BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITING EVALUATION COUNCIL

In the Matter of the Application of:

Scout Clean Energy, LLC, for Horse Heaven Wind Farm, LLC, Applicant. DOCKET NO. EF-210011

TRI-CITIES C.A.R.E.S. MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

(Oral Argument Requested)

1. MOTION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TRI-CITIES C.A.R.E.S. (TCC) requests that the Council and/or the presiding Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) enter an order recusing or disqualifying the presiding Administrative Law Judge from further participation in these proceedings based on RCW 34.05.425, RCW 34.12.050 and Washington Appearance of Fairness Doctrine RCW 42.36.

2. BASIS FOR RECUSAL.

As stated in the accompanying Declaration of J. Richard Aramburu, counsel for TCC, and based on the records and proceedings in this adjudication, TCC believes that Adam E. Torem should recuse or disqualify himself from further participation in this adjudication based upon bias, prejudice and prejudgment and that TCC cannot have a fair and impartial adjudication before the presiding ALJ.

3. DISCUSSION.

This motion is served upon and directed to both the presiding Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in the pending matter pursuant to RCW 34.05.425(3)-(7), the

TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 1

LAW OFFICES OF J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLL(705 2^{NO} AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 aramburulaw.com

1	Washington Appearance of Fairness Doctrine and RCW 42.36.080, and to the Chief			
2	Administrative Law Judge pursuant to RCW 34.12.050. See also RCW 34.05.570(g).			
3	ALJ Torem should recuse himself from further participation in these proceedings			
4	for the following reasons.			
5	3.1 STATE LAW ALLOWS MOTION FOR RECUSAL.			
6	RCW 34.12.050 provides as follows:			
7	Administrative law judge—Motion of prejudice against—Request for			
8 9	assignment of. (1) Any party to a hearing being conducted under the provisions of this chapter (including the state agency, whether or not it is nominally a party) may file with the chief administrative law judge a motion of prejudice, with supporting affidavit, against the administrative law judge			
10	assigned to preside at the hearing. The first such motion filed by any party shall be automatically granted.			
11	(Emphasis supplied). This motion of prejudice and for recusal is the first motion for			
12	recusal in this matter and should be granted.			
13	3.2 PREJUDICE BASED ON PREDISPOSITION/PREJUDGMENT.			
14 15	RCW 34.05.425 provides as follows:			
15 16 17	3) Any individual serving or designated to serve alone or with others as presiding officer is subject to disqualification for bias, prejudice, interest, or any other cause provided in this chapter or for which a judge is disqualified.			
18 19	(4) Any party may petition for the disqualification of an individual promptly after receipt of notice indicating that the individual will preside or, if later, promptly upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification.			
20	The presiding ALJ has continuously indicated he has prejudged important issues in this			
21	matter. During pre-hearing proceedings, without a motion or other requests from the			
22	parties, ALJ Torem stated, sua sponte, that certain matters would be excluded from this			
23	adjudication, including the following:			
24	! Compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act			
25	! Greenhouse gas emissions reductions analysis.			
26				
27				
28	TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 2Law Offices of J. Richard Aramburu, PLLC 7052WAVE. SUBJUG SEATLE 98104 Telephone (206) 682-39515 FAX (206) 682-39515 FAX (206) 682-3976 aramburulaw.com			

