

Survey Responses

30 December 2023 - 28 January 2024

Share your comment, upload a document or a picture

Comments WA EFSEC

Project: Upcoming action item: Horse Heaven Extension Request



VISITORS					
6					
CONTRIBUTORS			RESPONSES		
5			5		
0	0	5	0	0	5
Registered	Unverified	Anonymous	Registered	Unverified	Anonymous



Respondent No: 1

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 25, 2024 14:48:24 pm

Last Seen: Jan 25, 2024 14:48:24 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Name** Laurie Sherwin

Q2. **Email address** ldsherwin@comcast.net

Q3. **Are you part of an Agency or Organization?** No

Q4. **Share any comment**

First, thank you for allowing me to comment on this. This endeavor will be the largest wind turbine project in our state. It's odd to me that mainstream media hasn't mentioned this project so that more citizens might get involved to voice concerns. Is that because of the known controversies with wind turbines? I see regularly on news outlets that the turbines that have been established are increasingly having problems from start to finish. And this doesn't even include the radiation dumps left behind after mining the needed rare metals to produce these turbines. It shouldn't matter that these areas are located in China, or in Mongolia. America tends to have the "out of site, out of mind", attitude unfortunately, but somewhere, someone is dealing with our "energy efficiency matrix." If we are truly working for global ONENESS, we need to look at the harm that we cause other nation's & their resources, to better the energy efficiency "picture" here in the U.S.A. Just like pictures now with photoshop, and computer features; wouldn't you agree that pictures don't always tell the entire truth? Speaking of transparency, I noticed the email to submit written requests was sent to me today, with only 3 days to respond, in writing, my concerns & questions. I thank you again for the opportunity to write but wouldn't more notice to citizens make more sense? It creates a red flag for me when time constraints are less than adequate. Why the rush when this project has been years & years in the making by now? Is it because of the negative press that's now coming out about wind turbines falling in the stock markets, due to manufacturing & distributing barriers? Or is it the hundreds of fires that Scotland has reported of these turbines? Or the health effects that are coming to light by citizens that complain of the noise and suffer from unexplained tinnitus, anxiety, headaches, & more? Maybe it's just government inefficiency that's to blame. We certainly saw much of that during the pandemic the last 3 years. Funny the facts, of decisions made in haste, (masks & lockdowns) are only now being acknowledged with wrongdoings being admitted by gov. officials. Time has a way of seeing more clearly the mistakes we make. I am including some articles about wind turbines that might be considered with your final determinations. It's not too late for truth to stand alone, like it always will, regardless of your actions. Thank you, Laurie Sherwin (Des Moines, Wa. resident)

Q5. **Upload your document (optional)** https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/fd2a5c34c31f718d8cbdd8eb219aa4db5a33f797/original/1706222843/3c5692677d991238465d6df2902600cc_Wind_turbine_problems.pdf?1706222843

Q6. **Upload a picture (optional)** https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0403723d3cbd23db66242ffe199371f78e7765f5/original/1706222886/62c71c7cd6bb4be9150b28f2e4f45c7e_IMG_6587.png?1706222886

Q7. **Did you also share a video?** No

Q8. **What is the title of your video?** not answered



Respondent No: 2

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 25, 2024 19:30:02 pm

Last Seen: Jan 25, 2024 19:30:02 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Name** William Jasen

Q2. **Email address** eaj52@msn.com

Q3. **Are you part of an Agency or Organization?** No

Q4. **Share any comment**

Dear Energy Board, We need to construct new fission and fusion nuclear power generation units near where the Columbia Generating Station is located. Nuclear has a significantly higher generation capacity factor than wind (i.e., 92% for nuclear vs 35% for wind and 25% for solar based on 2022 data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration). Wind and solar power is a horrendous, egregious insult to a large footprint of the land and the Horse Heaven Wind farm is destroying the serenity of our local community. Stupid is as stupid does and Wind generation is a stupid approach to energy generation. Washington is following the failed programs of California, dooming Washington to brown outs, energy rationing, and the most costly electricity in the country. Californian stupidity has resulted in electricity costs that are three (3) times higher than Washington. In addition to inefficiency, these wind turbines are a terrible panorama located adjacent to a highly used recreational area (i.e., the BLM Horse Heaven Hills recreational area which includes the McBee Trailhead and associated hiking trails. These wind turbines will destroy our environment.

