Survey Responses

23 December 2023 - 21 January 2024

Share your comment, upload a document or a picture

Comments WA EFSEC

Project: Upcoming action item: Horse Heaven Recommendation Direction to Staff



			tors 0		
C	CONTRIBUTOR	S		RESPONSES 53	
1 Registered	O Unverified	48 Anonymous	5 Registered	O Unverified	48 Anonymous



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 12, 2024 17:09:55 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 12, 2024 17:09:55 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Dean Nester
Q2. Email address	mt-rainier@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

My preference is that the Horse Heaven Wind Project not be constructed at all, and replace the power generation capability with a new nuclear power generation unit near where the Columbia Generating Station is located. Nuclear has a significantly higher generation capacity factor (i.e., 92% for nuclear vs 35% for wind and 25% for solar based on 2022 data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration) and utilizes a MUCH smaller footprint than does wind//solar facilities. However, knowing that the Horse Heaven Wind Project will ultimately be approved by Governor Inslee even though the project does not make technical and/or economical sense, I would advise EFSEC to recommend number 2 above (i.e., "Option 1 exclusions, but also excluding all turbines identified as Class 1 Impact or above as per Figures 2-5 and 2-6 in the FEIS"). Specifically, the removal of the proposed wind turbines noted in Red located in the northwest portion of the proposed project site (see the attached picture). These turbines are a significant visual eyesore and they are located adjacent to a highly used recreational area (i.e., the BLM Horse Heaven Hills recreational area which includes the McBee Trailhead and associated hiking trails, ref: https://www.blm.gov/visit/horse-heaven-hills). Respectfully submitted on 1-12-2024 Dean Nester

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/cdf6ab6df865e0981c1e44a6b7879c1a9c4974cf/original/1705108077/9f70552fd79582eae7bf2ec45bd07c31_Wind_Turbins_Around_McBee_%28FEIS_Option-1%29.JPG?1705108077
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 13, 2024 14:59:47 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 13, 2024 14:59:47 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Denise Senor
Q2. Email address	aggiepuffin@icloud.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I believe that the committee needs to give the maximum protection to the Hawk nesting and movement corridors (option 4). The damage that wind turbines can do to birds of prey is devastating and when a species is highly endangered, their critical habitat needs to be protected at all cost. I also feel that this wind farm is too close to a large number of houses and should be completely moved to a new site. The hazards associated with fire management, the health concerns, and the concerns associated with agricultural lands (pesticide drift, decreased yields, temperature changes, water source, etc) should be considered when making decisions about the location of such a large power project. I believe this site is poorly thought out and should be completely reconsidered.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 14, 2024 17:53:34 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 14, 2024 17:53:34 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Barbara Thompson
Q2. Email address	bluemtngirl@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Last summer there was a large fire that broke out during a high wind warning, June 13, 2023. It was called the Hansen Fire. It burned over 6,000 acres. We had planes flying over dropping loads of fire retardant. Had these 600 foot wind farms been in place these large planes may not have been able to fly over our homes, orchards and vineyards trying to stop this fast moving fire. We have fires that burn through here almost every year. Instead of spending MILLIONS of dollars building Chinese made wind mills, that will have to be replaced in 20 years or become a fire hazard themself, that money should be spend on nuclear power or more Hydrodams. And if the salmon and steelhead runs are depleting.. put a bounty on seals.. And did you know that Steelhead eat salmon eggs? Article is from TriCity Herald: https://www.tricityherald.com/news/local/crime/article276424506.html

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 15, 2024 08:24:29 am **Last Seen:** Jan 15, 2024 08:24:29 am

Q1. Name	pat hollick
Q2. Email address	bonniehollick@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I'm opposed to the Horse Heaven Hills wind project be fight wild fires.	ecause of the affect it will have on people, animals and the ability to
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 5 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 15, 2024 08:50:42 am **Last Seen:** Jan 15, 2024 08:50:42 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Len Burton
Q2. Email address	lburton@ci.benton-city.wa.us
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Mayor of Benton City

Q4. Share any comment

I am opposed to the Horse Heaven wind project. Based on my experiences undertaking an irrigation project for Krebs Sheep Company in Oregon just west of Boardman, Or., I witnessed the aftermath of range fires started by wind machines. Krebs Sheep Ranch has about 500 wind machines and at least one will fail each year and start a range fire. The wind machines gear housings fail and then create sufficient heat to ignite the lubricants inside the gear box. The flaming lubricants are then spewed forth onto the ground causing a range fire. The wind machine project proposes great risk of wildfire to the Horse Heaven Hills.. The areas in which is proposed for siting these machines is steep and very difficult for fire fighters to suppress wildfires. We currently experience a major wildfire once every three years on the Horse Heaven Hills. The wind machines will dramatically increase this risk. The wind machines will negate the use of aerial fire fighting assets which have proven critical for fighting wildfires in our area. Wildfires in our area can develop conditions that allow for very rapid fire travel. Last year, much ado was written about the wildfire in Hawaii that traveled one mile per minute. Not that many years ago, we experienced a wildfire in our area moving faster than a mile in every 15 seconds. To argue wind machines is not a risk, is a denial of wildfire risk in our communities. There are many raptor birds utilizing the Horse Heaven Hills for habitat. Wind machines kill birds. This is well documented. Please take this into consideration. The wind machines will also impact residential growth in our area communities. This impact will occur from aesthetic issues and as well as limiting the locations where homes can be built. Depending on the study, wind machine failure rates range as high as 9 failure rates per machine per year. These failure rates can range from very minor problems to serious issues. It is will documented the wind machine industry suffers from quality control issues: https://reneweconomy.com.au/wind-turbine-failure-rates-are-rising-has-theindustry-gone-too-big-too-fast/ Len Burton Mayor Benon City

