

Respondent No: 601 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 08:20:43 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 08:20:43 am

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment This is a Seattle Space Needle view at Wind Turbine need your help.	Height from The Horse Heaven Hills into the Tri Cities(500 ft.). We
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/b95140033f3f1fc0b52287e3e760a69430c94fdb/original/1 675268393/0a2e1e15ecb5539594a1f5d1b202cdb9_DronePanar ama_Clodfelter_Moment%283%29.jpg?1675268393
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 602 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 08:28:26 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 08:28:26 am

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Franklin County
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/c4b33f51476ec6eff9583ef191cf851cc3ee79cd/original/16 75268897/d25b569b41e6efa5074e668f15a4610e_Franklin_County _Horse_Heaven_Wind_Turbine_Project.pdf?1675268897
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 603 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 09:32:05 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 09:32:05 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

It appears that these wind turbines are simply a way for the State of Washington to say it's doing something "green", when, in fact, it is the exact opposite. These windmills produce less power than they consume for their lifespan, they kill birds, and they damage our visible environment. There is NO NEED for these in Washington State when we have an abundance of renewable hydroelectric power options and actually EXPORT our power to those areas that don't have enough power. We are wasting taxpayer money, increasing our energy costs for no good reason and continuing to damage our environment and wildlife. Come to your senses and STOP this abomination.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 604 Login: Karen Brun

Email: kmbrun@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 10:09:18 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:04:52 pm

IP Address: 67.158.234.184

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.

Q4. Share any comment

Attached is an OpEd piece by Rick Dunn, Benton PUD General Manager, highlighting the fallacy of continuing on the "wind energy as a viable clean energy alternative" path. It needs to be included in the public record.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/7fb0c580b638ad5a57d2742d6da0a1532f1f23fd/original/1 675274909/447e19621a4ef8ddbd8c1d7d6443fcdd_RD_OpEd_1-31-23.docx?1675274909
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 605 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 10:44:22 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 10:44:22 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I don't feel that this proposed Wind Farm is necessary in the Tri Cities, WA. We have enough power here. It will only line the pockets of the wind farm company and the few farmers who own the land. It will desecrate the view of the residents who live in this area and by those who drive by. I would listen to the local environmentalists rather than those who stand to gain money! From what I have read, the energy would not be used in our area and maybe not even in this state! The project will create road traffic problems by bringing in these monstrous poles and arms. It also creates a problem of where to dispose of them when they are no longer able to function. Get greed for a few out of the picture and allow our local citizens a more serene and soothing view of our beautiful landscape!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 606

Login: Hayleyhoyt

Email: highheelhousewife@gmail.c

om

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 10:51:02 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:48:18 pm

IP Address: 131.150.200.87

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

No on the windmills! We have more than enough nuclear power here in the Tri-Cities area and we do not need to clutter our vans and backyards with hideous windmills. If the Eastern side of the state wants these windmills so bad they can put them over near Seattle! Please stay out of our Tri-Cities area! Use nuclear power. IT IS THE GREENEST POWER AVAILABLE!!!! DO YOU PEOPLE NOT UNDERSTAND THIS?????.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 607 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:08:24 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:08:24 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Yesterday I went for a walk in the Horse Heaven Hills just south of my home. I enjoy the exercise, open areas, seeing the occasional deer, raptor or other surprises nature provides. Driving home on Owens road I noticed 3 wind turbines operating near Jump Off Joe and probably another 20 just sitting idle. I consider all of them "eye" sores. I hear Scout Clean Energy talk about "clean" energy and local jobs. If the goal is "clean" energy we would be building new generation nuclear plants. And jobs? ... if the acreage Scout Clean Energy wants allocated to them were used to put in feed lots or even pot farms, I'm sure more local jobs would be created. I don't have much use for any pot farms, but I do like a hamburger now and again. The Horse Heavens Wind project benefits energy consumers and business interests many hundreds of miles away not the locals. I heard our governor recently remark with pride that the people of Washington are an audacious bunch. Maybe so, if the people of this state allow this wind project to be rammed down the throat of those who live here, well that sounds audacious to me. I've always associated an audacious person as one who's arrogant and self serving. I looked audacious up in the dictionary — "showing an impudent lack of respect".

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 608 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:37:51 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:37:51 am

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Kennewick Public Facilites District
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/871224eebc880546bb384d38df27295bc1395863/original/1675280262/707c74a407c7678826eefd6e395625bc_Horse_Heaven_Opposition_Letter_KPFD.pdf?1675280262
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 609 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:40:28 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:40:28 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

My name is Clark Stolle. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opposition to the proposed project. I am a long-time resident of Kennewick, WA. My professional background is in land use planning and management, where I have worked at city, county, regional and federal (contractor) levels. I am also a past Planning Commissioner for the City of Kennewick. Below are my comments regarding the proposal and the draft EIS. What is our legacy to be in the Tri-Cities? My family has lived in the Kennewick area for over 70 years and we have shared a feeling of pride in the Horse Heaven Hills, believing that they should to be preserved as much as possible, as part of the heritage of our area. They are a unique and beautiful part of our region. Allowing this project to be built at the proposed locations when other options exist has long term consequences. This complex will cause significant and irreparable harm to the environment, wildlife, birds, local economy, visual aesthetics, recreation and the overall quality of life for an urban area of over 300,000 people. Has serious consideration been given to the location, quantity, and size of these monolithic towers next to an urban area? There are two scenarios proposed for this 25 mile long project, running along the Horse Heaven Hills ridgeline from Finley to Benton City: 244 turbines up to 500 feet tall and 150 turbines up to 670 feet tall. Both create significant adverse visual impacts that cannot be mitigated. These towers will be among the tallest and most massive in the world. The blades on each tower are hundreds of feet in diameter and span acres of air space. Make no mistake this is not a visually aesthetic "wind farm" churning away in the middle of nowhere. It is an industrial complex of enormous proportions with many components. By comparison, these towers will be taller than the Space Needle (605 ft); the Statue of Liberty (305 ft); Gateway Arch in St. Louis (630 ft.); and the Washington Monument (555 ft.). They are far taller than any Redwood, Douglas Fir, or Sequoia tree. If this project is approved, our community will be forced to live within eyesight of the towers and no one who lives here, or visits can escape seeing them. What about the economic and environmental downside of wind farms in urban areas? I feel the EIS does not perform a balanced and serious analysis of the impacts that will be so detrimental to our community. It glosses over the tough economic issues and impacts on tourism, quality of life, land values, aesthetics, recreation, and future development. It fails to discuss the backlash windfarms are facing in California and other states. There is no discussion regarding alternative locations in Benton County, eastern Washington or other states. It is focuses solely on the Horse Heaven Hills. My research shows that this project will have no positive effect on climate change or carbon emissions in Washington State and any power generated will likely be sent out state. Why was Benton County quietly targeted for this wind farm? Scout Clean Energy was not transparent or upfront with our community in laying the groundwork for this project. They applied for Site Certification by going to EFSEC and circumventing Benton County jurisdiction. Then they asked for an expedited review to avoid public involvement and preparing an EIS. They have selected a very poor location, far too close to a large and growing urban area and our community should not have to pay the price for this bad decision. This is a massive and environmentally damaging project in our backyard. It can and should be located further from urban areas. The fate of the Horse Heaven Hills is in your hands, and we need your help. Please deny this project.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	/files/original/missing.png
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 610 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:42:04 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:42:04 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Do not bring this into our community! We do not want the environmental impact that comes with wind farms, let alone the eyesore! What happens when one catches fire which they do, and starts a grass fire. The risks and long term effects are not welcome.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 611

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:42:19 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:42:19 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

in James Conca's online article in Forbes titled "Wind Turbines On Washington's Horse Heaven Hills – How Not To Pursue A Green New Deal," he states that wind in this region doesn't produce much energy, only functioning at 30% of capacity. In contrast, our local nuclear reactor, the Columbia Generating Station, is at 98% of capacity. The map included in the article shows that Tornado Alley is a much more efficient location for wind power, functioning at 50% of capacity. Also stated in the article - "Whenever energy from wind comes onto the grid, hydro generation is dropped or curtailed, by spilling water over the dams instead of through the turbines." "This wind farm will have no effect on climate change or carbon emissions, will not replace fossil fuel at all, will mar the beautiful vistas of this area, provide a trivial number of temporary construction jobs, and preferentially kill raptors and migratory birds in the great Pacific Northwest Flyway zone."

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 612 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:42:46 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:42:46 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Ellie Faulk, 12215 pacific hwy sw #26, 98499 I am pleased to submit this letter to share my support for the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center. The climate crisis is the defining challenge of our time, and it is imperative that we take action to mitigate its impacts. The Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center would be a crucial step in that direction. If approved, the project will help Washington meet its goals for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and move closer to becoming a carbon-neutral state by 2045. By investing in these large-scale clean energy projects, we can ensure that we meet the increasing energy demands in Washington with renewable sources. This project will have a combination of wind, solar, and battery storage components that will provide long-term energy viability. In addition to reducing carbon emissions, the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will also create jobs. The project is estimated to create over 900 construction jobs and 56 full-time jobs once operational. These are good-paying jobs that will have a positive economic impact on the communities in the Tri-Cities region. Once the project is completed, it will also generate millions in public revenue that could fund public safety and education. Washington has a responsibility to do its part by setting an aggressive timeline for retiring fossil fuel-powered plants, as well as permitting replacement power resources in a timely manner. The Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will deliver substantial job growth and local economic development while reducing our state's reliance on fossil fuels and set us on a path to a cleaner, healthier future for all. I encourage you to consider these comments. Thank you for your time.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 613 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:43:40 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:43:40 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Wynann Brownell, 1503 Alonda Lane NE, 98516 I am writing to express my support for the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because I believe that it would be a strong and reliable source of renewable power for Washington State. With the passage of the Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA) in 2019, Washington has made a commitment to reach a 100% clean electricity supply. Projects like the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center that boast wind, solar, and battery storage capabilities will be necessary investments to reach those CETA goals. The Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will help Washington set an example for the rest of the nation to follow. Washington residents know that our summers are getting progressively hotter, extreme weather events are becoming more common, and forest fires are creeping into the fall months. Many dismiss this change as 'the new normal'. But this is far from Washington's normal. We must take proactive steps to protect this remarkable place we call home. Washington needs a large-scale clean energy resource to promote a greener future and head off the disastrous effects of climate change. I strongly value the ability to voice my support for these important projects, and I ask you to please consider my comment in making your decision.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 614

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:45:17 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:45:17 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Bill Koole, Woodinville, WA, 98077 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 615 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:47:03 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:47:03 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Christa Roy, 5203 cliff ct se, 98513 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 616 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:47:59 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:47:59 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Lola Schiefelbein, 611 Cottonwood Drive, 99352 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 617

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:50:31 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:50:31 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Jennifer Forrester, 2513 thurston Ave NE Olympia WA, 98506 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 618 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:52:13 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:52:13 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

The Horse Heaven Hills Wind Farm Project should be cancelled and further development of wind power in the Pacific Northwest (PNW) should be stopped. I am personally affected by the proposed Horse Heaven Hills Wind Farm Project because I live south of HWY Interstate 82 east of Badger Canyon and south of the Southridge area. Wind power here in the PNW does not displace coal-fired energy and only seeks to damage the fundamental justification for sustaining our safe and efficient hydroelectric and nuclear generation. The wind here is not appropriate for wind power. All wind power development should be stopped in favor of natural gas and nuclear power because those systems actually have a chance of meeting the 2045 CETA goal. Wind power has no chance of meeting the goal.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 619 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:52:48 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:52:48 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Lei Lani Parker, S. 308 Star rd La Crosse, WA, 99143 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 620 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:53:30 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:53:30 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Elizabeth Houston-Johnston, 6414 S Island Dr E, 98381 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 621 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:54:08 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:54:08 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I think it is very easy for out of state companies and even those on the west side of the state to underestimate the fire danger in the Horse Heaven Hills, which for a few months out of the year is Extreme. The HHH wind farm is not a good idea for several reasons, one of which is the significant fire danger in the HHH, which would only increase with the construction and operation of the wind farm. I have seen multiple fires on the dry hills. They are fast moving, cover large areas, and are difficult to contain. We should not put our farm land and land in close proximity to Tri-Cities in greater fire danger. See last paragraph of 4-62 of DEIA "The City of Kennewick has a goal of reducing water demand per capita..." See first paragraph under "Fire" page 4-104 of DEIA "Project construction could increase the risk of fire, particularly during hot, dry conditions. Wildfires have become more commonly human-caused than natural...Benton County has a high potential for wildfire." Thank you for your time and consideration.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 622 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 11:54:39 am **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 11:54:39 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Mike Stamnes, 2442 NW Market St #299, 98107 I support the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center because it is a step towards the carbon-free energy future we need to combat climate change while meeting the increasing energy needs of Washingtonians. Our state can and should be a national leader on clean energy development! We passed the Clean Energy Transformation Act with the goal of removing ourselves from fossil-fuel reliance. A big part of meeting that state goal is getting new, renewable energy resources online as in time to avoid energy shortages. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center will add up to 1,100 MW of renewable energy to our grid along with battery storage to help address intermittent conditions. Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is not the first solar or wind project that the state has greenlit, nor should it be the last. But, today, it is a critical step in the right direction for Washington to responsibly transition our remaining fossil fuel dependent energy sources to renewable energy, curbing emissions and meeting the needs of our communities. I strongly urge EFSEC to support this project and move it forward.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 623 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:00:58 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 12:00:58 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Port of Pasco
Q4. Share any comment Please see attached document	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/ee15ecbdd47a30f9d262b9250e66dd3824103d86/original/1675281648/fda4cdd0969b4c799efe48deb007ecf7_EFSEC-Scout-Port_of_Pasco_2023-0131.pdf?1675281648
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 624