Q5. **Upload your document (optional)** not answered

Q6. **Upload a picture (optional)** not answered

Q7. **Did you also share a video?** No

Q8. **What is the title of your video?** not answered



Respondent No: 3

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 26, 2024 09:36:06 am

Last Seen: Jan 26, 2024 09:36:06 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Name** Patrick D. Grengs II

Q2. **Email address** pixelate@mathsavers.com

Q3. **Are you part of an Agency or Organization?** No

Q4. **Share any comment**

Hello EFSEC Staff – Regarding the HHH Wind / Solar project, I was looking at the Maps of the Options (8 in total): <https://www.efsec.wa.gov/sites/default/files/210011/feis/20240109%20Horse%20Heaven%20FEIS%20Council%20Exclusion%20Considerations%201%20through%204.pdf> Looking at these maps along with the site depictions, notes and restrictions: There appear to be 3 binary permutations which result in $2^3 = 8$ different Options (maps).
o East of Straub Canyon Demarcation: Maps 1-4 include Straub Canyon / Maps 5-8 do Not include Straub Canyon.
o Notes per Restrictions: Maps 1, 2, 5, 6 include two Notes / Maps 3, 4, 7, 8 include many Notes.
o Proposed Turbine Options: Maps 1, 3, 5, 7 per Option 1 / Maps 2, 4, 6, 8 per Option 2. I counted the Turbines (depicted by the green dots) on each of the Maps. It appears that Option 1 consistently has more turbines than Option 2. Map Turbines Option Turbines 1 1 66 + 40 = 106 2 2 45 + 18 = 63 3 1 62 + 31 = 93 4 2 39 + 16 = 55 5 1 66 6 2 45 7 1 62 8 2 39 If we look at the Tri-City CARES Video per the Tri-City Herald Op Ed / June 9, 2023: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Mr6MUdtf_U • We see that the project consists of 70,000+ acres including wind turbines and solar panels. • There will either be: o 244 turbines with a height of 499 feet o 150 turbines with a height of 671 feet • I am not able to determine the type (height) of turbines that are proposed on each of the Maps. I believe that the applicant (Scout Energy) or the EFSEC needs to clarify the type of turbines that are to be installed per the map / turbine locations. I am looking forward to the next EFSEC Open online meeting. Cheers, Patrick Grengs / Owner of 40 acres of mixed-use land in West Richland, WA

Q5. **Upload your document (optional)** not answered

Q6. **Upload a picture (optional)** not answered

Q7. **Did you also share a video?** No

Q8. **What is the title of your video?** not answered



Respondent No: 4

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 27, 2024 21:26:59 pm

Last Seen: Jan 27, 2024 21:26:59 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Judith Guse
Q2. Email address	goosie1515@aol.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment	<p>According to the DNR expert, Russ Lane, he was not specifically asked to address the use of very large, airliner-type jet aircraft and did not take aerial firefighting into account properly. EFSEC mis-interpreted DNR input by email and did not even ask DNR about the use of the DC-10's and other large air tanker aircraft. Russ is concerned that operations interior to a large-scale wind project would pose unacceptable risks to aircrews. EFSEC tried to use a buffer zone around the wind turbines that would place the aircraft and occupants in serious danger. Based on this information, EFSEC should not recommend this turbine wind project at all.</p>
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 5

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 28, 2024 14:21:24 pm

Last Seen: Jan 28, 2024 14:21:24 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Karen Brun
Q2. Email address	karen@tricityscares.org
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Tri-Cities CARES
Q4. Share any comment	<p>The Scout Clean Energy 4th Extension Request letter contains an interesting paragraph. In it, Scout states: "We encourage EFSEC to make a recommendation to the Governor in a timely manner as continued delays make it challenging for the Applicant to keep pace with regional utility clean energy supply procurement in the Pacific Northwest being driven by Washington and neighbouring states' aggressive goals for significant reduction in greenhouse gas production." Given that Judge Torem excluded any greenhouse gas deliberation from the adjudication hearings, it should not be a relevant point here either.</p>
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered

