear 1759:10

underneath 1666:18

understand 1536:10 1537:11 1548:17 1550:8 1572:7 1573:25 1579:5,9 1581:9 1584:17 1588:10 1599:1 1605:7 1609:2 1611:16 1612:13 1613:23 1614:14 1615:22 1617:25 1619:22 1622:3 1639:7 1647:9 1666:7,19 1671:23 1676:6 1677:16 1680:9 1683:16 1684:8 1687:11 1714:24 1717:19 1724:12 1733:2 1734:3 1737:15 1743:10 1750:1 1753:23 1759:5

understanding 1554:23 1556:10 1572:10 1588:14,16,19 1611:13,15, 20 1612:2,5 1613:25 1614:6,7,16 1617:9 1618:5 1620:21,23 1629:21 1633:5,22,25 1640:10 1656:5 1660:22 1662:19 1666:10,12,23



1678:21 1681:21 1686:1 1724:13 1728:8 1729:3 1735:4 1737:21 1754:1 1755:15

**understands** 1640:1 1711:7 1733:23

**understood** 1536:6,21 1565:1 1580:24 1672:1 1709:14,15 1722:7 1752:21

**Underwriters** 1715:7

uniform 1588:1 1641:11

unique 1613:6,8

uniquely 1679:6

units 1724:22 1725:20

unknown 1664:24 1665:6

unlike 1637:2 1645:13

unmuting 1605:20

unnecessarily 1555:24 1671:25

unoccupied 1593:25 1614:13

unprecedented 1678:11

unquestionably 1644:25 1646:1

unredacted 1548:16 1549:8

1563:20

unresolved 1533:13

unshaded 1755:23

unusual 1671:2 1682:18

**update** 1527:20 1528:3 1532:13 1539:6 1543:22

**updated** 1531:4,7 1620:16,17

1628:5,12 1716:4 1720:15 updates 1543:11 1560:7 1620:17

upload 1549:19,21

uploaded 1707:14

**usual** 1564:17

**Utah** 1575:25 1596:15

utilities 1558:2 1681:23,25 1682:5

**Utilities/transportation** 1646:17

utility 1578:11 1682:12

utilized 1631:8

utilizing 1633:22 1648:22

V

vague 1597:6

**valid** 1591:21 1593:14 1626:8,11 1643:14 1653:2 1657:18

valuable 1638:21

variables 1577:17

variety 1550:11 1613:7

vast 1583:3 1731:9

vegetation 1582:17,23 1666:11

1725:1 1729:12,15

vegetation-free 1729:20

vendor 1723:10

vendors 1717:18 1721:25 1731:4

**venting** 1729:5

verbal 1737:7

verbally 1598:11,13 1739:13

**version** 1548:9,10,11,12,16,21 1549:2,7,8,9,10,20 1553:17 1559:12 1563:19,21,23 1672:20 1687:15

versions 1633:18 1748:24 1749:1

1755:13,23

versus 1613:22 1614:22

vetted 1734:1

**viability** 1643:10

viable 1593:18 1615:20 1617:7

vice-chair 1546:10

vicinity 1729:13

video 1534:3 1551:14,20

videoconference 1740:21

view 1619:16 1740:20

viewed 1642:11

viewing 1551:16

viewpoints 1659:11

views 1740:22

violate 1554:22

virtually 1558:23

visual 1553:7,11 1741:3 1745:22

Voelckers 1527:7,13,16 1528:4 1534:2,6,24 1539:15 1540:15 1541:6 1547:14 1548:1,3,4 1549:14, 17,23,24 1550:7 1552:13 1560:12 1562:6 1606:24 1607:3,24 1608:2,4, 5,9,13 1620:4,9 1621:7,10,18,23 1622:19 1626:2 1627:5 1653:19 1655:14 1661:21,23 1665:20,22,23 1666:2 1668:1 1671:13,14 1672:4 1685:18 1686:18,20 1687:13,16,22 1688:21,24 1689:9,20,22 1732:12, 13,19 1733:10 1738:2,7 1739:3,9 1753:15,16,25 1754:7,13,20 1756:15,18,24 1757:13 1758:16,18, 20 1759:21 1761:23

Voelckers' 1607:22 1625:10

voice 1676:12,19 1727:6 1730:18

**volume** 1747:13

volumes 1722:10

vote 1760:24 1761:2

W

wait 1671:25 1712:2,4

waiting 1539:16 1547:8 1624:19

1706:5

waiving 1531:1

**wake** 1681:12

walk 1579:19 1638:6

walked 1535:1

wall 1555:6

**Wallahee** 1527:18 1528:5 1530:8 1539:16,18 1540:4 1547:18 1736:2 1739:6

Wallahee's 1527:15 1528:14

1539:21 1735:25

wanted 1550:18 1555:14 1575:2 1577:7 1608:17 1630:13 1633:11 1640:25 1641:21,22 1652:18 1655:2 1661:25 1666:6 1739:7,16 1746:17, 18,19,20 1748:23 1754:3 1759:13 1762:2 1763:7

wanting 1536:14 warming 1583:22

warranted 1645:16

Washington 1526:3 1569:5 1571:17 1572:9,12 1574:16,25 1575:3,15 1576:2 1577:3 1591:6 1597:1 1600:5,15 1601:12 1612:9 1613:11 1617:13 1626:4 1630:16, 17,21 1631:2,21 1632:5 1638:14 1642:22 1644:13 1645:20 1655:16 1660:19,21 1679:16 1681:23 1682:20 1683:6,12 1752:23