Login: Resident

Email: jpmillbauer@charter.net

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:07:55 pm

Feb 02, 2023 02:55:31 am Last Seen:

IP Address: 68.185.39.75

Q1. First and last name	not answered

Q2. Email address not answered

Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization? No

Q4. Share any comment

I am in favor of this project for the local jobs it will create and the economic boost for our local economy.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 625 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:10:15 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 12:10:15 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/057a6261a87825ffdcb7b15de3bd46df808014ed/original/1 675282192/c8461cd10a0aaea9e553cc86842e415c_KL_Comments _on_HHH_Draft_EIS_Jan_2023_Cmnt_RVwFrm.docx? 1675282192
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 626 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:19:44 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 12:19:44 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am vehemiately AGAINST this wind farm project. Wind turbines will ultimately NOT end up being GREEN! Nuclear power coupled with reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel will ultimately become our energy source for the future. Perfect that energy source and the nuclear fuel cycle NOW...

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 627 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:27:58 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 12:27:58 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Please see my attachments. Thank you	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/3fcab091baa3401a26648ecdbfb72b508fd99b71/original/1 675280759/40d5fe9e6921174df4d5b61b248a9ae4_The_Horse_He avens_Wind_Turbine_and_Solar_Project.docx?1675280759
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/251892537aef304982f0e5f57547cfdbe798ef02/original/16 75283222/3cc047ab95be550c7340b794996608d2_Horse_Heaven s_Hills_Wind_and_Solar_Project_areajpg?1675283222
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 628 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 12:32:20 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 12:32:20 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

We are against this project! Too many animals die using these massive monstrosities! Do not ruin our natural landscapes with these expensive giants! These are not environmentally friendly. Do not put these up!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 629

Login: Kit

Email: kitk5150@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:09:32 pm

Feb 01, 2023 20:07:00 pm

IP Address: 71.93.12.96

Last Seen:

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Hello, I am not in favor of this wind turbine project. There is not supporting evidence that this region requires the energy generated from the proposed wind farm in the Horse Heaven Hills. Sites should be considered in other areas of the NW to determine if there is need for the energy generation for that region, and if the average winds would support the investment when electricity is needed most. Eastern Washington has many winter days of high-pressure inversions resulting in cold and windless days. Wind farms in Washington are more productive in the summer, but that coincides with times that preferred hydropower is at maximum levels which supports no need for the wind power. Recent public surveys from Tri-Cities Chamber of Commerce and Benton County Parks show a majority of Tri-Citians highly value our regions scenic vistas ridgelines, hillsides, canyons and desert views. This proposed wind farm will destroy the designated areas open space vistas which I think of as Landscape Pollution. As degradation of our open spaces continues, protecting our beautiful open space areas should be given serious consideration. Protecting Area Wildlife - I read that the Washington state Department of Fish and Wildlife raised concerns about ferruginous hawks and other wildlife in comments that the agency submitted earlier to EFSEC. Many of the turbines, transmission lines and solar arrays are close to or stretch across draws and canyons with shrub steppe and grassland habitats. (20 special species and the Pronghorn antelope are listed in the DEIS as being impacted by the wind project; this includes the state Endangered Ferruginous Hawk). Since there is no evidence to support the need for the energy in this region which is generated from the proposed wind farm, this project should not be approved in order to protect the Area Wildlife. Thank you. Kit

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 630 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:25:14 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 13:25:14 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Please do not approve the Horse Heaven Wind Farms Project. I do not believe it will provide a meaningful and long term solution to clean energy. I personally enjoy the views without the windmills.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 631 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:42:02 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 13:42:02 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I think this is a great idea and we should embrace wind	farms today and for the future even at Horse Haven.
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 632 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:43:29 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 13:43:29 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am strongly opposed to the HHH W&S Project. The net benefit is less than zero--ruined skyline, negative effect on wildlife and environment, limited life span, non-recyclable material composition sourced through methods harmful to people and the environment, inconsistent generation capability, no storage, and not financially viable without publicly funded subsidies. Jim Bennett, Kennewick

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 633 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:48:03 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 13:48:03 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) taxpayer in Benton County WA
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/a93730c09b3933ccc182fe917789ab6298e65128/original/1675288043/715915285dabbd45f58097480704a385_Good_afternoonMy_Name_is_Kenneth_Spencer_Jr_I_reside_at_106_Oakmont_Ct_in_Richland_Benton_County_WA.docx?1675288043
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 634 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 13:56:22 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 13:56:22 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I would like to record my opposition to the proposed Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center just south of the Tri-Cities as currently presented by Scout Clean Energy. I acknowledge there are some pros - it will produce up to 1,150 megawatts of power (depending on the weather), it will provide good-paying construction jobs for a while, and farmers leasing their land to the project will benefit financially. However for the community, it will permanently blemish the rustic and unspoiled beauty of the hills and ridges south of the Tri-Cities. The scope of the project is massive encompassing over 70,000 acres stretching from Finley to Benton City with wind turbines visible from Kennewick, Pasco, and Richland as well as Finley and Benton City. I also question how "clean" the project really is when you consider the carbon footprint created by the manufacture, transportation, installation, maintenance, and eventual removal/replacement of the wind turbines, solar panels, and batteries. The Tri-Cities Chamber of Commerce conducted a survey with 78% of respondents stating the Horse Heaven Hills wind farm was not worth the personal, environmental, and potential negative economic impacts it would have on the Tri-Cities. If you want a source of clean energy that the Tri-Cities could get behind, we should be promoting the development and deployment of the Advanced Small Nuclear Reactors. Richard W. Richter 146 Henley Drive Pasco, WA 99301

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
O7 Pid year also share a video	
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 635 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:04:00 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:04:00 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) City of Richland
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/8fe898907729440913fba384ae46308a7418487c/original/1675289034/a7c19911f52bb89c04b588b83945c681_02.01_Horse_Heaven_Wind_Project_Oppostion.pdf?1675289034
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 636 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:10:49 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:10:49 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Kennewick Urban Growth Area SAVE OUR RIDGES PLEASE1	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/f30b485a482477047ee56ed9a1116f5171230c4a/original/1675289440/3aac3db5c0642023dea12502c4b8e9fb_Super_Duper_not_PDF_%281%29_%282%29.JPG?1675289440
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 637 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Q6. Upload a picture (optional)

Q7. Did you also share a video?

Q8. What is the title of your video?

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:13:25 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:13:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I support Washington State taking the necessary steps to meet it's energy goals. We must become green energy efficient to save our state and planet.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered

not answered

not answered

No



Respondent No: 638 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:19:25 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:19:25 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Tri-City Regional Chamber of Commerce
Q4. Share any comment Please see attached comment letter.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/40be3c02aa0566dc442b888481caecb2baf82d85/original/1675289959/387b7b1f9afbe0b2219ea25229dc4294_2023-02-01_TCRCC _EFSEC_SEPA_Comment_Letter_for_Horse_Heaven_Wind_Project.pdf?1675289959
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 639 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:22:05 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:22:05 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Please see uploaded document, HHH_DEIS_comment	ntsBerkowitz_2023_02_01
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/e46072bd670f0a6db1ece62cd523022c42253c36/original/1675290010/aa8f603876b7869450a6141ef3cc3c6a_HHH_DEIS_commentsBerkowitz_2023_02_01.pdf?1675290010
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 640 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:23:00 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:23:00 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Alternative energy is essential to reduce global climate change, which causes more violent weather, bigger floods, more drought, more forest fires, higher sea level, more acidic oceans, and the direct and indirect deaths of millions of people. The draft environmental impact statement seems to correctly address the Horse Heaven wind and solar project thoroughly. Three common complaints about windfarms are noise, birds, and view. I do not understand the noise issue because I have led many field trips to the Stateline wind farm on windy days; my guests seemed to enjoy the gentle sound as the propeller blades make electricity. Some birds and bats get hit by the propeller blades, but fossil fuel use, ecosystem modification, and cats have by orders of magnitude killed more birds. Wind turbines on the Horse Heaven Hills do not block views of the Cascades, the Blue Mountains, or any rivers or canyons; indeed, their presence indicates the engineering and architecture necessary to reduce global climate change. The economic advantages of windfarms to rural landowners in eastern Washington are huge. Wheat is grown right under wind turbines; cattle graze in the shade of wind turbines; farmers and ranchers benefit from payments by wind power companies. The proposed Horse Heaven Hills wind farm would provide hundreds of millions of dollars in economic output and taxes, plus hundreds of jobs. The project is particularly attractive because it includes solar facilities and batteries. Dust from construction is minimal compared with farming. No fish-bearing streams are directly affected. Native Americans did not live atop the Horse Heaven Hills. I believe that habitat alteration will be minimal, especially compared with fossil fuel pipeline construction. Hopefully the project would reduce the use of motorized off-road vehicles which are noisier than wind turbines, cause erosion and dust, and consume fossil fuels. The proposed Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center should be approved. Resources like the wind and sun need to be economically and environmentally developed everywhere possible; the windy, sunny Horse Heaven Hills are ideal. Robert J. Carson, 705 Boyer, Walla Walla, Washington 99362 Whitman College Professor of Geology and Environmental Studies **Emeritus**

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: gedgemon

Email: gedgemon71@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:39:37 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 22:35:08 pm

IP Address: 163.116.133.113

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I'm opposed to this project as it will be an aesthetic blight on a beautiful landscape. I'm also concerned that turbine bearing failures could set of range fires in the area.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:42:23 pm

Last Seen: Feb 01, 2023 19:05:19 pm

IP Address: 205.210.22.4

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally

Q4. Share any comment

Decide Locally is Against the Proposed Wind Turbine Farm A PETITION TO GOVERNOR INSLEE AND THE STATE ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL WE, CITIZENS OF WASHINGTON, HEREBY GIVE OUR SIGNATURE TO PETITION AGAINST THE HORSE HEAVEN HILLS WIND FARM PROJECT STRETCHING 24 MILES OF THE TRI-CITIES RIDGE LINE FROM SOUTH OF TRI-CITIES IN FINLEY, ABOVE SOUTH KENNEWICK, ABOVE BADGER CANYON EXTENDING TO KIONA/BENTON CITY. THE WIND PROJECT DEVELOPER PLANS TO BUILD AND ERECT 244 WIND MACHINES TALLER THAN THE SPACE NEEDLE ALONG THE ENTIRE SKYLINE OF TRI-CITIES AND BADGER CANYON. THERE HAS BEEN NO PUBLIC HEARING LOCALLY SO OUR VOICE HAS NOT BEEN HEARD. THIS POWER IS NOT NEEDED LOCALLY AND WOULD LIKELY BE SOLD OUT OF STATE. THIS PROJECT SITE CONSTRAINS THE BENTON COUNTY GROWTH PLAN. THE WASHINGTON STATE FISH AND WILDLIFE EXPRESSED CONCERNS ABOUT INCREASED MORTALITY OF ENDANGERED AVIAN SPECIES, SUCH AS, FERRUGINOUS HAWKS AND MIGRATORY BIRDS SUCH AS SANDHILL CRANES. THE FRAGILE SHRUB STEPPE ECOSYSTEM WILL BE FURTHER DEGRADED AS WILL NATIVE PLANTS, SOME OF WHICH ARE PROTECTED. THE TRI-CITIES SKYLINE WILL BE FOREVER CHANGED. THE CONSTANT LOW FREQUENCY NOISE, BLADE FLICKER REFLECTIONS, AND 244 FLASHING RED LIGHTS ON THE MACHINES AT NIGHT POSE HEALTH RISK TO HUMANS INCLUDING HEADACHES, LOSS OF SLEEP, AND VERTIGO FROM THE CONSTANT NOISE THAT CAN CARRY OVER LONG DISTANCES. THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT TURBULENCE FROM LARGE WIND PROJECTS ACCELERATE MOISTURE LOSS FROM THE SOIL AND WILL INCREASE LOCAL TEMPERATURES. WE HAVE GRAVE CONCERNS ABOUT IMPACTS TO OUR LOCAL WINE INDUSTRY, FRUIT ORCHARDS, AND DIVERSIFIED AGRICULTURE. PROPERTY VALUES WILL BE DECREASED. I AM AGAINST CONSTRUCTION OF THE WIND FARM ON HORSE HEAVEN HILLS RIDGE OF TRI-CITIES AND BADGER CANYON. The attached pdf are signature pages of residents and people visiting as tourists, sports events, shopping, dinner and wine tasting events. Many commented they come to Tri-cities because they don't want to drive down the gorge or to Walla Walla to have a weekend away. We collected 2668 signatures, a copy is for EFSEC and the original will be given to the governor. Because scanning lost some quality in the signature, I will mail your copy today Febr. 1, 2023 Sincerely, Margaret Hue, Spokesperson

