











2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

Transcript of Proceedings

August 21, 2023

Horse Heaven Wind Farm v.

EF-210011

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN • DEPOSITIONS

BEFORE THE STATE OF WA ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUA		
In the Matter of the Application of:)))	
Scout Clean Energy, LLC, for Horse Heaven Wind Farm, LLC,) Docket No. EF-210011)	
Applicant.)	
ADJUDICATIVE HEAR VERBATIM RECORD OF PRO		
VOLUME 4		
August 21, 202	3	
Lacey, Washingto	n	
(CLOSED-RECORD SESSIONS	REDACTED)	
Reporter: John M.S. Botelho, CCR,	DDD	

1	APPEARANCES
2	Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Members:
3	Kathleen Drew, Chair
4	Elizabeth Osborne Department of Commerce
5	Eli Levitt
6	Department of Ecology
7	Mike Livingston Department of Fish and Wildlife
8	Lenny Young
9	Department of Natural Resources
10	Stacey Brewster Utilities & Transportation Commission
11	_
12	Derek Sandison (NOT PRESENT) Department of Agriculture
13	Ed Brost Benton County
14	Believii Councy
15	Administrative Law Judge:
16	Adam E. Torem (*)
17	For the Applicant:
18	
19	TIMOTHY L. McMAHAN EMILY K. SCHIMELPFENIG
20	ARIEL STAVITSKY WILLA B. PERLMUTTER
21	Stoel Rives 760 Southwest Ninth Avenue
22	Suite 3000 Portland, Oregon 97205
23	503.224.3380 503.220.2480 Fax
24	tim.mcmahan@stoel.com emily.schimelpfenig@stoel.com
25	ariel.stavitsky@stoel.com willa.perlmutter@stoel.com

1	APPEARANCES (Continuing)
2	For Benton County:
3	KENNETH W. HARPER AZIZA L. FOSTER
4	Menke Jackson Beyer
5	807 North 39th Avenue Yakima, Washington 98902
6	509.575.0313 509.575.0351 Fax
7	kharper@mjbe.com zfoster@mjbe.com
8	
9	Counsel for the Environment:
10	SARAH M. REYNEVELD Washington State Office of the
11	Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue
12	Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 206.389.2126
13	206.587.4290 Fax
14	sarah.reyneveld@atg.wa.gov
15	For the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation:
16	SHONA VOELCKERS
17	ETHAN JONES JESSICA HOUSTON
18	Yakama Nation Office of Legal Counsel PO Box 150
19	401 Fort Road Toppenish, Washington 98948-0150
20	509.969.8201 shona@yakamanation-olc.org
21	ethan@yakamanation-olc.org jessica@yakamanation-olc.org
22	Jessica@yakamanacion-oic.org
23	
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES (Continuing)	
2	For Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S. (Community Action for Responsible Environmental Stewardship):	
3		
4	J. RICHARD ARAMBURU Law Offices of J. Richard Aramburu 705 Second Avenue	
5	Suite 1300 Seattle, Washington 98104	
6	206.625.9515 206.682.1376 Fax	
7	aramburulaw@gmail.com	
8	Council Staff:	
9		
10	Sonia Bumpus Andrea Grantham (*)	
11	Ami Hafkemeyer Lisa Masengale	
12	Joan Owens Alex Shiley	
13	EFSEC Legal Adviser from the Washington State Office of the Attorney General:	
14	Jennifer Slocum	
15		
16		
17	(*) indicates the participant is appearing in person in Lacey, Washington, with the Court	
18	Reporter. All other participants are appearing remotely via Microsoft Teams.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2	PROCEEDINGS/WITNESSES:	PAGE NO.
3	Housekeeping session	553
4	Roll call of Council	569
5	Judge's remarks re witnesses	570
6	Roll call of parties	572
7 8 9 10 11	Adoption of prefiled testimony Cross-examination by Ms. Voelckers Cross-examination by Ms. Reyneveld Questions by Judge Torem Questions by Council Chair Drew Questions by Council Member Brewster Questions by Council Member Levitt Redirect examination by Ms. Stavitsky Recross-examination by Ms. Voelckers Recross-examination by Ms. Reyneveld	576 577 582 593 598 598 600 602 617 619
13 14	JESSICA LALLY Adoption of prefiled testimony Direct examination by Ms. Voelckers	626 627
15	** Closed-record session begins **	631
16		
17	(Not available to general public per protective order)	
18	per procedure order)	
19	** Closed-record session concluded **	679
20	Judge's update to public re schedule	679
	Discussion re witness availability	681
22	Judge's remarks to members of the public	682
23	** Closed-record session begins **	683
25		

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS (Continuing)	
2	PROCEEDINGS/WITNESSES:	PAGE NO.
3	(Not available to general public (per protective order)	
4	** Closed-record session concluded **	698
5	Judge's update to public re schedule	698
6	GEORGE SELAM	E 0.1
7	Adoption of prefiled testimony	701
8	** Closed-record session begins **	702
9		
10	(Not available to general public (per protective order)	
11		
12		
13	** Closed-record session concluded **	727
14	Judge's update to public re schedule	727
15	TERRY HEEMSAH, SR. Adoption of prefiled testimony	731
16	** Closed-record session begins **	733
17	"" Closed-record session begins ""	/33
18		
19	(Not available to general public (per protective order)	
20		
21	** Closed-record session concluded **	753
22	Discussion re witnesses, schedule	753
23	Adjournment	758
24		
25		

1		EXHIBIT INDEX	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	PARTY	ADMITTED
3	1004_T_Revised	Scout Clean Energy	576
4	1005	Scout Clean Energy	576
5	1061_X	Scout Clean Energy	683
6	1062_X	Scout Clean Energy	683
7	1063_X	Scout Clean Energy	683
8	4001_T_Revised	Yakama Nation	626
9	4002_Revised	Yakama Nation	626
10	4003_Revised_ Confidential	Yakama Nation	626
11	4003_Revised_Redacted	Yakama Nation	626
12	4005_T_Confidential	Yakama Nation	701
13	4005_T_Redacted	Yakama Nation	701
14 15	4006_T_Confidential	Yakama Nation	733
	4006_T_Redacted	Yakama Nation	733
16 17	4014_X_Confidential	Yakama Nation	683
18	4014_X_Redacted	Yakama Nation	683
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
23			
25			
د ∠			

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Monday, August 21, 2023, at 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast, 2. 3 Lacey, Washington, at 8:30 a.m., before the Washington 4 Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council; Kathleen Drew, Chair; and Adam E. Torem, Administrative Law Judge, the 5 following proceedings were continued, to wit: 6 7 8 <<<<<< >>>>> 9 10 JUDGE TOREM: Good morning, 11 everybody. Happy Monday. We're going to get started 12 with our housekeeping session for the Horse Heaven wind 13 farm adjudicative hearing. 14 Let me do a quick survey of who's here for the 15 parties, and then we can talk about today's schedule 16 and any other adaptations to schedule for this week we 17 need. 18 Mr. McMahan, I see you up there. Who is present 19 for applicant today? 20 MR. McMAHAN: Yeah, good morning, 21 Your Honor. Tim McMahon here with Emily Schimelpfenig, 22 Ariel Stavitsky, and Willa Perlmutter --23 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 24 MR. McMAHAN: -- for the applicant. JUDGE TOREM: Excellent. 25 Thank you.

1 For the County today? 2. MR. HARPER: Good morning, Your 3 Honor. Ken Harper and Z. Foster. 4 JUDGE TOREM: Good morning to both of you. 5 Ms. Reyneveld, I can see you up there. Thank you. 6 Good morning. 7 8 And --9 MS. REYNEVELD: Good morning, Your 10 Honor. 11 JUDGE TOREM: -- for the Yakama 12 Nation. 13 MS. VOELCKERS: Good morning, Your 14 Honor. Shona Voelckers for the Yakama Nation. And I'm 15 joined by my cocounsel Ethan Jones and Jessica Houston. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you. 17 And, Ms. Voelckers, thank you for circulating the most updated schedule for our review this morning. 18 19 Mr. Aramburu, good morning. How are you? 20 MR. ARAMBURU: Good morning, Your 21 Honor. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Let's see if we can go and walk through today's schedule. 23 24 We have Emily Ragsdale starting at 9. And 25 depending how that goes, we'll get to Jessica Lally at

10:45.

2.

2.2

It's my understanding that essentially every witness today, we're going to be in a closed session. So what we'll do is start on the record in an open session, and I've asked Andrea Grantham to go ahead and build that group that's going into the closed session. So hopefully it's quicker today than it was last week when we initially tried that during the adjudicative hearing.

After our lunch break, we'll have George Selam and then Mr. Heemsah after that and then Caseymac Wallahee. And I think we took Jerry Meninick, and we're moving him to Thursday or Friday, from the notes I saw. So we've got one, two, three, four -- five witnesses today.

What other updates do we have for today?
Mr. McMahan.

MR. McMAHAN: None from the applicant, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: And in the shifting around for Ms. Perlmutter's witnesses, anything else than the ones maybe she's participating in today?

MR. McMAHAN: Ms. Perlmutter will be participating actively in the Jansen, McIvor, and Rahmig testimony. I believe that will be starting

1 tomorrow. JUDGE TOREM: Okay. And I see that 2 3 there. Excellent. 4 Mr. Aramburu, let me come to you next. Any other 5 updates for today's particular schedule? And then we'll let you talk from there about the rest of the 6 7 week. 8 MR. ARAMBURU: No updates for 9 today's schedule. 10 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Ms. Reyneveld, 11 anything for today that we need to know? 12 MS. REYNEVELD: No, nothing from me. 13 Thanks. 14 JUDGE TOREM: All right. And, 15 Ms. Voelckers, am I right that Ms. Ragsdale is 16 anticipated to talk about some confidential matters? 17 MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, I expect that it might come up. I don't know that my questions 18 19 will result in an answer that's protected, but my 20 understanding is that the goal would be to not put her 21 in a difficult position in making that determination, 2.2 but rather, to just be in a closed record for her testimony because of the sensitive information. 23 24 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. And, 25 Ms. Reyneveld, your questions might go that direction

1 as well? Sorry. They -- they 2. MS. REYNEVELD: 3 might. I don't anticipate that they would, but they 4 might. JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Well, I think 5 we'll be in closed session for that entire piece as 6 7 well. I've got some concerns about overuse of a closed-record proceeding in a public adjudication. 8 9 we'll see where her testimony goes, Ms. Voelckers, 10 after yours and how much confidential information is 11 actually discussed. And if we think we can reopen the 12 session instead, let's try to do that, but I want to 13 make sure we keep the confidential information within a 14 closed record. 15 Mr. Harper, you're not featured -- yeah, you're not featured prominently on today's projected 16 17 cross-exam, but anything else you need me to know about your -- County's participation today? 18 19 MR. HARPER: No. 20 JUDGE TOREM: All right. The rest 21 of the week, then. Let's turn to that. I see at the 22 really -- we moved the Jansen and Rahmig testimony 23 mainly to tomorrow, and then we've got some other 24 shifting around from there on Wednesday per the chair's

request to hear Mr. Wiley. And looking to see how far

into the afternoon we're projected to go on the day of the public comment hearing now.

And I see, Mr. Harper, that you put in Ms. Cooke for some potentially limited rebuttal testimony. That will depend, I guess, on what Mr. Wiley says?

MR. HARPER: Yeah, I think that's right, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Sounds good.

What I'm hoping is that Mr. Wiley's testimony won't take nearly the hour that's projected there based on the questions we discussed on Friday's prehearing conference. So we'll just see how that goes. And if Ms. Cooke is ready to go closer, although I'm sure she'll be listening to what Mr. Wiley says. At least I'd anticipate, if it was my witness, I'd have her participating at that point.

So, Mr. Harper, was that your intent as well so she knows what she might be rebutting?

MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: Perfect. That ought to speed things up as well.

All right. And we'll hear from Mr. Krupin and Mr. Sharp on their testimony per the order that you got advance copy of last night and is now published. I think I saw the e-mail this morning.

1 MR. ARAMBURU: Your Honor, with 2. respect to those two witnesses, I -- I have no reason 3 to believe that they would not be available that day, but they just found out they were going to be 4 5 witnesses, and I need to check with them, see if there's any serious conflicts. I don't believe there 6 are, but -- but I do want to check with them. 7 JUDGE TOREM: All right. I think I 8 9 saw Mr. Sharp pop up in the participants today. So, 10 Mr. Sharp, if you want to unmute and just take care of 11 that question as to your availability Wednesday in the 12 morning, probably in the last half, 10:30 to 11 -- or 13 sorry -- to the noon hour. Mr. Sharp, if you can hear me, do you want to let 14 15 Mr. Aramburu and the rest of the parties confirm your 16 availability for late Wednesday morning? 17 MR. SHARP: Last I checked, I 18 thought the time was in the afternoon. I have a 19 doctor's appointment tomorrow at 10, but I quess I 20 could change it. 21 JUDGE TOREM: Well, I think we're 22 talking about Wednesday. 23 MR. SHARP: Oh. Wednesday. I'm 24 okay, then. 25 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Good.

So, Mr. Aramburu, just need to check with 1 Mr. Krupin, unless he happens to be online this 2. 3 morning. I didn't necessarily catch him logging in. 4 MR. ARAMBURU: Let's assume that the slot of 10:45 to 11:45 for Mr. Krupin is good unless I 5 inform the parties within the next couple of hours. 6 All right. Thank you, 7 JUDGE TOREM: I don't see him in the participants list, so sir. 8 9 we'll let you do that by e-mail or have Ms. Cohoe do 10 that. 11 As to the witness exhibits for Mr. Krupin and 12 Mr. Sharp as they currently stand on the prefiled, 13 hopefully that can be filed today but certainly before 14 Wednesday morning so that Ms. Masengale can get the updated ones into the file perhaps Tuesday night for 15 the Council's review. 16 17 MR. ARAMBURU: One other item. The County has Ms. Cooke as a potential rebuttal witness to 18 19 Mr. Wiley. We are currently conferring regarding 20 possible rebuttal testimony. This is all coming very 21 quickly with lots of things. We'll let the parties 22 know right away if we have some rebuttal testimony in 23 mind to Mr. Wiley. 24 JUDGE TOREM: And as you're forming 25 those thoughts, Mr. Aramburu, about potentially a

rebuttal witness for Mr. Wiley, has that person already been identified by name in any of the filings, or are you thinking about somebody new altogether?

MR. ARAMBURU: This will be somebody new.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Well, for purposes of keeping the discovery going and that the applicant particularly feels as though they know what might be coming and the scope, I hope you'll communicate that sooner rather than later as you formulate the testimony.

And would you consider it's going to be submitted in writing, or given the short amount of time, it'll simply be verbal? And, if so, if it's verbal, if you could give a couple of points, maybe even just bullet points in a memo to the parties as to what that witness might be covering, that might be as best a discovery disclosure as we can do, given the short time between now and, what, 50 hours from now.

MR. ARAMBURU: We'll do the best we can. We understand currently we're working on the three questions that Ms. Drew posed in an e-mail from last week. So to the extent we do have a rebuttal witness, we will probably formulate our short responses to those three questions.

2.2

1 JUDGE TOREM: Let me hear from the 2 applicant. Again, just for the record, any concerns on 3 the rebuttal testimony both of Ms. Cooke and/or what might be coming from a yet unnamed witness? 4 5 MR. McMAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I do -- we do have some concerns about this. As, of 6 course, we all are aware, the genesis of this was the 7 Council Chair's request for some fairly confined 8 information that she provided to the parties. Frankly, 9 10 I, especially without knowing what rebuttal, what 11 witness, who we're talking about, it's rather 12 surprising that new witnesses will be put forward at 13 this point in time. We -- we question the necessity of 14 that, given the focused nature of the Chair's request. Ms. Cooke, I think, at least as -- it makes some sense, 15 16 given that she is a party who's testified, and that 17 makes sense that the County would perhaps want to have some testimony from her. But a mysterious unknown 18 19 witness showing up on Wednesday is objectionable to us, 20 Your Honor. 21 I thought it might be, JUDGE TOREM: 22 so I'm hoping that you'll work with Mr. Aramburu to 23 sort out who that person might be in the course of 24 today or at the end of today's hearing and see whether

the objection might be resolved by sort of an agreed

understanding on the scope of any rebuttal and whether that person might speak to, again, anything that Chair Drew expressed an interest in from Mr. Wiley and what Ms. Cooke might be anticipated to say in response.

I hesitate to call it trial by ambush in such a very limited scope of what's here, but if it can help the Council's understanding, again, I'd like to be more information than less. Hopefully, again, because this is a limited land-use compatibility issue as well as a long-term question of use of the lands, at least how I read Chair Drew's statements, it may -- it may help elucidate some points from the community perspective on compatibility and the other conditional use permit questions that this Council has to offer.

So, Mr. Aramburu, if it's limited to those types of things, that's what I anticipate would be appropriate rebuttal. If you have other breadth of topic in mind, try to work those out with Mr. McMahan and give me a better presentation maybe at tomorrow's housekeeping so we can sort that out before Wednesday's testimony. All right?

MR. ARAMBURU: So as we identify
the -- the witness, or possible witness, I will let
Mr. McMahan know right away, try to coordinate with him
about understanding of the witness testimony, and so

2.

2.2

that hopefully by tomorrow then we can -- we can have those issues sorted out. So I'm happy to work with -- with Mr. McMahan and you, Judge Torem.

JUDGE TOREM: Great.

And please work with Mr. Harper as well, since this is his main topic, so that he understands how it might be complementary or adjacent to any rebuttal that Ms. Cooke might be offering. All right?

MR. ARAMBURU: Happy to do that.

JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, sir.

Any other items for today's housekeeping?

MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, just a

logistical issue, if I may.

If --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE TOREM: Yes.

MS. VOELCKERS: If we're going to be going into the closed-record hearing very soon, are we going to be coming out of it on breaks, or will it just be closed record?

This is just a logistical question. We're having some Monday morning technology -- we're trying to work it out so, like I said, that the tribal council could view from their own offices. And if that doesn't work, then we will invite them into our office. But they might not have logged on by the 9:00 'cause they're

trying to work that out right now.

So I just want to kind of see what the plan is for the -- going in and out of the closed-record hearing so I could flag for you when they're available to join.

JUDGE TOREM: What I'd like to do,

Ms. Voelckers, is start on the record in an open hearing, explain exactly what the testimony today might be covering. And I'm going to ask, because the applicant is calling Ms. Ragsdale first, to give a quick summary, for those in the public that might be listening, as to what she'll be covering. So, Mr. McMahan, if you'd be ready to do that.

And then I'll explain that some of the cross-examination that we anticipate both from the Yakama Nation and from counsel for the environment may take us into areas of her testimony that will require confidential questioning. And depending on when we're done with your test- -- your questions, Ms. Voelckers, we may be coming out.

We're going to have a slide on the screen, for all those that log in to the open meeting, that say we're in closed record and when we anticipate coming out. So if we're going in at, say, 10 after 9, I'll have a post that we're going to try to come out at 9:45 at the break, whatever we need to do this morning. And then

we'll see if we're going back into closed record per your questioning and where from there counsel for the environment thinks.

For each break, I think it's better for us to come out when we go to break and let the parties know that we're coming out. We'll come back from the break and then announce how long the next one is anticipated for. I think that's fairer to the public if they happen to be listening, but we're at a break, to know. And we'll put up an updated slide. Those are my thoughts on in and out of closed record rather than just staying in closed record the bulk of the day. I think we ought to pop our heads up and keep the public apprised.

Any other concerns on in and out of closed record from any of the parties? I see some nodding heads, but I'm not sure if it's a complete agreement on my suggestion.

Okay. Hearing none from that.

Any other matters we should take up before we come back at 9:00?

Not seeing any.