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0de11bacb3356c12249aa4d9824ae59e23aceb4e/original/1705337419/f53e814f7ed7a2cb98016bdd5f429ebf_Study_wind_machine_failure_rates.pdf?1705337419
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/9d81fc00459b7c06f0d67b4ef7ce910786767542/original/1705337435/1957929aff47d9a7583bd33410ebe6e7_Horse_Heaven_Hills_fire.jpg?1705337435
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 15, 2024 10:34:16 am **Last Seen:** Jan 15, 2024 10:34:16 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Craig Brown
Q2. Email address	pigeoncage@aol.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I have provided valid technical reasons why the Horse Heaven Hills wind farm is not needed and should not be built at all in an e-mail during a comment period (see below). I would appreciate your response to these reasons. ++++++++++ Craig Brown Sun, Jun 4, 2023, 3:49PM to sonia.bumpus State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Sonia Bumpus, Director, Re Comments on HORSE HEAVEN Wind and Solar Farm draft ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (DEIS) Hello Ms. Bumpus, I am opposed to this Wind/Solar Farm for a number of reasons outlined in PUBLIC COMMENTS on the SCOPE of the DEIS I provided back in JUNE 2021. (Those comments appear in your official COMMENT section as ITEM #2 (out of 361 comments) of LINK-- Horse Heaven SEPA | EFSEC - The State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council For your convenience, my comments can be found as follows: 1. CLICK on-Combined SEPA Scoping Comments 00001 - 00100 2. Scroll down one page to Comment #2—Craig Brown These comments included a number of technical/observational reasons why this project should not be approved. I have never received any comments back from your office relative to the applicability and/or technical validity of the points made, which I would appreciate. I also support the TC CARES group submittals which likewise oppose this project but for different reasons. Thanks for your consideration of all sides of this issue, which directly affects where I have lived for over 70 years, and where my children and grandchildren now live. kind regards, Craig Brown Richland

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 15, 2024 11:08:12 am **Last Seen:** Jan 15, 2024 11:08:12 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Michael Scrimsher
Q2. Email address	snakeriverskier@yahoo.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) The Clean Energy Supplier Alliance (CESA)

Q4. Share any comment

The proposed Horse Heaven windmill farm as planned will have by far the largest size windmills this area has yet seen at 500 feet tall and will cover about 10 square miles or 6,500 acres. The power they will hopefully generate will not really be needed as most of that "intermittent generation" will happen in the spring, the area's most windy season which is also when power consumption is low due to no / minimal need of heating or AC as in the summer and winter and on top of that Hydro output is at its seasonal high due to the spring runoff. The sad fact is that these ~ 244 Monster Windmills won't eliminate or reduce any CO2 emitting power generating sources since there is none in WA State to begin with. Worse yet, these added Monster Windmills will further hamper the ability of the existing hydro system, to follows the daily load of powder need as the hydro system and overall grid has done very well at for about the past 70 years.. At a "best case" calculated 25 to 30% capacity factor; these Monster Windmills will only work to earn their keep 2.5 to 3 days out of every 10 days. The Monster Windmills will mostly produce the most power in the spring when power demand is low. During the heat of summer and the cold of the winter the wind blows little in this area, so there is no to minimal windmill output then when its needed the most. In summary, windmill power generation makes minimal and unpredictable contribution to the power grid during peak demand. Another big disadvantage is that the BPA is already FORCED to buy windmill power at well over their real costs of generation whether they need the power or not; and at high prices passed to ratepayers. These monster Windmills will provide a few temporary blue collar jobs only related to the construction and then those few jobs will go away. These Monster Windmills will have no positive effect on CO2 levels, climate change or carbon emissions and will not reduce fossil fuel use. Don't be deceived about Scouts "big tax \$\$\$ will be paid to the schools" claim. Fact: The Stateline windmill farm in Walla Walla County since 2001 has paid less than a teacher's annual salary per year to the local school districts. Scout will "blow" into town, put up their Monster Windmills, lay off the few that got the temporary construction jobs to erect those Monster Windmills; collect the huge PTC subsidy, and leave us to pay the bill and see Horse Heaven littered up for literal decades with these massive windmills not generating power for many days per year. Until storage technology on this scale is commercially available AND affordable which is probably another 10-15 years away, the last thing the Tri City area needs more windmills. Let the Western WA green activists and money grubbers like Scout build Monster windmills like these on their skylines, not ours. Michael Scrimsher

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 8 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 15, 2024 19:03:10 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 15, 2024 19:03:10 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Stephen Spencer
Q2. Email address	sgspencer14@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I oppose this project in it's entirety because: Ineffective (only operate at ~30% efficiency and cost prohibitive (Expensive to install, maintain and no path forward to retire without huge environmental risks They're a Blight on the landscape, There are beautiful views in our area and these monstrosities would destroy that Because they're a Blight on the landscape all properties with views land values will decrease They're noisy and kill lots of wildlife no other industrial enterprise would be allowed to do without lots of Federal and State penalties They're a fire hazard as they do and will catch fire and placed in the middle of highly combustible desert environment with many structures is simply ludicrous Finally these structures create a significant no fly zone. In case of wildland fires the most effective way to fight them is with aircraft. Just last summer on June 13th multiple fires broke out between West Richland and Benton City, without the aircraft dropping retardant and water on the part nearest to my daughters property, she might have lost all. See attached documents with pictures

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/9524dfbcd400fc86eac69e45911a85e6bb026712/original/1705374147/f16ea26dd119dc8c0658fe2ab27cfd63_WildlandFirePictures061323.docx?1705374147
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 9 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 16, 2024 11:43:38 am **Last Seen:** Jan 16, 2024 11:43:38 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Matt Murphy
Q2. Email address	matt.murphy@tricityregionalchamber.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Tri City Regional Chamber of Commerce