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/f79dd1eb79b4ce5dde220dbaf11b1f5c769bfe44/original/1 675290323/95f6183f2417c70c765371646645307d_Decide_Locally _Petition_Against_Horse_Heaven_Hill_Wind_Farm_2668_signatur es.pdf?1675290323
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 643 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:51:12 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:51:12 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

This project is totally unnecessary. There are sufficient alternative, existing power-providing resources (nearby dams, nuclear generating station) surrounding the Tri-Cities that provide adequate power support for the population in that area and in the surrounding areas. Destroying the landscape and the natural aesthetics of the Horse Heaven Hills and creating a dangerous environment for wildlife and the public are absolutely unacceptable.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 14:59:56 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 14:59:56 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) City of West Richland
Q4. Share any comment See attached file.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/69a397620e3bcdecde90152bb152b1f17edcb103/original/1675292385/b07a1c069fa25e44a2062308c45927f5_City_of_West_Richland_Draft_EIS_Comments_HHH_Wind_and_Solar_Project.pd f?1675292385
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 645 Login: Karen Brun

Email: kmbrun@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 15:03:48 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:04:52 pm

IP Address: 67.158.234.184

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Attached is a document containing my comments to the Horse Heaven Wind Project. I am totally opposed to this project.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0e3a25a31046fba6279e50619bb2371803b926ac/original/1675292615/f5edda82ca5a0d6c96fb073963306bb4_KBrun_DEIS_Comments_1-31-23.pdf?1675292615
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 646
Login: Karen Brun

Email: kmbrun@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 15:14:46 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:04:52 pm

IP Address: 67.158.234.184

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Issue: The DEIS disregards a major percentage of the Benton and Franklin counties demographics. A total of 40% of the population in Benton and Franklin counties are Hispanic. While the letter notifying residents of the DEIS release and where to find a copy, none of the actual DEIS documents are in Spanish. This is a significant disservice to a large portion of the population whose primary language is Spanish. Many do not understand or speak English at all. All DEIS documents should be translated into Spanish and reissued electronically, and hard copy provided to ALL libraries in impacted cities including Benton City.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 15:24:12 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 15:24:12 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I do not live near the proposed siting of the Horse Heaven project, but I strongly support our transition to renewable energy. I also note that the project comes from a Colorado based company, raising an issue of local control. Still we need to expand the already numerous solar and wind installations in ways that can support this crucial transition to renewables.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 648 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 15:49:16 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 15:49:16 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

This area doesn't need anymore power. Windmills are not efficient and an eye sore, destroying the views, landscaping and environment. Doesn't make sense to rap the environment and nature to save it? The cons outweigh the benefits. Our kids need a hero. Someone needs to step up and do the right thing. No more Windmills in Kennewick views.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	/files/original/missing.png
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/136aa58a9f9e149ceaca1d3fa7e1b8d70349c8d3/original/1675295235/c9b868ce0cb7b0c4bb7f2b462e170b73_56F6B5BE-FC8E-4876-8B5C-E8E337FB22FE.jpeg?1675295235
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 649 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 15:55:15 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 15:55:15 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

PROJECT ELECTRICAL GENERATING CAPACITY - Statements in 1.2, 1.3 and 2.1 about the electrical generating capability of this project are highly misleading, and need to be clarified. This is particularly the case in 1.3 which states the project's purpose "is to provide 1,150 MW of renewable energy using wind energy and solar energy." In reality, the project's average electric energy production through a year could never come close to its nameplate capacity of 1,150 MW. Rather, it would be closer to an average of 250 to 300 MW because the capacity factors of both wind and solar energy generation are very low, close to 25% based on experience elsewhere. (Capacity factors take into account generation being off line or reduced due to routine maintenance outages, lack of wind, no solar production at night, cloudy skies, etc. As a point of comparison, the nearby nuclear Columbia Generating station, with a similar nameplate capacity, has a 90% capacity factor.) Statements in the referenced sections need to clearly state what the actual energy production from the project will be, so that reviewers who are weighing the adverse impacts of the project against its benefits aren't misled into thinking the electrical generation benefits are far higher than they will actually be. BIRD AND BAT MORTALITY – The analysis provided in 4.6.2.2 never provides an estimate of the total number of bird and bat fatalities for a year of wind turbine operations. Rather, it states only numbers expected per MW per year based on other projects' experience. And, it's not clear if those numbers are per nameplate MW or per actual MW produced. Regardless, an estimate of total fatalities per year needs to be provided (and I'm sure it's in the thousands).

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 650 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:01:10 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:01:10 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

The "significant unavoidable adverse impacts" noted in the draft EIS are of significant concern. Also as noted in the draft EIS, the wind turbines would dominate views from many locations throughout our community. This project would be a blight on our landscape. studies have shown adverse impacts to tourism, which is a significant economic engine in our area. I feel it robs Tri-Cities of a quality of life aspect of our region (beautiful vistas) and as a homeowner located int the Horse Heaven Hills I am concerned about diminished resale values as well as shadow flicker and impacts to area wildlife (as noted in the study).

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 651 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:04:10 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:04:10 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Pasco Chamber of Commerce
Q4. Share any comment Please see attached letter	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0b05f658b5e6725e18dd3e809e79dd750826a37e/original/1675295578/ea7f8d873600a1ee5b754e7a5a2b1d25_Horse_Heavens_Hills_Wind_Project_letter_2-1-23.pdf?1675295578
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/29adfe61e594ec5162e0218b5dd6de55d1c98ea4/original/1675296206/29965edabdff652dcce2c3e9e6386090_9_Canyon_Wind_Farm_with_Bald_Eagle_from_East_Pasco_filmed_2.2.23_3.57 pm.MOV?1675296206
Q7. Did you also share a video?	Yes
Q8. What is the title of your video?	9 Canyon Wind Farm with Bald Eagle from East Pasco filmed 2.2.23 3.57pm



Respondent No: 652 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:09:31 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:09:31 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Another very important point! Today even the environmentalist are calling for a moratorium on east cost wind farms because the noise is interfering with the wales and killing wildlife well being! No substantial studies were done on humans and whales before these were forced on the people and built costs are enormous, 20 million per unit! This folly is our tax payer money! All indications suggests harm is being done to everyone and every creature living in the areas of these turbines! We are not Guinea pigs or lab animals. Maybe they should be called death wind turbines 9 wales dead now and countless wildlife. Do not put these in residential areas of badger canyon! --badger canyon resident Becky Hughes

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 653 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:09:48 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:09:48 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

In the DEIS for the Horse Heaven Wind and Solar Project, Scout Clean Energy fails to identify the true effects on migratory birds and relegates the effect as similar to the adjacent wind projects with no basis as to why that should be the case. The scale and breadth of this project presents a major hazard to migratory birds as they follow the Columbia River corridor, especially for populations that fly at night, and insufficient analysis is provided as to why expanding the breadth of effected flight path in the east west direction (across the basin) will not result in a significant increase in bird mortality. It also fails to mention the effects on raptor populations which are more effected than songbirds since they reproduce at a low rate. They also fail to mention the effects of taller structures and longer blades in to reaching the migratory pathways. Audubon Society, effect of wind power on birds:https://www.audubon.org/news/wind-power-and-birds Resources documenting effects on raptor

https://tethys.pnnl.gov/receptor/raptors#:~:text=Raptors%20are%20at%20high%20risk,elevation%20as%20wind%20turbine %20blades. Scout Clean Energy must be required to study migratory bird populations in more detail and ensure that expanding the wind farms territory further across the Columbia Basin and to lower lying areas than are currently occupied will not result in a marked increase in migratory bird and raptor mortality.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:10:54 pm Last Seen: Feb 01, 2023 16:10:54 pm

IP Address:

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

HHH Public Hearing Testimony Feb 1, 2023 Hello Everyone. My name is Paul Krupin. I am a retired environmental protection specialist with a BA, MS, a well-used law degree, and over 40 years of work experience in the Pacific Northwest. I live in Kennewick Washington. On Tuesday January 31, 2023, President Biden said that climate change is a bigger threat to humanity than nuclear war. Governor Inslee is on record that he is committed to achieving bold, "science-based limits" on are causing greenhouse gas emissions that our climate change". Reference: https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/ClimateBrief-Dec2020.pdf The key question is whether the Horse Heaven Hills Project, and other projects like it, will be able to do anything at all to protect us from climate change. What does the best science really tell us? How are we going to identify good projects which can truly satisfy the needs identified from bad ones which cannot? I respectfully offer the following Recommended Action: EFSEC, with concurrence of the Governor and in cooperation with the tribes and local counties and cities, should convene a blue-ribbon commission (or a panel or committee) of exceptional people who are appointed to independently investigate, study and analyze the difficult and complex problems being caused by climate change, global warming and energy generation in Washington and the underlying purposes and premises for projects like the Horse Heaven Hills Project. The members of this blue-ribbon commission should be selected using a best and brightest approach to assure independence from political influence or authority. The commission should be charged with utilizing their expertise and experience to consider and evaluate the "science-based limits" and then issue scientific, programmatic and project-specific findings and recommendations which can then be used by decision-makers to take action on energy facility projects and proposals such as the Horse Heaven Hills Wind and Solar Project. The blue-ribbon commission should be charged with identifying, describing and evaluating the validity of the Purpose, Need, and Underlying Premises in energy projects to assure they can help achieve climate change goals and objectives. Their review should also evaluate the project proposals and the alternatives proposed by the Horse Heaven Hills Project, to assure they that can meet the goals and objectives of the policies and requirements of the State of Washington. The blue-ribbon commission should make specific recommendations regarding the need for the projects, the validity of the underlying purpose and need, the project components and their associated geographic locations, and an evaluation of whether key component can potentially be eliminated or relocated to reduce the significant impacts to people and the environment. No formal action should be taken on the Horse Heaven Hills Project until the findings and recommendations regarding the validity of the underlying purpose and need for the project, are provided by the blue-ribbon commission. This commission should prepare a draft report, give public presentations, take public comments, and then finalize its findings and conclusions and present recommendations for consideration by the Administration, the Legislature, as well as interested federal and state, tribal, and local government agencies, Tribal governments, other stakeholders, and the public.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/236ea7d1ae3b28aec36785c15ed43b04dacc8fff/original/1675296648/ef94ecccddc34d09a4f9653a0bffa62c_HHH_Hearing_Testimony_Paul_Krupin.pdf?1675296648
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered

Q7. Did you also share a video?