1 Such prejudgment constitutes bias and prejudice under RCW 34.05.425, RCW 2 34.12.050 and the Washington Appearance of Fairness Doctrine, RCW chapter 42.36. THREATS OF SANCTIONS AGAINST PARTIES TO THE 3 3.3 ADJUDICATION. 4 As a part of the "Agenda" for the prehearing conference held on May 2, 2023 5 (issued on April 28, 2023) the ALJ stated: 6 Hearing Briefs may choose to raise policy and legislative intent issues 7 (i.e., RCW 80.50.010) as each party deems appropriate but within page limits prescribed by presiding officer. Any such arguments should ensure a firm basis in existing law (see CR 11 for guidance) or a good faith 8 argument for extension/modification/reversal of existing law (or the establishment of new law). Submissions of evidence or arguments 9 deemed frivolous will be stricken and reviewed for any available sanction under the APA. 10 11 (Emphasis supplied.) This statement amounted to intimidation of TCC and other 12 parties, made before any briefing or submission of materials by them. The Council's 13 rules already provide that party representatives "shall conform to the standards of ethical conduct required of attorneys before the courts of Washington." WAC 463-30-14 15 100(3). The ALJ's statement indicated bias and the denial of fair hearing, and tended to 16 stifle – and create a chilling effect on – the application of important Washington law and the right to petition the government under the Washington and United States 17 Constitutions. 18 19 These statements constitute bias and prejudice under RCW 34.05.425, RCW 20 34.12.050 and the Washington Appearance of Fairness Doctrine, RCW chapter 42.36.1 21 22 3.4 INAPPROPRIATE PRECONDITION FOR SUBMISSION OF TESTIMONY. 23 In his Prehearing order of May 19, 2023, ALJ Torem placed the following 24 restriction on testimony: 25 Any party wishing to present witness(es) on "Local Concerns, Attitudes and 26 ¹ TCC specifically reserves all rights under 42 U.S.C § 1983. 27 TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / 28 J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLLC 705 2[№] AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 3

aram burulaw.com

Opinions" <u>should justify the witness' significance as a representative of the local area and ability to speak for the community-at-large</u> and understand they will be subject to cross-examination. This category is not public comment that will be heard per RCW 80.50.090(4).

(Emphasis supplied.) The requirement to "justify the witness' significance as a representative of the local area" and "ability to speak for the community-at-large" has no basis in EFSEC rules nor in Washington Rules of Evidence. WAC 463-30-091 does provide that "the council shall consider whether intervention by the petitioner would unduly delay the proceeding or prejudice the rights of the existing parties" but provides no limitations or restrictions of this nature.

RCW 34.05.452 generally provides that evidence is admissible if it provides that "it is the kind of evidence on which reasonably prudent persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of their affairs." Though "the presiding officer shall exclude evidence that is excludable on constitutional or statutory grounds or on the basis of evidentiary privilege recognized in the courts of this state," there is no requirement that a witness, especially an expert witness, establish their significance or ability to speak for others. Moreover, the ALJ has created a standard for admission of testimony that is so vague that counsel and the parties must guess at its meaning for testimony proposed to be included in the record.

These prerequisites, to be applied on a vague and indefinite basis, amount to prejudgment, bias and a violation of the appearance of fairness doctrine under RCW 34.05.425, RCW 34.12.050 and the Washington Appearance of Fairness Doctrine RCW 42.36.

3.5. UNDUE DELAYS IN PREHEARING PROCEDURE WITHOUT EXPLANATION.

Prehearing procedures in this matter were initiated by Prehearing Conference No. 1 (PHC#1) on March 10, 2023, with a second PHC on March 20, 2023. The agenda for that the second PHC indicated further discussion regarding the contested issues,

TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 4

LAW OFFICES OF J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLLC 705 2ND AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 aramburulaw.com with the following direction:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

- 5. Disputed Issues List
- Specific to Topic / Neutral Tone / Simple List vs Question Format
- o EFSEC Orders in Kittitas Valley Wind, Whistling Ridge, and Tesoro

See Page 2. The parties were request to provide a list of disputed issues and TCC

submitted their list on March 17, 2023, which included ten specific issues. There was

discussion of issues during the March 20, 2023 PHC, but no resolution.

A third PHC was scheduled for March 27, 2023 to address disputed issues and other subjects. However, on March 23, PHC#3 was abruptly cancelled by the PALJ as follows:

The third pre-hearing in this matter set for Monday, March 27, 2023 at 2:45 p.m. will NOT be held as previously scheduled. Administrative Law Judge Adam E. Torem will reschedule this conference as soon as reasonably possible in April 2023.

No explanation for this delay was provided.

In fact, PHO#3 was not re-scheduled until May 2, 2023, some 35 days after the

original date of March 27, 2023. No explanation for this delay was provided. The

agenda for the May 2, 2023 PHC indicated a set of disputed issues which deviated

from the lists provided by parties as ordered by the PALJ. At the May 2 PHC, there

was considerable concern expressed by the parties regarding which issues would be

heard. The Examiner indicated (concerning the disputed issues) that:

JUDGE TOREM: I have got a three-asterisk note to think about that, Mr. Harper.