Q4. Share any comment

We would like to express our appreciation for the care and thought that went into the process of making a meaningful recommendation to Governor Inslee on the siting of the Horse Heaven Windfarm. We understand that the decision-making process was thorough and involved a lot of hard work. However, we would like to express our continued objection to the placement of the wind farm. We believe that the proposed location will have a significant impact on the environment and the local community. Our previous letter, dated April 22, 2021, to the Council outlined the community's concerns and those concerns continue to exist. We urge you to reconsider the placement of the wind farm and to explore alternative locations that would be less disruptive. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 16, 2024 13:37:29 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 16, 2024 13:37:29 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Patricia Loera
Q2. Email address	patloera1212@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I'm asking you to please NOT recommend the Horse Heaven wind farm. Even in a scaled down version it will not be beneficial to our community or generate reliable, consistent energy. If this project is given the go ahead it will forever alter our beautiful terrain, (my backyard!) and cause harm to wildlife. The ability to combat wild fires using aerial vehicles will be hampered due to the huge windmills. My home was threatened by such a fire 5 years ago and I hate to think what could happen if the fire fighting capabilities are lessened. Attached is a photo of a wildfire barely missing my home at the southern edge of Kennewick in 2018. Please do NOT support the wind project!!!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/7153f5b4a621c6116ea33be18e0805effd1f33f5/original/17 05440956/298abe6471418c45dc2f51f016c670c0_IMG_6111.jpeg? 1705440956
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 11 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 16, 2024 13:56:22 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 16, 2024 13:56:22 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Jose Loera
Q2. Email address	loerajp@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I am strongly opposed to the Horse Heaven wind project and ask you to please listen to Tri-Citians and NOT recommend this project! This huge project does not belong so close to our community. It threatens and will forever change our landscape and way of life. I am concerned about hampering aerial fire fighting abilities, endangering wildlife and vegetation, obstructing views and decreasing real estate values. The energy it will supposedly generate will be minimal and not consistent or reliable. There are far better energy options to consider! Please do NOT let this move forward!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 17, 2024 14:18:48 pm Last Seen: Jan 17, 2024 14:18:48 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Doug Donley
Q2. Email address	DDonley@iuoe302.org
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IUOE LOCAL 302

Q4. Share any comment

As the state of Washington broadens it's quest for renewable resources, Projects such as the HHCEC are a commonsense approach to a clean, renewable source of energy. I feel HHCEC has made considerable concessions to mitigate any wildlife, noise, view and Cultural burdens placed on them and support this project 100%

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 17, 2024 15:55:08 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 17, 2024 15:55:08 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Rylan Grimes
Q2. Email address	rylang@ibew112.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local 112

Q4. Share any comment

None of these proposals are acceptable. Do not let guise of environmentalism paid for by a vocal minority of rich landowners with houses on the very ridges they claim to be protecting sway the opinion of this council. Benton county needs this power for industry that is interested in coming to the area and making this project unfeasible to build is a nail through not just this project but many others that would rely on this project for power. Adjust your proposals and help us meet Washington States commitment to clean energy. Attached.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705535259/066af732e9223506eb4e7bb575947bce_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705535259
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 17, 2024 16:12:33 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 17, 2024 16:12:33 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Aaron Siefken
Q2. Email address	1976siefken@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local Union 112

Q4. Share any comment

Dear Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC), I write with deep concern regarding the Council's direction to staff from the December 2023 meeting, which could dramatically shrink the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center (HHCEC) and jeopardize its viability. Rushing a Smaller Project Risks Missing CETA Targets: • Current proposals slash HHCEC's generation capacity from 1150 MW to as low as 700 MW, further diminishing its contribution to Washington's Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA) goals. • We are only one year away from the 2025 coal-free target, and Washington already faces a power shortage. As state official Glenn Blackmon stated, we need "several more" projects like HHCEC to meet future energy demands. HHCEC: Critical for Green Electrons and Grid Resilience: • This project offers both renewable energy and economic benefits, with hundreds of jobs and critical infrastructure upgrades for the Tri-Cities region. • HHCEC's renewable energy is crucial for grid stability and decarbonization, especially with extreme weather events becoming more frequent. Urgency Requires Reassessment: • The rushed discussion and potential precedent set on December 20th raise concerns about transparency and thoroughness in decision-making. We urge you to reconsider your direction to staff and hold off on acting until a comprehensive review can be conducted. Bold Action Needed, Not Reduction: Washington needs increased renewable capacity, not decreased. I stand firm in my belief that the Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center is vital for securing our state's clean energy future. I implore EFSEC to prioritize bold action over project shrinkage and ensure this critical project can move forward responsibly and contribute to a brighter energy future for Washington. Sincerely, Aaron Siefken

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705535650/5fd04d3506a0e4b48c6f42f5ed4c1f4e_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705535650
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 15 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:06:04 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:06:04 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Devin fromm
Q2. Email address	daxton45@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Ibew 112

Q4. Share any comment

This project would bring lots of jobs to the tri-cities. I understand the importance of not interfering with wildlife, but this project would help the area in the longs run.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 16 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:07:14 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:07:14 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Isaac leggett
Q2. Email address	isaac.leggett22@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW

Q4. Share any comment

I believe it is important for the project to go ahead along with the developer's recommendations, as it will be a boon to a great many people who live in this area and help grow our community. Not only in size but standard of living. The project is important and should go ahead.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:08:39 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:08:39 pm

Q1. Name	Carlos Campos
Q2. Email address	graham80fan@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local 112
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project as proposed by the developer.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 18 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:12:31 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:12:31 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Frank Turner
Q2. Email address	112sparky@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I oppose any options put forward by the council to slow this project down. I urge you to move forward and approve this clean energy wind project immediately, many other industrial project depend on getting energy from this project and since we aren't building dams anymore there aren't any free energy proposals coming to our area offering to pick up the load. We absolutely have to have more energy producers in this area. Benton REA has raised rates and selected peak demand uprates to force customers to conserve. Why would anyone oppose clean energy production. Whatever the problems have been are already addressed by the developers, the council needs to stop playing game and get this project built.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:18:15 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:18:15 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Noam Gallegos
Q2. Email address	nolangallegos509@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW112 memeber