No



Respondent No: 655 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:21:25 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:21:25 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I support Horse Haven Clean Energy Center permitting.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 656 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:26:36 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:26:36 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Renewable Northwest
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/2eb0273ed18e4ba26570063d170d4f4011eebdd6/original/1675297567/a9232190d07b5523c9d04b70af0dcd4c_2023-02-01_RNW_Horse_Heaven_DEIS_comments.pdf?1675297567
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:33:08 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:05:19 pm

IP Address: 205.210.22.4

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally

Q4. Share any comment

Decide Locally is Against the Wind Farm installation proposed on HHH. The DEIS comments are attached and convinces us more that this is the wrong location for a Wind Farm and the project needs to be withdrawn and the energy facility needs to find a new county. The DEIS was flawed, difficult to read and poorly marked. Pages printed off on charts deleted portions off after getting it printed. The applicant does not want to commit themselves with over 5000 "would" and 175 "will" seems like a lot of information is missing and inadequate. The DEIS left no buffers for wildlife corridor, shrub-steppe, distance for adverse health issue and no regard to the vast expensive diversified agriculture that will be severely impacted . We are against this project in Benton County Margaret Hue Decide Locally

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/eaf2f028965f85b67955c4684b3f89e82818a723/original/1 675296086/cae435be46df1e0d618af96e27bd9ebc_Decide_Locally _DEIS_ReviewComments_Against_the_HHH_Wind_Farm_Projectfor_27_miles_from_Finley_to_Kiona.pdf?1675296086
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 658 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:37:45 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:37:45 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

We are very concerned about this proposed wind farm! We cannot see any value to our community in this proposal and in fact see only negative benefits for our entire community and specifically the many homeowners nearby! This would have a very negative impact on the value of homes nearby and create absolutely nothing positive for our community. Wind farms that serve to provide what little electricity can be produced for areas outside our community should not be placed here! We have plenty of hydro power and want this project to be stopped immediately.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 659 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:47:39 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:47:39 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I am opposed to the wind turbine project at Horse Heav	ven Hills.
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 660 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:47:41 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:47:41 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

1. The Wind Turbine - Solar Panel - Storrage Battery system is an excellent approach for adding to electricity supply especially surge capacity 2. Wind Turbine blades can & Discussional and other countries 3. Agrivoltaics projects should be offered and supporting funding for demonstrations and/or project sought from USDOE National Renewable Energy Laboratory 4. Revenue shared with participating farmers will be very beneficial in managing revenue instability from impacts of market pricing and weather related crop damage. 5. Discuss with FAA their rule for not painting half a wind turbine blade black to minimize bird loss. Kathryn M Tominey, retired research scientist and former farm girl.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:49:10 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:49:10 pm

IP Address: n/a

 Q1. First and last name
 not answered

 Q2. Email address
 not answered

 Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?
 No

Q4. Share any comment

(Text of comments in uploaded pdf) Comments on Draft EIS for the Horse Heaven Wind, Solar and Battery Storage Project Laurie Ness Patrick Paulson The Draft EIS fails to meet the requirements of WAC 197-11-440, which requires the DEIS to • Include "[r]easonable alternatives shall include actions that could feasibly attain or approximate a proposal's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental degradation." (WAC 197-11-440.5.b) • "Describe the principal features of the environment that would be affected, or created, by the alternatives including the proposal under consideration." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.i) • "Describe and discuss significant impacts that will narrow the range or degree of beneficial uses of the environment..." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.ii), and • "Clearly indicate those mitigation measures ... that could be implemented or might be required..." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.iii) In our comments below we discuss environmental features, impacts, and mitigations the DEIS fails to address. Please note that we agree and support all comments submitted on 1/31/2023 by Trina Bayard, Director of Conservation, Washington Audubon for the Horse Heaven Hills DEIS. We also agree with the comments submitted by Debbie Berkowitz. 1. Failure to provide reasonable alternatives as required by the EIS framework WAC 197-11-440.5.b requires that alternatives presented in the DEIS "shall include actions that could feasibly attain or approximate a proposal's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental degradation" [our emphasis]. The DEIS states (p. 4-95): ...It is predicted that Turbine Option 1 would result in a higher risk of collisions for small birds and raptors than Option 2 (GAL 2022; Appendix 4.6-1). Waterfowl may be more susceptible to collisions with the taller turbines in Option 2; however, raptors are reported to have higher exposure indices for shorter turbines than taller turbines and therefore are considered to be more susceptible to collisions with turbines under Option 1.... And (p. 4-173): The exposure index for ferruginous hawks is approximately 1.3 times greater for Turbine Option 1 (GE 3.03-MW) than for the other three turbine technologies ... In addition, Option 1 also requires a larger number of turbines, and therefore, it is expected that this option would result in a greater collision risk for ferruginous hawks ... Options 1 and 2 are also stated to have different potential impacts on Golden Eagles (p. 4-174), Great Blue Heron (p. 4-175), and other special status bird species. Since Options 1 and 2 have different environmental costs, they should be presented as Alternatives as required by WAC 197-11-440.5.b. 2. Failure to "describe the principal features of the environment that would be affected ... by the alternatives including the proposal under consideration." In this section we discuss environmental features not identified by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.i. WAC 197-11-444.1.d identifies "Plants and animals" as a feature of the environment to be considered by an EIS. This feature includes: (i) Habitat for and numbers or diversity of species of plants, fish, or other wildlife; (ii) Unique species; and (iii) Fish or wildlife migration routes. 2.1 Vegetation and failure to consider PHS Priority Features The VAA includes the Lease Boundary and a 2-mile buffer surrounding the boundary. The Lease Boundary includes the Wind Energy Micrositing Corridor (except portions of the corridor crossing an interstate highway) and the Solar Siting Areas. The DEIS fails to identify some PHS areas within the VAA such as the cliffs on Chandler Butte and many cliffs throughout the east west ridgeline of the project. Figure 1. Cliffs in VAA. In the discussion of priority habitats (Section 3.5.2.4) the DEIS notes that PHS may be a "particular habitat feature (e.g., cliffs, talus slopes)". The DEIS statement that "Shrub-steppe and Eastside Steppe Priority Habitats are presently limited in the ... surrounding VAA" is without basis since no field surveys were completed in the VAA outside of the Lease Boundary (Sect. 3.5.2.2). Due to lack of field studies, PHS features such as cliffsand talus slopes within the VAA were not identified. For example, the topographical map on p. 3-55 of the DEIS (see Figure 1) clearly shows cliffs (defined as a cliff "Greater than 7.6 meters (25 feet) high and occurring below 1524 meters (5000 feet)", PHS List p. 287) within the VAA. The topo lines show 10 foot changes in elevation, 3 topo lines would indicate a cliff. Error! Reference source not found. indicates cliffs just west of the radio facilities on Chandler Butte, within the VAA. Our personal observations show the presence of the

Priority feature of Talus Slopes (PHS List p. 289) at the base of basalt cliffs within the VAA. Talus PHS features may contain PHS species and provide roosting habitat for bats. Examples of the talus slopes with their acreage are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. These are two examples of the many talus slope within the VAA. Figure 2. Talus slope in VAA adjacent to Lease Boundary. Figure 3. 2nd example of talus slope adjacent to Lease Boundary. Other examples of PHS within the VAA not identified by the DEIS include: • Freshwater Emergent Wetland and Riverine habitats along Yakima River near Benton City. • Freshwater Emergent Wetland, Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland, and Riverine habitats along Columbia River on eastern edge of the VAA. 2.2 Wildlife and Habitat The DEIS (p. 3-39) states "Field surveys in the Wind Energy Micrositing Corridor and Solar Siting Areas mapped two intermittent streams and 31 ephemeral stream channels, all of which are considered waters of the state." The DEIS fails, however, to include these waters of the state as wildlife habitat. The Benton County Code states that "Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas ... include ... Waters of the state, including lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the State of Washington" (BCC 15.08.070.a.22.vii). 3. Failure to "Describe and discuss significant impacts that will narrow the range or degree of beneficial uses of the environment or pose long term risks to ... the environment, such as storage, handling, or disposal of toxic or hazardous material" In this section we discuss impacts environmental features not analyzed by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.ii. 3.1 Vegetation The DEIS states that Agricultural land, Shrub/scrub, and grassland within the VAA may be affected by the proposal. Shrub/scrub habitat includes Dwarf shrub-steppe, Rabbitbrush shrubland, Sagebrush shrub-steppe, and Unclassified shrubland. The grasslands include Eastside grassland, non-native grassland, planted grassland, and unclassified grassland. In addition, the VAA may contain areas of Deciduous Forest, Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands, Evergreen Forest, Open Water, and Woody Wetland (pp. 3-68 to 3-69). In addition, the DEIS notes the potential of Woven Spore Lichen occurrences within the VAA. No analysis is given in the DEIS for impacts to the following environmental features identified in Section 3 of the DEIS: Deciduous Forest, Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands, Evergreen Forest, Open Water, and Woody Wetland. The analysis does not include PHS and other features that exist in the VAA outside of the Lease Boundary (such as the cliffs and talus slopes on Chandler Butte). 3.2 Wildlife and Habitat 3.2.1 Wildlife Habitat The impacts to the wildlife habitat consisting of the ephemeral streams and intermittent identified on p. 3-39 of the DEIS are not analyzed. Table 3.4-1 of the DEIS identifies 40 different 'interactions' between this habitat and the Wind Power Micrositing Corridors and Solar Siting Areas. Levick, et. al (2008) is a one source of the habitat function and values of this habitat that the proposed action may impact. The DEIS should include analyses of these impacts. In addition to the habitat described as vegetation (DEIS Section 3.5), the DEIS identifies additional wildlife habitat in section 3.6.2.1. The additional habitat includes a wetland. As discussed above, wildlife habitat outside the Lease Boundary was not physically surveyed and so habitat features within the VAA have not been identified or analyzed for impacts as required SEPA. These habitats include Freshwater Emergent Wetland, Riverine, and Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland. 3.2.2 Birds 3.2.2.1 Impacts from Wind Turbine Operation The DEIS states (p. 4-156) that "In a synthesis of literature, Arnett et al. (2007) reported that bird mortalities are typically evenly distributed between nocturnally migrating passerines and resident birds." However, the cited literature instead states (p. 18) Based on data from the 14 studies, it appears that approximately half the reported fatalities at new generation wind power facilities are nocturnally migrating birds, primarily passerines, and the other half are resident birds in the area. This is different from being "evenly distributed", since nocturnally migrating birds are only present during migration. This implies that the impact of turbines is significantly higher for migrating birds than it is for resident species. The DEIS references the same source to state "Mortalities occur year-round, peaking from April to October," but neglects to note the source states on the same page that For example, peak passerine ... during fall migration at Stateline in Washington and Oregon [citing (Erickson et al. 2004)]. Given the proximity of Stateline Wind Farm to the project site, this indicates potential high impacts to birds during fall migration. Also on the same page this source states "There is some concern that nocturnal migrating passerines may be compressed near the surface when cloud ceilings are low or when flying over high mountain ridges, increasing the risk of collisions with turbines." This indicates the need for additional monitoring of nocturnal migration and possibly curtailment during periods of high migration. 3.2.2.2 Impacts of Solar Array Operation The DEIS states (p. 4-158) [our emphasis]: Kosciuch et al. (2020) reported taxonomic variability in the bird fatalities observed at different solar sites; however, mourning doves (Zenaida macroura), horned larks, and western meadowlarks (Sturnella neglecta) were reported at all sites. Mortalities of water-associated birds and water obligates occurred at most solar sites in the Sonoran and Mojave Deserts Bird Conservation Region but were less common in the Great Basin and Coastal California Bird Conservation Regions. Further, most of these fatalities involved ground-dwelling species (three out of four most common species detected) and were detected during the fall... The DEIS fails to mention that the cited source includes data from only one facility located in the Great Basin and 2 facilities in Coastal California while the southwestern region contains data from ten facilities. It is