Transcript of May 2, 2023 at page 104, lines 23-25.

The PALJ committed to considering those issues; he requested comments from

23 the parties on these matters by May 4, 2023, and committed to issuance of a PHO on

24 May 5:

I intend to issue a -- I intend to issue a prehearing conference order on Friday, in the late afternoon, likely after I have a conversation with the rest of staff based on how things went today and what I hear from all of you by close of business on May 4^{th} .

28 TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 5

LAW OFFICES OF J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLLC 705 2^{NO} AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 aramburulaw.com

1	May 2, 2023 Hearing Transcript at page 102. TCC submitted a letter (as did other				
2	parties) explaining its concerns with discussions at the May 2 PHC. However, no PHO				
3	was issued by the PALJ until May 19, 2023, some two weeks after the established date.				
4	That order required submission of prefiled direct testimony by June 12, 2023, only 24				
5	days after PHO#3 was issued limiting the parties to specific issues. PHO#3 also				
6	introduced a specific, additional and new requirement (only 24 days before the				
7	deadline for submission of prefiled, written direct testimony) that:				
8 9	Therefore, per WAC 463-30-092, TCC shall limit its participation and presentation of evidence on land use topics and <u>coordinate its concerns with the County who shall be the lead party for that issue.</u>				
10	In all, the delay in issuing a PHO from on or about March 28 until May 19, 2023,				
11	delayed preparation by TCC and other parties a total of 54 days, leaving only 24				
12	calendar and 14 business days (including the public Memorial Day Holiday) to prepare				
13	written direct prefiled testimony.				
14	The delays in scheduling PHCs and issuing PHOs by the PALJ amount to				
15	prejudgment and bias and form the basis for recusal or disqualification of the presiding				
16	ALJ under RCW 34.05.425, RCW 34.12.050 and the Washington Appearance of				
17	Fairness Doctrine RCW 42.36.				
18	3.6 EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAFF.				
19	At page 102, lines 20-25 of the transcript of PHC#3, the PALJ states:				
20					
21	Friday, in the late afternoon, likely after I have a conversation with the rest of staff based on how things went today and what I hear from all of you by close of business on May 4 th .				
22	(Emphasis supplied). ² This statement indicates that the PALJ anticipated <i>ex parte</i>				
23	<i>communications</i> with members of EFSEC staff. RCW 34.05.455(1) does not allow				
24	communications with "any person employed by the agency without notice and				
25	communications with any person employed by the agency without house and				
26					
27	² The reference to "Friday" was to May 5, 2023, though the PHO was not issued until May 19, 2023.				
28	TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION /				

1	opportunity for all parties to participate" with certain exceptions. As stated, the two			
2	applicable exceptions under RCW 34.05.055(1) are as follows:			
3	(b) [(ii)] Any presiding officer may receive aid from legal counsel, or from staff assistants who are subject to the presiding officer's supervision; and			
4	(c) [(iii)] Presiding officers may communicate with other employees or			
5 6	consultants of the agency who have not participated in the proceeding in any manner, and who are not engaged in any investigative or prosecutorial functions in the same or a factually related case.			
7	TCC believes, and therefore alleges, that the "rest of the staff" that the ALJ intended to			
8	communicate with were not either "subject to the presiding officer's supervision" or			
9	"have not participated in the proceeding in any manner." The ALJ has not placed any			
10	such communication on the record as contemplated by RCW 34.05.455(5). ³			
11	The ex parte communications by the PALJ to staff as described above amount to			
12	prejudgment and bias and form the basis for recusal or disqualification of the presiding			
13	ALJ under RCW 34.05.425, RCW 34.12.050, the Washington Appearance of Fairness			
14	Doctrine, RCW 42.36, and RCW 34.05.455(6).			
15	4. CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF.			
16	TCC respectfully requests that the presiding ALJ recuse or disqualify himself			
17	from further actions in this matter based on the foregoing.			
18	Respectfully submitted this <u>27</u> day of May, 2028.			
19	Kuchandhanh			
20	J. Richard Aramburu, WSBA #466			
21	Attorney for Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.			
22				
23				
24				
25	^o RCW 34.05.455(7) also provides:			
26				
27	may provide for appropriate sanctions, including default, for any violations of this section.			
28	TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 7			
1				