Q4. Share any comment

Please don't let landowners stop this project or modify the proposed plan in a way that will make this project unviable. It is important to create clean new sources of energy. Everyone wants clean energy as long as it doesn't obstruct their view. This project is important on multiple levels in our area. Not just for me but for other permanent jobs that require tax credits for using clean energy to make their builds viable for our area. I'm tired of seeing Oregon getting all the benefits of building clean energy. Wa and its citizens are being left behind because off the will of a few landowners that don't see the big picture. Thank you for taking the time to read my words and I hope that this project is approved and I get to work on it to bring wa clean energy to meet future demands. Nolan Gallegos

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705627073/34d9f87bdadcc73d1ec866480f447cb9_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705627073
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 20 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:30:09 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:30:09 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Daniel Marsden
Q2. Email address	daniel.marsden.625@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I believe this project will be beneficial on multiple levels. It will bring more power generation to a rapidly growing area (tricities) and will provide economic strength for those directly involved with construction / operation but also the money that will be put back into businesses in the area. It's hard for me to see anything but good coming from this.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:30:15 pm

Last Seen: Jan 18, 2024 17:30:15 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Nick Rexus
Q2. Email address	nick.rexus@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW local 112

Q4. Share any comment

Hello, I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project as proposed by the developer. Thank you!

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:44:46 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:44:46 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Brandon Beck
Q2. Email address	beckbrandone@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

I oppose this project in any form. I am tired of looking out my windows and seeing these big objects on the hill and flashing lights at night. If they want clean energy use more if the dams and build more nuclear reactors. We already have the space and infrastructure in place.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 23 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 17:59:40 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 17:59:40 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Jerry Brandt
Q2. Email address	jwjerryb@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW 112

Q4. Share any comment

I support this project. We need good jobs and clean energy. I believe the developers are being sufficiently accommodating to wildlife. Please approve this project

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 18:02:02 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 18:02:02 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Joshua fisher
Q2. Email address	joshua.shely.o@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
04.0	

Q4. Share any comment

We need to keep jobs local and this could be a large job for local citizens. Not to mention what this project can allow in the future.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 18:22:30 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 18:22:30 pm

Q1. Name	Mike Pauley	
Q2. Email address	mpauley77@gmail.com	
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local 112	
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project as proposed by the developer		
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered	
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered	
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No	
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered	



Respondent No: 26 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 18:25:28 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 18:25:28 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	JONATHAN ESQUIVEL
Q2. Email address	jonathanesq49@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW LOCAL 112

Q4. Share any comment

As a local to the Tri Cities, I do not agree with the proposal options and urge the council to please recommend the project as proposed by the developer.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/fc33e7057b230c86e990e81eeac4fbf86b933c69/original/1 705631080/4bb7de34c2acb20c451565b21c294bdd_EFSEC_Janua ry_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116_%281%29.docx? 1705631080
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 27 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 18:25:55 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 18:25:55 pm

Q1. Name	Robert Morfin
Q2. Email address	eltororj@yahoo.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment Recommend the project as proposed by the developer.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 28 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 19:26:33 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 19:26:33 pm

Q1. Name	Sarah Larios
Q2. Email address	sarlarios21@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to r	recommend the project as proposed by the developer
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 29 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 19:51:12 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 19:51:12 pm

Q1. Name	Russell Litsey
Q2. Email address	dlitsey17@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW
Q4. Share any comment	
This is jobs that could benefit workers and the local community.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705636257/61228bcde82fa9d76a4aeb3ed4c8bd84_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705636257
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 20:12:50 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 20:12:50 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name Patrick D. Grengs II

Q2. **Email address** pixelate@mathsavers.com

Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization? No

Q4. Share any comment

Greetings EFSEC Administrators, Trustees and Associates - Regarding the HHH Wind and Solar Plant Proposal, I am providing information in an effort to scrap the project. Essentially, it is becoming obvious (on a world-wide scale) that wind turbines have no economic justification. Below, I provide examples of recent stories illustrating this assertion. I hope that this content can be effectively shared with decision makers related to the project. By the year 2025, there will be over 700,000 wind turbines installed world-wide, including offshore. They all have a shelf life. The ones offshore degrade more quickly in the high-saline content environment. By 2045, the landscape will be littered with these rotting hulks. The energy necessary for their decommission will not be available as it will be apportioned to agriculture, housing, and basic transportation because of the ever-diminishing EROI (Energy Return On Investment) on hydrocarbons and the double-digit annual depletion rates on natural gas wells. Only then, will the insane misallocation of resources be fully acknowledged. This diabolical waste will be the legacy of sustainable energy and political parasites. Sincerely, Patrick D. Grengs II / Farmer and developer of 40 acres of land in West Richland, Washington Credentials: Masters Degree in Computer Science with 30+ years professional experience as a mathematician, software engineer, project manager, technical trainer, employee of 13 different companies (several the result of M&As) and four contractors (which had me flying all over the world for several years) plus lifetime entrepreneur and business developer. I am an internationally accomplished speaker and presenter. I will publicly debate anyone regarding the Climate Change Scam, and in particular the Wind Power Fraud, given ample notice. Although I have been formally retired from the software industry since 2019, my calendar is typically booked months in advance. Wind Power is Proven to be an Economic Failure Across the Globe: • Top Wind Firm Profits Tumble 98% in New Blow to Clean Energy / Goldwind squeezed by lower prices, sector's surplus capacity. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-10-26/goldwind-profits-plunged-even-as-wind-power-surges-in-china Orsted A/S shares slumped to their lowest level in six years after the Danish utility dropped two US wind projects and recorded 28.4 billion kroner (\$4 billion) in impairments as the crisis in the wind industry worsens. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-11-01/orsted-drops-us-wind-projects-taking-4-billion-impairment-hit • The crisis engulfing the US offshore wind industry escalated as Orsted A/S and BP Plc became the latest developers to take large write-downs on projects, putting President Joe Biden's targets for renewable energy generation at risk. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-11-01/us-offshore-wind-crisis-escalates-with-5-billion-in-writedowns • The US offshore wind industry is "fundamentally broken" due to cost pressures and permitting delays, according to a top executive at BP Plc. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-11-01/bp-says-us-offshore-wind-industry-isfundamentally-broken • Shares in Siemens Energy have recovered but fell as much as 11.7% on Tuesday after focus shifted again to Siemens Gamesa, the world's largest maker of offshore wind turbines, where quality issues and ramp-up problems caused a 4.6 billion euro annual net loss. o https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/siemens-energys-struggling-wind-unitunveils-436-million-cost-cuts-2023-11-21/ • Why America's Wind Power Failures Are Good for GE / Ahead of CEO Larry Culp's upcoming conglomerate breakup, recently canceled projects will free the company from more than \$1 billion in unprofitable turbine contracts. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2023-11-16/why-america-s-wind-power-failuresare-good-for-ge Wind Turbine Demolition / Turbines are Clearly Not being Recycled: • When wind turbines are no longer useful: They get explosive demolition. o https://wattsupwiththat.com/2021/05/22/when-wind-turbines-are-no-longer-usefulthey-get-explosive-demolition/ • Twenty-One (21) Wind Turbines are destroyed - Controlled Demolition, Inc. o https://youtu.be/LbDnLiu6DCE?si=1-OLkEk4zwYAqNIO • Wind Turbine Blades Can't Be Recycled, So They're Piling Up in Landfills. o https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2020-02-05/wind-turbine-blades-can-t-be-recycled-so-they-re-pilingup-in-landfills Dissent and Truth are Cancelled by the Green Narrative: • One of the most successful and prominent websites