misleading to conclude from this study that mortality of water-associated birds and water obligates are unlikely at the project site. (We're also annoyed that the DEIS downplays the importance of "fatalities involved ground-dwelling species" and fatalities "detected during the fall"). 3.3 Failure to discuss significant impacts on "Unique species" 3.3.1 Impact of Solar Arrays on Special Status Bird Species not analyzed None of the analyses of impacts to special status bird species (except for a casual mention for Tundra Swan) analyze the effect of mortality from Solar Arrays that was indicated by the DEIS on p. 4-158 citing Kosciuch et al. (2020). This study found Solar Arrays were associated with an "average annual fatality estimate of known and unknown cause per MW at [solar] facilities in desert [Bird Conservation Regions] to be 1.82 birds/MW/year". 3.3.2 Burrowing Owl The DEIS (p. 4-171) states "Surveys for burrowing owls were not conducted as part of the [Application for Site Certification]; therefore, it is possible that other burrows may exist within the Lease Boundary." Due to lack of field surveys, Burrowing owls were not considered during the siting of project components so the impact of the project on Burrowing Owls cannot be determined. The DEIS fails to meet the requirement to discuss significant impacts to Burrowing Owls as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.ii. 3.3.3 Prairie Falcon The DEIS (p. 4-177) states "PHS data report 12 occurrences of prairie falcon within 2 miles of the Lease Boundary, though none within the Lease Boundary (WDFW 2022a). Nine of the occurrences are nest sites." The DEIS does not report, however, that the Micrositing Corridors are adjacent to documented Prairie Falcon Breeding areas in cliffs at the Lease Boundary. Nor does the DEIS note these same corridors will directly impact likely Prairie Falcon foraging areas at this same location (See Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6). The impact to Prairie Falcon should be rated as Unavoidable, High, and Local for these Micrositing corridors. Construction within the corridors adjacent to Prairie Falcon breeding areas should only occur outside of breeding season. Figure 4. Sightings of Prairie Falcon near Cliffs adjacent to Lease Boundary. Figure 5. Portion of DEIS Figure 3.5-1 showing Micrositing corridors encroaching on foraging habitat near Prairie Falcon nesting habitat. Figure 6. "PHS on the Web" indicates multiple breeding areas and nests for Prairie Falcon in the same area. 3.3.4 Sagebrush Sparrow The DEIS predicts that Micrositing Corridor Construction will "have a low-magnitude impact on sagebrush sparrows that is constant and unavoidable for habitat loss". Figure 7 shows the Micrositing corridors will fragment a portion of the largest expanse of shrubsteppe on or adjacent to the Lease Boundary. This will result in a high-magnitude impact on Sagebrush Sparrow that is constant and unavoidable for the proposed action. Figure 7. Micrositing Corridor Fragments Largest Expanse of Shrubsteppe in the Vicinity. Extracted from DEIS Figure 3.5-1, with added rectangle showing where corridor is located on shrubsteppe. 4. Failure to "Clearly indicate those mitigation measures (not described in the previous section as part of the proposal or alternatives), if any, that could be implemented or might be required, as well as those, if any, that agencies or applicants are committed to implement," along with the environmental benefits of the mitigation measures for birds and bats In this section we discuss mitigation measures not considered by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.iii. Arnett et. al (2007) (cited by DEIS in section 4.6) indicates current research on the effects of wind turbine curtailment to prevent collisions. The source also discusses current research on painting or coating blades to alert birds/bats of the turbine and ultrasonic devices to alert bats. The mitigations for the project should include monitoring of these research and implementation of any practices or techniques that is indicated to reduce impacts to birds and bats.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: patrick-paulson-richland

Email: patrick@3rivers-ashtanga.org

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:53:57 pm

Last Seen: Feb 02, 2023 00:52:23 am

IP Address: 47.40.93.84

Q1. First and last name not answered

Q2. Email address not answered

Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization? No

Q4. Share any comment

We've already submitted these comments, but I wasn't logged in and so am unsure if they were received. Here's the text of the uploaded pdf: Comments on Draft EIS for the Horse Heaven Wind, Solar and Battery Storage Project Laurie Ness Patrick Paulson The Draft EIS fails to meet the requirements of WAC 197-11-440, which requires the DEIS to • Include "[r]easonable alternatives shall include actions that could feasibly attain or approximate a proposal's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental degradation." (WAC 197-11-440.5.b) • "Describe the principal features of the environment that would be affected, or created, by the alternatives including the proposal under consideration." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.i) • "Describe and discuss significant impacts that will narrow the range or degree of beneficial uses of the environment..." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.ii), and • "Clearly indicate those mitigation measures ... that could be implemented or might be required..." (WAC 197-11-440.6.c.iii) In our comments below we discuss environmental features, impacts, and mitigations the DEIS fails to address. Please note that we agree and support all comments submitted on 1/31/2023 by Trina Bayard, Director of Conservation, Washington Audubon for the Horse Heaven Hills DEIS. We also agree with the comments submitted by Debbie Berkowitz. 1. Failure to provide reasonable alternatives as required by the EIS framework WAC 197-11-440.5.b requires that alternatives presented in the DEIS "shall include actions that could feasibly attain or approximate a proposal's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental degradation" [our emphasis]. The DEIS states (p. 4-95): ...It is predicted that Turbine Option 1 would result in a higher risk of collisions for small birds and raptors than Option 2 (GAL 2022; Appendix 4.6-1). Waterfowl may be more susceptible to collisions with the taller turbines in Option 2; however, raptors are reported to have higher exposure indices for shorter turbines than taller turbines and therefore are considered to be more susceptible to collisions with turbines under Option 1.... And (p. 4-173): The exposure index for ferruginous hawks is approximately 1.3 times greater for Turbine Option 1 (GE 3.03-MW) than for the other three turbine technologies ... In addition, Option 1 also requires a larger number of turbines, and therefore, it is expected that this option would result in a greater collision risk for ferruginous hawks ... Options 1 and 2 are also stated to have different potential impacts on Golden Eagles (p. 4-174), Great Blue Heron (p. 4-175), and other special status bird species. Since Options 1 and 2 have different environmental costs, they should be presented as Alternatives as required by WAC 197-11-440.5.b. 2. Failure to "describe the principal features of the environment that would be affected ... by the alternatives including the proposal under consideration." In this section we discuss environmental features not identified by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.i. WAC 197-11-444.1.d identifies "Plants and animals" as a feature of the environment to be considered by an EIS. This feature includes: (i) Habitat for and numbers or diversity of species of plants, fish, or other wildlife; (ii) Unique species; and (iii) Fish or wildlife migration routes. 2.1 Vegetation and failure to consider PHS Priority Features The VAA includes the Lease Boundary and a 2-mile buffer surrounding the boundary. The Lease Boundary includes the Wind Energy Micrositing Corridor (except portions of the corridor crossing an interstate highway) and the Solar Siting Areas. The DEIS fails to identify some PHS areas within the VAA such as the cliffs on Chandler Butte and many cliffs throughout the east west ridgeline of the project. Figure 1. Cliffs in VAA. In the discussion of priority habitats (Section 3.5.2.4) the DEIS notes that PHS may be a "particular habitat feature (e.g., cliffs, talus slopes)". The DEIS statement that "Shrub-steppe and Eastside Steppe Priority Habitats are presently limited in the ... surrounding VAA" is without basis since no field surveys were completed in the VAA outside of the Lease Boundary (Sect. 3.5.2.2). Due to lack of field studies, PHS features such as cliffsand talus slopes within the VAA were not identified. For example, the topographical map on p. 3-55 of the DEIS (see Figure 1) clearly shows cliffs (defined as a cliff "Greater than 7.6 meters (25 feet) high and occurring below 1524 meters (5000 feet)", PHS List p. 287) within the VAA. The

topo lines show 10 foot changes in elevation, 3 topo lines would indicate a cliff. Error! Reference source not found. indicates cliffs just west of the radio facilities on Chandler Butte, within the VAA. Our personal observations show the presence of the Priority feature of Talus Slopes (PHS List p. 289) at the base of basalt cliffs within the VAA. Talus PHS features may contain PHS species and provide roosting habitat for bats. Examples of the talus slopes with their acreage are shown in Figure 2. and Figure 3. These are two examples of the many talus slope within the VAA. Figure 2. Talus slope in VAA adjacent to Lease Boundary. Figure 3. 2nd example of talus slope adjacent to Lease Boundary. Other examples of PHS within the VAA not identified by the DEIS include: • Freshwater Emergent Wetland and Riverine habitats along Yakima River near Benton City. • Freshwater Emergent Wetland, Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland, and Riverine habitats along Columbia River on eastern edge of the VAA. 2.2 Wildlife and Habitat The DEIS (p. 3-39) states "Field surveys in the Wind Energy Micrositing Corridor and Solar Siting Areas mapped two intermittent streams and 31 ephemeral stream channels, all of which are considered waters of the state." The DEIS fails, however, to include these waters of the state as wildlife habitat. The Benton County Code states that "Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas ... include ... Waters of the state, including lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the State of Washington" (BCC 15.08.070.a.22.vii). 3. Failure to "Describe and discuss significant impacts that will narrow the range or degree of beneficial uses of the environment or pose long term risks to ... the environment, such as storage, handling, or disposal of toxic or hazardous material" In this section we discuss impacts environmental features not analyzed by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.ii. 3.1 Vegetation The DEIS states that Agricultural land, Shrub/scrub, and grassland within the VAA may be affected by the proposal. Shrub/scrub habitat includes Dwarf shrub-steppe, Rabbitbrush shrubland, Sagebrush shrub-steppe, and Unclassified shrubland. The grasslands include Eastside grassland, non-native grassland, planted grassland, and unclassified grassland. In addition, the VAA may contain areas of Deciduous Forest, Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands, Evergreen Forest, Open Water, and Woody Wetland (pp. 3-68 to 3-69). In addition, the DEIS notes the potential of Woven Spore Lichen occurrences within the VAA. No analysis is given in the DEIS for impacts to the following environmental features identified in Section 3 of the DEIS: Deciduous Forest, Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands, Evergreen Forest, Open Water, and Woody Wetland. The analysis does not include PHS and other features that exist in the VAA outside of the Lease Boundary (such as the cliffs and talus slopes on Chandler Butte). 3.2 Wildlife and Habitat 3.2.1 Wildlife Habitat The impacts to the wildlife habitat consisting of the ephemeral streams and intermittent identified on p. 3-39 of the DEIS are not analyzed. Table 3.4-1 of the DEIS identifies 40 different 'interactions' between this habitat and the Wind Power Micrositing Corridors and Solar Siting Areas. Levick, et. al (2008) is a one source of the habitat function and values of this habitat that the proposed action may impact. The DEIS should include analyses of these impacts. In addition to the habitat described as vegetation (DEIS Section 3.5), the DEIS identifies additional wildlife habitat in section 3.6.2.1. The additional habitat includes a wetland. As discussed above, wildlife habitat outside the Lease Boundary was not physically surveyed and so habitat features within the VAA have not been identified or analyzed for impacts as required SEPA. These habitats include Freshwater Emergent Wetland, Riverine, and Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland. 3.2.2 Birds 3.2.2.1 Impacts from Wind Turbine Operation The DEIS states (p. 4-156) that "In a synthesis of literature, Arnett et al. (2007) reported that bird mortalities are typically evenly distributed between nocturnally migrating passerines and resident birds." However, the cited literature instead states (p. 18) Based on data from the 14 studies, it appears that approximately half the reported fatalities at new generation wind power facilities are nocturnally migrating birds, primarily passerines, and the other half are resident birds in the area. This is different from being "evenly distributed", since nocturnally migrating birds are only present during migration. This implies that the impact of turbines is significantly higher for migrating birds than it is for resident species. The DEIS references the same source to state "Mortalities occur year-round, peaking from April to October," but neglects to note the source states on the same page that For example, peak passerine ... during fall migration at Stateline in Washington and Oregon [citing (Erickson et al. 2004)]. Given the proximity of Stateline Wind Farm to the project site, this indicates potential high impacts to birds during fall migration. Also on the same page this source states "There is some concern that nocturnal migrating passerines may be compressed near the surface when cloud ceilings are low or when flying over high mountain ridges, increasing the risk of collisions with turbines." This indicates the need for additional monitoring of nocturnal migration and possibly curtailment during periods of high migration. 3.2.2.2 Impacts of Solar Array Operation The DEIS states (p. 4-158) [our emphasis]: Kosciuch et al. (2020) reported taxonomic variability in the bird fatalities observed at different solar sites; however, mourning doves (Zenaida macroura), horned larks, and western meadowlarks (Sturnella neglecta) were reported at all sites. Mortalities of water-associated birds and water obligates occurred at most solar sites in the Sonoran and Mojave Deserts Bird Conservation Region but were less common in the Great Basin and Coastal California Bird Conservation Regions. Further, most of these fatalities involved ground-dwelling species (three out of four most common species detected) and