Washington's 1597:17,18 1623:17

waste 1731:23 watched 1608:15 watching 1720:12

water 1709:15,16 1713:12,22 1714:10 1716:5 1717:14 1722:4,10 1724:22 1725:3 1728:2,15

**Watson** 1576:5 1601:14 1613:23 1615:1 1616:2 1618:18 1619:13,16, 25 1620:18 1622:2 1625:18 1641:19 1656:15 1668:19

**Watson's** 1591:5 1592:16 1611:9 1612:2 1615:22 1618:9 1619:2 1627:10 1650:24

waving 1757:9

**ways** 1594:24 1635:7 1636:6 1654:12 1656:24 1680:18 1722:17

**WDFW** 1571:19 1588:17 1590:17,25 1591:11,23 1593:20 1602:25 1603:6 1609:25 1610:7 1612:16,18 1613:9, 12,18 1615:18 1616:9 1619:3 1620:15 1621:1 1623:13 1624:7 1625:4,12,18 1626:16,21 1628:5 1629:16 1630:17,22 1631:13 1632:9 1737:5

**WDFW's** 1601:5 1611:16,17 1627:5 1643:25 1668:20

website 1671:11

**Wednesday** 1543:17 1545:7,11 1587:3 1748:14

week 1544:12 1686:7,21 1748:3

weekend 1741:24 1764:8

**weeks** 1550:10 1551:6 1708:14 1716:12 1732:20 1739:14

weeks' 1743:15

weigh 1593:21 1619:12

weighing 1619:8

weights 1722:15

well-informed 1566:9

well-taken 1711:11 1733:20 1752:2

well-thought-out 1761:20

Wendt 1532:1,4,21 1536:7,18

Wendt's 1533:6 1537:2,18

West 1588:17 western 1637:24

**WFW** 1615:23 1616:2 1617:17 1619:11

**WFW's** 1615:23 1617:19 1618:12 1666:13

wheat 1580:15 1667:11

whichever 1530:5 1708:3

Whoops 1598:6

wide 1550:11 1568:8

wider 1569:10 1612:11 1631:15

wildfires 1581:22 1582:14 1583:25

wildlife 1557:15 1561:23 1562:2,21 1566:2,3 1573:17 1574:7 1580:12, 13 1581:2 1583:1,4 1595:17 1638:15 1640:16 1656:12 1662:9 1664:17 1667:8 1669:12 1737:5,11 1745:3

wildlife-type 1757:15

Willa 1560:10 1563:13

wind 1526:13 1540:13 1541:4 1556:25 1564:17 1569:1,6,8 1585:24 1586:22 1587:5 1596:7 1597:19,24 1610:10 1623:8,22 1627:6 1642:25 1647:8 1648:20 1660:17 1679:22 1680:5,7 1682:19 1683:11,19,21 1684:6

windier 1684:6

window 1583:24

winds 1681:21 1682:19 1683:9

winter 1583:18

wisdom 1611:3 1615:18

wishes 1736:20

wit 1526:6

withdraw 1761:16

withhold 1732:24

withholding 1746:15

**withstand** 1648:15

witness's 1574:24 1672:24

**witnesses** 1530:9 1536:10 1545:23 1547:13 1732:20 1734:4 1737:5,10, 17 1742:12 1759:11 1762:2

wondering 1752:10

Woodland 1526:2

woods 1747:22

**word** 1566:1 1571:11 1618:23 1656:9 1663:20 1678:11

words 1541:14 1552:21,24 1591:15 1609:1 1615:15 1617:19 1621:25 1654:21 1669:24 1678:23

work 1529:8,10 1536:9 1547:3 1551:12 1555:6 1589:7 1595:7 1639:3 1654:5 1669:23 1713:5 1714:6 1722:14 1756:12

worked 1575:17 1679:4 1682:4

working 1527:11 1550:24 1588:18 1656:13 1749:16

works 1555:10 1754:16 1755:9

**world** 1608:25 1609:4,11 1635:8 1713:24 1721:16

worry 1532:14

worse 1761:17

worst 1582:8 1616:17

**worth** 1543:8 1573:1 1650:13 1651:7

worthy 1572:22

**Wow** 1595:14

**wrap** 1530:13 1605:21,22 1618:15 1669:12 1685:21 1744:13

wrapping 1628:17 1645:9

write 1732:22

writing 1737:16 1738:1,7 1739:13 1747:1

written 1598:12,16 1675:20 1737:7 1739:18 1741:16 1742:11,14 1751:18

wrong 1585:12 1592:12 1615:17



1621:22 1716:9

wrote 1679:13

Wyoming 1575:24 1576:15,17

Υ

Yakama 1534:19 1541:19 1550:6 1560:12 1608:14 1633:8 1685:1 1688:1 1689:2,5,16 1704:23 1736:4 1737:4,9 1739:5,7,15 1741:5 1756:11 1758:7

year 1584:3 1600:11,14 1614:10 1615:8 1635:13 1643:10 1684:7 1731:1 1763:2

years 1582:11,13,21,22 1603:15 1606:7 1619:17 1637:25 1639:13 1640:9,15 1642:2,3,8 1660:23 1679:5 1682:5 1683:16,24 1706:19

yesterday 1527:19,24 1531:13 1553:6,20 1605:5 1673:25 1675:23 1676:1 1677:3,4 1705:9 1739:17 1745:11

young 1557:20,22,24 1612:12 1643:21 1651:13,15,16,25 1652:1,5 1653:9 1654:7,8,25 1721:4 1724:4 1726:15,16,18 1727:24 1728:20 1729:9,24 1730:14

Ζ

**zone** 1611:17 1612:13 1615:14 1618:12

**zones** 1611:4 1667:14 1729:20 1745:13