for exposing the fraud of the wind industry has been cancelled (shut-down). The voices for dissent and truth are being eradicated in the name of supporting political and financial fraud on a global scale. "Stop These Things" has recently been silenced. https://stopthesethings.com/author/stopthesethings/ • And yet, the voices to expose the wind power fraud remain alive and well on one of the most long-standing and successful websites for truth: "Watts Up With That" has been shining the brilliant light of facts, science and objectivity on the green-scam for nearly two decades, wind energy is in the center of the cross-hairs: https://wattsupwiththat.com/category/energy/wind-power/ Wind Turbines Cost More to Build than the Power They Produce: • In spite of the green narrative, it is easily computable that the total power generated by a wind turbine over its operational lifetime will never eclipse the costs for its implementation (mining, refining, fabrication, shipping, construction, operation, maintenance, insurance, decommissioning). The green narrative fails to include two of the most basic elements concerning the life of a modern windmill: the concrete base that supports the tower and the cost for so-called "recycling." As mentioned earlier, wind turbines are not recycled. Rather, they are demolished, cut into pieces and placed into landfills. Ample evidence for this exists both online and via county-assessor's offices where the spent turbine junk is sequestered. Further, the costs for setting the concrete base (2,500 tons plus rebar and wood forms) are never included in the total cost allotment. These things are not green: the CO2 generated in the creation of the 600,000 pounds of cement needed for the base of each 2MW turbine is nearly 300 tons. • Wind turbines are supported, in large measure, by financial incentives including: tax breaks for the contractor during construction, ongoing municipal tax breaks during wind plant operation, plus the requirement that utilities purchase their wholesale power from the wind-plants whenever that power is available. • The largest cost associated with wind-turbines comes from the fact that wind is not consistent. Wind turbines provide, at most, 30 percent consistent power. When the wind stops, or the velocity is below the turbine cut-in speed, hot-standby power must be instantly available. This is typically provided by natural gas. As such, the infrastructure for a natural gas plant must be three times that of the combined optimal power provided by wind. This green-renewable crowd never seems to acknowledge this fundamental element of power generation mathematics. Property Values Crater when Power Plants such as Wind are Installed: • Nobody in their right mind wants to live next to an industrial power plant. The HHH wind plant will destroy the beautiful vistas and skyline of the TriCities if the Seattle Space Needle sized towers are allowed to pollute the landscape. Only the scientifically and economically illiterate would be in favor of this destructive eyesore. Property values will drop 20-40 percent in context of the proximity to the massive 25-mile-long industrial plant. • None of these wind turbines are necessary. Washington State receives over 70% of its electricity from the clean-green 100% reliable hydroelectric power. It is political folly that hydropower has not been designated as "renewable." This effort is advanced as the means to replace the LSRD (Lower Snake River Dams) with economically and environmentally damaging wind plants. Wind Turbine Power Generation and Projections: According to the Global Wind Energy Council (GWEC), at the end of 2016 more than 341,000 wind turbines were installed worldwide. Table below shows the number of turbines (estimated from 2016 per 9% annual growth) along with Added Capacity and Total Capacity in Gigawatts (baseplate ratings at maximum operational power delivery). From Site: https://gwec.net/globalwindreport2023/ Year Turbines Add Cap Total Cap (GW) 2016 341,000 2017 372,000 527 2018 405,000 51 578 2019 441,000 61 639 2020 481,000 95 734 2021 525,000 94 828 2022 572,000 78 906 2023 623,000 80 986 ^ 2024 679,000 85 1071 ^ 2025 741,000 90 1161 ^ ^ Estimates for 2023 and beyond.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/e7177783b5aceab3441ef62d25c913896f1482dd/original/1705637083/df0c1428ef84a3e3523795bbe0873170_EFSEC_HHH_Testimony_20240118_PDG.docx?1705637083
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 31 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 20:24:33 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 20:24:33 pm

Q1. Name	Carrie Grimes
Q2. Email address	t.carrie05@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project as proposed by the developer.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 32 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 18, 2024 20:25:33 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 18, 2024 20:25:33 pm

Q1. Name	Estevan Barajas
Q2. Email address	estevanbarajasorozco@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW 112
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to	recommend the project as proposed by the developer.
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 07:07:25 am **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 07:07:25 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	D. Brent Strecker
Q2. Email address	brentstrecker@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