were detected during the fall... The DEIS fails to mention that the cited source includes data from only one facility located in the Great Basin and 2 facilities in Coastal California while the southwestern region contains data from ten facilities. It is misleading to conclude from this study that mortality of water-associated birds and water obligates are unlikely at the project site. (We're also annoyed that the DEIS downplays the importance of "fatalities involved ground-dwelling species" and fatalities "detected during the fall"). 3.3 Failure to discuss significant impacts on "Unique species" 3.3.1 Impact of Solar Arrays on Special Status Bird Species not analyzed None of the analyses of impacts to special status bird species (except for a casual mention for Tundra Swan) analyze the effect of mortality from Solar Arrays that was indicated by the DEIS on p. 4-158 citing Kosciuch et al. (2020). This study found Solar Arrays were associated with an "average annual fatality estimate of known and unknown cause per MW at [solar] facilities in desert [Bird Conservation Regions] to be 1.82 birds/MW/year". 3.3.2 Burrowing Owl The DEIS (p. 4-171) states "Surveys for burrowing owls were not conducted as part of the [Application for Site Certification]; therefore, it is possible that other burrows may exist within the Lease Boundary." Due to lack of field surveys, Burrowing owls were not considered during the siting of project components so the impact of the project on Burrowing Owls cannot be determined. The DEIS fails to meet the requirement to discuss significant impacts to Burrowing Owls as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.ii. 3.3.3 Prairie Falcon The DEIS (p. 4-177) states "PHS data report 12 occurrences of prairie falcon within 2 miles of the Lease Boundary, though none within the Lease Boundary (WDFW 2022a). Nine of the occurrences are nest sites." The DEIS does not report, however, that the Micrositing Corridors are adjacent to documented Prairie Falcon Breeding areas in cliffs at the Lease Boundary. Nor does the DEIS note these same corridors will directly impact likely Prairie Falcon foraging areas at this same location (See Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6). The impact to Prairie Falcon should be rated as Unavoidable, High, and Local for these Micrositing corridors. Construction within the corridors adjacent to Prairie Falcon breeding areas should only occur outside of breeding season. Figure 4. Sightings of Prairie Falcon near Cliffs adjacent to Lease Boundary. Figure 5. Portion of DEIS Figure 3.5-1 showing Micrositing corridors encroaching on foraging habitat near Prairie Falcon nesting habitat. Figure 6. "PHS on the Web" indicates multiple breeding areas and nests for Prairie Falcon in the same area. 3.3.4 Sagebrush Sparrow The DEIS predicts that Micrositing Corridor Construction will "have a low-magnitude impact on sagebrush sparrows that is constant and unavoidable for habitat loss". Figure 7 shows the Micrositing corridors will fragment a portion of the largest expanse of shrubsteppe on or adjacent to the Lease Boundary. This will result in a high-magnitude impact on Sagebrush Sparrow that is constant and unavoidable for the proposed action. Figure 7. Micrositing Corridor Fragments Largest Expanse of Shrubsteppe in the Vicinity. Extracted from DEIS Figure 3.5-1, with added rectangle showing where corridor is located on shrubsteppe. 4. Failure to "Clearly indicate those mitigation measures (not described in the previous section as part of the proposal or alternatives), if any, that could be implemented or might be required, as well as those, if any, that agencies or applicants are committed to implement," along with the environmental benefits of the mitigation measures for birds and bats In this section we discuss mitigation measures not considered by the DEIS as required by WAC 197-11-440.6.c.iii. Arnett et. al (2007) (cited by DEIS in section 4.6) indicates current research on the effects of wind turbine curtailment to prevent collisions. The source also discusses current research on painting or coating blades to alert birds/bats of the turbine and ultrasonic devices to alert bats. The mitigations for the project should include monitoring of these research and implementation of any practices or techniques that is indicated to reduce impacts to birds and bats.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 663 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:55:24 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:55:24 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Not in favor of the wind turbines. I live in badger canyon	n off goose gap rd.
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 664 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 16:59:53 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 16:59:53 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment If we don't do this, we will set our extinction.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 665 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:14:45 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:14:45 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Invest in clean energy. It's time for change. Let's clean up our mess and make drastic improvements. I'm In support of the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 666 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:23:02 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:23:02 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Please do not place these windmills at the Horse Heaven Hills. The footprint is too broad, the number of windmills is too many, and they will be too tall. We already have plenty of windmills in the Tri-Cities region. I suggest placing them closer to where the power will be used.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 667 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:23:31 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:23:31 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Comments on the Draft EIS for the Horse Haven Wind Farm COMMENT 1 Richland residents that lived in this area during the initial stages of the Manhattan Project often mentioned the very high winds that were referred to as the termination winds. I moved to the Tri Cities in 1970. During the fall and spring seasons of 1970 to about 1985 there were often very high winds that would last for several days. However, because of climate change, the winds in this area have become much less in intensity and frequency. The trend is that the winds in the Tri Cities area are continuing to diminish with time. For this reason, the subject EIS should address the issue of climate change and recognize that at some point in time there may not be sufficient wind in the Tri Cities area to power the wind turbines. Because of climate change, the proposed project may not be viable. COMMENT 2 It has been said that the cost of one wind turbine is \$20 million. This cost is not a life-cycle cost, It does not include such items as project site preparation, maintenance, repair, end of life disposal, recycling of the used hardware and equipment, etc. Therefore, the life-cycle cost of the proposed Horse Haven Wind Farm Project should be compared to the cost associated with other alternative green energy sources such a nuclear energy. The results of such a cost analysis may reveal that an alternative energy source is more desirable. COMMENT 3 It has been stated that wind turbines are built in China. The energy source for production of wind turbines in China is obtained from coal powered generation plants. Therefore, if the wind turbines for the proposed Horse Haven Wind Farm Project are built and fabricated in China, the contamination to the atmosphere resulting from coal powered generation must be assessed and evaluated to determine the impact to the environment.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/507653b6ae962df7a7593e1a801db0d3992157d8/original/1675300918/3ced800384d4d8ce091329d908a4c4d0_Comments_on_the_project_or_the_draft_EIS_must_be_received_by_Feb.docx?1675300918
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 668 Login: DBerkowitz

Q8. What is the title of your video?

Email: cdberkowitz@charter.net

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:28:08 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 02, 2023 01:24:04 am

IP Address: 71.83.236.19

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I previously submitted a comment (uploaded a document), but wasn't registered so didn't get a confirmation email. I have now registered and am resubmitting the same document.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/e46072bd670f0a6db1ece62cd523022c42253c36/original/1675301251/bf88f627da3a987122fc3d851a451b20_HHH_DEIS_commentsBerkowitz_2023_02_01.pdf?1675301251
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No

not answered



Respondent No: 669 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:33:31 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:33:31 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I'd like to register my opposition to this project and note the DEIS does not adequately address: - Wildlife and avian mortality monitoring - Wildlife and avian forage area reduction - Wildlife and avian breeding pattern disruption - Wildlife nesting area reduction - Wildlife corridor disruption - The setback of machines from known nesting areas of the ferruginous hawk is significantly smaller than the known range of the hawks - The loss of recreation areas available to the public - Visual aesthetics - Size and location of each specific machine is not enumerated - Future growth area of the region is eliminated - Reduction of hunting opportunities - The project does not displace fossil fuel use, but replaces hydro electric - The project has the largest footprint, with the largest wind machines and is closest to large population centers than any other project - Devaluation of property values - Degradation of the livability of the region and its impact on employers' ability to attract a workforce

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 670 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:37:44 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:37:44 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

We absolutely don't want to see turbines above our house. They're loud & Damp; we don't want them so close. Whatever power they generate won't even be benefitting our area (or state) & Damp; they don't generate enough power to make up for how much they cost & Damp; the damage they can do to wildlife. They're dangerous for birds & Damp; we have a lot of different types of hawks & Damp; owls in Badger Canyon that we don't want killed or injured. The picture I've attached is of a hawks nest that has been in this tree at Badger Canyon Rd & Damp; Badger Rd for many years. Every year this same pair of hawks have 2-3 chicks. We want to preserve our beautiful Horse Heaven Hills & Damp; we're NOT ok with the wind turbines being installed.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	/files/original/missing.png
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/7e37e1e8edf82afcfab5b5283cdac5f67a42ef5a/original/16 75300566/d09732e88fece2ab77f757eee62316d0_inbound8281689 149069818052.jpg?1675300566
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 671 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:42:21 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:42:21 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/f0c74d6eaaf9daeb4acbeac7d8f7760e4f35e520/original/1 675302125/400752069c39b8ccc93d61e7f5aa59fe_Wind_Farm_Comments.docx?1675302125
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 672 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:46:02 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:46:02 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the horse Heaven wind project	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 673 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:47:48 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:47:48 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Dr. James Conca, a retired research scientist and professor in the field of energy generation and transmission. I live in Richland, WA. The way the grid works in WA State is that it has to be balanced minute to minute, and hydropower is what is used to do this. Whenever wind energy comes onto the grid, it always displaces hydro. This is seen daily at BPA's website in their load-following graphs (see example figures below). The hydro must be dumped, it cannot be stored. Our dams are run-of-the-river, only Grand Coulee can store significant water. Dumping hydro when wind comes onto the grid is a significant loss of energy in a time when we are losing about 12 GW of generation by the closure of coal plants in WA and surrounding states. So there is no advantage of wind to our emissions goals. Before emplacing more wind, we must make useful the 7,100 MW of wind we do have by emplacing pumped hydro storage, the only grid-scale storage available at this time. Of course, we need to engage the tribes when we do this, something that keeps not happening. There needs to be 50MW/500MWh storage for each 2,000 MW of wind. Only then can wind replace coal, and only then should we start building more wind. This is detailed in the latest E3 Northwest Resource Adequacy Study. As it stands now, we are looking at a 26% risk of rolling blackouts beginning in 2026, after Centralia Coal Plant closes. Thank you, Dr. James Conca, Trustee Herbert M. Parker Foundation 2801 Appaloosa Way Richland, WA 99352 509-205-7541 iim@ufaventures.com

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/e649509b9dbbb219d04eb34e5c7ffcd73e0882be/original/1675302046/a392769dbb1235f8ab30fc0dba877ba9_BPA_Load_Graph_3.jpg?1675302046
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/97bb84bfd27970bb1d11951514cb5f07ec9b6790/original/1675302023/aba97321d9587c01b5eced264ac9517b_load_graph_2.jpg?1675302023
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 674 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:57:36 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:57:36 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I live in the Badger Canyon area very near the proposed location of the Horse Heaven Wind Farm. I have lived at this location since 2000. I am very familiar with the wind conditions around this area. During what would be the highest energy usage times, mid winter and mid summer, the winds are typically light and variable. The high pressure weather zones that camp in our area are what produce our highest and lowest temperatures and they do not produce wind. In fact, the Farm is predicted to only provide 8% to 18% of it's name plate power rating (850MW) in January and August which are peak power usage times. When winds are strong and steady, typically spring and early summer, there is large volumes of runoff water available for the hydroelectric dams located on the Columbia and Snake rivers. This project has no local power benefit for us. There are huge quality of life and environmental cost in the placement of this wind farm. It far exceeds any benefits we receive locally. Just the ascetics alone will impact property values and peoples well being as their visual landscape is permanently changed. The view from my house will be greatly affected. When I look south, I will see the turbines instead of rolling wheat fields. When I look east in the evening I will see the shadow of turbines instead of the steadily rising shade line of the hills behind me. But there are also environmental costs. The project is in line with the path of migratory waterfowl. I observe many flocks of geese flying over our area in the direction to and from the planned wind farm location. I have attached a photo of a flock of geese that is within 0.5 miles of proposed turbines sites heading the direction of those sites. There is also a predatory bird (eagles, hawks, etc) population that has slowly recovered in our area that will be impacted by the turbines. Also, I understand the turbines will require water, I presume for cooling, during operation. Where is the water coming from? Which aquifer? How will water permits and rights be obtained? I am part of a Class A water system (BAR 80 Ranchettes) operating in Badger Canyon that services several households. Will the availability and quality of our water be affected? There are very recent studies being released about the adverse effects of the noise produced on animals, birds and marine mammals. We don't have whales nearby, but the studies are an indicator that there are real consequences for mammals. Are we going to be part of the study for adverse affects on people? Wind parks in general are not economically viable solutions to energy production. In the mid 1980's I worked for Flow Research of Kent WA. It's sister company, FLOW Wind, built vertical axis wind turbines and developed energy farms in California. At the time, federal subsidies paid for the production of power were available to encourage wind farm development. The subsidies were set to expire in 5 to 10 years when it was expected wind powered electricity would become economically viable. Well, almost 40 years later they still are not economically viable. It requires federal subsidies, state tax incentives and legislative/executive action for companies to develop wind energy. The energy company's business model is to profit on subsidies. And because legislative and/or executive action is required, this wind farm is being developed because of a political agenda, not a market need. When the market really needs wind power, no subsidies will be required. This wind park should not be built at the proposed location, the costs to our environment and community are too high. Thank you, Chris Lentz

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-
	california/50493fd08e204dadba4b0206ba872c7413c303e2/original/
	1675303009/43b137afcfbd9513316c4a3f8c07f1db_geese.jpg?
	1675303009
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 675 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 17:58:30 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 17:58:30 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