Ms. Voelckers, good luck working out the items with the technology for the tribal elders. If you need more time to bring them over before we start the Ragsdale, let me know when we start the public process

this morning at 9, and we'll see. I don't know how 1 long that will take. Do you think it's a couple 2 3 minutes or a more extended time? MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 4 5 Honor. We're here in the same building with them, so I don't anticipate we'll need to ask for more time. We 6 just might -- and they might just choose to join after 7 Ms. Ragsdale's testimony. So I will -- I will speak up 8 again if I need to, but otherwise, I think we're good 9 10 to proceed. 11 JUDGE TOREM: Great. Thanks, 12 Ms. Voelckers. 13 One other item that came to my attention courtesy 14 of Ms. Masengale this morning is you submitted a Exhibit 4014 for cross-examination with Jessica Lally; 15 16 is that right? I think it was the Moon memo? 17 MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor. Wе 18 submitted that not for the purpose of cross but for the 19 purpose of asking her about the -- the memo, given that 20 it discusses project modifications that are meant to 21 address Yakama Nation's concerns. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: All right. And 23 Ms. Masengale identified on Page 3 a couple of things

that might need to be redacted. So because we'll

probably take it up in closed session, it may not be an

24

issue today, but she'll work with you to make sure the appropriate items are redacted so that when we post it to any portion of the website, that it be appropriately marked and nothing confidential disclosed. 'Cause I guess we'll have a confidential and a redacted version of that for appropriate posting.

So the other parties who may have received 4014, I don't know what they -- if it's Sub X or Sub something else, but 4014, on Page 3, will have some redactions when it's actually posted to the public.

All right. Let's go off the record, then. We'll come back in 10, 12 minutes at 9:00, and we'll get started as indicated. Thank you.

(Pause in proceedings from 8:48 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.)

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Good morning, everybody. It is Monday, August 21st. It's now 9:00 in the morning. We're ready to begin our Horse Heaven wind farm Day 4 hearings.

We have a number of witnesses today who will be providing confidential information in their testimony, so we're going to be in and out of closed-record session as we need to be. Some parts of the day will be longer in closed session than others, but we just

ask that you bear with us. We'll try to keep a slide 1 up in the main room letting you know our approximate 2 3 times in and out of session. 4 Before we get started any further, I'd like our staff to call the Council roll so we know who's here 5 today for the Horse Heaven Council. 6 MS. GRANTHAM: EFSEC Chair. 7 8 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Kathleen Drew, 9 present. 10 MS. GRANTHAM: Department of 11 Commerce. 12 MS. OSBORNE: Elizabeth Osborne, 13 present. 14 MS. GRANTHAM: Department of 15 Ecology. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Eli Levitt, 17 present. 18 MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Fish 19 and Wildlife. COUNCIL MEMBER LIVINGSTON: Mike 20 21 Livingston, present. 2.2 MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Natural 23 Resources. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER YOUNG: Lenny Young, 25 present.

1 MS. GRANTHAM: Utilities & 2. Transportation Commission. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Stacey 4 Brewster, present. 5 MS. GRANTHAM: For the Horse Heaven 6 project: Department of Agriculture. And Benton County. 7 I believe they'll be joining after. 8 9 That is everyone, Judge. 10 JUDGE TOREM: All right. I'll ask 11 that if we do go into closed session and our 12 Agriculture and County representative have not yet 13 joined, that staff look to move them into that closed 14 session if at all possible. And I'll also ask, if there's a background staff 15 16 member that can e-mail or reach out by phone to both of 17 them to let them know what we'll be doing today so just that if they do come in while we're in closed session, 18 19 they realize they need to message somebody to identify 20 they need to move into the closed session to hear the 21 testimony. 2.2 Council members, we're going to start today with 23 Emily Ragsdale's testimony. She's sponsored by the 24 applicant, so I'm going to do a quick roll call of the

parties so you can see who's participating today.

that point, I think we'll be ready to call Ms. Ragsdale to testify.

The general process for today will be Ms. Ragsdale starting here at 9:00. Perhaps by 10:45, we'll be going into closed session with Jessica Lally's testimony. That will carry us, as scheduled, through the lunch hour. We'll have George Selam as the first witness after Ms. Lally's completed and go on to two more additional witnesses this afternoon on cultural resource topical issues.

If you're still looking at your schedule that you received last week, I don't know if Ms. Masengale's posted a new one yet, but there's an updated version for this week, and you'll see that Jerry Meninick, who you might have thought we were preparing for today, is going to be moved to later in the week.

Chair Drew, we've also come up with a time for Mr. Wiley's testimony. That will be on the aft- -- sorry -- in the morning of Wednesday this week, on August 23rd.

And Mr. Harper has proposed -- and I think it's a good idea -- that we have a very limited redirect for Ms. Cooke. So we'll see how the scope of testimony goes with Mr. Wiley. And Ms. Cooke, I think, is planning to listen in, so she'll know what, if any,

rebuttal testimony she needs to offer.

Mr. Aramburu has proposed a witness. We don't have a name or any other identification yet. But if appropriate, he's going to work with Mr. McMahan and the other parties to identify who that might be as a potential rebuttal witness as well from TCC. And if that works out within the scope of Mr. Wiley's testimony, we'll see if Mr. McMahan wants to put any objections on the record in tomorrow or Wednesday's housekeeping session and what we do from there.

So those are some other things to anticipate based on Chair Drew's questions. I had a good conversation with the parties Friday afternoon and relayed your specific questions. And I think they're essentially the same as what you had sent over to me that day. I might have changed the wording slightly. So we'll make sure we know where we're going with those in general on Wednesday morning.

All right. Let me take the party roll. For the applicant.

MS. STAVITSKY: Good morning, Your Honor. Ariel Stavitsky here for Scout Clean Energy.

I'll be handling the cultural witnesses today.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. And for

25 Benton County?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	MR. HARPER: Good morning, Your
2	Honor. Ken Harper and Z. Foster for Benton County.
3	JUDGE TOREM: Counsel for the
4	environment?
5	MS. REYNEVELD: Sarah Reyneveld on
6	behalf of counsel for the environment. Good morning,
7	Your Honor.
8	JUDGE TOREM: Good morning.
9	And Yakama Nation.
10	MS. VOELCKERS: Good morning. Shona
11	Voelckers for Yakama Nation along with Ethan Jones and
12	Jessica Houston.
13	JUDGE TOREM: And Tri-Cities
14	C.A.R.E.S.
15	MR. ARAMBURU: Morning, Your Honor
16	and Council members. Rick Aramburu representing
17	Tri-City C.A.R.E.S.
18	(Witness Emily Ragsdale
19	appearing remotely.)
20	
21	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Ms. Emily
22	Ragsdale, good morning. I'm Judge Torem. Nice to meet
23	you.
24	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
25	JUDGE TOREM: Our process, as you've

heard, is going to be some in open session today, and then we'll be moving to a closed-record session.

Ms. Voelckers, after some thought about trying to keep things open as long as possible, I'll have you let me know when the first question you think might raise any confidential information.

And, Ms. Ragsdale, if you think an answer is going to stray into something that's protected information, I think you're probably well aware of where things might be in that regard for your testimony. I'll ask you to say, "I think I'm going to answer with confidential information," and advise we need to go into closed-record session. So Ms. Voelckers probably knows which questions she's got in mind, but your answers may be a little broader than she's anticipating. So if the both of you would help me keep the sensitive and confidential information limited to those who have signed our confidential agreements, that would be great.

As I said earlier, for those members of the public, we'll try to keep things open as much as possible. Open government and open proceedings are in everybody's interest, and we'll go from there.

I see that Ed Brost has joined us, for the record.

Mr. Brost, can you hear us okay?

2.

1 COUNCIL MEMBER BROST: Yes. 2. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you. 3 And has our Agriculture representative joined us? 4 All right. Not yet. So, Ms. Stavitsky, I hope you were listening 5 earlier. I'm going to ask you once I swear in 6 Ms. Ragsdale. She's going to be adopting Exhibits 7 1004 T and 1005. Once I have sworn her in to do that, 8 I'll have you maybe give a quick overview of what her 9 10 testimony is going to cover so that members of the 11 public have an idea of the scope. Just a couple 12 sentences. And then we'll see when we go into 13 closed-record session. 14 All right. Ms. Ragsdale, if you'll raise your 15 right hand. 16 17 EMILY RAGSDALE, appearing remotely, was duly sworn by the Administrative 18 19 Law Judge as follows: 20 21 Do you, Emily JUDGE TOREM: 22 Ragsdale, solemnly swear or affirm that all of your 23 prefiled testimony as included in Exhibits 1004_T and 24 1005 and any answers you give today in the course of 25 this proceeding will be the truth, the whole truth, and

1 nothing but the truth? 2 THE WITNESS: I do. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, ma'am. 4 So Exhibit 1004_T and 1005 are now adopted and 5 part of our record. They're admitted as evidence. 6 (Exhibit Nos. 1004 T Revised and 1005 admitted.) 7 8 9 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Stavitsky, I'm 10 going to go on "mute" on this end and let you introduce 11 the witness and then turn it over to Ms. Voelckers for 12 cross-exam. 13 MS. STAVITSKY: Thank you, Your 14 Honor. 15 Hi, everyone. Ariel Stavitsky here for Scout Clean Energy. We're joined today by Emily Ragsdale, 16 17 who is an archaeologist with HRA, who produced the cultural resource report that underlie the project 18 19 application in the application for site certification, 20 the ASC. 21 Ms. Ragsdale will be testifying today about her 2.2 research on the cultural resource reports and the 23 content based on those reports that is in the ASC, and 24 that section is 4.2.5.2. 25 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you,

Ms. Stavitsky. That, I think, orients the Council 1 members and members of the public. 2 3 Ms. Voelckers, your examination, which I think is 4 scheduled to maybe take a half an hour, and then we'll 5 come to Ms. Reyneveld. 6 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your 7 Honor. We might not need that whole time. 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. VOELCKERS: Good morning, Ms. Ragsdale. My name is Shona 11 12 Voelckers. I represent the Confederated Tribes and 13 Bands of the Yakama Nation in this proceeding. 14 I have a few questions today about your background and the scope of your research. 15 Are you originally from the Pacific Northwest? 16 17 No, I'm not. Α Where -- where did you grow up? 18 I grew up mostly in Reno, Nevada, so Great Basin. 19 20 And in looking at your résumé, is it fair to say that you also didn't obtain any of your formal training in 21 22 the Pacific Northwest? 23 Not my education, no. A

Okay. I did see as well -- and this is Exhibit 1005.

I did see as well in your résumé that you've worked on

0

24

multiple development projects, the impact to Yakama Nation's members and Yakama Nation's treaty reserve rights aside from this project.

But you've never been hired by Yakama Nation to conduct any professional research, correct?

A Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q So you've only been representing developers, correct?
- A Correct. Yes. Or federal agencies as well. And probably State agencies too. But, you know, we work for Bonneville Power, for example, a lot, who has lots of transmission projects in Yakama Nation land.
- Q Okay. But it's also fair to say that you work for a number of developers that are proposing industrial projects that impact the Yakama Nation, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q And I saw in Page 2 of your direct testimony, I believe Exhibit 1004, that one of the reasons that you were engaged by the applicant to work on this project was to, I believe you said, quote, assist with tribal communications and outreach.

You haven't engaged directly with Yakama Nation's tribal council regarding the project, have you?

- A Nope. That was not my role.
- Q Okay. Do you believe that your company's reports on the project's cultural resource impacts contain

- sufficient information regarding the project's

 potential impacts to Yakama Nation's traditional

 cultural properties?
 - A Our reports don't necessarily describe the impacts.

 The impacts are addressed in the application. Our reports are about the background research and the results of our investigations. So it's a documentation of archaeological and architecture resources.

But they don't analyze the impact. Sorry. Didn't mean to cut you off. They don't analyze the impacts of the project. Just provide recommendations for how to deal with the resources that are identified.

- Q And is it your opinion that they contain sufficient information about Yakama Nation's traditional cultural properties to fully inform the Council?
- A That was not the scope of our reports.
- Q Okay. And is it your professional opinion that the application, itself, contains sufficient information regarding the project's potential impacts to Yakama
 Nation's traditional cultural properties to inform the Council?
- 22 A That is outside the scope of my role on this project.
- Q Okay. Do you know what Yakama Nation's historic traditional use areas are?
- 25 A I am not an expert by any means, no.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 Q Do you know -- do you know anything, though, about the ethnographic history --
 - A Yes. As the -- in the course of our research, yes, we do cover that.
 - Q So would you say that the course of your research covers sufficient information about where Yakama Nation's ancestors lived, hunted, and gathered?
 - A Yes. I mean, sufficient in terms of what we were trying to do for our report, not sufficient probably for a TCP analysis. For the scope of what our work was, yes.
- Q Okay. Are you familiar with the historic kinship ties of the 14 tribes and bands that make up what is now the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation?
- 15 A On a broad level, yes.
- 16 Q What do you broadly know about the historic kinship 17 ties of all 14 tribes and bands of the Yakama Nation?
 - A Broadly that they have existed for a time immemorial that people have been on the landscape. My perspective is that I know about the archaeology, you know, the evidence that was left by those people. I am not an ethnographer. I -- I know what was written in literature that was produced at the time of contact up and through the historic period, but I'm by no means an expert on Yakama Nation history.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And you didn't submit any rebuttal testimony in response to Jessica Lally's report regarding the project's potential impacts to Yakama Nation's TCPs, or traditional cultural properties, correct?

A Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Can we agree that Jessica Lally's experience working for Yakama Nation and her ability to obtain information directly from Yakama Nation members makes her the most qualified archeologist to provide a professional opinion regarding the project's impacts to Yakama Nation's TCPs?

A Absolutely.

MS. VOELCKERS: Those are my only questions at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.

If we need to on follow-up, Ms. Voelckers, let me know if we need to go into closed session for anything. We didn't have to yet.

Ms. Reyneveld, you're scheduled for some questions as well. When yours are done, I'll go around the room for all the rest of the parties, and then we'll come to the Council and see if Chair Drew and the Council members have any questions for you, Ms. Ragsdale.

Ms. Reyneveld.

25 ////



CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. REYNEVELD:

2.

Q Good morning, Ms. Ragsdale. My name is Sarah
Reyneveld. I'm an assistant attorney general in the
Environmental Protection Division of the attorney
general's office. And I'm the counsel for the
environment assigned to this matter, which represents
the public and its interests in protecting the
environment. And I have a few questions for you.

So you are a principal archaeologist at Historic Research Associates, which provides cultural resourcing consulting services; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And turning to your direct examination, your cultural resource credentials including completing pre-contact and historic period archeological surveys, research evaluation and data, archaeological monitoring, and also government and tribal communications -- correct? -- among other things?

A Correct.

And for this project, you were first contracted to complete a desktop survey analysis of the project, or a desktop analysis of the project, also conduct a limited field survey, and assist with tribal communications and outreach; is that correct?



- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 | Q And you prepared portions of the appendices, including
- 3 Appendix R, cultural resource reports, for the
- 4 application for site certification, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 | Q And is your tribal communications and outreach for this
- 7 | project accurately summarized in Appendix R?
- 8 A Yes, summarized.
- 9 Q Okay. And is there any additional relevant
- 10 communication that was particularly relevant to your
- 11 survey results and the conclusions today that you had
- 12 | with the tribes?
- 13 A No. I think that the -- the tables that have all of
- the information, you know, they summarize all the
- 15 communications that happened. You know, the details of
- 16 some of the earlier conversations aren't listed in
- those communication tables from the, you know, the
- 18 | first year of the project, but they're all summarized
- 19 there.
- 20 Q You are not a member of a federally recognized tribe,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 | Q And you've never been a member, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 | Q You were also contacted to complete a desktop analysis

1 for this project, correct?

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 A I don't -- I don't know what you mean by "a desktop analysis," I suppose.
 - Q I was going to ask you what that entailed.
- 5 A Where is that language coming from? I guess.
 - Q I believe it is in the description of what you performed in the project. So if you can't speak to that, then I can move on in my questioning.
 - Well, yeah, I can tell you generally what a desktop analysis is. You know, it's all the background research that goes into deciding what the possibility, the probability of their -- what types of resources are existing, known to exist in a landscape, and what types of resources could possibly exist, where they might be, how they might have been affected by landscape changes over the years. It sort of helps inform your field methodologies. It provides a context for analyzing and interpreting resources. That's what a desktop analysis is.

We didn't produce an actual product of a desktop analysis, per se. That would have been all wrapped up in our cultural resource reports, but there wasn't, like, a standalone desktop analysis document produced.

- Q Okay. But it's fair to say that you conducted one?
- 25 A Oh, absolutely. Yeah. That's all part of -- that's

- sort of, like, first, second step of starting any sort of survey, archaeological survey.
- 3 | Q You also conducted a limited field survey, correct?
- 4 A I wouldn't call it limited at this point. We surveyed 22,000 acres.
- 6 Q Okay. So you surveyed those 22,000 acres over five field sessions; is that correct?
- 8 A I couldn't say the number of field sessions. That
 9 would be listed in our reports. You know, it was over
 10 a two-and-a-half-year period. So many, many field
 11 sessions, yes, with lots of crew members. Each field
 12 session is about 80 hours' worth of work, so...
- 13 Q Okay. And is it fair to say that all of the project area was not surveyed?
 - There was an area of the project area you did not survey; is that correct?
- 17 A No. We survey -- we didn't survey the lease boundary.

 18 We surveyed the micro-siting corridor, which is where

 19 all the impacts, the -- the physical disturbances will

 20 be.
- 21 | Q Okay. And you didn't survey the lease boundary?
- 22 A Correct.

15

- 23 | Q And why was that?
- A Because there will not be any physical impacts in the areas outside the areas where we have surveyed. And if

there are, we would survey those in the future.

Q Gotcha.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

So as part of the application as well as the appendix, you were required to identify historic and archeological sites within the areas of the facility that were -- are going to be affected by construction operation; is that correct?

- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q And did you evaluate the resources identified for
 10 eligibility for listing in the National Register of
 11 Historic Places?
- 12 A We did for the historic-period archaeological sites and also for the built environment.
- 14 Q Okay. And were there other sites that you did not identify?
- 16 A Not identify or not evaluate?
- 17 | Q Not evaluate.
- A Not evaluate. Yes, there are two pre-contact
 archaeological sites that are not evaluated, because
 that -- because the regulatory context.
- Q Okay. Did you also give consideration to significant properties; specifically, those listed in our eligible for the Washington Heritage Register?
- 24 A That is part of our analysis, yes.
- 25 | Q Okay. And your survey results are summarized in the

- 1 application; is that correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Okay. And as a result of your survey, you identified
- 4 14 archaeological resources and five historic
- 5 properties in the area you surveyed; is that correct?
- 6 A That is not correct.
- 7 | Q Okay. Can you clarify the record, then?
- 8 A Sure. We -- we identified 41 archaeological
- 9 resources, so that's 29 sites and 12 isolates.
- 10 | Q Okay. And of those, how many did you recommend be
- 11 avoided?
- 12 A All of them.
- 13 Q All of them.
- 14 A That were -- I'm sorry. Let me -- let me go back on
- 15 that.
- We -- we recommend avoiding all of them. However,
- 17 under state law, historic-period archaeological
- 18 resources that have been determined not eligible for
- 19 the National Register do not require avoidance. So
- 20 that was our answer in our reports.
- 21 | Q Okay. And correct me if I'm wrong. But you said that
- 22 you did not evaluate all of them for the National
- 23 | Register; is that correct?
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 | Q Okay. And when does that evaluation process occur?

A Well, evaluation of historic-period sites, by its very nature, is invasive. So we have to go into archaeological sites and dig holes in them, which is a destructive process -- right? -- in and of itself.

So we don't necess- -- we don't need to go down that route and destroy more sites, historic portions of sites to determine eligibility if they're not going to be physically impacted. So we can just leave them as unevaluated and avoid them. And that, you know, is in the spirit of preservation essentially.

so the only time we would go in and do that evaluation testing is if we think that there might be an impact. So we did that for five of the resources. And then there's some other types of sites, you know, the historic-period isolates that you can make an evaluation determination without doing that subsurface investigation.

So there's a handful of those that we did that. There's some that we did some testing. And then the rest are unevaluated, with no plans to evaluate them unless they're going to be physically impacted.