EFSEC panel. I would like to thank you for recommending some restrictions to the proposed Colorado based companies devastating wind turbine and solar plan. I understand it is your responsibility to recommend the right energy solutions for the state taking all matters into consideration. Please listen heavily to our local leaders and power suppliers that know the needs and wants of the community. The hard reality is valuable power sources are being forced to shut down simply for political reasons and the thought that wind and solar can replace them is nothing more than wishful thinking. Study after study, shows that massive turbines will do little to help the power grid, but the negative impact to our community will be extensive. The environmental impact of the gathering, processing, making, shipping, installing, and maintaining the turbines should be disturbing to everyone. I live in the horse heavens and regularly ride my bike on the gravel roads. It would be very sad to see this area destroyed by even one worthless turbine. I can tell you there are pairs of hawks that appear to have a territory of approx 2 miles and they are spaced out across this entire area. Please be sure to look at the pictures I have posted of the hawks, sandhill cranes and owls. If the turbines go in, the coyotes will have a massive new food source. It will be interesting to see if I can get pictures of the killed endangered sandhill cranes before the coyotes get them. This is a link to many pictures. https://photos.app.goo.gl/Nw1mcseTU3zFSaTB8 I'll put a few in the attachments as well.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/f9eb7e440a38ea23a26d90af81080989e8cf0423/original/1705676757/4e2f63365e628d5de9b78713e6d8f416_20230730_101529.jpg?1705676757
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/52e499264567e8b0b34b16b68beb9171452d6f66/original/1705676775/eccf324eb6e0af87b4734584d3977e72_20190104_093103.jpg?1705676775
Q7. Did you also share a video?	Yes
Q8. What is the title of your video?	Sandhill Cranes



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 07:37:57 am **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 07:37:57 am

Q1. Name	Aziza Foster
Q2. Email address	zfoster@mjbe.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Benton County
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/d7c36ff8a7743efc537deead2b1c9274723afba9/original/1705678559/b3d9113a471d3b3638f73587f3776c0c_20240117_KWH_to_Energy_Facility_Site_Eval_Council.pdf?1705678559
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 35 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 08:15:02 am **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 08:15:02 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Shanda Shipps
Q2. Email address	shandalshipps@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW LU 112

Q4. Share any comment

Hello, I write to oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project ss proposed by the developer. Our livelihood as local tradesmen depends on the future of these prodjects. Besprojects. Shanda Shipps IBEW LU 112 #7464417

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No



Respondent No: 36 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 10:13:30 am **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 10:13:30 am

Q1. Name	Jessica Wadsworth
Q2. Email address	Jessica@local348.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Laborers' Local 348
Q4. Share any comment	
Thank you for taking the time to read our letter.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/9e5415d3b8c2ec7d9defa6f507fa3904f85dce12/original/1 705688006/d186f8092f7a03436d3bbbed35738a5b_EFSEC_Januar y_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116_%281%29.pdf? 1705688006
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 37 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 10:39:29 am **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 10:39:29 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Bob Legard
Q2. Email address	bobl@ibew112.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local Union 112

Q4. Share any comment

To me, this project needs to progress through the permitting stage and begin construction. I've read several articles that detailed both positive and negative opinions. In my opinion, projects that provide potential growth for a community, good family wage jobs, help in achieving our Green Power goals and provide the potential for attracting additional projects far outweigh the negativity that surrounds this project. We need to find ways to attract projects like this, not find ways to block them by adding restrictions on them through the permitting process.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705689558/f68841f68a1f554602f7c10eaa734c91_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705689558
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 38 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 13:49:33 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 13:49:33 pm

Q1. Name	Jacob Hayes Mercure
Q2. Email address	jacobmercure710@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW LU 112
Q4. Share any comment I oppose the proposed options and urge the council to recommend the project as proposed by the developer.	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/0a7328ef78cadf3dfe0b51034abcf438a9ec9698/original/1705700931/3c2c645b62da684c980ad8de4f2f921c_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116_2.docx?1705700931
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 13:55:44 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 21:25:15 pm

IP Address: 205.210.22.4

Q1. Name	Margaret Hue
Q2. Email address	m.hue39@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally was formed to collect signatures against proposed HHH Wind Farm

Q4. Share any comment

I and others signing the attached petitions are against the proposed HHH Wind Farm close to our residential homes, family and friend's residences; endangering wildlife species from protection of survival; failing to protect native lands and shrub steppe; failing to protect aerial firefighters from fighting wild fires notorious on HHH; failure to protect downwind agricultural farms and residents from changes in local climate patterns, rising local temperatures that will impact the local wine industry, unique agricultural crops, orchards from delayed picks, pushing bud break and other economic losses and future increased costs to residents for power. Furthermore, the loss of tourism and loss of property values from the visual effect of the proposed wind farm so close to 319,000 residents with no benefit to residents and local economy. I am attaching a file that is too large so I need to send in multiple files

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/e2319dc5d471e4b14c1c8c97bed7b552d0425b53/original /1705701221/d93e7ef9b11ca6073952e0521626c2eb_Jan_17202 4_cover_letter_2677_signatures_against_wind_farm_petitions.pdf? 1705701221
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 14:09:51 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 21:25:15 pm

Q1. Name	Margaret Hue
Q2. Email address	m.hue39@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally to collect petitions Against the Proposed HHH Wind Farm
Q4. Share any comment File 1 pages 1-50 of petitions Against the HHH Wind Farm	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/54b8daebb5fd628586765cddaae5a17cfc7adf05/original/1705702178/54c8935b037ab2a22618bf51c38a14d0_8-23-2023_Petitions_against_HHH_Wind_Farm_p_1-50.pdf?1705702178
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 14:15:13 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 21:25:15 pm

Q1. Name	Margaret Hue
Q2. Email address	m.hue39@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally to collect petitions Against proposed HHH Wind Farm with 2668 signatures
Q4. Share any comment File 2 pages 51-106 of the 190 Petitions Against the Proposed HHH Wind Farm	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/69f20ba1dc0555073c3ee591b6710c7f147d44bc/original/1705702506/dfc3843c5b31c2ba4aaedf786db74f91_8-23-2023_petition_against_HHH_windsolar_farm_p_51-106.pdf?1705702506
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 14:20:21 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 21:25:15 pm

Q1. Name	Margaret Hue
Q2. Email address	m.hue39@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally collecting 2677 petition signatures Against the proposed HHH Wind Farm
Q4. Share any comment File 3 pages 107-145 of the 190 pages of petition signatures	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/6573f24434fdd2421e25e048de8a9e0049ee2310/original/1705702805/f534a0ec563be0f59cbeb3fbd2ea86b8_8-23-2-23_Petition_p_107145_Against_HHH_Wind_Farmpdf?1705702805
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: mhue

Email: m.hue39@gmail.com

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 14:25:18 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 21:25:15 pm

Q1. Name	Margaret Hue
Q2. Email address	m.hue39@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Decide Locally to collect petition 2677 signatures Against the proposed HHH Wind Farm
Q4. Share any comment File 4 with pages 146-190 of Petition Against proposed HHH Wind Farm	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/71bb22333332ecf2580d52fc788855ebcaf28426/original/1705703074/c840734e9543985cdf60763bb65b9e62_8-23-2023_Petition_p_146-190_Against_HHH_Wind_Solar_Farm.pdf?1705703074
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 16:39:40 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 16:39:40 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Ariel Stavitsky
Q2. Email address	ariel.stavitsky@stoel.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Stoel Rives LLP

Q4. Share any comment

On behalf of Scout Clean Energy, attached is a redacted version of Scout's public comment and attachments. An unredacted version will be submitted by separate transmittal to EFSEC staff. Please contact me with any questions.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/ebb871edaf7d838074881315be3bebd0f0a27f9c/original/1705711166/3e73375d7d4a94288ed2e24f0160b086_REDACTED_Scout_Comments_on_EFSEC_Meeting_Jan_2024.pdf?1705711166
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous **Email:** n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 16:55:59 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 16:55:59 pm

Q1. Name	Cisco Elguezabal
Q2. Email address	Cisco@local348.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) LiUNA Laborers Local 348
Q4. Share any comment not answered	
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/ad7ef1305174680ee8c1d114a7fba8a109ab2768/original/1705712115/e23cffecd5fd8c8e27d5b9e463bf8116_LIUNA_Laborers_Local_348docx?1705712115
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 19:30:54 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 19:30:54 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Felicia Siefken
Q2. Email address	feliciasiefken@hotmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Dear Chair Kathleen Drew and Council Members, As a citizen deeply concerned about our community's well-being, I stand firmly in favor of the Horse Heaven Wind project. While I respect the need for environmental protection, I urge you to reject the proposed restrictions that threaten to stall this initiative based on exaggerated concerns and privileged anxieties. Let's be clear: the project applicant has already made significant concessions, reducing turbines and solar fields to address potential environmental impacts. Further restrictions at this stage, fueled by anxieties of those shielded from the harsh realities of rising energy demands, are not only unnecessary but also deeply detrimental to our community's needs. The Horse Heaven Wind project is not just about turbines; it's about opportunity and a brighter future for our families: • Jobs: Hundreds of good-paying opportunities for working families struggling with economic uncertainty. • Clean energy: A vital step towards achieving CETA goals and combating climate change for the benefit of everyone. • Economic stability: Affordable, reliable energy to address rising costs and support our growing state. • A thriving community: Investing in our people and future, not succumbing to fear mongering by the privileged few. We cannot let anxieties and aesthetics trump the tangible benefits this project offers. While some worry about the landscape, working families face the real brunt of environmental degradation and economic instability. The Horse Heaven Wind project embodies a solution, not a problem. I urge you, the esteemed members of the EFSEC Council, to prioritize the needs of the many over the anxieties of the privileged few. Reject the proposed restrictions and approve the Horse Heaven Wind project as originally proposed. Let's build a future powered by clean energy, economic prosperity, and the well-being of our community. Sincerely, Felicia Siefken

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 19, 2024 22:15:59 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 19, 2024 22:15:59 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name

Q2. Email address

Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?