My name is Joetta Rupert. My husband and I are proponents of clean energy but are strongly against this project. Besides being an adverse visual impact to our community, there is no plan for the end of life for this project. Since these materials are not recyclable, what will happen to these monstrosities when they are no longer functional? Who will remove them if anyone? TriCities depends on the natural beauty of the ridge lines of the HHH for tourism dollars from hiking and biking, vineyards and wineries. The windmills will destroy the natural beauty of the Tri City area. This would create an undue hardship or economic impact on many companies and entities in the Benton and Franklin County area. This project will have negative impacts on agriculture, light pollution, and the natural habitat that inhabits these hills. And quite frankly we don't know the real dangers the windmills would place on the physical and mental health of the humans in the surrounding area. The TriCities area is already active in providing stable clean energy for Washington State.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 676 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:02:05 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:02:05 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/7cce2075cd082a94901d1659b1735930678655a9/original /1675303306/d41fb002bf17b5ae5704e3fa8652c5d1_supporting_the_Horse_Heaven_Wind_Farm.pdf?1675303306
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 677 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:03:18 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:03:18 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

As resident of Kennewick for over 20 years, I am completely against constructing a wind farm so close to our growing community. There is no reason that this wind farm needs to be sited at the southern border of the Tri Cities. Eastern WA has plenty of unpopulated, open space available for these kinds of low density, inefficient energy producers. Appendix Q Visual Simulations Figure 8-1 a and b is what I would see every day as I drive to work, get groceries, walk the dog, mow my yard or look out of my living room windows. Instead of the greens of the wheat fields in spring slowly fading to different hues of yellow and brown over the summer, my view would be dominated by over 20 spinning, blinking monstrosities. Part of the Tri-Cities allure is the open, panoramic views of our vast shrub steppe ecosystem. 244 wind turbines would make a mockery of that. If I bought a house within view of a wind farm, then that would be a choice I made knowing full well going into the purchase. Placing the Horse Heaven Hills wind farm at its proposed location is an insult to many south Richland/Kennewick homeowners who chose this area specifically for the views of Badger Canyon and the feeling of openness as you look out of your house. I would much rather have a single Small Modular Reactor (SMR) nuclear plant built in the same general location than hundreds of inefficient wind turbines and solar panels. An SMR may not have as large a "nameplate" generation value, but we all now wind and solar never generate their nameplate values. And an SMR will give you consistent electrical output versus the variable nature of wind and solar. In the winter we can sometimes go weeks with the low cloud base and no wind. There would be zero output from Horse Heaven Hills wind and solar generators during those weeks. In closing, if the State of Washington feels the need to install renewable energy devices to meet carbon emission reduction goals, they need to do it far away from the major population centers of Eastern Washington. Jeff Banning

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 678 Login: Karen Brun

Email: kmbrun@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:33:53 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:04:52 pm

IP Address: 67.158.234.184

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am opposed to this project. The quantity and height of the proposed turbines, and the proximity to a large metropolitan area plus smaller communities is unprecedented in Washington State, if not the entire country. At 2 miles, 52.6% more Benton County residents will be impacted 24/7 by this than the other 9 Washington counties combined where wind projects exist. At 4 miles, 110% more will be impacted. This is extremely disproportionate to the rest of the state. Tri-Cities residents, including 40% who are people of color, are being asked to sacrifice our landscape, wildlife and habitat, and our way of life for the benefit of those on the west side and beyond. This is social and environmental injustice in the extreme. Governor Inslee publicly stated at the recent Climate Change Conference in Egypt that he thinks NIMBYism has no place in Washington State. If that is his philosophy, then why are 11 of the 13 EFSEC projects completed, or in the pipeline, located east of the Cascades? And how many applications did EFSEC reject because they were to be located on the west side? If Governor Inslee insists that citizens in eastern Washington accept the ecological disruption and sacrifice of our lifestyles to forests of industrial wind turbines and seas of solar panels, he needs to have more justification than 100% clean energy bragging rights. He needs to follow the science. The Western Resource Adequacy Program has proven that wind power in Washington has an effective capacity of 8-11% when it's needed most. What off-taker is going to sign a contract for so little? This project is going to cost much more environmentally, socially, and economically than it will ever return. One just has to follow the money to see who truly benefits.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 679 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:47:58 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:47:58 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name not answered

Q2. Email address not answered

Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization? Yes (please specify)

On behalf of an organization, TC Cares.

Q4. Share any comment

February 1, 2023 Via Email to EFSEC and the EFSEC SEPA Comment Page Web Form RE: Comments on the DEIS for the Horse Heaven Hills Wind Farm Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement On behalf of TC Cares and Save Our Ridges, and numerous individual reviewers, we are submitting the attached comments on the Horse Heaven Hills Wind Farm Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We do not believe this project should move forward in its current condition. The SEPA process is flawed and the DEIS is filled with errors, omissions, and misrepresentations regarding the Project's purpose and need, premise, financial feasibility and viability, proposed action, lack of feasible reasonable alternatives, lack of mitigation measures, and the significance of environmental and community impacts that cannot be avoided. Even in spite of these flaws and issues, the DEIS clearly demonstrates that the Project will bring about more harm than good. It is imperative that EFSEC search, develop, analyze and present alternative solutions that actually meet the need for power generation and do not impose such damage on the environment and the communities of Benton City, Richland, Kennewick, and Finley, as well as the rest of Benton County and the Tri-Cities and beyond. The following summarizes the list of contestable issues describing the many flaws of the project, the DEIS, and EFSEC's review of the project: The EIS is Poorly Done • The DEIS is lengthy, complex, piecemeal, filled with obfuscation, and contains a plethora of would's and may's vs wills. • The DEIS fails to describe the project, impacts, and mitigations with any certainty which makes a mockery of the SEPA process and abuses the SEPA process and the public. • The developer appears to be using the SEPA process to push a foregone conclusion without proper rationale and justification. • There are repeated and re-iterated errors, omissions, and misrepresentations. The DEIS contains cascading errors and omissions that render the document unusable for rational decision-making. • The DEIS is poorly done and uses out-of-date publishing technology. It contains poor maps that are too small and fuzzy with misleading coloration and not enough detail. It is very difficult to see the project component locations which means the public is unable to identify exact turbine locations in order to complete an accurate analysis of the impacts. We needed to create our own turbine location maps. • The DEIS fails to make use of digital GIS mapping tools to help the agencies explore and fully understand the environment and the impacts of proposed actions and alternatives. • The DEIS does not contain maps of the project that identify micrositing corridors and turbine locations suitable for a reasonably accurate analysis of the impacts. Integrity of the SEPA Process Is Questionable • The ASC was updated on December 1 without proper public notice. The scope and magnitude of the changes in the ASC Update on December 1, 2022, makes the entire SEPA Process questionable. The ASC should be revoked and reissued and the DEIS should be reissued with a new comment period. • EFSEC did not perform independent validation of the data contained in the many SEPA Elements of the Environment. Any proof of quality validation by EFSEC is lacking and there are a myriad of errors, omissions, and misrepresentations throughout the DEIS that should not be there if a validation had been properly performed. • The EFSEC consultant repeatedly just incorporated the developer's consultant's work verbatim using copy and paste. This raises serious conflict of interest issues since work done for the Applicant carries a risk of inherent bias in favor of the Applicant's project. • The DEIS lacks "impartial discussion" and is plagued from beginning to end by a noteworthy lack of detail and a verifiable lack of commitment to WAC requirements and mitigation. Purpose and Need for the Project • The DEIS fails to adequately establish a feasible purpose and need for this project. The project's funding and high price tag, as much as \$1.7 billion, is not described and renders the project impracticable. • The DEIS does not contain a reasonably detailed description of how this project is being funded. Who is going to pay for this project and how? Who paid for the consultants and how much did they get paid? • No off-taker for the power has been identified at all. • The DEIS fails to explain the purpose and need for a 19-mile transmission line. • The DEIS fails to identify and analyze the impact the project will have on climate change. o The

DEIS fails to identify, document, and demonstrate that the project does anything at all to mitigate the cited near-term and long-term impacts from climate change. o The DEIS fails to establish a pressing need for the energy that will be produced by this project. Proposed Action and Alternatives • The history of the power generation requests (BPA LGIP) are not consistent with the Project's nameplate power generation claims. They claim to have the necessary authorizations to provide 1150 MW, but the documentation only indicates 850 MW. • The project nameplate capacity of 1,150 MW is not supported by the record. The DEIS and the Updated Redlined ASC repeatedly describe the nameplate capacity in error. • Fails to justify the need for the proposed action at 1150 with interconnection capability, is only supported at 850 (LGIP requests), and fails to clarify the Boffer Canyon Substation limit of 350 MW. • The DEIS fails to identify and analyze the impacts the project will have on the Nine Canyon project. o There has been no identification or analysis of the effects and impacts of a phenomenon known as blocking, which decreases turbine performance when an upwind wind project is too close to a downwind project. • The DEIS dismisses consideration of alternatives other than the proposed action without a rational basis and justification. The DEIS fails to identify and evaluate the costs and benefits of any reasonable alternatives that can be demonstrated to feasibly attain or approximate the project's objectives, but at a lower environmental cost or decreased level of environmental damage. Impacts on People in the Affected Environment Visual • The DEIS fails to adequately identify and evaluate the visual impacts of the project on people in the Tri-Cities. • The DEIS Visual Assessment Report contains numerous errors, omissions and misrepresentations which render the document ineffective as a basis for decision-making under SEPA. • The DEIS fails to describe and evaluate the project based on the CESA Guidance 2021 regarding "Unreasonable or Undue Visual Impacts". • The DEIS visual simulations contain numerous errors and omissions and misrepresentations. • The DEIS fails to accurately describe the visual impacts of Option 1 and Option 2 on people. • The Applicant's commitments to mitigation of visual impacts in the DEIS are minimal, ineffective, and unacceptable. • The DEIS fails to recognize that visual impacts on Benton County are significant and disproportionate when compared to every other wind project in the State of Washington. • The DEIS visual assessment fails to apply the BLM and CESA Guidance adequately to describe and evaluate the impacts on people in proximity to the project. An area of analysis of 25 miles will be more appropriate in midwestern and western landscapes, open terrain, drier air, and larger wind projects (hundreds vs. dozens of turbines) creating a larger mass visible over greater distances. • The Applicant's, and therefore the DEIS's, visual assessment did not select Key Observation Points and Representative Viewpoints adequately. • The DEIS fails to describe and evaluate the value of the dark skies at night on the Horse Heaven Hills project. • The DEIS fails to describe and commit to commonly used, FAAapproved light mitigation technology utilizing aircraft detection lighting systems to mitigate light pollution. Population • The DEIS fails to characterize, analyze, or provide enough information to allow reviewers to quantify the level of impact to population. • The DEIS fails to accurately identify and quantify that a large number of mid-range viewers, in particular, are impacted. There is no substantiative mitigation offered. • The DEIS fails to identify and evaluate the Benton County policy goals meaningfully and then fails to recognize the importance that the features hold for the County and its residents. • The DEIS fails to describe and evaluate the visual impacts on wineries. • The DEIS fails to accurately describe and evaluate the scenic resource attributes and sensitivity levels. • The DEIS does not accurately describe and evaluate the location, proximity, and impact on people who live in close proximity to the project. The distances described in the DEIS are in error and misrepresent the real conditions found at the present time. • The ASC and the DEIS fail to identify and evaluate the impact of the project and feasible alternatives using a suitable analysis of population within the affected environment. Fugitive Dust Emissions • The DEIS fails adequately identify, describe, and evaluate the significant and unhealthy adverse impacts fugitive dust emissions that will be caused by the project. • The DEIS fails to identify, describe, and evaluate feasible alternatives that can reasonably control and mitigate the health hazards from the fugitive dust emissions caused by the project. • The DEIS fails to adequately identify, describe, and evaluate that unacceptable conditions will occur from the road construction disturbance and cause significant environmental impacts that will affect over 100,000 people in the Tri-Cities. Project monitoring of PM2.5 and PM 10 is inadequate. • The DEIS does not provide for adequate project air monitoring and mitigation plans do not identify and commit to any increased monitoring of PM 10 and PM 2.5. Wildlife • The DEIS fails to describe and evaluate special status wildlife and their habitats. • The DEIS contains numerous errors, omissions, and misrepresentations regarding the project wildlife resources and the impacts on wildlife and their habitats. • The DEIS assessment of wildlife is shallow and fails to adequately describe and evaluate the significant near-term and cumulative impacts the project will have on 20 special status wildlife species (two are endangered) and on their habitat and prey. • The DEIS ignores and disregards the mitigation recommendations in the several Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife letters. • The DEIS fails to identify and evaluate specific turbine locations that are known to cause significant impacts. • The DEIS fails to propose or even contemplate any remedy if that remedy entails turbine elimination or relocation. • The DEIS makes no mention of the elimination or relocation of turbines located in essential wildlife corridors that preserve

connectivity of wildlife habitat and foraging areas. • The DEIS does not identify, describe, and evaluate wildlife resources, in particular special species, outside the boundary area even though they are clearly in the affected environment under the WAC. • The DEIS fails to evaluate impacts the project will have on wildlife outside the project boundary. • The DEIS contains no analysis of cumulative effects to habitat, especially the east/west wildlife corridor along the ridgeline of the Horse Heaven Hills. Inadequate Mitigation • The DEIS avoids mitigation commitments and defers the development and selection of mitigation measures to the FEIS and a Technical Advisory Committee selected by the Applicant. • The DEIS does not include an adequate planning horizon that considers mitigation. • The DEIS fails to provide adequate information about the indirect and cumulative impacts from the proposed action, and any reasonable feasible alternatives. • The DEIS fails to identify and describe reasonable measures that can be taken to mitigate and minimize the visual impacts on the environment. • The DEIS fails to adequately identify and describe effective mitigations for wildlife habitat and special species. The Horse Heaven Hills Project isn't green at all. The project need for the project is unproven and will force dependence on giant, mechanical monsters which have very little impact on climate change at all. This project has nothing to do with protecting the earth from the impacts of climate change and power. Instead, it is dedicated to corporate profits at the expense of the public and the impact on the local environment. Spending \$1.7 billion dollars on this project, much of it is taxpayer money, is irresponsible, unnecessary, unacceptably damaging and wasteful. The complete version of the comments are provided in the attached pdf file. This file is also being transmitted to EFSEC via email with attachment. The file can also be downloaded here: https://presari.com/s/T92230000463680 If you have any problems receiving and opening the file successfully please let me know. Paul J. Krupin