- Q Okay. And I believe that you might have reviewed -well, you probably reviewed the updated application for
 a site certification, correct?
- A Correct.



Q Okay. And did you also review what is being dubbed the Moon memo, which was a memo to Amy Moon titled "Horse Heaven Wind Farm Anticipated Project Modifications for Final Application Certification"?

Are you familiar with that?

- A I'm familiar with that. I received it just very recently. I have -- you know, I couldn't quote it by any means, but I do know of its existence, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And would it be fair to say that you don't know whether the current project, even with these modifications, will avoid these archaeological resources and the isolate that you identified?
- 13 A I have not reviewed it in detail, no.
- 14 | Q So you don't know?
- 15 A I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 16 Q Okay. And will there be a point in time where that
 17 determination regarding avoidance is made?
- 18 A Yes. But probably not by me. That was not my role in this project.
- 20 Q Okay. And is it your understanding that the
 21 applicant's going to retain a qualified archaeologist
 22 kind of prior to the construction of this project?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that person is going to be responsible for preparing and implementing cultural resource pre---

- pre-construction surveys and avoidance plans; is that
 correct?
- 3 A Yes. Though we do have a draft avoidance plan written 4 that HRA wrote, that I wrote.
- Q Okay. And we mentioned tribal coordination. But is this plan going to be developed in coordination with the Yakama Nation and other tribes?
- 8 A Yep. And it says that right in the draft plan.
- 9 Q And just because I'm not familiar with this process, is
 10 this plan also going to assess whether these sites can
 11 be avoided?
- 12 A No. It's going to list the sites, and it's going to
 13 show how they are avoided. It's not going to assess if
 14 they will be.
- Okay. And assuming that at least some of these sites cannot be avoided, what additional work would be necessary to determine the site significance and integrity?
- 19 A I guess it depends on what -- what sites we're talking about here.
- 21 | Q Okay. Well, just hypothetically --
- A Hypothetically, if it was a -- a historic-period
 archaeological site, we would -- and it couldn't be
 avoided, we would -- similar to the five sites that we
 have tested for the project, we would get an

archaeological excavation permit from the DAHP. We write a research design. That research design would be written in coordination with the consulting parties, the tribes, the DAHP.

We would then do more research about that very specific location to see if we could get more information about that resource. We would go and do some formal testing, excavation, to -- square formal holes to look at the stratigraphy of the site, collect the artifacts. We would do a laboratory analysis, and then we would write a report that would make recommendations as to the site's eligibility for the National Register.

Q Okay. I just have a few other questions.

Ms. Voelckers, counsel to Yakama Nation, asked you about the term "traditional cultural property," correct?

A Correct.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q And are -- are the traditional cultural properties, from your understanding, did you account for those? Are those -- are those reflected at all in your survey results?
- A That is outside the scope of what HRA provides. We do mention the communications that I had with Jessica

 Lally about, but a very limited -- you know, I had very

- 1 limited information, and it is no- -- noted in our
 2 report about a TCP in one particular location.
- Q Do you agree that the criteria for traditional cultural property can mirror basic National Register for Historic Places criteria?
- 6 A Yeah, there's federal guidance on that issue. Excuse
 7 me.
- 8 Q And do you agree that the traditional cultural
 9 properties of the tribe could be considered eligible
 10 for listing in the National Register of Historic
 11 Places?
- 12 A I would assume so, yes. I'm not an expert in TCPs nor
 13 do I -- you know, only Yakama Nation can say what is
 14 important and eligible to Yakama Nation. That's not
 15 something that I can do.
 - Q Do you think it would be important to assess whether any of the traditional cultural properties were eligible for the National Register of Historic Places particularly pertaining to this project?
- 20 A Absolutely, yeah.
- 21 | Q But you didn't do so, correct?
- 22 A That is not the scope of what HRA, the services that 23 HRA provides, yeah.
- MS. REYNEVELD: Okay. Thank you.
- I don't have any further questions.

16

17

18

1 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 2 Ms. Ragsdale, I'm going to check with the other 3 parties. And before I come back to Ms. Stavitsky for 4 any redirect, I have a question for you as well. 5 Mr. Harper, any questions for Ms. Ragsdale? MR. HARPER: I have no questions. 6 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you. 7 Mr. Aramburu, any questions for Ms. Ragsdale? 8 9 Mr. Aramburu, I didn't hear anything you might 10 have said. 11 All right. I'll come back to Mr. Aramburu. He 12 may be stepped away or be having technical 13 difficulties. 14 Ms. Ragsdale, I'm looking at the application Exhibit R, and your conclusions and recommendations are 15 16 on Page 44 in the version that I've got. 17 And so if I understand the scope of your testimony 18 and the questions posed to you so far, as an 19 archeologist, you looked at the corridor for the 20 transmission that's there, the corridor of the various 21 proposed strings of turbines, and I trust also under 22 anything that might be impacted permanently, the 23 buildings that would support the project, the battery 24 energy storage facility that's proposed, as well as the

solar arrays that are in the application.

Is that the scope of the archaeology look as a footprint of those items?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. But buffered. So the -- the corridors that we surveyed were -- were buffered, you know. In certain instances it would be 400 feet wide. But the -- the actual disturbances are going to be that wide. And that was to allow the project to have some wiggle room, so if we did find a resource, it would be easier to avoid. They could just move a line over or move a turbine over.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. And then the word "no shovel probes" meant it was just walked, the viewing was done, and you had another map of previously identified cultural properties that were significant on these lands?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. It was all pedestrian survey. So we walk along transects spaced 20 meters apart and observe the ground surface.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. And, again, as you indicated in your answers and on this page, the summary, if when it goes to the actual excavation, there'll be the archaeologist on-site looking for remains or other indicators of a cultural property that might not be readily visible from the surface?

2.

THE WITNESS: Well, I think that depends on -- on the results of the consultation and communications as it comes to the monitoring plan. I don't know if there will be an archaeologist during all excavations for the entire project. That remains to be seen.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. And I think you've said earlier, you're not an ethnologist. But if this project was approved and the current routing of and placement of all the facilities is done, do you have an opinion as an archaeologist on the impact overall on the Yakama Nation, on what the project would do on the scope and scale of it as to any impact on archaeological resources or anything beyond on cultural practices and resources on the land?

THE WITNESS: It is my understanding that there have been several TCPs identified in the project area. And that viewshed is a very important part of that. And the landscape, itself, where the project is going to be built is going to adversely impact that.

Beyond that, I can't really say much about the TCPs. And that's just from what I've read, you know, from Jessica Lally's most recent testimony. I'm not really privy to that information.

In terms of the archaeological resources, we have five resources that have some sort of pre-contact component, and those are all being -- there's three sites and two isolates.

And I don't know if you're familiar. An isolate is a single artifact, and a site is more than one artifact and/or feature, so some more permanent cultural material on the ground. Like, a building foundation would be a feature, for instance.

And those are being avoided -- the three sites, as far as I know, are being avoided by the project. There will be no physical impacts to them.

And then the isolates are not protected in any way under state law. So I'm not sure if those will be avoided or not. But we would come up with a plan with the tribes to determine what they would like to happen with those two isolates.

JUDGE TOREM: And final question:
To the best of your knowledge, will any of the access
for tribal members to these TCPs or isolates included
be impacted at all by the construction of the project
as proposed?

THE WITNESS: I would -- well,
without -- I guess I can't say, because I don't know
the boundaries of where these TCPs are. I haven't seen

that. 1 2 JUDGE TOREM: But you're not aware 3 of any alteration of existing access rights? 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. 5 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Stavitsky, I'm going to come to you for any redirect, and after that, 6 go around to the Council members. And then we'll see 7 if there's any recross, Ms. Voelckers, from you, from 8 9 Ms. Reyneveld, and then also Mr. Harper. And if 10 Mr. Aramburu is back with us, I'll come back to him as 11 well. 12 Ms. Stavitsky, I'm going to mute on this end. Go 13 ahead. 14 MS. STAVITSKY: Thank you, Your 15 Honor. If -- could I request a five-minute break just 16 to collect my notes and make sure I have my redirect 17 questions done? 18 JUDGE TOREM: Sure. Would it help 19 you if I ask the Counc- --20 MS. GRANTHAM: I apologize. 21 JUDGE TOREM: Sure. Before I do 2.2 that, would it help you for me to ask the Council 23 members at this point if they have questions that might 24 also help your redirect? 25 MS. STAVITSKY: Yeah, that would be

1 Thank you. helpful. JUDGE TOREM: Chair Drew has her 2 3 hand up, so I'm going to go to Chair Drew first. 4 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Your 5 Honor. Ms. Ragsdale, so what I hear you saying is that 6 your charge was to look at the ground below, what might 7 be affected or impacted in the construction of the 8 project, but you didn't look at how the construction of 9 10 that project might affect other traditional cultural 11 properties that may be in the region; is that correct? 12 THE WITNESS: That's correct. That 13 is not within the scope of our work. 14 COUNCIL CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank 15 you. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Other Council members, questions at this point for Ms. Ragsdale. 17 Stacey Brewster. If you'll introduce yourself to 18 19 Ms. Ragsdale so she knows what agency you work for and 20 then pose your question. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Hello, 2.2 Ms. Ragsdale. My name is Stacey Brewster, and I'm a 23 representative for the Utilities & Transportation 24 Commission.

I'm wondering, can you talk a little bit about

1 what avoidance means? Is there specific parameters? 2. Is...? 3 THE WITNESS: Sure. Yeah. Avoidance means no ground-disturbing actions whatsoever 4 5 within a buffer of a resource boundary. So right now we have a draft avoidance plan, and there's some 6 suggested buffers in there, but of course that is up to 7 change. You know, it could be changed, depending on 8 9 what sort of feedback we get on it. 10 So generally we have, you know, a 20-meter buffer on the boundary of sites' resources that should be 11 avoided. So in practice, that would mean, you know, 12 13 archaeologists going out ahead of time during 14 construction or right before construction and 15 physically staking off these buffered areas so that construction personnel can't enter into them and then 16 17 monitoring during construction to make sure that 18 construction personnel stay out of these sensitive 19 areas. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWSTER: Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 2.2 JUDGE TOREM: I see Eli Levitt has a 23 hand up. 24 If you'll introduce yourself. Then we'll have you

ask your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Hi,

Ms. Ragsdale. My name is Eli Levitt, and I'm a section manager at the Washington Department of Ecology and Ecology's EFSEC Council member.

I believe your testimony references that you got feedback from three -- three tribes. Can you briefly characterize at a high level what kind of feedback you received?

THE WITNESS: Well, we had conversations early on with as many tribal member -- or tribal representatives from the cultural resource departments that would -- that would talk with us. You know, gave them introductions to the project.

Definitely received initial feedback from representatives of Yakama Nation and the CTUIR. The Nez Perce gave us some information, and -- but mostly specifically I would say it would be in response to any time we would write a report -- we've written six reports at this point for this project.

And any time we have a draft report, we would send it around for review to solicit comments and edits and feedback. And then we would take those and make edits that went into our final, final draft.

So I would say that's probably the biggest portion of -- of comments we received.

2.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITT: Okay. 1 Thank 2 you. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Council members, any other questions before we take a break before redirect 4 5 examination of Ms. Ragsdale? All right. I'm happy to tell all of you also that 6 we're making great headway. This might be a good 7 time -- I think Ms. Lally is already on the call, 8 Ms. Voelckers. So maybe you want to check with her and 9 10 make sure she's ready to go sooner. I think we'll 11 anticipate we'll be done well ahead of the 10:45 12 schedule. We can call her sooner and continue to make 13 good progress today. 14 All right. Everybody, it's 9:39. Let's go ahead and take ten minutes till 9:50. And we'll come back 15 16 then, Ms. Stavitsky, with your redirect of 17 Ms. Ragsdale. Thanks, all. 18 (Pause in proceedings from 19 9:40 a.m. to 9:50 a.m.) 20 21 JUDGE TOREM: All right, everyone. 22 We're ready to come back with Ms. Ragsdale's testimony. 23 We'll have some redirect as needed from Ms. Stavitsky. 24 Looking to see if -- it looks like everybody is back. 25 Ms. Stavitsky, why don't we go ahead.

MS. STAVITSKY: 1 Thank you, Your 2. Honor. 3 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. STAVITSKY: Thank you, Ms. Ragsdale, for being here today and 6 7 answering all these questions. First I want to address: You got a few guestions 8 9 asking about your experience as an archeologist and 10 with your familiarity studying and working with tribal issues. 11 I wanted to give you another chance to describe. 12 13 Can you describe for us your experience working with 14 tribes and your familiarity with tribally sensitive 15 resources? Yeah, absolutely. 16 Α I mean, every -- every project that we work on, we 17 try to coordinate to the best of our ability with 18 19 Tribes have a very unique and important voice 20 in archeology, and we would seek to incorporate that whenever we can. 21 Often there are things that -- that tribes don't 22 want to share with us, and that's perfectly fine. 23 24 That's definitely within their rights, you know. So we 25 just can take the information that is provided to us

and incorporate that to the best of our abilities.

So generally when -- you know, when we're starting a project, we try and reach out to cultural resource programs that different tribes have, you know, communicate with them, Hey, we have a project coming up. We wanted to let you know about it. We wanted -- we're seeking your input. Do you have any concerns?

Do you have any information you can share?

We always try and offer -- and we did for this project -- you know, the opportunity to come out and meet us in the field, to share perspective or just to get a sense of what the project is about. We offer the opportunity for tribal members to monitor our work. Any tribe can always, always, always send a tribal monitor to come out and physically watch what we are doing and the decisions that we're making in the field.

We also on this project offered to subcontract to tribal members from these cultural resource programs and, in fact, did so with the CTUIR. You know, they staffed -- actually provided staff members to be archaeological technicians on some of the early surveys for the project.

And then try and communicate about the results in our reports. Like I mentioned earlier, you know, we send out reports to solicit feedback. And then try and

incorporate those comments that we received into the final product. And then it just -- I guess that's just sort of, like, the general philosophy for -- for all projects that we do here in the Pacific Northwest.

Q Great. Thank you.

So you've mentioned, you know, there's this connection between your archaeological work and traditional cultural properties, TCPs. And some of the questions got a little bit there, but I want to make sure everybody sort of understands those categories and how they relate.

So can you explain, you know, what archaeological resources are and what TCPs are and the differences in how those two relate to each other?

A Sure.

So archaeological resources are generally defined anything that's older than 50 years old that's the physical remnant of people being on the landscape, right? So they can be a historic period, which here in the Pacific Northwest in this region, you know, goes back to the, I guess, 1805, Lewis and Clark coming through -- right? -- the first colonialists that came into the Pacific Northwest. And then prior to that we generally call pre-contact archaeology.

So it's -- again, it's the physical remnants that

we find on the ground. And that can be artifacts.

That can be features. That can be midden deposits. It can be faunal remains. It can be all sorts of things.

TCPs, on the other hand -- which, again, I'm not an expert in, but we -- they are intertwined, of course. You know, it's a -- a place or a property that's associated with cultural practices and ideas. It's rooted in the history of a group of people, and it's -- it's integral to their cultural identity today. And it can be, as I'm sure we'll get into once we get into Jessica Lally's testimony, a wide array of features and aspects of the natural world of which archaeology is one portion of.

So we might have an archaeological site that is part of a bigger TCP that contributes to the significance of that TCP. I imagine that there might be some instances when an archaeological site, itself, could be a TCP. I mean, I'm not familiar with any of those that that is the TCP, itself, you know. But archaeology is one aspect of it, I suppose you could say.

- Q Okay. So if I'm understanding you, your answer, some archaeological resources are also part of TCPs?
- 24 A Yeah.

25 | Q And some -- and some --



- 1 A Mm-hmm.
- 2 Q -- TCPs can also be part of archaeological resources;
- 3 | is that accurate?
- 4 A Sure.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- And I would say the TCPs don't have to include any archaeology, from my understanding.
 - Q Okay. So we -- let's see.

So you testified that strictly analyzing TCPs was not part of the scope of your archaeological analysis in your cultural resource reports.

But were there any TCP-relevant -- was there any TCP-relevant information discussed with you or that you considered during your evaluation of the archaeological resources in play?

- A Absolutely. I mean, one of the very first conversations I had was with Jessica Lally, and she mentioned to me that a particular resource was associated --
- 19 Q I'm sorry. Ms. Ragsdale, I'm really sorry to
 20 interrupt. I just want to make clear too that none of
 21 my questions are going to require disclosure of
 22 confidentiality, and so we don't need to discuss what
 23 the resources are, if any. Just to flag that for you.
 24 So I'm sorry.
- 25 A Sure. Okay.

Q Do you remember --

- A She did mention the TCP. Yes, she did -- she did mention the TCP to me immediately, and I brought that right back to Scout immediately. So there -- there was -- and that is mentioned in our report as well.
- Q Okay. So is that -- the -- the -- the TCP information that was disclosed to you by Jessica Lally is included and addressed in your reports; is that accurate?
- A It is mentioned in our reports, but it is not analyzed.

 That was not the scope of the project. But, hey -like, hey, there's this thing that needs to be
 considered in a different context than the
 archaeological report.
- Q So within the EFSEC standard framework that was informing the structure of your reports, that information from Yakama Nation was considered under the applicable standards; is that accurate?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Let's see.

You mentioned in a couple of your responses that nothing in state or federal law necessarily protects archaeological resources or TCPs, but you mentioned that there is a need for a DAHP disturbance permit if those resources are going to be disturbed.

Can you clarify? These resources may not

- necessarily be protected, but what is the regulatory
 framework that applies to them?
 - A Well, as you probably are aware, you know, the EFSEC standards don't define historic or archaeological sites. They just say, Come up with a list of these sites, and then talk about impacts and talk about mitigation.

So we really have to rely on state law in this regard. And archaeological site is the very big definition. You know, it's basically -- it contains archaeological objects. Then there's another section that tells you what an archaeological object is, you know, and that goes back to that physical evidence of a pre- -- of people on the landscape.

But there's also other Revised Code of Washington that defines archaeological resources and doesn't define prehis- -- pre-contact or prehistoric resources but does define historic-period resources. So that's sort of the -- the framework that we're -- we're working within.

- Q Okay. So then under that --
- A Sorry. I didn't answer the second half of your question, which is are they protected or not.

So Revised Code of Washington, I guess it's 27.53, states that you need a permit to disturb -- a permit

from the DAHP to disturb an archaeological site. But when you get into what is an archaeolog- -- or an archaeological resource, it covers all pre-contact resources, and it covers historic-period resources that are eligible for the National Register. So there's sort of a split in Washington State law about what a resource is, if it's pre-contact or if it's historic period.

O Okay.

- A So it doesn't necessarily protect that -- it protects them in the sense that you can't disturb them without a permit.
- 13 | Q Understood.

So then how are TCPs typically treated under that framework?

And really what I'm asking is: How are TCPs typically addressed and treated in a project-planning context like the one we're -- we're in now?

I was going to say it really depends on what the -- the context is. If you're in a federal process, there's pretty well-defined methods for it. In the state process, it's -- it's less defined. There is a policy memorandum where the DAHP defines what a TCP is. And that sort of speaks to how I described a TCP earlier.

And I guess it just falls into this pot of historic and

1 archaeological resources. But it's not well-defined, I
2 would say.

Q Okay. Then I'd like to move on to talking more about the outreach you performed and the coordination that you engaged in with the tribes.

You mentioned that there were offers for studies and that you engaged with the CTUIR.

MS. STAVITSKY: And for the court reporter, that's the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation.

- Q (By Ms. Stavitsky) So did any of the tribes take you up on the offer to conduct those studies?
- A Yeah, so that was actually really early on in the project, based on the initial feedback that we had, you know, the initial conversations, right out of the gate, like I mentioned earlier. We were hearing feedback right away that there were TCPs or potential TCPs in the project vicinity.