Q3. No

Q4. Share any comment

The Horse Heaven Hills are some of the most beautiful hills in our state. Our well-known local photographer, John Clement, has taken some spectacular photos of the HHH. They are the home of bald eagles, burrowing owls, Canada geese, and the recently re-introduced pronghorn antelope. These gigantic turbines will injure and kill many migratory birds on their sky highway to the South such as sand hill cranes, Canadian geese, ducks, blue herons, snow geese, etc. Thousands of these birds fly over our homes in the fall. We've seen eagles flying overhead too. My neighbor across the street had several young adult eagles perched in his tree last year. Another neighbor saw a golden eagle near their home. If any of us common folks killed an eagle, we would pay a hefty fine; however, wind turbines maiming or killing eagles is acceptable to environmentalists. This is completely hypocritical. We don't know how other bird species in our area will be affected like pheasants, doves, chukars, quail, hummingbirds, warblers, wood peckers, finches, etc. Not only are birds harmed by colliding with the wind blades but flying bats can be affected by changes in the air pressure resulting from blade rotations. We don't know how it will affect the antelope that have recently migrated to the Horse Heaven Hills. Is it ever appropriate or acceptable to construct such a massive industrial development in an area so close to a large city, in an unspoiled natural environment, destroying irreplaceable habitat, threatening wildlife and killing migratory birds? The answer is surely a resounding 'no'. This industrial turbine wind project should not be placed in the dry desert where it will be a dust bowl during construction use up our limited water supply and impact our well water supply by depleting our aquifers if they are allowed to drill a well. Heavy truck traffic will damage our roads and place public safety in jeopardy especially to school children at school bus stops and for vehicles meeting and following these trucks hauling turbine materials and copious amounts of water for cement mixing and dust control. There will be traffic disruptions of long wait times during road straightening, widening, and paving. People who don't live in a desert have no idea what happens in a dry climate when heavy equipment start digging and moving dirt. Fugitive dust will be a constant battle and that fugitive dust laced with chemicals used in farming operations on the HHH will be a major health concern for everyone in the Tri-Cities especially to children and the elderly. Fires in our desert climate is another concern. A fire on a 671-foot tall turbine in a desert where there are abundant amounts of tumble weeds and dried grasses will burn rapidly and spread quickly to surrounding homes. A fire burning high on a turbine is out of reach of local firefighting resources. Low and slow aerial firefighting aircraft cannot be used due to the risks posed by these tall wind turbines. Hundreds of acres can be consumed by a single turbine fire and just one fire incident can cost up to \$4.5 M to contain according to Wind Power Engineering. We are not dealing with harmless, innocent windmills but rather with high voltage machines called aerogenerators high in the sky, operating with hundreds of gallons of oil and lubricants (a far cry from so called "clean green energy"), , subject to lightning strikes and friction ignition from moving parts. Research suggests that one of the main ignition sources for fires in turbines is lightning strikes. We have a lot of dry lightning strikes in our desert in the summer time. The perfect spot for this project is on the west side along the coastline where the climate is wet with no danger of fire and no issue with fugitive dust. There's plenty of wind along the coast so no need for supplemental energy.

\bigcirc 5	Health	VOLIE	document	(ontional)
QJ.	Opioau	youi	aocument	(Optional)

not answered

Q6. Upload a picture (optional)

https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/5e81dae9ccee9309304395e900f52a1b3b2d5d82/original/1705731327/c1e749114dc2651fe7c88e77185b6858_2011-10-13_Geese_Flying_Over_001_%282%29.JPG?1705731327

Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 20, 2024 09:06:55 am **Last Seen:** Jan 20, 2024 09:06:55 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Kurt Gustafson
Q2. Email address	kurt.c.gustafson@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) Union Member, IBEW Local 112

Q4. Share any comment

I would like to take a moment to express my support for this project as a resident and community member, and most importantly as a Union Electrician. This project is not only substantial in and of itself to provide years of renewable energy, help increase our power generation capability for the area, and provide many high paying skilled construction jobs. This project will also help incentivize other local projects as well. I would like to see EFSEC recommend this project as originally proposed. I am including a letter with additional comment.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705770199/681b20aef3f625893b3b3d5b5935850a_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705770199
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 20, 2024 16:44:25 pm **Last Seen:** Jan 20, 2024 16:44:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Cherif Megahed
Q2. Email address	chermegah@protonmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	Yes (please specify) IBEW Local Union 112

Q4. Share any comment

Dear EFSEC council, The Horse Heaven Clean Energy Center (HHCEC) is a critical component of building Washington state's resilient clean energy future, the project itself would not only bring Good paying jobs to the Men and Women of the Tri-Cities; but it's an investment that would compound over time by bringing Industry to the Area seeking out clean energy, thus creating even more good paying jobs. Please, continue to make Central and South Eastern Washington one of the Best Places to live for the hard working families of this country, and allow the project to move forward with minimal changes. Please see the attached document. Thank you for your time.

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/3cbb0f526d276fa14c9f3a93a88acef4758d1b59/original/1705796471/52a7e768fbd9104d017ba8f5c5ec9d57_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter.docx?1705796471
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 21, 2024 08:51:52 am **Last Seen:** Jan 21, 2024 08:51:52 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Karen Strecker
Q2. Email address	karenstrecker@gmail.com
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No

Q4. Share any comment

Dear EFSEC, I want to thank you for considering our concerns for the HHH windmill project. While I do not support putting windmills on our beautiful Horse Heaven Hills, I feel that option 4 is the only acceptable alternative and it is crucial to adequately address aerial firefighting and additional visual, wildlife and fugitive dust issues. Thank you, Karen Strecker

Q5. Upload your document (optional)	not answered
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered



Respondent No: 51 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q8}}.$ What is the title of your video?

Responded At: Jan 21, 2024 11:37:15 am **Last Seen:** Jan 21, 2024 11:37:15 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Name	Kris Leistritz			
Q2. Email address	kmleistritz@gmail.com			
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No			
Q4. Share any comment I do not approve of the proposed restrictions and efforts to limit the size of the Horse Heavens Hills wind project. We need the project for current and future energy and local area expansion. I ask the countless to reconsider.				
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705865828/9f70ebf17293742b5c523e9397533b35_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705865828			
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered			
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No			

not answered



Respondent No: 52 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 21, 2024 11:41:42 am **Last Seen:** Jan 21, 2024 11:41:42 am

Q1. Name	Troy I bacon			
Q2. Email address	troylbacon@gmail.com			
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No			
Q4. Share any comment I do not approve of the proposed changes to the project				
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705866087/a4704471b3f000bb55b02d4018dbc739_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705866087			
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered			
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No			
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered			



Respondent No: 53 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jan 21, 2024 11:55:37 am **Last Seen:** Jan 21, 2024 11:55:37 am

Q1. Name	Naomi Leistritz		
Q2. Email address	nrbacon77@gmail.com		
Q3. Are you part of an Agency or Organization?	No		
Q4. Share any comment I don't approve of the changes to the wind farm project.			
Q5. Upload your document (optional)	https://s3-us-west-1.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-us-california/60794604ee2e47ec625b018b872c71b170272aaf/original/1705866918/da4b0a9360de8b665cf13f2851207d14_EFSEC_January_24_Meeting_Comment_Letter_230116.docx?1705866918		
Q6. Upload a picture (optional)	not answered		
Q7. Did you also share a video?	No		
Q8. What is the title of your video?	not answered		