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/b73747c8d84b5931a122589a2f6ab5789837a927/original/1675305810/46b0239bfa61a8338709b2fca820fddd_TC_CARES_Comments_on_the_HHH_DEIS_final_020123_0700_PM_Final.pdf?1675305810
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 680

Login: KMSelf

Email: kmswood60@gmail.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:52:15 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 02, 2023 16:57:02 pm

IP Address: 205.210.22.4

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/31ff65b90a67ea4dec7ee293d48047a3c86eeca4/original/1675305319/081dbd1f51f1584372e64ffe13fb4e0d_DRAFT_EIS_Horse_Heaven_Wind_Farm_December_2022_Reduced.pdf?
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/53f4592e1410ad58458b30daafc223c0504fff39/original/16 75306304/88b7697f51a9662edb0d2ca4f91e19a2_Public_Hearing_on_HHH_proposed_project_2.docx?1675306304
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 681 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 18:57:32 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 18:57:32 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/1aa90a7553726aa48cefd216bb8ec9767dd635b3/original/1675306624/fefa41aa0242c83dfa976fc263b692b3_Sam-Horse_Heaven_Wind_Farm_Project.docx?1675306624
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 682 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:13:01 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:13:01 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment We do not want, support or desire windmills and solar panels in Benton County WA	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 683

Login: Schaef1

Email: schaefngiz@aol.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:26:41 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 02, 2023 03:17:19 am

IP Address: 67.158.234.147

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

These wind turbines will devalue the property throughout this area. We have hawks and other wildlife that will be impacted in a very negative way by killing these birds with one hawk species that is endangered at this time. The noise and reflection from blades will also be a problem. I have lived here for 30 years and it is not windy win the months that energy is needed most. Need to go elsewhere. Sharon Schaefer

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 684 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:37:24 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:37:24 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment We are opposed to such intrusion to the beauty that is	the Horse Heavens, and home to several species of wildlife.
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 685 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:48:27 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:48:27 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I emailed to speak, and I was never called upon. The hearing was closed around 7:40p. EFSEC should have an in person DEIS Hearing in the Tri-Cities, accommodate non-English speaking residents, and have the DEIS available in Spanish. Also, the West Pasco Library didn't have the DEIS available like the downtown location did.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 686 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:50:49 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:50:49 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am opposed to the wind project- The damage done by this project outweighs any short term job opportunities mentioned during the public forum. Harm done to wild life, the environmental impact once a windmill is put out of commission, aesthetics are appalling & possible to home and the project being so close to homes & possible to home and the project being so close to home and the project being so close

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
O7 Did you also share a video?	
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 687

Login: Schaef2

Email: gsfarrier@live.com

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:51:21 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 02, 2023 03:41:00 am

IP Address: 67.158.234.147

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

The proposed wind farm that is being shoved at us in try cities wa will be unsightly, cause unforeseen health issues that have not been addressed not to mention noise pollution, flashing strobing lights that are unhealthy for people that have epilepsy which they will have seizures from the strobing light. When power is needed most is in the winter and heat of summer which is when there is no wind moving to produce power. The needless deaths of hawks and owls and any migratory birds that are coming through this area which is migratory flyway. No one has addressed the loss of land values that will created by the unsightly monstrous tall towers which noise cam travel miles.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 688 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:51:48 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:51:48 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

LISTEN TO THE HEART OF THE TRI CITIES. NO WIND TURBINS. DO WHAT IS RIGHT, SAY NO TO THIS AT THIS TIME. THANKS

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 689 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:53:59 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:53:59 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am against the wind farms going in. They are an eye sore, they harm the wild life and will devalue our properties. They are loud and the little bit of electricity they make doesn't go to serve our area.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 690 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:54:44 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:54:44 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I am opposed to the Horse Heaven wind project. Please see enclosed document.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/8d9407fa5a36a321a3300014e211f65d07a56fe7/original/1 675310075/441652979962d30bcc21b00df3db58cb_Horse_Heaven_Draft_EIS_commentsGeorge_Winter.rtf?1675310075
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 691

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 19:58:37 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 19:58:37 pm

IP Address: n/a

 Q1. First and last name
 not answered

 Q2. Email address
 not answered

 Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?
 No

Q4. Share any comment

Horse Heaven Draft EIS Comment: The Draft EIS is incomplete. Further study is essential and expected to be produced to protect the Horse Heaven Hills environment. In reference to just one highly significant impact regarding bird kill analysis, the firm of Golder Associates says at the end of their data summary regarding wildlife mortality: "It is important to acknowledge that there is uncertainty associated with these conclusions related to conflicting results in available published scientific studies, lack of studies at turbines within the range of heights considered for the Horse Heaven Wind Farm, and potential for substantial variability in wildlife mortality based on local factors (e.g., bird abundance, species composition, topography, habitat, spatial arrangement of turbines). These sources of uncertainty limit the confidence of predicted wildlife mortality risk associated with the two turbine options." Therefore, it is beyond dispute that at a minimum a pause must be placed on the approval process and additional studies commence that can produce confidence in the predicted wildlife mortality risk. It is unacceptable that mortality is assumed to be an acceptable reality and intolerable that this project moves forward without clearly understanding the scope of the expected mortality to the precious wildlife and habitats of the Horse Heaven Hills, some of which are being pushed to extinction. Yesterday we watched a large flock of birds heading from the Columbia River over Badger Mountain. Their path of travel was taking them smack into the middle of where you are considering placing the turbines. We commented that these birds and their ancestors have be making this flight for many thousands of years, driven by their instinct. Now in a matter of a year or two they could be flying right into these proposed whirling blades that will slice them up and scatter their remains over our landscape, for no good reason. I'd like to ask EFSEC members the following question: If you were driving down one of our roads you might encounter a flock of geese and other birds walking across the roadway. What would you do. I'm sure you'd take your foot off the accelerator and place it on the brake pedal. I've seen this happen countless times around here and people always stop and patiently wait for the birds to make their crossing. You need to take your foot off the accelerator and move it to the brake on this project. Stop this project before the slaughter of innocent wildlife begins. There is nothing "clean" about the proposed project, in fact, the use of that term is a mockery of reality. The Horse Heaven Hills is a treasure in the State of Washington and needs to be preserved not desecrated and discarded in the rush to create wealth for the developer and send the costly and inefficient energy out of the area to users that should face the reality of improving conservation and local production of their own energy sources. The gigantic turbines considered for this project were originally designed for offshore use. That is where these turbines belong, off the coast of where the energy will be consumed. In closing, we must see an irrevocable, damage compensation bond in place before any approval. Undoubtedly, some property owners within the viewshed and noise and flashing light areas of the turbines will experience a decline in property values and health damage. Therefore, an irrevocable bond of at least 2 billion dollars needs to be in place before construction begins to provide compensation to property owners and injured parties for these losses and damages when they occur. As for the individuals claiming the electrical and general construction jobs justify the wind farm project but consider how many jobs will be lost if the turbine project goes forward. A large hotel has already cancelled plans to build in the Southridge area, citing the viewshed of the wind farms as a reason for not building. This will also be the case for the single-family homes that will not be built within the view area of the wind farm over the years to come. Far more jobs will be lost than gained through this ill-conceived wind farm project. We are firmly opposed to this project in any form.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered

Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 692 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:02:05 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:02:05 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Please do not approve the HHH massive turbine wind project.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0e848fe2152638fac11130512e871e368560a0aa/original/1675309547/f87b6666435991e157ee1d25ad45f012_HHH_Guse's_DEIS_Comments_for_EFSEC_Feb_12023.docx?1675309547
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 693 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:05:25 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:05:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

After listening to the public comments just now, I am glad to see people voicing their opinions on this proposed project, especially the con's. I only wonder where they were when Nine Mile Wind Project went in. As for the visual and light pollution people say these turbine will bring to our view shed, where were they when our view shed of our rolling hills are now being adorned with houses that have decimated our view as well as all the light pollution they create. They claim to be concerned with how this wind farm will hurt tourism in our area but have no concern for tourism with number one most contaminated and hazardous site in North America, Hanford, in our backyard. I noticed no one spoke about the farmers who own this land and have agreed to these wind mills on their property, should they have this right? I am in favor of nuclear energy and since our local nuc plant and the power it produces was brought up, my understanding is none of that power produced stays locally. I believe this project will create family wage jobs in our coummities for years to come and will be an economic boon for our area and thats why I speak in favor.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
O7 Pid yay alaa ahaya a yidaa 2	
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 694
Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:05:25 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:05:25 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment see attached	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/d9283771859723817461780f12a32375ee29a716/original/1675310715/bd97cbf443d4d0b941a1fa2601ed3c1d_Quotes_From_GovInslee_FINAL_FINAL.docx?1675310715
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 695 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:05:44 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:05:44 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Counsel for the Environment, Office of the Washington State Attorney General
Q4. Share any comment Please see attached.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/fbac363346e900ad04edbe182fa39f34026d4df0/original/1 675310658/509599fb0c8daf083cb8fedf03f3eb3f_Horse_Heaven_D EIS_Commment_FINAL.pdf?1675310658
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 696 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:10:32 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:10:32 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Please see enclosed document.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/424e1e5cbe7b7ddf841da469607f7b82e74857b9/original/1675311026/9baf2d78a16f5740ce7aa0d7b0d045cb_Horse_Heaven_Draft_EIS_commentsRuth_Winter.rtf?1675311026
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 697 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:11:50 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:11:50 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I hope EFSEC pays attention to what happened at tonight's public hearing. 85% of the commenters are opposed to the HHH Wind Project - some outright opposed and some as it's currently configured. That 85% is in direct opposition to the propaganda on Scout has published stating 85-90% are in favor. Just one of many misrepresentations they've told. Of the 12 in favor, 4 of those were union members with an inherent bias. A number of the those speaking had obviously never read the DEIS - one of those being Dave Kobus. Had he done so, he would not have said the things he did. Anyway, I thank you for having this public hearing even though you were forced into doing it.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 698 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 20:48:01 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 20:48:01 pm

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/6768e2072176123e3de9fb85cbf5ceeb55ca8b77/original/1675313272/5de435789f5545134fb2fe292133ff39_EFSEC_HHH_COMMENTS-02-01-23.doc?1675313272
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 699 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 21:11:05 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 21:11:05 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

The environmental, practical, safety and aesthetic impacts of this proposal in my area is a HOSTILE THREAT to everyone who lives here. It is yet another example of local communities being predated and exploited. No one wants this here unless they have direct pecuniary gain. STOP RUINING OUR COMMUNITIES!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 700 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Feb 01, 2023 21:19:56 pm **Last Seen:** Feb 01, 2023 21:19:56 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. First and last name	not answered
Q2. Email address	not answered
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I have read the executive summary of the draft EIS and think that it has thoroughly covered the impacts this project may have on the plants and animals of the project area. I think the mitigation steps that are outlined are reasonable and will ensure the protection of the land, native plants, and wildlife.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No