I brought that back to Scout. And Scout immediately said, Let's offer to have some TCP studies. Let's offer all of the tribes who are interested to have a TCP study, and we'll fund that. And we can work with them directly to make sure that any infor- -- that they will only share information that they feel comfortable with, understanding this is highly

confidential and really sensitive cultural information.

So we -- you know, within the first month of working on the project, we -- the HRA that I worked on the project made those offers both informally over the phone, and then -- and we sent out a letter. And, like you said, the CTUIR decided that they would like to conduct a study, and they did conduct a study. And we've been working with them.

They -- working with them in terms of -- they conducted the study. They provided us the executive summary of the study. 'Cause it was, like I said, confidential information that they didn't want to share, which is great. And then we've been working with them on a resulting mitigation agreement for the impacts to the TCPs that they identified.

- Q Okay. And was there funding associated with -- funding offers associated with those --
- 18 A Yeah. Absolutely. They were -- huh-uh. Yeah.

 19 Mm-hmm.
 - Q Okay. Okay. Ms. Voelckers asked you about your engagement with the tribal council, and you testified that you hasn't talked with the tribal council.
 - Who did you speak with during your work with Yakama Nation?
- 25 A Jessica Lally. Well, my very first call was to Johnson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

23

- Meninick. And I spoke with him a couple of times, and then he -- he referred me to Jessica. And then after that, it was -- it was Jessica.
- 4 | Q Okay. And who is Johnson Meninick?
- 5 A He's the former head of their cultural resource program.
- Q Okay. Okay. So you testified that you had received feedback from Yakama Nation from Jessica Lally on your draft cultural resource reports; is that right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And did you incorporate that feedback into the reports?
- 13 A Yes. Yes.
- 14 | Q Okay. Let's see.
- Have you read Jessica Lally's testimony as part of this adjudication, her direct testimony and her report?

 I'll call it a report.
- 18 A I have, yeah.
- 19 Q Okay. And, again, I'm not asking about the content of that report, but I just want to ask:
- Did you read specifically the information that's at Pages 16 through 18 of -- of her report that discuss sensitive sites to the Yakama Nation?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Had you seen that information before?

A No.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Okay. So it wasn't provided to you when you were circulating your reports and asking for feedback?
- 4 A No.
 - Q Okay. Let's see.

You talked about -- Ms. Reyneveld asked you about the Moon memo, or the memo that's been circulated that discusses some proposed recent changes to the project laid out. And she asked you about whether that impacted -- might impact the avoidance plan. And I heard you testify, I think, that you weren't aware if there would be changes or hadn't fully analyzed that.

Are you -- do you have any information to suggest that the changes proposed in the Moon memo would cause a disturbance of certain archaeological resources?

- A No. It's my understanding that -- that the changes are actually a reduction in impacts. So the footprint has gotten smaller. So, if anything, it would move project elements away from resources, not -- not changes that would lead to more impacts.
- Q Okay. And the avoidance plan that you discussed earlier, that you explained that HRA prepared and that will apply moving forward, would that avoidance plan apply to the project footprint ultimately, whatever it ends up being?

- A Yeah, it's just a draft at this point. You know, we didn't even put, you know, site locations or anything in it, because things are in flux, you know. But once everything is decided and once we've gotten feedback from all of the parties that are noted in the plan, then it would outline the details of exactly the specific locations that need monitoring, things like that.
- Q Okay. And let's see.

You've mentioned DAHP a couple times. I want to clarify for the court reporter again for the record. That's the Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation; is that right?

- A That's correct. It's where the state historic preservation officer is housed. The state SHPO.
- Q And can you explain what's DAHP's role in this EFSEC permitting process?
- 18 A Sure.

So DAHP offers -- has several different roles, you know. A primary role is guidance, interpretation of state law and their own regulation, their own guidance that they put out for conducting archaeological survey standards and such.

They're also -- they also house all of the site resource forms, cultural resource forms and reports

that are produced in this state. So they're where we go to get all of our background research of what sites have been identified on the landscape, where -- what areas have been surveyed.

And then they act as the agency that reviews reports that either concurs with determinations or recommendations, depending on what regulatory context we're in.

So in this case, you know, we made recommendations about eligibility in certain cases and/or the suggestions about avoidance, things like that. So they review all of our reports and then provided concurrence on said reports.

And then if the project moves into -- I don't know exactly how this will apply for this, but they -- they -- in general, I guess, I would say that they are signatories on agreement documents for mitigation. So if there's a memorandum of understanding or a memorandum of agreement, they would be someone who -- a party that would be a signatory to that. So they help with the consultation process, I guess, in determining how to resolve impacts.

Q Okay. So if -- if the, I'll call it the sensitive site information that's in the -- in Jessica Lally's report, if that had been submitted to DAHP, would DAHP have

- been able to release it to you for study and to be
 discussed in your reports if --
 - A No, there's sort of two levels of information that's provided to -- in DAHP.

So it's a confidential database that you have to have certain qualifications as an archaeologist to have access to. But then there's another level of it that's even more restricted that holds TCP information that -- that -- the only people that can access that are the people who have been given permission by the -- the folks who submitted the TCP information.

And the TCP information often doesn't make it to DAHP anyways, 'cause it's -- it's so confidential.

14 Q Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 15 A So I would have had -- I don't know if they have it,

 16 and I wouldn't have had access to it.
- Q Okay. So am I understanding correctly that in order -if -- if it had been submitted to DAHP, in order for
 DAHP to release it to you to include in your studies,
 the Yakama Nation would have had to provide express
 approval?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 | Q And did you submit your reports to DAHP?
- 24 A Yep.
- 25 | Q Okay. Did DAHP concur with your findings?

1	A	Yes. They concurred with every recommendation we made
2		as as it relates to archaeology.
3		MS. STAVITSKY: Okay. No further
4		questions, Your Honor.
5		Thank you, Ms. Ragsdale.
6		JUDGE TOREM: All right. Let me
7		come back to Ms. Voelckers from the Yakama Nation and
8		then to counsel for the environment.
9		Ms. Voelckers.
10		MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your
11		Honor. I do have a clarifying question.
12		
13		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14		BY MS. VOELCKERS:
15	Q	Ms. Ragsdale, you were just talking a little while ago
16		with Ms. Stavitsky about I'll use the term
17		"intersection" the intersection between
18		archaeological resources and traditional cultural
19		properties.
20		Isn't it and you also talked about how DAHP
21		defines a TCP or how you consider TCP.
22		But isn't it true and, I believe, didn't you say
23		earlier that it's your professional opinion that only
24		tribes can define and identify their own traditional
25		cultural properties?

A Yeah. Absolutely.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 | Q And why is that? Can you help -- help the Council understand why that's your opinion?
 - A Well, I mean, because I am not an expert, and anybody who is outside a culture is not an expert on what's important to them. I don't know about the traditions. I don't know about why things contribute to their cultural identity because I'm not them, right?
 - Q And so would it also be necessary, then, to defer to tribal knowledge on when there might be an intersection between an archaeological resource that you identify and a traditional cultural property that their members hold?
 - A Yep. And that's exactly why our report is written how our report is, is noting that certain resources have been identified as potentially being associated with a TCP but not delving into any further analysis, because that's not my analysis to make.

MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. Thank you.

Those are my questions at this time.

JUDGE TOREM: Thank you.

Ms. Reyneveld, any follow-up?

MS. REYNEVELD: I just have a follow-up based on, I believe, some of the questions you posed, Judge.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. REYNEVELD:

Q Ms. Ragsdale, you were asked some questions about shovel probing, I believe.

And as part of your survey results or as part of the survey process, did you recommend any locations within the surveyed area for shovel probing?

A No, we did not. And that's based on the ground surface visibility and the depositional environment of the project area. Surveying area -- as you might imagine, archaeological survey in general is a -- is a -- is a sampling strategy, right? It's all about sampling. No survey is 100 percent. It's all about, you know, spacing of your transects or whatever.

so the large majority of the survey is agricultural fields which had very good visib- -- surface visibility but also had the benefit of being tilled. So it's churning up the top, you know, 30, 40, 50 centimeters of soil, which is giving you way, way, way, way, way more visibility to what's happening in the subsurface than you could ever hope -- hope to get with any sort of systematic shovel probing.

Q Is it fair to say that it's possible that there could be buried cultural deposits even with the conditions that you mentioned?

I would say that's fair to say about any archaeological 1 Α 2 survey ever. Okay. And do you intend to conduct any shovel probing? 4 Α No. 5 MS. REYNEVELD: Thank you. I don't have any further questions. 6 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 7 Mr. Harper, did that raise any questions the County 8 9 wants to pose? 10 MR. HARPER: No questions, Your 11 Honor. Thank you. 12 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you, Mr. Harper. 13 And, Mr. Aramburu, I see you're back. Do you have 14 any questions for this witness? 15 MR. ARAMBURU: I do not. 16 And we are coordinating with the Yakama Nation 17 concerning these issues, so it is unlikely that I will 18 have questions of the witnesses today, just to alert 19 you and the other parties. So -- so if I don't 20 respond, it's not -- it's not for lack of interest, but we don't have any questions of these witnesses. 21 22 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thanks, 23 Mr. Aramburu. I just want to extend the courtesy in 24 case something comes up that might touch on TCC's 25 overlapping interests.

MR. ARAMBURU: 1 Thank you. 2. JUDGE TOREM: Let me come back to 3 Chair Drew and the Council, Ms. Ragsdale, and then we may be able to release you. 4 5 Any other questions from Chair Drew or the Council members? 6 All right. Seeing none. 7 Thank you very much, Ms. Ragsdale. Appreciate all 8 9 of your testimony today. 10 I wanted to ask the parties: Were there any cross exhibits that I might have missed posed for 11 12 Ms. Ragsdale that need to be considered for admission? 13 I didn't think there were any. At least none 14 mentioned. 15 All right. Thank you, Ms. Ragsdale. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 (Witness excused.) 18 19 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, are we 20 ready to swear in Ms. Lally and get started with her 21 testimony and sort out when we might take a break from 2.2 there? 23 MS. VOELCKERS: We are, Your Honor. 24 I did have a procedural request to make first before I 25 move off of Ms. Ragsdale's testimony.

The Appendix R was not shared directly with the parties and is not available publicly online, and so while I believe that we have everything that you are looking at, I would ask that EFSEC staff send the totality of Appendix R to all the (videoconference technical difficulties). JUDGE TOREM: I'm sorry. We're hearing a little bit of interference, Ms. Voelckers. Can you -- you're asking simply that Appendix R to the application be submitted to the parties? MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor. Has not -- not yet happened. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Yeah, that Appendix R was simply part of the original application for site certification that's been on file on the EFSEC's website. There's a redacted version that's on the public website since February 8th of 2021 or thereabouts. So that's just commonly known materials. It's something that Council's already reviewed as part

It doesn't need to be made an exhibit. It's already essentially part of the Council's application review record. So I'm not sure if you -- it's not going to be marked as an exhibit or separately admitted.

of both its SEPA review and in preparation for today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, If I may
2	respectfully highlight that it's not available on the
3	website anymore. I know that it was at a time. And
4	it's been removed. And so my request is, yes, not that
5	we make this necessarily a formal exhibit that she
6	needs to adopt, but that we all have the same copy of
7	Appendix R that EFSEC and the Council is looking at as
8	they make their deliberations so that we are citing to
9	the same document.
10	JUDGE TOREM: All right. I'll have
11	Ms. Masengale e-mail back and forth with me as opposed
12	to including all the parties so we can make sure that
13	the version I was looking at is posted at least for
14	Council and party review if not necessarily an exhibit.
15	Thanks, Ms. Voelckers. I didn't realize you
16	weren't able to find that on the website at this time.
17	(Witness Jessica Lally
18	appearing remotely.)
19	
20	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Good
21	morning, Ms. Lally. I'm Judge Torem. How are you?
22	THE WITNESS: Good morning. I'm
23	well.
24	JUDGE TOREM: All right. I was
25	looking at your background and see you spent some time

in Ellensburg where I now live and work with some of my KITTCOM folks that I deal with in some of my pro tem judging, so another Ellensburg Wildcat. Welcome on the screen.

I'll swear you in, and we're going to have you adopt your testimony today. My understanding is Exhibits 4001_T, there's a revised version of that. 4003_Revised. That's confidential. And there'll be a number of cross-exam exhibits that are introduced during the course of questioning today.

Ms. Voelckers, is there a 4002 that I'm -- Ms. VOELCKERS: Yes.

JUDGE TOREM: -- not identifying?

MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor.

There's an Exhibit 4002.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.

So, Council members, those would be found on -- I just didn't put that in my notes, Ms. Voelckers, but I do have it up on my screen here.

So, Ms. Lally, I'm going to swear you in, have you adopt those items. Then I'm going to let Ms. Voelckers make a quick summary of the areas you'll be covering.

We may be going into closed session due to the confidential nature of a number of the things you'll be testifying to, so Ms. Voelckers will identify -- or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

perhaps the ones asking the cross-examination questions will identify when they're going to go that route.

But if there's any answer you intend to give that we haven't already moved in the closed session that you think we should, I leave it at your discretion to bring that to my attention and staff, and we'll pause and go into closed-record session until it's designated appropriate to come out.

If we're going to cover things that are no longer confidential or sensitive, we'll keep things as open and transparent for members of the public that are listening in as possible, but certainly let's be conservative in what we protect in the discussion of cultural resources.

Ms. Lally, with that, any questions from me?

THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Court reporter's going to be taking down everything that's said, so speaking a little slower and more deliberate and making that attempt not to talk over each other is appreciated. I didn't mention that to the other witness. We got a little bit fast at times, so take that into consideration as well.

All right. Ms. Lally, if you'll raise your right hand.

2.

1	JESSICA LALLY, appearing remotely, was duly
2	sworn by the Administrative
3	Law Judge as follows:
4	
5	JUDGE TOREM: Do you, Jessica Lally,
6	solemnly swear or affirm that all the testimony you
7	filed previously in Exhibits 4001, 4002 and 4003 and
8	any answers you'll give in the course of questions
9	today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
10	but the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
12	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.
13	So then I'll note for Ms. Masengale to mark that
14	4001, 4002, and 4003 have been adopted and are now
15	admitted as part of our record.
16	(Exhibit Nos. 4001_T_Revised,
17	4002_Revised,
18	4003_Revised_Confidential,
19	and 4003_Revised_Redacted
20	admitted.)
21	
22	JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers, if
23	you'll give a quick introduction to this witness to set
24	the scene, and then we'll move on for questioning by
25	the applicant and come back to you for any follow-up.

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your

2 Honor.

2.2

Ms. Lally is a professional archaeologist currently employed by the Yakama Nation Cultural Resource Program. And the bulk of her testimony is with regard to what is Exhibit 4003, which is a traditional cultural property study. And I will --will ask her -- clarify exactly what that is. I do have a few questions that I can ask before we go to closed session, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. VOELCKERS:

Q Ms. Lally, to clarify, I want to ask you. There's a statement in applicant's prehearing brief, and then there was also some inference, I think, this morning about whether or not Yakama Nation declined applicant's invitations to conduct the study of their traditional cultural property.

Can you please answer whether or not the Yakama Nation declined to conduct a traditional cultural property study?

A Yes. The issue of the traditional cultural property study was really an issue of confidentiality and disclosure. I did conduct a traditional cultural

property study, but it was done (videoconference 1 technical difficulties) in-house and unfunded by the 2 3 applicant. 4 MS. VOELCKERS: Okay. And I'm going 5 to ask: Judge Torem, are you hearing us clearly? I'm having a little bit of a connection issue. I don't 6 know if it's me or -- or not. 7 JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Grantham is 8 indicating it might be on your end. So it might be 9 10 helpful if you mute between question and answer. (Clarification by reporter.) 11 12 13 JUDGE TOREM: You may want to re-ask 14 that question simply because court reporter indicated 15 part of Ms. Lally's answer was garbled. 16 O (By Ms. Voelckers) Okay. Ms. Lally, the question was whether or not Yakama Nation declined applicant's 17 invitation to conduct a study of the Yakama Nation's 18 19 traditional cultural properties. 20 Can you clarify that, please? 21 Yes, I can. Α I did complete a traditional cultural property 22 23 study. We did that in-house on our own time. Ιt 24 was -- we did not take the applicant's offer. Because 25 the information's confidential, I did not want to

disclose it.

1

2.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And how long would you say that this study took?
- 3 A It took a better part of a year. The better part of a year.
 - Q Even though you already had some -- some information that was held by -- by your program before you began this specific study, correct?
 - A Yes, that's correct. The -- the information that I'm presenting has been collected and documented over the course of many years at Yakama Nation through -- through various studies.
- 12 Q Can you talk a little more generally about how long 13 these studies usually take and why?
 - A Traditional cultural property studies generally take about a year to do. And that is because the information that we're gathering is complex. It's also because it's highly sensitive. Some of this information takes a great amount of time to identify people we might want to interview and really delve into the issues at hand that a particular project may be affecting.

There's a significant amount of archival research that goes into compiling this level of documentation as well as those interviews.

MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor, I would

ask that we go into closed session before we move further.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. For members of the public, we're moving into areas that are confidential and only disclosable in the course of the proceeding for those that are on the Council, making the recommendation to the governor, and/or folks that have been designated as experts by other parties and all parties that have signed their confidentiality agreements.

So apologies for leaving you if you're paying attention today. Ms. Lally's testimony on these areas may go for a period of time during the cross-examination by the applicant that's been designated for up to an hour and redirect, which will probably happen after lunch by the Yakama Nation's attorneys.

So it's now 10:26. I'm going to project that we might be back around 11:30. There may be some periods of time the applicant will let us know if we can come back and share the testimony with the public.

All right. I'll ask staff to now move us into the closed session.

MS. VOELCKERS: And, Your Honor, the Yakama Nation's tribal council is on a separate log-in.

2.

2.2

```
I think they're identified pretty clearly at this point
 1
 2
     so that they can be moved over as well, please.
 3
                         JUDGE TOREM: All right. When we
     get over there, Ms. Voelckers -- it's all right.
 4
 5
                         MS. GRANTHAM: It was already
 6
     moving.
 7
                         JUDGE TOREM: It's all right.
                    ** Closed-record hearing **
 8
 9
     * Not available to general public per protective order *
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	(Closed-record session
20	concluded.)
21	
22	JUDGE TOREM: Good morning. This is
23	Judge Torem. It's now 11:35 a.m. We've been in a
24	confidential closed-record session since approximately
25	10:15, 10:20, somewhere in that neck of the woods. So

for the last hour and a quarter, the Council has been hearing confidential and sensitive testimony from the tribe's archaeologist, a Ms. Jessica Lally.

We're now completed with the first round of questions, and the Council's going to come back for some additional closed-record testimony at 12:50.

We'll open the session again here in the public session and then be immediately be moving into closed-record session for those that have signed the confidentiality agreements or otherwise participating as Council members or staff.

Thank you for your patience as we do this closed-record testimony.

After lunch today, we have three additional witnesses: George Selam; Terry Heemsah, Senior; and Caseymac Wallahee. It's anticipated that some or all of their testimony will also be necessary to be taken in a closed-record session. We'll put up the appropriate slide that tells you what's going on and keeps you apprised.

Thank you. We're going to adjourn for lunch. We'll be back at 12:50.

(Pause in proceedings from 11:37 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.)

25 ////

2.2

1 JUDGE TOREM: All right. Good afternoon, everyone. This is Judge Torem bringing us 2. 3 back into the Horse Heaven wind farm adjudication. 4 This is Day 4. We're coming back from a lunch break into the public session, and shortly we'll be going 5 back into closed-record session for additional 6 questions for Jessica Lally. 7 Ms. Voelckers, anything before we go back into 8 9 that session? 10 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you. Yes, 11 Your Honor. I'm sorry to inform you, but I learned 12 that one of our witnesses this afternoon, Councilman 13 Wallahee, is still at services for his brother who 14 passed, so he is not available this afternoon to 15 testify. 16 JUDGE TOREM: Well, understandable. 17 And I appreciate the heads-up. And he is listed for 2:55 p.m. to 3:25. So we'll see what we can do to 18 19 reschedule based on the family's schedule, I would 20 imagine, as you informed me last Friday afternoon that there were some other issues. I didn't realize that --21 2.2 who was involved, but I appreciate you sharing that 23 today and clarifying. 24 I'll let you work with the other parties based on the grieving schedule for that family and any other 25

customary needs, and we'll see what can be done to get Council Member Wallahee -- Councilman Wallahee back in front of the members when he's ready.

MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your Honor. And I will continue to update the parties as I'm aware of rescheduling opportunities.

But I also did confirm with Mr. Meninick that he is out at least for the next few days for his own attendance at a service. A separate -- separate service, I believe.

JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Please keep us posted. And we'll inform the whole EFSEC staff and Council members as we go forward with the updated schedules.

Any other party have anything we need to put on the open record before we go back into closed session?

Seeing no hands other than, Ms. Voelckers, yours is still electronically up there.

There we go.

Okay. Members of the public, if you were hoping to hear testimony this afternoon, we're really just going to be in and out of closed session, mainly taking confidential and sensitive information by testimony today. We've got Jessica Lally. And then we also have two other witnesses who both have a number of items of

confidential testimony.

When we're done with Ms. Lally's testimony in closed-record session, we will come back out to swear in George Selam, and we'll also be swearing in Terry Heemsah, Senior. Those adoptions of their testimony will be done in public session. And some of the questioning might occur where everybody that's interested today can hear the questions and answers, but as soon as we get into any confidential or sensitive information, we're going to defer back to closed-record session. And that's what we're going to do now.

So for those members of the public, we'll post probably a sign that says we'll be back in 30 to 60 minutes to take Mr. Selam and swear him in. He's scheduled for 1:45. It may be earlier.

Thank you. Staff will move us over, please.

** Closed-record hearing **

* Not available to general public per protective order *

2.



1	(Closed-record session
2	concluded.)
3	
4	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Looks like
5	we're back into the open session.
6	We just completed the testimony of Jessica Lally.
7	We're going to take a break until about 1:20 and then
8	come back into the public session where you'll get a
9	chance to see George Selam, S-e-l-a-m that's the
LO	spelling of the last name adopt his testimony, which
L1	if you have it accessible is Exhibit 4005_T. We likely
L2	will go back into closed-record session for
L3	cross-examination of Mr. Selam and any other follow-up
L4	questions.
L5	So, members of the public, we're going to be on
L6	break until 1:20. And then we'll come back, and you'll
L7	get a chance to be introduced to the next witness. And
L8	as much as we can make public, we will.
L9	Thank you. We'll take a recess till 1:20.
20	(Pause in proceedings from
21	1:13 p.m. to 1:20 p.m.)
22	(Witness George Selam
23	appearing remotely.)
24	
25	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Welcome

back, everybody. We're still on Day 4 of our Horse Heaven wind farm adjudication.

We're going to take up the remaining two witnesses for today. Hopefully I'm going to pronounce the name that I've been cued.

We have, is it George Selam?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you.

I think I was pronouncing it -- I know I was
pronouncing it wrong earlier, so I'm glad to have been
corrected.

Members of the public, we're going to swear in this next witness, George Selam, and Shona Voelckers -- Shona Voelckers, the attorney for the Yakama Nation, will give us a brief overview of what he'll be testifying to.

I believe there's one, Mr. Selam, exhibit. 4005_T is how we've got it mentioned here today. And I'll have you adopt that and swear that it'll be true and any other answers you give today.

If and when Ms. Voelckers or you feel that we're going to stray into anything that's confidential or sensitive, please don't answer the question until we can move into the closed-record session. Only the Council members and those that have signed the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
     appropriate confidentiality agreements will be
     permitted again into that electronic closed hearing.
 2.
 3
          So for the members of the public to have an idea,
     we've got about a half hour of preplanned testimony
 4
 5
     or -- sorry -- cross-exam or follow-up redirect time
     with Mr. Selam.
 6
          Ms. Voelckers, I think you've got the bulk of that
 7
 8
     time; is that right?
 9
                        MS. VOELCKERS: Yes, Your Honor.
10
     Good afternoon.
11
          Applicants say that they were not going -- or, I
12
     believe, were not planning to cross-exam, so we request
13
     this time for Mr. Selam to speak on his supplemental
14
     testimony that was not appropriate for inclusion in
     written form.
15
16
                        JUDGE TOREM:
                                       Okav. Great.
                                                      That
17
     helps clarify for the Council members where we're
18
     going.
19
          So without any further ado, I'm going to swear you
20
     in, Mr. Selam, and then we'll see how far we get before
21
     we go into closed record.
2.2
          If you'll raise your right hand, sir.
23
     ////
24
     ////
25
     ////
```

1	GEORGE SELAM, appearing remotely, was duly
2	sworn by the Administrative
3	Law Judge as follows:
4	
5	JUDGE TOREM: Do you, George Selam,
6	solemnly swear or affirm that all testimony you'll
7	provide by adopting Exhibit 4005_T and any answers you
8	provide to questions from Ms. Voelckers or any other
9	parties or Council members will be the truth, the whole
10	truth, and nothing but the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you
13	very much.
14	I will show that Exhibit 4005_T has been adopted
15	and is now admitted to the record.
16	(Exhibit Nos.
17	4005_T_Confidential and
18	4005_T_Redacted admitted.)
19	
20	JUDGE TOREM: Ms. Voelckers.
21	MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your
22	Honor. I am going to ask that we go into closed
23	session at this time.
24	JUDGE TOREM: Okay. So we are going
25	to go into some very sensitive and confidential

information, members of the public. I'll ask those on the line to stand by, and if you were admitted to the previous closed-record session, we'll expect to see you on the other side here as well. ** Closed-record hearing ** * Not available to general public per protective order *

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
	(Closed-record session
18 19	concluded.)
20	JUDGE TOREM: All right. We're back
21	in the public portion of the hearing. We've just
22	finished the testimony and examination from George
23	Selam from the Yakama Nation tribe. Was nice,
24	heartfelt testimony from a tribal member adding to the
25	perspective the Council needs to consider as we go

forward with the application review and this adjudication.

For those members of the public that couldn't hear this testimony due to its sensitive nature both culturally and archaeologically and so many other ways, I would just encourage any other study of the local first nations, if you will, that are in your area and educate yourselves. The -- the Council's been given a thorough, better understanding than we might have had just from paper, so I thank Mr. Selam, again, for his testimony and only wish it could be shared with more people.

We're going to take a break before we have one additional witness today, Terry Heemsah, Senior. And I apologize in advance. I have a feeling this testimony will have similar impact on us personally. But, again, most of it will be in private.

You'll be introduced to Mr. Heemsah at about 2:15 today. He'll adopt his testimony, and we'll go into the closed-record session shortly thereafter. And that'll be our last witness for the day. We'll come back out to talk about the schedule for tomorrow and the rest of the week and adjourn for the day.

So we'll take a brief recess until --

MS. VOELCKERS: Your Honor.

2.

2.2

```
1
                        JUDGE TOREM: -- 2:15.
 2.
          Ms. Voelckers.
 3
                        MS. VOELCKERS: I'm so sorry to
 4
     interrupt, Your Honor. I just -- I know we're
     scheduled for 2:15. Given that this is our last
 5
     witness of the day, I'm going to ask for a few more
 6
     minutes for myself personally and ask if we could come
 7
     back at 2:20.
 8
 9
                        JUDGE TOREM: Sold. Done. 2:20.
10
     We're at recess.
11
                               (Pause in proceedings from
12
                                2:07 p.m. to 2:20 p.m.)
13
                        JUDGE TOREM: All right. We are
14
15
     back for what promises to be one of our last sessions
16
     of the day. We're in a public session right now in the
17
     Horse Heaven wind farm adjudication. We completed all
     of the witness testimony for today except that of Terry
18
19
     Heemsah, Senior. We're going to adopt his testimony
20
     here. I believe it's Exhibit 4006_T.
21
          And I'm waiting to see him come up on my screen.
22
                               (Witness Terry Heemsah, Sr.,
23
                                appearing remotely.)
24
                        JUDGE TOREM: Good afternoon.
25
                                                        Are
```

1 you Mr. Heemsah? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 JUDGE TOREM: Good afternoon. 4 Adam Torem. I'm the administrative law judge helping 5 the Energy Siting Council with this adjudicative proceeding. 6 Sir, what we're going to do is have you take the 7 oath of witness and adopt your testimony. And 8 Ms. Voelckers will then let us know when we're ready to 9 10 go into closed session. It may be pretty promptly. 11 And members of the public, while we're in closed 12 session, we'll be hearing from Mr. Heemsah, one of the 13 Yakama Nation elders. And there are confidential and 14 sensitive materials that he'll be testifying about but definitely providing the Energy Siting Council and the 15 16 other parties the information they need to help process 17 this adjudication forward over the coming weeks and 18 months. 19 Ms. Voelckers, anything else you want me to go 20 over before I swear in this witness? 21 MS. VOELCKERS: Not at this time. 2.2 Thank you, Your Honor. 23 JUDGE TOREM: All right. 24 Mr. Heemsah, again, good afternoon. We do have a 25 court reporter taking everything down that's said in

I understand you may also speak in your 1 English. language. So if you will, at those times, speak slowly 2. 3 and well enough that the Council members can hear you. 4 And if there are any words in the native language that 5 have a spelling in English, as I've learned from the last witness, that will help the court reporter 6 7 tremendously. And, Ms. Voelckers, you may get an e-mail about 8 9 some spellings to suggest so that the transcript 10 reflects everything in a respectful manner. 11 All right. Mr. Heemsah, if you'll raise your 12 right hand, I'll swear you in. 13 appearing remotely, was duly 14 TERRY HEEMSAH, SR., sworn by the Administrative 15 16 Law Judge as follows: 17 18 JUDGE TOREM: Do you, Terry Heemsah, 19 Senior, solemnly swear or affirm that all testimony 20 you'll provide, including that contained in Exhibit 21 4007_T, your prefiled testimony, and all answers to 22 questions posed today, will be the truth, the whole 23 truth, and nothing but the truth? 24 THE WITNESS: I do. 25 JUDGE TOREM: Thank you.

I'll turn you over to Ms. Voelckers. When she's 1 2. done with her questions, I'll survey the other 3 attorneys for the other parties and then the Chair and 4 the Council members to ask questions as well, and as --5 I may have some questions as well. So, Ms. Voelckers, I turn it over to you. 6 7 MS. VOELCKERS: Thank you, Your Honor. And I am going to ask that we go into closed 8 9 session. 10 JUDGE TOREM: All right. So, 11 members of the public, it looks like the entirety of 12 this testimony will likely be sensitive and 13 confidential. We'll post an indication that we may be 14 back at -- at or around 3:00 into public session to close out today's proceedings. So be on the lookout 15 16 for that in the next 20 to 40 minutes when we'll be 17 coming back, but I would anticipate no later than 3:00. I might be wrong, but look for us then. 18 19 Staff, please move us into closed session. 20 MS. GRANTHAM: Really quick. Lisa 21 messaged me saying that the wrong exhibit number was 2.2 stated. It should be 4006. 23 JUDGE TOREM: Correct. The exhibit, 24 if I misspoke, is 4006. That's been adopted. 25 ////

```
(Exhibit Nos.
 1
                                  4006_T_Confidential and
 2
                                  4006_T_Redacted admitted.)
 3
 4
 5
                         MS. GRANTHAM: Okay. Now I'll move
 6
     over.
                    ** Closed-record hearing **
 7
     * Not available to general public per protective order *
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



closed-record hearing.

(Closed-record session concluded.)

JUDGE TOREM: All right. We're back in the public session. We've completed our witness

testimony for today. We heard from council elder and council tribal member Terry Heemsah and some, again, more heartfelt and very personal testimony that needed to be kept on a confidential and sensitive

We have one other witness that needs to be rescheduled, and I'll be waiting to hear from Ms. Voelckers as to when Caseymac Wallahee might be available. That witness is in a time of grief, and we want to respect that, so we're not going to take that testimony today.

Council members, as shown on your schedule, that will be moved over to another date later this week.

Tomorrow we have testimony starting at 9:00 with a series of witnesses, one from the applicant, Andrew Lines, and many from the Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S., Jim Sanders, Kurt Kielisch, Linda Lehman, Roger Richard Hagar, Karl Dye, and Fire Chief Lonnie Click.

None of the parties have questions for those witnesses. But in order to admit their testimony, as you saw one day last week, we'll be calling those witnesses, giving them an opportunity to adopt their testimony, and present them for questions to Chair Drew, yourself, and the Council members.

Tonight please go ahead and review those testimonies. We want to avoid, given the waning number of days we have left, any recall like we're doing with Mr. Wiley on Wednesday, but we'll have less and less time if we have witnesses that we adopt their testimony and later witnesses raise questions. But I'll ask Council members to pay special attention with that in mind.

And we'll see if it can be done in less than the hour and a half allotted and if we can get to the Jansen and Rahmig testimonies before lunch and certainly finish up with Ms. -- with the Rahmig testimony as close to or earlier than 4:45 tomorrow. So it will be anticipated to be a full day on Tuesday.

Wednesday, recognize we do have the public comment hearing scheduled to begin at 5:30. The Tri-City

Herald has been making clear they published a clarification today that I was able to read and share with EFSEC staff, reminding people that if they've made

2.

any public comment on the application prior to the end of business on January 31st of this year, they're eligible, according to statute, to still provide public comment on Wednesday evening.

There was some confusion last week, I think very unintentional with the link to the Council members -- or Council's website, and it seemed as though we were artificially limiting comment. That should have been clarified now for the public. I don't know if we have a number yet. Lisa Masengale may be able to tell me the number of folks that are currently signed up.

We're scheduled to go from 5:30 p.m. Wednesday night until around 8:00. I don't know -- it depends on the number of people -- whether they'll have two minutes or three minutes or some other period of time. It'll -- I want to accommodate as many and, if possible, every single person from the community or otherwise who wants to comment.

So if they filed a previous written comment, we're going to see if they want to elaborate on that on Wednesday night, but I want to make sure you're rested for that as well.

With that in mind, if you look at the Wednesday schedule, we're starting again at 9:00 and trying to fit in quite a number of things. That schedule's still

2.

2.2

a little bit in flux. It looks like we may go as late as 2:45, but I'm hoping counsel will be efficient and we'll continue along the way of finishing a bit early as we have several other days this week.

So hopefully you'll have enough time to get some sustenance and maybe a little bit of rest. Give your brain a rest from the adjudication between Wednesday's hearing and the public comment hearing.

I'm not even going to guess what the impacts on Thursday or Friday's schedule are for you. We'll continue to develop particularly Friday, and we're going to make sure we get all the testimony in for you. I may have an update for you tomorrow morning as to the number of public commenters seeking to be signed up for Wednesday.

Chair Drew, do you have any questions as to where we are going forward?

Okay. Council members, any questions that need to be answered today before I let you go?

Okay. I'm not seeing any.

Let me go around the room to the parties. I see Mr. Aramburu. I'll come to you first, see if there's any questions going forward. And particularly if the Council members need to hear it. If not, we can stay on in a housekeeping session.

1 MR. ARAMBURU: The testimony of Mr. Sanders that he was to affirm tomorrow will be 2. 3 withdrawn. 4 JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Thank you for that. 5 Does the applicant have anything else for today? 6 7 MS. STAVITSKY: No, Your Honor. JUDGE TOREM: All right. Thank you, 8 9 Ms. Stavitsky. 10 Mr. Harper? 11 MR. HARPER: Nothing, Your Honor. 12 Thank you. 13 JUDGE TOREM: And Ms. Reyneveld. 14 MS. REYNEVELD: I don't have 15 anything other than I do have a hard stop tomorrow at 16 4:45. So hopefully if we need to carry over any 17 wildlife testimony, we can. JUDGE TOREM: Okay. Thanks for 18 19 letting me know that. We'll manage accordingly. 20 MS. REYNEVELD: Thank you. 21 JUDGE TOREM: And, Ms. Voel- --2.2 Ms. Voelckers, anything else for the record today? 23 MS. VOELCKERS: Nothing else today. 24 Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE TOREM: All right, everybody.

```
1
     Have a great afternoon. It's 3:05 p.m. We're
     adjourned for the day.
 2
                                  (Proceedings adjourned at
 3
                                   3:05 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	STATE OF WASHINGTON) I, John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR,) ss a certified court reporter		
2	County of Pierce) in the State of Washington, do hereby certify:		
3			
4			
	That the foregoing proceedings were taken in my		
5	presence and were adjourned on August 21, 2023, and thereafter were transcribed under my direction; that the transcript is a full, true and complete transcript of the said proceedings and was transcribed to the best of my		
б			
7	ability;		
8	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any		
9	such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;		
10			
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of September, 2023.		
12			
13	Λ .		
14	John M.S. Botelho		
15	John M.S. Botelho, CCR, RPR		
16	Certified Court Reporter No. 2976 (Certification expires 5/26/2024.)		
17	(001011030101011011010101010101010101010		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



1	- 22,000 585:5,6	4007_T 731:21
ı	23rd 571:20	4014 567:15 568:7,9
10 559:19 565:23 568:12	27.53 608:24 29 587:9 2:07 729:12 2:15 728:18 729:1,5 2:20 729:8,9,12	41 587:8 44 593:16 5 50 561:19 604:17 619:19
100 619:13		
1004 578:17		
1004_T 575:8,23 576:4		
1004_t_revised 576:6		
1005 575:8,24 576:4,7 577:24	2:55 681:18	
10:15 679:25		6
10:20 679:25		60 683:14
10:26 630:18	3 567:23 568:9	621 553:2
10:30 559:12	30 619:18 683:14	
10:45 555:1 560:5 571:4 601:11	3:00 732:14,17	8
11 559:12	3:25 681:18	80 585:12
11:30 630:19		8:30 553:3
11:35 679:23	4	8:48 568:15
11:37 680:24	4 568:20 681:4 699:1	8th 622:17
11:45 560:5	4.2.5.2 576:24	
12 568:12 587:9	40 619:18 732:16	9
12:50 680:6,22,24	400 594:6	9 554:24 565:23 567:1
14 580:13,17 587:4	4001 626:7,14	9:00 564:25 566:20 568:12,15,19
16 612:22	4001_T 624:7	571:4 753:21
18 612:22	4001_t_revised 626:16	9:39 601:14
1805 604:21	4002 624:11,15 626:7,14	9:40 601:19
1:13 698:21	4002_revised 626:17	9:45 565:24
1:20 698:7,16,19,21	4003 626:7,14 627:6	9:50 601:15,19
1:45 683:16	4003_revised 624:8	
	4003_revised_confidential	A
2	626:18	a.m. 553:3 568:15 601:19 679:23
2 578:16	4003_revised_redacted 626:19	680:24
20 594:18 732:16	4005_T 698:11 699:17 701:7,14	abilities 603:1
20-meter 599:10	4005_t_confidential 701:17	ability 581:7 602:18
2021 622:17	4005_t_redacted 701:18	absolutely 581:12 584:25 592:20 602:16 606:15 611:18 618:1
2023 553:2	4006 732:22,24	access 596:19 597:3 616:7,9,16
21 553:2	4006_T 729:20	accessible 698:11
21st 568:18	4006_t_confidential 733:2	account 591:20
	4006_t_redacted 733:3	4000uit 091.20

Page 760Index: 10..account

accurate 606:3 607:8,17

accurately 583:7

acres 585:5,6

act 615:5

actions 599:4

actively 555:24

actual 584:20 594:6,21

Adam 553:5 730:4

adaptations 553:16

adding 727:24

additional 571:9 583:9 590:16

680:6,14 681:6 728:14

address 567:21 602:8

addressed 579:5 607:8 609:17

adjacent 564:7

adjourn 680:21 728:23

adjudication 557:8 612:16 681:3

699:2 728:2 729:17 730:17

adjudicative 553:13 555:8 730:5

administrative 553:5 575:18 626:2

701:2 730:4 731:15

admission 621:12

admitted 576:5,7 622:25 626:15,20

701:15,18 702:2 733:3

ado 700:19

adopt 623:6 624:6,21 698:10 699:19

728:19 729:19 730:8

adopted 576:4 626:14 701:14

732:24

adopting 575:7 701:7

adoptions 683:5

advance 558:24 728:15

adversely 595:20

advise 574:12

affect 598:10

affected 584:15 586:6 598:8

affecting 629:21

affirm 575:22 626:6 701:6 731:19

aft- 571:18

afternoon 558:1 559:18 571:9 572:13 681:2,12,14,20 682:21 700:10 729:25 730:3,24

agencies 578:8,9

agency 598:19 615:5

agree 581:6 592:3,8

agreed 562:25

agreement 566:16 611:14 615:17,

19

agreements 574:18 630:10 680:10

700:1

agricultural 619:16

Agriculture 570:6,12 575:3

ahead 555:5 597:13 599:13 601:11,

14,25

alert 620:18

alteration 597:3

altogether 561:3

ambush 563:5

amount 561:13 629:18,22

Amy 589:2

analysis 580:10 582:22,23 583:25

584:3,10,18,21,23 586:24 591:10 606:9 618:17,18

analyze 579:9,10

analyzed 607:9 613:12

analyzing 584:17 606:8

ancestors 580:7

and/or 562:3 596:7 615:10 630:7

Andrea 555:5

Andrew 753:22

announce 566:7

answering 602:7

answers 574:14 575:24 594:20 626:8 683:8 699:20 701:7 731:21

anticipate 557:3 558:15 563:16 565:14,22 567:6 572:11 601:11

732:17

anticipated 556:16 563:4 566:7

589:3 680:16

anticipating 574:15

anymore 623:3

apologies 630:11

apologize 597:20 728:15

appearing 573:19 575:17 623:18

626:1 698:23 701:1 729:23 731:14

appendices 583:2

appendix 583:3,7 586:4 622:1,5,9,

14 623:7

applicable 607:17

applicant 553:19,24 555:19 561:8 562:2 565:9 570:24 572:20 578:18

626:25 628:3 630:14,20 753:22

applicant's 589:21 627:15,17

628:17,24

Applicants 700:11

application 576:19 579:5,18 583:4 586:3 587:1 588:23 589:4 593:14,25

622:10,14,22 728:1

applies 608:2

apply 613:23,24 615:15

appointment 559:19

appreciated 625:21

apprised 566:13 680:20

appropriately 568:3

approval 616:21

approved 595:9

approximate 569:2

approximately 679:24

Aramburu 554:19,20 556:4,8 559:1, 15 560:1,4,17,25 561:4,20 562:22

563:15,22 564:9 572:2 573:15,16 593:8,9,11 597:10 620:13,15,23

621:1

archaeolog- 609:2

archaeological 579:8 582:17 585:2 586:12,19 587:4,8,17 588:3 589:11 590:23 591:1 595:14 596:1 603:21 604:7,12,16 605:14,17,23 606:2,9,

13 607:13,22 608:4,9,11,12,16 609:1,3 610:1 613:15 614:22 617:18

618:11 619:11 620:1



archaeologically 728:5

archaeologist 576:17 582:10 589:21 594:22 595:4,11 616:6 627:3 680:3

archaeologists 599:13

archaeology 580:20 594:1 604:24 605:13,20 606:6 614:12 617:2

archeological 582:16 586:5

archeologist 581:9 593:19 602:9

archeology 602:20 architecture 579:8

archival 629:22

area 585:14,15 587:5 595:18 619:7, 10 728:7

areas 565:16 579:24 585:25 586:5 599:15,19 615:4 624:22 630:4,12

Ariel 553:22 572:22 576:15

array 605:11 **arrays** 593:25

artifact 596:6,7

artifacts 591:10 605:1

ASC 576:20,23 **aspect** 605:20

aspects 605:12

assess 590:10,13 592:16

assigned 582:7

assist 578:19 582:24

assistant 582:4

Associates 582:11

assume 560:4 592:12

assuming 590:15

attempt 625:20

attendance 682:9

attention 567:13 625:6 630:12

attorney 582:4,5 699:14

attorneys 630:17 732:3

August 553:2 568:18 571:20

availability 559:11,16

avoid 588:9 589:11 594:9

avoidance 587:19 589:17 590:1,3 599:1,4,6 613:10,21,23 615:11

avoided 587:11 590:11,13,16,24 596:10,11,15 599:12

avoiding 587:16

aware 562:7 574:9 597:2 608:3 613:11 682:6

В

back 566:1,6,20 568:12 587:14 593:3,11 597:10 601:15,22,24 604:21 607:4 608:13 610:19 617:7 620:13 621:2 623:11 626:25 630:19, 21 680:5,22 681:3,4,6,8 682:2,16 683:3,10,14 698:5,8,12,16 699:1 727:20 728:22 729:8,15 732:14,17 753:6

background 570:15 577:14 579:6 584:10 615:2 623:25

bands 577:13 580:13,14,17

based 558:10 572:11 576:23 610:14 618:24 619:8 681:19,24

basic 592:4

basically 608:10

Basin 577:19

battery 593:23

bear 569:1

began 629:6

begin 568:19

behalf 573:6

benefit 619:17

Benton 570:7 572:25 573:2

big 608:9

bigger 605:15

biggest 600:24

bit 598:25 604:9 622:8 625:22 628:6

Bonneville 578:10

boundaries 596:25

boundary 585:17,21 599:5,11

breadth 563:17

break 555:10 565:25 566:4,5,6,9 597:15 601:4 621:21 681:4 698:7,16 728:13

breaks 564:18

Brewster 570:3,4 598:18,21,22 599:20

briefly 600:6

bring 566:24 625:5

bringing 681:2

broad 580:15

broader 574:15

broadly 580:16,18

Brost 574:24,25 575:1

brother 681:13

brought 607:3 610:19

buffer 599:5,10

buffered 594:4,5 599:15

buffers 599:7

build 555:6

building 567:5 596:8

buildings 593:23

built 586:13 595:20

bulk 566:12 627:5 700:7

bullet 561:15 **buried** 619:24

C

C.A.R.E.S. 573:14,17 753:23

call 563:5 569:5 570:24 571:1 585:4 601:8,12 604:24 611:25 612:17 615:23

calling 565:9

care 559:10

carry 571:6

case 615:9 620:24

cases 615:10

Caseymac 555:11 680:16 753:15

catch 560:3

categories 604:10

certification 576:19 583:4 588:24 589:4 622:15

Chair 553:5 563:2,11 569:7,8 571:17 572:12 581:22 598:2,3,4,14 621:3,5

chair's 557:24 562:8,14 chance 602:12 698:9,17 change 559:20 599:8 changed 572:16 599:8 characterize 600:7

check 559:5,7 560:1 593:2 601:9

Checked 559:17 **Chief** 753:25 **choose** 567:7 **churning** 619:18 **circulated** 613:7

circulating 554:17 613:3

citing 623:8

charge 598:7

clarification 628:11

clarify 587:7 607:25 614:11 627:8, 14 628:20 700:17

clarifying 617:11 681:23

Clark 604:21

Clean 572:22 576:16

clear 606:20 **Click** 753:25 **close** 732:15

closed 555:3,6 556:22 557:6,14 564:19 565:22 566:1,11,12,14 567:25 568:25 570:11,13,18,20 571:5 581:17 624:23 625:4 627:10 630:1,23 682:16,22 700:2,21 701:22 730:10,11 732:8,19

closed-record 557:8 564:17 565:3 568:23 574:2,13 575:13 625:7 631:8 679:19,24 680:6,8,13,18 681:6 683:3,11,18 698:1,12 699:24 702:3, 5 727:17 728:20 733:7 753:3,12

closer 558:13

cocounsel 554:15

Code 608:15,24 **Cohoe** 560:9

collect 591:9 597:16

collected 629:9

colonialists 604:22 comfortable 610:25 comment 558:2

comments 600:21,25 604:1

Commerce 569:11

Commission 570:2 598:24

commonly 622:18

communicate 561:10 603:5,23 **communication** 583:10,17

communications 578:20 582:18, 24 583:6,15 591:24 595:3

community 563:12 company's 578:24 compatibility 563:9,13

compiling 629:23

complementary 564:7

complete 566:16 582:22 583:25 628:22

completed 571:8 680:4 698:6 729:17 753:7

completing 582:15 complex 629:16 component 596:3

concerns 557:7 562:2,6 566:14 567:21 603:7

concluded 679:20 698:2 727:18 753:4

conclusions 583:11 593:15

concur 616:25 concurred 617:1 concurrence 615:12 concurs 615:6 conditional 563:13

conditions 619:24

conduct 578:5 582:23 610:12 611:7 620:3 627:18,21,25 628:18

conducted 584:24 585:3 611:10

conducting 614:22

Confederated 577:12 580:14 610:9

conference 558:12 conferring 560:19

confidential 556:16 557:10,13 565:17 568:4,5,22 574:6,11,17,18 611:1,12 616:5,13 624:8,24 625:10 628:25 630:5 679:24 680:2 682:23 683:1,9 699:22 701:25 730:13 732:13 753:11

confidentiality 606:22 627:24 630:9 680:9 700:1

confined 562:8

confirm 559:15 682:7

conflicts 559:6

connection 604:7 628:6

conservative 625:13

consideration 586:21 625:23

considered 592:9 606:13 607:12, 16 621:12

construction 586:6 589:22 596:21 598:8.9 599:14.16.17.18

consultation 595:2 615:21 consulting 582:12 591:3

contact 580:23 contacted 583:25 contained 731:20

content 576:23 612:19

context 584:17 586:20 607:12 609:18,20 615:7

continue 601:12 682:5

continued 553:6 contracted 582:21 contribute 618:7 contributes 605:15



conversation 572:12

conversations 583:16 600:10 606:16 610:15

Cooke 558:3,13 560:18 562:3,15 563:4 564:8 571:23,24

coordinate 563:24 602:18

coordinating 620:16

coordination 590:5,6 591:3 610:4

copy 558:24 623:6

correct 578:5,6,7,8,14 581:4,5 582:12,13,19,20,25 583:1,4,5,21,22, 23,24 584:1 585:3,7,16,22 586:7,8 587:1,2,5,6,21,23,24 588:24,25 590:2 591:17,18 592:21 594:3,16 597:4 598:11,12 614:14 616:22 629:7,8 732:23

corrected 699:11

correctly 616:17

corridor 585:18 593:19,20

corridors 594:4

Counc- 597:19

council 553:4 562:8 563:14 564:22 569:5,6,8,16,20,24 570:3,22 573:16 575:1 577:1 578:22 579:15,21 581:22 597:7,22 598:4,14,16,21 599:20 600:1,4 601:1,3 611:21,22 618:2 621:3,5 623:7,14 624:17 630:6,25 680:1,10 682:2,13 699:25 700:17 701:9 727:25 730:5,15 731:3 732:4 753:8,9,19

Council's 560:16 563:7 622:19,22 680:5 728:8

Councilman 681:12 682:2

counsel 565:15 566:2 573:3,6 582:6 591:15 617:8

County 554:1 560:18 562:17 570:7, 12 572:25 573:2 620:8

County's 557:18

couple 560:6 561:15 567:2,23 575:11 607:20 612:1 614:10

court 610:8 614:11 625:17 628:14 730:25 731:6

courtesy 567:13 620:23 **cover** 575:10 580:4 625:9

covering 561:17 565:8,11 624:22

covers 580:6 609:3.4

credentials 582:15

crew 585:11

criteria 592:3,5

cross 567:18 621:10

cross-exam 557:17 576:12 624:9 700:5,12

cross-examination 565:14 567:15 577:9 582:1 625:1 630:14 698:13

CTUIR 600:15 603:19 610:7 611:6

cued 699:5

cultural 571:9 572:23 576:18,22 578:25 579:3,14,20 581:4 582:11,14 583:3 584:22 589:25 591:16,19 592:3,8,17 594:14,23 595:15 596:8 598:10 600:11 603:3,18 604:8 605:7,9 606:10 611:1 612:5,9 614:25 617:18,25 618:8,12 619:24 625:14 627:4,7,19,21,23,25 628:19, 22 629:14

culturally 728:5

culture 618:5

current 589:10 595:9

customary 682:1

cut 579:10

D

DAHP 591:1,4 607:23 609:1,23 614:10,19 615:25 616:4,13,18,19, 23,25 617:20

DAHP's 614:16

data 582:17

database 616:5

date 753:20

day 558:1 559:3 566:12 568:20,24 572:15 681:4 699:1 728:21,23 729:6,16

days 682:8

deal 579:12 624:2

decided 611:6 614:4

deciding 584:11

decisions 603:16

declined 627:17,21 628:17

defer 618:9 683:10

define 608:4,17,18 617:24

defined 604:16 609:22

defines 608:16 609:23 617:21

definition 608:10

deliberate 625:19

deliberations 623:8

delve 629:19

delving 618:17

Department 569:10,14,18,22 570:6 600:3 614:12

departments 600:12

depend 558:5

depending 554:25 565:17 599:8 615:7

depends 590:19 595:2 609:19

depositional 619:9

deposits 605:2 619:24

describe 579:4 602:12,13

description 584:6

design 591:2

designated 625:7 630:8,15

desktop 582:22,23 583:25 584:2,9, 18,20,23

destroy 588:6

destructive 588:4

detail 589:13

details 583:15 614:6

determination 556:21 588:16 589:17

determinations 615:6

determine 588:7 590:17 596:16

determined 587:18

determining 615:21

developed 590:6



Page 765Index: developers..existed

developers 578:7,13 development 578:1

differences 604:13

difficult 556:21

difficulties 593:13 622:6 628:2

dig 588:3

direct 578:16 582:14 612:16 627:12

direction 556:25

directly 578:21 581:8 610:23 622:1

disclosable 630:5 disclose 629:1

disclosed 568:4 607:7

disclosure 561:18 606:21 627:25

discovery 561:7,17 discretion 625:5

discuss 606:22 612:22

discussed 557:11 558:11 606:12

613:21 616:2

discusses 567:20 613:8

discussion 625:13

disturb 608:25 609:1.11

disturbance 607:23 613:15

disturbances 585:19 594:7

disturbed 607:24

Division 582:5

doctor's 559:19

document 584:23 623:9

documentation 579:7 629:23

documented 629:9

documents 615:17

draft 590:3,8 599:6 600:20,23 612:9

614:1

Drew 553:4 561:22 563:3 569:8 571:17 581:22 598:2,3,4,14 621:3,5

Drew's 563:11 572:12

dubbed 589:1

due 624:23 728:4

duly 575:17 626:1 701:1 731:14

Dye 753:25

Ε

e-mail 558:25 560:9 561:22 570:16

623:11 731:8

earlier 574:20 575:6 583:16 595:8 603:24 609:24 610:16 613:22

617:23 683:16 699:10

early 600:10 603:21 610:13

easier 594:9

Ecology 569:15 600:3

Ecology's 600:4

Ed 574:24

edits 600:21,22

educate 728:8

education 577:23

EFSEC 569:7 600:4 607:14 608:3

614:16 622:4 623:7 682:12

EFSEC's 622:16

elder 753:8

elders 566:23 730:13

electronic 700:2

electronically 682:18

elements 613:19

Eli 569:16 599:22 600:2

eligibility 586:10 588:7 591:12

615:10

eligible 586:22 587:18 592:9,14,18

609:5

Elizabeth 569:12

Ellensburg 624:1,3

elucidate 563:12

Emily 553:21 554:24 570:23 573:18,

21 575:17,21 576:16

employed 627:4

encourage 728:6

end 562:24 576:10 597:12 628:9

ends 613:25

energy 553:4 572:22 576:16 593:24

730:5,15

engaged 578:18,21 610:5,7

engagement 611:21

English 731:1,5

entailed 584:4

enter 599:16

entire 557:6 595:5

entirety 732:11

environment 565:15 566:3 573:4,6

582:7,9 586:13 617:8 619:9

Environmental 582:5

essentially 555:2 572:14 588:10

622:22

Ethan 554:15 573:11

ethnographer 580:22

ethnographic 580:2

ethnologist 595:8

evaluate 586:9,16,17,18 587:22

588:20

evaluated 586:19

evaluation 553:4 582:17 587:25

588:1,12,16 606:13

everybody's 574:23

evidence 576:5 580:21 608:13

examination 577:3 582:14 601:5

602:4 627:12 727:22

excavation 591:1,8 594:22

excavations 595:5

Excellent 553:25 556:3

Excuse 592:6

excused 621:17

executive 611:10

exhibit 567:15 576:4,6 577:24

578:17 593:15 622:21,24 623:5,14 624:15 626:16 627:6 698:11 699:17

701:7,14,16 729:20 731:20 732:21,

23 733:1

exhibits 560:11 575:7,23 621:11

624:7,9 626:7

exist 584:13,14

existed 580:18



Page 766Index: existence..Gotcha

existence 589:8

existing 584:13 597:3

expect 556:17 702:3

experience 581:6 602:9,13

expert 579:25 580:25 592:12 605:5

618:4,5

experts 630:8

explain 565:7,13 604:12 614:16

explained 613:22

express 616:20

expressed 563:3

extend 620:23

extended 567:3

extent 561:23

F

facilities 595:10

facility 553:4 586:5 593:24

fact 603:19

fair 577:20 578:12 584:24 585:13

589:9 619:23 620:1

fairer 566:8

fairly 562:8

falls 609:25

familiar 580:12 589:5,6 590:9 596:5

605:18

familiarity 602:10,14

family 681:25

family's 681:19

farm 553:13 568:20 589:3 681:3

699:2 729:17

fast 625:22

faunal 605:3

feature 596:7,9

featured 557:15,16

features 605:2,12

February 622:17

federal 578:8 592:6 607:21 609:20

federally 583:20

feedback 599:9 600:6,7,14,22

603:25 610:14,16 612:8,11 613:3

614:4

feel 610:24 699:21

feeling 728:15

feels 561:8

feet 594:6

field 582:24 584:16 585:3.7.8.10.11

603:11,16

fields 619:16

file 560:15 622:15

filed 560:13 626:7

filings 561:2

final 589:4 596:18 600:23 604:2

find 594:9 605:1 623:16

findings 616:25

fine 602:23

finished 727:22

Fire 753:25

Fish 569:18

five-minute 597:15

flag 565:4 606:23

flux 614:3

focused 562:14

folks 616:11 624:2 630:7

follow-up 581:16 618:22,24 626:25

698:13 700:5

footprint 594:2 613:17,24

form 700:15

formal 577:21 591:8 623:5

forming 560:24

forms 614:25

formulate 561:11,24

forward 562:12 613:23 682:13

728:1 730:17

Foster 554:3 573:2

found 559:4 624:17

foundation 596:9

framework 607:14 608:2.19 609:15

Frankly 562:9

Friday 555:13 572:13 681:20

Friday's 558:11

front 682:3

fully 579:15 613:12

fund 610:22

funding 611:16

future 586:1

G

garbled 628:15

gate 610:15

gathered 580:7

gathering 629:16

gave 600:13,16

general 571:3 572:17 582:4 604:3 615:16 619:11 631:9 683:19 702:6

733:8

general's 582:6

generally 584:9 599:10 603:2

604:16,24 629:12,14

genesis 562:7

George 555:10 571:7 680:15 683:4

698:9,22 699:6,13 701:1,5 727:22

get all 615:2

give 561:15 563:19 565:9 575:9,24

586:21 602:12 625:3 626:8,23

699:15,20

giving 619:19

glad 699:10

goal 556:20

good 553:10,20 554:2,4,7,9,13,19,

20 558:8 559:25 560:5 566:22 567:9 568:17 571:22 572:12,21 573:1,6,8,

10,22,24 577:11 582:3 601:7,13

619:16 623:20,22 679:22 681:1

700:10 729:25 730:3,24

Gotcha 586:2

government 574:22 582:18

governor 630:7

Grantham 555:5 569:7,10,14,18,22 570:1,5 597:20 628:8 631:5 732:20 733:5

great 564:4 567:11 574:19 577:19 601:7 604:5 611:13 629:18 700:16

grew 577:19 grief 753:16

grieving 681:25

ground 594:18 596:8 598:7 605:1 619:8

ground-disturbing 599:4

group 555:6 605:8

grow 577:18

guess 558:5 559:19 568:5 584:5 590:19 596:24 604:2,21 608:24 609:25 615:16,21

guidance 592:6 614:20,21

Н

Hagar 753:25

half 559:12 577:4 608:22 700:4

hand 575:15 598:3 599:23 605:4 625:25 629:20 700:22 731:12

handful 588:18 handling 572:23

hands 682:17

happen 566:8 596:16 630:16

happened 583:15 622:12

happening 619:20

happy 553:11 564:2,9 601:6

Harper 554:2,3 557:15,19 558:3,6, 17,19 564:5 571:21 573:1,2 593:5,6 597:9 620:8,10,12

397.9 620.6, 10, 12

he'll 699:15 728:19 730:14

head 612:5

heads 566:13,15

heads-up 681:17

headway 601:7

hear 557:25 558:22 559:14 562:1 570:20 574:25 593:9 598:6 682:21 683:8 728:3 731:3 753:14

heard 574:1 613:11 753:8

hearing 553:13 555:9 558:2 562:24 564:17 565:3,7 566:18 610:16 622:8 628:5 631:8 680:2 683:18 700:2 702:5 727:21 730:12 733:7 753:12

hearings 568:20

heartfelt 727:24 753:10

Heaven 553:12 568:20 569:6 570:5 589:3 681:3 699:2 729:17

Heemsah 555:11 680:15 683:5 728:14,18 729:19,22 730:1,12,24 731:11,14,18 753:9

held 629:6

helpful 598:1 628:10

helping 730:4

helps 584:16 700:17

Heritage 586:23

hesitate 563:5

hey 603:5 607:10,11

high 600:7

highlight 623:2

highly 610:25 629:17

hired 578:4

historic 579:23 580:12,16,24 582:10,16 586:4,11 587:4 588:6 592:5,10,18 604:19 608:4 609:7,25 614:13,14

historic-period 586:12 587:17 588:1,15 590:22 608:18 609:4

history 580:2,25 605:8

hold 618:13

holds 616:8

holes 588:3 591:9

Honor 553:21 554:3,10,14,21 555:19 556:17 558:7,19 559:1 562:5,20 564:12 567:5,17 572:22 573:2,7,15 576:14 577:7 581:14 597:15 598:5 602:2 617:4,11 620:11 621:23 622:11 623:1 624:14 625:16 627:2,10 629:25 630:24 681:11

682:5 700:9 701:22 728:25 729:4 730:22 732:8

hope 561:9 575:5 619:21

hoping 558:9 562:22 682:20

Horse 553:12 568:20 569:6 570:5 589:2 681:3 699:1 729:17

hour 558:10 559:13 571:7 577:4 630:15 680:1 700:4

hours 560:6 561:19

hours' 585:12

house 614:24

housed 614:15

housekeeping 553:12 563:20 564:11 572:10

Houston 554:15 573:12

HRA 576:17 590:4 591:23 592:22,23 611:3 613:22

huh-uh 611:18

hunted 580:7

hypothetically 590:21,22

I

idea 571:22 575:11 700:3

ideas 605:7

identification 572:3

identified 561:2 567:23 579:12 586:9 587:3,8 589:12 594:14 595:17 611:15 615:3 618:16 631:1

identify 563:22 570:19 572:5 586:4, 15,16 617:24 618:11 624:25 625:2 629:18

identifying 624:13

identity 605:9 618:8

imagine 605:16 619:10 681:20

immediately 607:3,4 610:20 680:8

immemorial 580:18

impact 578:1,14 579:9 588:13 595:12,14,21 613:10 728:16

impacted 588:8,21 593:22 596:21 598:8 613:10



impacts 578:25 579:2,4,5,10,19 581:3,10 585:19,24 596:12 608:6 611:15 613:17,20 615:22

implementing 589:25

important 592:14,16 595:18 602:19

in-house 628:2,23

include 606:5 616:19

included 575:23 596:20 607:7

including 582:15 583:2 623:12 731:20

inclusion 700:14

incorporate 602:20 603:1 604:1

612:11

Indian 610:10

indicating 628:9

indication 732:13

indicators 594:23

industrial 578:13

inference 627:16

infor- 610:23

inform 560:6 579:15,20 584:16 681:11 682:12

informally 611:4

information 556:23 557:10,13 562:9 563:8 568:22 574:6,8,12,17 579:1,14,18 580:6 581:7 583:14 591:7 592:1 595:25 600:16 602:25 603:8 606:12 607:6,16 610:24 611:1,12 612:21,25 613:13 615:24 616:3,8,11,12 629:5,8,16,18 682:23

683:10 702:1 730:16

information's 628:25

informed 681:20 informing 607:15

•

initial 600:14 610:14,15

initially 555:8

input 603:7

instance 596:9

instances 594:5 605:17

integral 605:9

integrity 590:18

intend 620:3 625:3

intent 558:17

interest 563:3 574:23 620:20

interested 610:21 683:8

interests 582:8 620:25

interference 622:8

interpretation 614:20

interpreting 584:18

interrupt 606:20 729:4

intersection 617:17 618:10

intertwined 605:5

interview 629:19

interviews 629:24

introduce 576:10 598:18 599:24

introduced 624:9 698:17 728:18

introduction 626:23

introductions 600:13

invasive 588:2

investigation 588:17

investigations 579:7

invitation 628:18

invitations 627:18

invite 564:24

involved 681:22

isolate 589:12 596:5

isolates 587:9 588:15 596:4,13,17,

20

issue 563:9 564:13 568:1 592:6

627:23,24 628:6

issues 564:2 571:10 602:11 620:17

629:20 681:21

item 560:17 567:13

items 564:11 566:22 568:2 594:2

624:21 682:25

J

Jansen 555:24 557:22

Jerry 555:12 571:14

Jessica 554:15,25 567:15 571:5 573:12 581:2,6 591:24 595:24 605:11 606:16 607:7 611:25 612:2, 3,8,15 615:24 623:17 626:1,5 680:3

681:7 682:24 698:6

Jim 753:23

Johnson 611:25 612:4

join 565:4 567:7

joined 554:15 570:13 574:24 575:3

576:16

joining 570:8

Jones 554:15 573:11

judge 553:5,10,23,25 554:4,11,16, 22 555:20 556:2,10,14,24 557:5,20 558:8,20 559:8,21,25 560:7,24 561:6 562:1,21 564:3,4,10,15 565:5 567:11,22 568:17 570:9,10 572:24 573:3,8,13,21,22,25 575:2,19,21 576:3,9,25 581:15 593:1,7 594:11, 19 595:7 596:18 597:2,5,18,21 598:2,16 599:22 601:3,21 617:6 618:21,25 620:7,12,22 621:2,19 622:7,13 623:10,20,21,24 624:13,16 625:17 626:3,5,12,22 628:5,8,13 630:3 631:3,7 679:22,23 681:1,2,16 682:11 698:4,25 699:8 700:16 701:3,5,12,20,24 727:20 729:1,9,14, 25 730:3,4,23 731:16,18,25 732:10, 23 753:6

judging 624:3

Κ

Karl 753:25

Kathleen 553:4 569:8

keeping 561:7

Ken 554:3 573:2

Kielisch 753:24

kind 565:2 589:22 600:7

kinship 580:12,16

KITTCOM 624:2

knowing 562:10

knowledge 596:19 618:10

Krupin 558:22 560:2,5,11

701:3 730:4 731:16

lead 613:20

learned 681:11 731:5

lease 585:17,21

leave 588:8 625:5

leaving 630:11

left 580:21

Lehman 753:24

Lenny 569:24

letter 611:5

letting 569:2

Kurt 753:24

Lacey 553:3

lack 620:20

630:12 683:2

land-use 563:9

large 619:15

late 559:16

land 578:11 595:15

lands 563:10 594:15

laid 613:9

laboratory 591:10

L

Lally 554:25 567:15 591:25 601:8

Lally's 571:5,8 581:2,6 595:24

605:11 612:15 615:24 628:15

landscape 580:19 584:13,15

595:19 604:18 608:14 615:3

law 553:5 575:19 587:17 596:14

607:21 608:8 609:6 614:21 626:3

language 584:5 731:2,4

606:16 607:7 611:25 612:8 621:20

623:17,21 624:20 625:15,24 626:1,5 627:3,14 628:16 680:3 681:7 682:24

level 580:15 600:7 616:7 629:23 levels 616:3

Levitt 569:16 599:22 600:1,2 601:1 **Lewis** 604:21

M made 589:17 611:4 615:9 617:1

lunch 555:10 571:7 630:16 680:14,

lots 560:21 578:10 585:11

luck 566:22

21 681:4

BA LITIGATION SERVICES

575:1 583:20,23 598:21 599:20

600:1,4,10 601:1 682:2 727:24

members 570:22 573:16 574:20

596:20 597:7,23 598:16 601:3

575:10 577:2 578:2 581:8,23 585:11

603:13,18,20 618:12 621:6 624:17

683:13 698:15 699:12,25 700:3,17

625:11 630:4 680:11 682:3,13,20

701:9 702:1 728:3 730:11 731:3

753:9

Verbatim Record of Proceedings, Adjudicative Hearing - August 21, 2023

732:4,11 753:19

memo 561:16 567:16,19 589:2 613:7,14

memorandum 609:23 615:18,19

Meninick 555:12 571:14 612:1,4 682:7

mention 591:24 607:2,3 625:21

mentioned 590:5 603:24 604:6 606:17 607:5,9,20,22 610:6,16 614:10 619:25 621:14 699:18

message 570:19

messaged 732:21

meters 594:18

methodologies 584:17

methods 609:21

micro-siting 585:18

midden 605:2

Mike 569:20

mind 560:23 563:18 574:14

minutes 567:3 568:12 601:15 683:15 729:7 732:16

mirror 592:4

missed 621:11

misspoke 732:24

mitigation 608:7 611:14 615:17

Mm-hmm 606:1 611:19

modifications 567:20 589:3,11

Monday 553:1,11 564:21 568:18

monitor 603:13,15

monitoring 582:17 595:3 599:17

614:7

month 611:2

months 730:18

Moon 567:16 589:2 613:7,14

morning 553:10,20 554:2,4,7,9,13, 18,19,20 558:25 559:12,16 560:3,14 564:21 565:25 567:1,14 568:18,19 571:19 572:18,21 573:1,6,8,10,15, 22,24 577:11 582:3 623:21,22 627:16 679:22

move 570:13,20 584:8 594:10 610:3 613:18 621:25 626:24 630:1,22 683:17 699:24 732:19 733:5

moved 557:22 571:16 625:4 631:2 753:20

moves 615:14

moving 555:12 574:2 613:23 630:4 631:6 680:8

multiple 578:1

mute 576:10 597:12 628:10

mysterious 562:18

Ν

Nation 554:12,14 565:15 573:9,11 577:13 578:4,11,14 580:14,17,25 581:7,8 590:7 591:15 592:13,14 595:12 600:15 607:16 611:24 612:8, 23 616:20 617:7 620:16 627:4,17,21 628:17 629:10 699:14 727:23 730:13

Nation's 567:21 578:2,21 579:2,14, 20,23 580:7 581:3,11 628:18 630:16,25

National 586:10 587:19,22 591:13 592:4,10,18 609:5

nations 728:7

native 731:4

natural 569:22 605:12

nature 562:14 588:2 624:24 728:4

necess- 588:5

necessarily 560:3 579:4 607:21 608:1 609:10 623:5,14

necessity 562:13

neck 679:25

needed 601:23 753:10

Nevada 577:19

Nez 600:16

nice 573:22 727:23

night 558:24 560:15

no- 592:1

nodding 566:15

noon 559:13

Northwest 577:16,22 604:4,20,23

Page 770Index: memo..opportunity

Nos 576:6 626:16 701:16 733:1

note 626:13

noted 592:1 614:5

notes 555:13 597:16 624:18

noting 618:15

number 568:21 578:13 585:8 624:9,

24 682:25 732:21

0

oath 730:8

object 608:12

objection 562:25

objectionable 562:19

objections 572:9

objects 608:11

observe 594:18

obtain 577:21 581:7

occur 587:25 683:7

offer 563:14 572:1 603:9,12 610:12, 20,21 628:24

offered 603:17

offering 564:8

offers 610:6 611:4,17 614:19

office 564:24 582:6

officer 614:15

offices 564:23

older 604:17

on-site 594:22

online 560:2 622:2

open 555:4 565:6,21 574:1,4,21,22 625:10 680:7 682:16 698:5

operation 586:7

opinion 579:13,17 581:10 595:11

617:23 618:3

opportunities 682:6

opportunity 603:10,13



opposed 623:11

order 558:23 616:17,18 631:9

683:19 702:6 733:8

orients 577:1

original 622:14

originally 577:16

Osborne 569:12

outline 614:6

outreach 578:20 582:25 583:6

610:4

overlapping 620:25

overuse 557:7

overview 575:9 699:15

Ρ

p.m. 680:24 681:18 698:21 729:12

Pacific 577:16,22 604:4,20,23

Pages 612:22

paper 728:10

parameters 599:1

part 576:5 584:25 586:3,24 595:19 605:15,23 606:2,9 612:15 619:5 622:14,19,22 626:15 628:15 629:3

participants 559:9 560:8

participating 555:22,24 558:16

570:25 680:10

participation 557:18

parties 553:15 559:15 560:6,21 561:16 562:9 566:5,15 568:7 570:25 572:5,13 581:21 591:3 593:3 614:5 620:19 621:10 622:2,10 623:12 630:8,9 681:24 682:5 701:9 730:16

732:3

parts 568:24

party 562:16 572:19 615:20 623:14 682:15

passed 681:14

patience 680:12

pause 568:14 601:18 625:6 680:23

698:20 729:11

paying 630:11

pedestrian 594:17

people 580:19,21 604:18 605:8 608:14 616:9,10 629:19 728:12

Perce 600:16

percent 619:13

Perfect 558:20

perfectly 602:23

performed 584:7 610:4

period 580:24 582:16 585:10 604:19

609:8 630:13

periods 630:19

Perlmutter 553:22 555:23

Perlmutter's 555:21

permanent 596:7

permanently 593:22

permission 616:10

permit 563:13 591:1 607:23 608:25

609:12

permitted 700:2

permitting 614:17

person 561:1 562:23 563:2 589:24

personal 753:10

personally 728:16 729:7

personnel 599:16,18

perspective 563:12 580:19 603:11

727:25

pertaining 592:19

philosophy 604:3

phone 570:16 611:5

physical 585:19,24 596:12 604:18,

25 608:13

physically 588:8,21 599:15 603:15

piece 557:6

place 605:6

placement 595:10

Places 586:11 592:5,11,18

plan 565:2 590:3,6,8,10 595:3 596:15 599:6 613:10,21,23 614:5

planning 571:25 700:12

plans 588:20 590:1

play 606:14

point 558:16 562:13 571:1 585:4 589:16 597:23 598:17 600:19 614:1

631:1

points 561:15,16 563:12

policy 609:22

pop 559:9 566:13

portion 568:3 600:24 605:13 727:21

portions 583:2 588:6

pose 598:20 620:9

posed 561:22 593:18 618:25 621:11

731:22

position 556:21

possibility 584:11

possibly 584:14

post 565:23 568:2 683:13 732:13

posted 568:10 571:13 623:13

682:12

posting 568:6

pot 609:25

potential 560:18 572:6 579:2,19

581:3 610:17

potentially 558:4 560:25 618:16

Power 578:10

practice 599:12

practices 595:15 605:7

pre- 589:25 608:14

pre-construction 590:1

pre-contact 582:15 586:18 596:2

604:24 608:17 609:3,7

prefiled 560:12 575:23 731:21

prehearing 558:11 627:15

prehis- 608:17

prehistoric 608:17

preparation 622:20

prepared 583:2 613:22

preparing 571:15 589:25

preplanned 700:4

present 553:18 569:9,13,17,21,25 570:4

presentation 563:19 presenting 629:9

preservation 588:10 614:13,15

pretty 609:21 631:1 730:10

previous 702:3

previously 594:13 626:7

primary 614:20 principal 582:10 prior 589:22 604:23

private 728:17

privy 595:25

pro 624:2

probability 584:12

probes 594:12

probing 619:4,7,22 620:3

procedural 621:24

proceed 567:10

proceeding 557:8 575:25 577:13 630:6 730:6

proceedings 553:6 568:14 574:22 601:18 680:23 698:20 729:11 732:15

process 566:25 571:3 573:25 587:25 588:4 590:9 609:20,22 614:17 615:21 619:6 730:16

produce 584:20

produced 576:17 580:23 584:23 615:1

product 584:20 604:2

professional 578:5 579:17 581:9 617:23 627:3

program 612:6 627:5 629:6

programs 603:4,18

progress 601:13

project 567:20 570:6 576:18 578:3, 18,22 579:11,22 582:21,22,23 583:7,18 584:1,7 585:13,15 589:3, 10,19,22 590:25 592:19 593:23 594:8 595:5,9,13,18,20 596:11,21

598:9,10 600:13,19 602:17 603:3,5, 10,12,17,22 607:10 610:14,18 611:3,4 613:8,18,24 615:14 619:10 629:20 630:18

project's 578:25 579:1,19 581:3,10

project-planning 609:17

projected 557:16 558:1,10

projects 578:1,11,14 604:4

prominently 557:16

promises 729:15

promptly 730:10

pronounce 699:4

pronouncing 699:9,10

properties 579:3,15,20 581:4 586:22 587:5 591:19 592:9,17 594:14 598:11 604:8 617:19,25 628:19

property 591:16 592:4 594:24 605:6 618:12 627:7,19,22,23 628:1,22 629:14

proposed 571:21 572:2 593:21,24 596:22 613:8,14

proposing 578:13

protect 609:10 625:13

protected 556:19 574:8 596:13 608:1,23

protecting 582:8

Protection 582:5

protective 631:9 683:19 702:6 733:8

protects 607:21 609:10

provide 579:11 581:9 616:20 701:7, 8 731:20

provided 562:9 602:25 603:20 611:10 613:2 615:12 616:4

providing 568:22 730:15

public 557:8 558:2 565:10 566:8,13, 25 568:10 574:21 575:11 577:2 582:8 622:17 625:11 630:4,21 631:9 680:7 681:5 682:20 683:6,13,19 698:8,15,18 699:12 700:3 702:1,6 727:21 728:3 729:16 730:11 732:11, 14 733:8 753:7

publicly 622:2

published 558:24

purpose 567:18,19

purposes 561:7

put 556:20 558:3 562:12 566:10 572:8 614:2,22 624:18 680:18 682:15

Q

qualifications 616:6

qualified 581:9 589:21

quarter 680:1

question 559:11 562:13 563:10 564:20 574:5 593:4 596:18 598:20 599:25 608:23 617:11 628:10,14,16 699:23

questioning 565:17 566:2 584:8 624:10 626:24 683:7

questions 556:18,25 558:11 561:22,25 563:14 565:18 572:12,14 574:14 577:14 581:14,19,23 582:9 591:14 592:25 593:5,6,8,18 597:17, 23 598:17 601:4 602:7,8 604:9 606:21 617:4 618:20,24 619:3 620:6,8,10,14,18,21 621:5 625:1,15 626:8 627:9 680:5 681:7 683:8 698:14 701:8 731:22 732:2,4,5

quick 553:14 565:10 570:24 575:9 624:22 626:23 732:20

quicker 555:7 quickly 560:21 quote 578:19 589:7

R

Ragsdale 554:24 556:15 565:9 566:25 571:1,3 573:18,22 574:7 575:7,14,17,22 576:16,21 577:11 581:23 582:3 593:2,5,8,14 598:6,17, 19,22 600:2 601:5,17 602:6 606:19 617:5,15 619:3 621:3,8,12,15

Ragsdale's 567:8 570:23 601:22 621:25

Rahmig 555:25 557:22

raise 574:5 575:14 620:8 625:24



700:22 731:11

re-ask 628:13

reach 570:16 603:3

read 563:11 595:23 612:15,21

readily 594:24

ready 558:13 565:12 568:19 571:1 601:10,22 621:20 682:3 730:9

realize 570:19 623:15 681:21

reason 559:2 **reasons** 578:17

rebuttal 558:4 560:18,20,22 561:1, 23 562:3,10 563:1,17 564:7 572:1,6 581:1

rebutting 558:18

received 568:7 571:12 589:6 600:8, 14.25 604:1 612:7

recent 595:24 613:8

recently 589:7

recess 698:19 728:24 729:10

recognized 583:20

recommend 587:10,16 619:6

recommendation 617:1 630:7

recommendations 579:11 591:12 593:15 615:7,9

record 555:4 556:22 557:14 562:2 564:19 565:6,22 566:1,11,12,14 568:11 572:9 574:24 576:5 587:7 614:11 622:23 626:15 682:16

700:21 701:15 **recross** 597:8

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

617:13 619:1

redacted 567:24 568:2,5 622:16

redactions 568:9

redirect 571:22 593:4 597:6,16,24 601:4,16,23 602:4 630:15 700:5

reduction 613:17 references 600:5 referred 612:2

reflected 591:21

reflects 731:10

regard 574:10 608:9 627:6

region 598:11 604:20

Register 586:10,23 587:19,23 591:13 592:4,10,18 609:5

regulation 614:21

regulatory 586:20 608:1 615:7

relate 604:11,14 relates 617:2 relayed 572:13

release 616:1,19 621:4

relevant 583:9,10

rely 608:8

remaining 699:3

remains 594:23 595:5 605:3

remember 607:1

REMEMBERED 553:1

remnant 604:18 remnants 604:25

remotely 573:19 575:17 623:18 626:1 698:23 701:1 729:23 731:14

removed 623:4

Reno 577:19

reopen 557:11

report 576:18 580:9 581:2 591:11 592:2 600:18,20 607:5,13 612:16, 17,20,22 615:24 618:14,15

reporter 610:9 614:11 628:11,14 730:25 731:6

reporter's 625:18

reports 576:22,23 578:24 579:4,6, 16 583:3 584:22 585:9 587:20 600:19 603:24,25 606:10 607:8,9,15 612:9,12 613:3 614:25 615:6,12,13 616:2.23

represent 577:12

representative 570:12 575:3 598:23

representatives 600:11,15 representing 573:16 578:7

represents 582:7

request 557:25 562:8,14 597:15

Page 773Index: re-ask..results

621:24 623:4 700:12

require 565:16 587:19 606:21

required 586:4

reschedule 681:19

rescheduled 753:14

rescheduling 682:6

research 576:22 577:15 578:5 579:6 580:3,5 582:11,16 584:11 591:2,5 615:2 629:22

Reservation 610:10

reserve 578:2

resolve 615:22

resolved 562:25

resource 571:10 576:18,22 578:25 582:15 583:3 584:22 589:25 591:7 594:9 599:5 600:11 603:3,18 606:10,17 609:3,7 612:5,9 614:25 618:11 627:5

resources 569:23 579:8,12 584:12, 14,18 586:9 587:4,9,18 588:13 589:12 595:14,15 596:1,2 599:11 602:15 604:13,16 605:23 606:2,14, 23 607:22,24,25 608:16,17,18 609:4 610:1 613:15,19 617:18 618:15 625:14

resourcing 582:11

respect 559:2 753:17

respectful 731:10

respectfully 623:2

respond 620:20

response 563:4 581:2 600:17

responses 561:24 607:20

responsible 589:24

rest 556:6 557:20 559:15 581:21 588:20 728:23

restricted 616:8

result 556:19 587:3

resulting 611:14

results 579:7 583:11 586:25 591:22 595:2 603:23 619:5



retain 589:21

review 554:18 560:16 589:1 600:21 615:12 622:20,23 623:14 728:1

reviewed 588:22,23 589:13 622:19

reviews 615:5

revised 608:15,24 624:7

Reyneveld 554:6,9 556:10,12,25 557:2 573:5 577:5 581:19,24 582:2, 4 592:24 597:9 613:6 618:22,23

619:2 620:5

Richard 753:24

Rick 573:16

rights 578:3 597:3 602:24

Roger 753:24

role 578:23 579:22 589:18 614:16,

20

roles 614:19

roll 569:5 570:24 572:19

room 569:2 581:20 594:8

rooted 605:8 round 680:4

route 588:6 625:2

routing 595:10

résumé 577:20.25

S

S-E-L-A-M 698:9

sampling 619:12

Sanders 753:24

Sarah 573:5 582:3

scale 595:13

scene 626:24

schedule 553:15,16 554:18,23 556:5,9 571:11 601:12 681:19,25 728:22 753:19

120.22 133.19

scheduled 571:6 577:4 581:19

683:16 729:5

schedules 682:14

Schimelpfenig 553:21

scope 561:9 563:1,6 571:23 572:7 575:11 577:15 579:16,22 580:10 591:23 592:22 593:17 594:1 595:13 598:13 606:9 607:10

Scout 572:22 576:15 607:4 610:19

screen 565:20 624:4,19 729:21

section 576:24 600:2 608:11

seek 602:20

seeking 603:7

Selam 555:10 571:7 680:15 683:4, 15 698:9,13,22 699:6,13,17 700:6, 13,20 701:1,5 727:23 728:10

send 600:20 603:14,25 622:4

Senior 680:15 683:5 728:14 729:19 731:19

sense 562:15,17 603:12 609:11

sensitive 556:23 574:16 599:18 602:14 611:1 612:23 615:23 625:10 629:17 680:2 682:23 683:10 699:23 701:25 728:4 730:14 732:12 753:11

sentences 575:12

SEPA 622:20

separate 630:25 682:9

separately 622:24

series 753:22

service 682:9,10

services 582:12 592:22 681:13

session 553:12 555:3,5,6 557:6,12 567:25 568:24,25 569:3 570:11,14, 18,20 571:5 572:10 574:1,2,13 575:13 581:17 585:12 624:23 625:4, 7 627:10 630:1,23 679:19,24 680:7, 9,18 681:5,6,9 682:16,22 683:3,6,11 698:1,5,8,12 699:24 701:23 702:3 727:17 728:20 729:16 730:10,12 732:9,14,19 753:3,7

sessions 585:7,8,11 729:15

set 626:23

share 602:23 603:8,11 610:24 611:13 630:21

shared 622:1 728:11

sharing 681:22

Sharp 558:23 559:9,10,14,17,23

560:12

she'll 558:14 565:11 568:1 571:25

Page 774Index: retain..smaller

shifting 555:20 557:24

Shona 554:14 573:10 577:11

699:13,14

short 561:13,18,24

shortly 681:5 728:20

shovel 594:12 619:4,7,22 620:3

show 590:13 701:14

showing 562:19

shown 753:19

SHPO 614:15

side 702:4

sign 683:14

signatories 615:17

signatory 615:20

signed 574:18 630:9 680:9 699:25

significance 590:17 605:16

significant 586:21 594:14 629:22

similar 590:24 728:16

simply 561:14 622:9,14 628:14

single 596:6

sir 560:8 564:10 700:22 730:7

site 553:4 576:19 583:4 588:24 590:17,23 591:9 596:6 605:14,17 608:9 609:1 614:2,24 615:23 622:15

site's 591:12

sites 586:5,12,14,19 587:9 588:1,3, 6,7,14 590:10,12,15,19,24 596:4,10 608:5,6 612:23 615:2

sites' 599:11

Siting 730:5,15

slide 565:20 566:10 569:1 680:19

slightly 572:16

slot 560:5

slower 625:19

slowly 731:2

smaller 613:18



soil 619:19 solar 593:25 Sold 729:9

solemnly 575:22 626:6 701:6 731:19

solicit 600:21 603:25 **sooner** 561:10 601:10,12

sort 562:23,25 563:20 584:16 585:1 596:2 599:9 604:3,10 608:19 609:6, 24 616:3 619:22 621:21

sorted 564:2 **sorts** 605:3 **Sounds** 558:8

Southeast 553:2 spaced 594:18

spacing 619:14

speak 563:2 567:8 584:7 611:23 700:13 731:1,2

speaking 625:19 **speaks** 609:24

specific 572:14 591:6 599:1 614:7 629:7

specifically 586:22 600:17 612:21

speed 558:21

spelling 698:10 731:5

spellings 731:9 spent 623:25 spirit 588:10 split 609:6

spoke 612:1

sponsored 570:23

square 553:2 591:8

Sr 729:22 731:14

Stacey 570:3 598:18,22

staff 569:5 570:13,15 603:20 622:4 625:6 630:22 680:11 682:12 683:17 732:19

staffed 603:20 **staking** 599:15

stand 560:12 702:2 **standalone** 584:23

standard 607:14

standards 607:17 608:4 614:23

start 555:4 565:6 566:24,25 570:22

started 553:11 568:13 569:4 621:20

starting 554:24 555:25 571:4 585:1 603:2 753:21

state 578:9 587:17 596:14 607:21 608:8 609:6,21 614:14,15,21 615:1

stated 732:22 **statement** 627:15 **statements** 563:11 **states** 608:25

Stavitsky 553:22 572:21,22 575:5 576:9,13,15 577:1 593:3 597:5,12, 14,25 601:16,23,25 602:1,5 610:8, 11 617:3,16

stay 599:18

staying 566:11

step 585:1

stepped 593:12

storage 593:24 strategy 619:12

stratigraphy 591:9

stray 574:8 699:22

strictly 606:8 strings 593:21 structure 607:15

studies 610:6,12,20 616:19 629:11, 13,14

study 610:22 611:7,10,11 616:1 627:7,18,22,24 628:1,18,23 629:2,7 728:6

studying 602:10

subcontract 603:17

submit 581:1 616:23

submitted 561:12 567:14,18 615:25 616:11,18 622:10

subsurface 588:16 619:21

sufficient 579:1,13,18 580:6,8,9

suggest 613:13 731:9

suggested 599:7

suggestion 566:17

suggestions 615:11

summarize 583:14

summarized 583:7,8,18 586:25

summary 565:10 594:21 611:11 624:22

supplemental 700:13

support 593:23

suppose 584:3 605:20

surface 594:18,25 619:8,17

surprising 562:12

survey 553:14 582:22,24 583:11 585:2,3,16,17,21 586:1,25 587:3 591:21 594:17 614:22 619:5,6,11, 13,15 620:2 732:2

surveyed 585:4,6,14,18,25 587:5 594:4 615:4 619:7

Surveying 619:10

surveys 582:16 590:1 603:21

swear 575:6,22 621:20 624:5,20 626:6 683:3,15 699:12,19 700:19 701:6 730:20 731:12,19

swearing 683:4

sworn 575:8,18 626:2 701:2 731:15

systematic 619:22

Т

tables 583:13,17

takes 629:18

taking 625:18 682:22 730:25

talk 553:15 556:6,16 598:25 600:12 608:6 625:20 629:12 728:22

talked 611:22 613:6 617:20

talking 559:22 562:11 590:19 610:3 617:15

TCC 572:6

TCC's 620:24

TCP 580:10 592:2 605:15,16,18,19 607:2,3,6 609:23,24 610:20,22 616:8,11,12 617:21 618:17

TCP-RELEVANT 606:11,12

TCPS 581:3,11 592:12 595:17,23 596:20,25 604:8,13 605:4,23 606:2, 5,8 607:22 609:14,16 610:17 611:15

technical 593:12 622:6 628:2

technicians 603:21

technology 564:21 566:23

tells 608:12 680:19

tem 624:2 ten 601:15

term 591:16 617:16

terms 580:8 596:1 611:9

Terry 680:15 683:4 728:14 729:18, 22 731:14,18 753:9

test- 565:18

tested 590:25

testified 562:16 606:8 611:21 612:7

testify 571:2 613:11 681:15

testifying 576:21 624:25 699:16 730:14

testimony 555:25 556:23 557:9,22 558:4,9,23 560:20,22 561:11 562:3, 18 563:21,25 565:7,16 567:8 568:22 570:21,23 571:6,18,23 572:1,8 574:10 575:10,23 578:16 581:1 593:17 595:24 600:5 601:22 605:11 612:15,16 621:9,21,25 624:6 626:6 627:5 630:12,21 680:2,6,13,17 682:21,23 683:1,2,5 698:6,10 700:4, 14 701:6 727:22,24 728:4,11,15,19 729:18,19 730:8 731:19,21 732:12 753:8,10,18,21

testing 588:12,19 591:8

that'll 728:21

there'll 594:22 624:8

thereabouts 622:18

thing 607:11

things 558:21 560:21 563:16 567:23 572:11 574:4,9,21 582:19 602:22 605:3 614:3,7 615:11 618:7 624:24 625:9,10

thinking 561:3

thinks 566:3

thought 559:18 562:21 571:15 574:3

thoughts 560:25 566:10

Thursday 555:13

ties 580:12,17

till 601:15 698:19

tilled 619:18

Tim 553:21

time 559:18 561:13,18 562:13 566:24 567:3,6 571:17 577:7 580:18,23 581:14 588:11 589:16 599:13 600:18,20 601:8 618:20 623:3,16,25 628:23 629:18 630:13, 20 700:5,8,13 701:23 730:21 753:16

times 569:3 612:1 614:10 625:22 731:2

titled 589:2

today 553:19 554:1 555:3,7,15,16, 22 556:11 557:18 559:9 560:13 562:24 565:7 568:1,21 569:6 570:17,22,25 571:3,15 572:23 574:1 575:24 576:16,21 577:14 583:11 601:13 602:6 605:9 620:18 621:9 622:20 624:6,10 626:9 630:12 680:14 681:23 682:24 683:8 699:4, 18,20 728:14,19 729:18 731:22 753:8,18

today's 553:15 554:23 556:5,9 557:16 562:24 564:11 732:15

tomorrow 556:1 557:23 559:19 564:1 572:9 728:22 753:21

tomorrow's 563:19

top 619:18

topic 563:18 564:6

topical 571:10

Torem 553:5,10,23,25 554:4,11,16, 22 555:20 556:2,10,14,24 557:5,20 558:8,20 559:8,21,25 560:7,24 561:6 562:1,21 564:3,4,10,15 565:5 567:11,22 568:17 570:10 572:24 573:3,8,13,21,22,25 575:2,21 576:3, 9,25 581:15 593:1,7 594:11,19 595:7 596:18 597:2,5,18,21 598:2, 16 599:22 601:3,21 617:6 618:21

620:7,12,22 621:2,19 622:7,13 623:10,20,21,24 624:13,16 625:17 626:5,12,22 628:5,8,13 630:3 631:3, 7 679:22,23 681:1,2,16 682:11 698:4,25 699:8 700:16 701:5,12,20, 24 727:20 729:1,9,14,25 730:3,4,23 731:18,25 732:10,23 753:6

Page 776Index: TCP..Tuesday

totality 622:5

touch 620:24

traditional 579:2,14,20,24 581:4 591:16,19 592:3,8,17 598:10 604:8 617:18,24 618:12 627:7,18,21,23,25 628:19,22 629:14

traditions 618:6

training 577:21

transcript 731:9

transects 594:17 619:14

transmission 578:11 593:20

transparent 625:11

Transportation 570:2 598:23

treated 609:14,17

treaty 578:2

tremendously 731:7

Tri-cities 573:13 753:23

Tri-city 573:17

trial 563:5

tribal 564:22 566:23 578:19,22 582:18,24 583:6 590:5 596:20 600:10,11 602:10 603:13,14,18 611:21,22 618:10 630:25 727:24 753:9

tribally 602:14

tribe 583:20 592:9 603:14 727:23

tribe's 680:3

tribes 577:12 580:13,14,17 583:12 590:7 591:4 596:16 600:6 602:14, 19,22 603:4 610:5,9,11,21 617:24

true 617:22 699:19

trust 593:21

truth 575:25 576:1 626:9,10 701:9, 10 731:22,23

Tuesday 560:15



Page 777Index: turbine..wrote

turbine 594:10

turbines 593:21

turn 557:21 576:11 732:1,6

turning 582:14

two-and-a-half-year 585:10

types 563:15 584:12,13 588:14

typically 609:14,17

U

ultimately 613:24

Umatilla 610:10

underlie 576:18

understand 561:21 593:17 618:3

731:1

understandable 681:16

understanding 555:2 556:20 563:1,7,25 589:20 591:20 595:16 605:22 606:6 610:25 613:16 615:18 616:17 624:6 728:9

understands 564:6 604:10

Understood 609:13

unevaluated 588:9,20

unfunded 628:2

unique 602:19

unknown 562:18

unmute 559:10

unnamed 562:4

update 682:5

updated 554:18 560:15 566:10

571:13 588:23 682:13

updates 555:16 556:5,8

Utilities 570:1 598:23

V

verbal 561:14

version 568:5 571:13 593:16 622:16

623:13 624:7

vicinity 610:18

videoconference 622:5 628:1

view 564:23

viewing 594:13

viewshed 595:18

visib- 619:16

visibility 619:9,17,20

visible 594:24

Voelckers 554:13,14,17 556:15,17 557:9 564:12,16 565:6,18 566:22 567:4,12,17 573:10,11 574:3,13 576:11 577:3,6,10,12 581:13,16 591:15 597:8 601:9 611:20 617:7,9, 10,14 618:19 621:19,23 622:8,11 623:1,15 624:11,12,14,18,21,25 626:22 627:1,13 628:4,16 629:25 630:24 631:4 681:8,10 682:4,17 699:13,14,21 700:7,9 701:8,20,21 728:25 729:2,3 730:9,19,21 731:8 732:1,6,7 753:15

voice 602:19

W

waiting 729:21 753:14

walk 554:23 594:17

walked 594:12

Wallahee 555:11 680:16 681:13

682:2 753:15

wanted 602:12 603:6 621:10

Washington 553:3 586:23 600:3

608:15,24 609:6 614:12

watch 603:15

ways 728:5

website 568:3 622:16,17 623:3,16

Wednesday 557:24 559:11,16,22, 23 560:14 562:19 571:19 572:18

Wednesday's 563:20 572:9

week 553:16 555:7 556:7 557:21 561:23 571:12,14,16,19 728:23

753:20

weeks 730:17

well-defined 609:21 610:1

whatsoever 599:4

wide 594:6,7 605:11

wiggle 594:8

Wildcat 624:3

Wildlife 569:19

Wiley 557:25 558:5,14 560:19,23

561:1 563:3 571:24

Wiley's 558:9 571:18 572:7

Willa 553:22

wind 553:12 568:20 589:3 681:3

699:2 729:17

wit 553:6

witnesses 555:14,21 559:2,5 562:12 568:21 571:9 572:23 620:18,

21 680:15 681:12 682:25 699:3

753:22

wondering 598:25

Woodland 553:2

woods 679:25

word 594:12

wording 572:16

words 731:4

work 562:22 563:18 564:2,5,21,23

565:1 568:1 572:4 578:9,12,18

580:10 585:12 590:16 598:13,19

602:17 603:13 604:7 610:22 611:23

624:1 681:24

worked 577:25 611:3

working 561:21 566:22 581:6

602:10,13 608:20 611:3,8,9,13

works 572:7

world 605:12

worth 585:12

wrapped 584:21

write 591:2,11 600:18

writing 561:13

written 580:22 590:3 591:3 600:18

618:14 700:15

wrong 587:21 699:10 732:18,21

wrote 590:4

Υ

Yakama 554:11,14 565:15 567:21 573:9,11 577:13 578:1,2,4,11,14,21 579:2,14,19,23 580:6,14,17,25 581:3,7,8,10 590:7 591:15 592:13, 14 595:12 600:15 607:16 611:24 612:8,23 616:20 617:7 620:16 627:4,17,20 628:17,18 629:10 630:16,25 699:14 727:23 730:13

year 583:18 629:3,4,15

years 584:16 604:17 629:10

Young 569:24