1			
2			
3			
4	BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITING EVALUATION COUNCIL		
5	In the Matter of the Application of	I	
6	In the Matter of the Application of:	DOCKET NO. EF-210011	
7	Scout Clean Energy, LLC, for Horse Heaven Wind Farm, LLC, Applicant.	DECLARATION OF J. RICHARD ARAMBURU IN SUPPORT OF	
8 9	Αμριταπ.	TRI-CITIES C.A.R.E.S. MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING	
10		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
11		(Oral Argument Requested)	
12			
13			
14			
15	J. Richard Aramburu declares as follows:		
16	I am of legal age, competent to testify herein, and have personal knowledge of		
17	the proceedings herein.		
18	I am the attorney for intervenor TRI-CITIES C.A.R.E.S. (TCC) in this proceeding.		
19	I believe my client cannot receive a fair hearing under Administrative Law Judge		
20	Adam E. Torem.		
21		der the laws of the State of Washington that	
22	1 ATL		
23	Signed this 25 day of May, 2023	in Seattle, Washington.	
24	S	Lichard Aranh	
25		Richard Aramburu, WSBA #466 prney for Tri-Cities C.A.R.E.S.	
26			
27	DECLARATION OF J. RICHARD ARAMBU		
28	SUPPORT OF TCC MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION / RECUSAL OF PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE - 1		

.

BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY SITING EVALUATION COUNCIL

In the Matter of the Application of:

Scout Clean Energy, LLC, for Horse Heaven Wind Farm, LLC, Applicant. DOCKET NO. EF-210011 DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served TCC's Motion for Recusal upon all parties of record in this proceeding listed on the following page(s), by authorized method of service pursuant to WAC 463-30-120(3) of first class mail to street address with simultaneous electronic filing to adjudication@efsec.wa.gov and to email for parties as provided. Additionally, a copy is being faxed to the Chief Administrative Law Judge at the Office of Administrative Hearings as show below.

Dated at Seattle, Washington this 25 day of May, 2023.

and La, Colu

Carol Cohoe, Legal Assistant Law Offices of J. Richard Aramburu, PLLC

> LAW OFFICES OF J. Richard ArAmBuru, PLLC 705 2ND AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 aramburulaw.com

1

DECLARATION OF SERVICE - 1

1	PARTIES OF RECORD		
2	Kenneth Harper, Aziza Foster	Washington State Department of	
3	Menke Jackson Beyer, LLP 807 North 39 th Avenue Vakima W/A 98902	Agriculture Natural Resources Building, 2nd Floor P.O. Box 42560	
4	Yakima WA 98902 <i>By Email:</i> kharper@mjbe.com; zfoster@mjbe.com; Julie@mjbe.com	1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98504-2560	
5	Ryan Brown	Washington State Department of	
6	Chief Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Benton County Prosecuting Attorney	Commerce 1011 Plum Street SE	
7	7211 West Okanogan Place, Building A Kennewick, WA 99336	P.O. Box 42525 Olympia, WA 98504-2525	
8	Counsel for Benton County By Email:	Washington State Department of	
9	Ryan.Brown@co.benton.wa.us	Ecology P.O. Box 47600	
10	Sarah Reyneveld Office of the Attorney General	Olympia, WA 98504-7600	
11	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188	Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife	
12	Counsel for the Environment By Email:	Natural Resources Building 1111 Washington St. SE	
13	Sarah.Reyneveld@atg.wa.gov CEPSeaEF@atg.wa.gov;	Olympia, WA 98501	
14	julie.dolloff@atg.wa.gov	Washington State Department of Natural Resources	
15	Tim McMahan Stoel Rives LLP	Natural Resources Building MS 47000, Olympia, WA 98504	
16	760 SW Ninth Avenue, Suite 3000 Portland, OR 97205	1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98504	
17	Counsel for Scout Clean Energy, LLC By Email: tim.mcmahan@stoel.com	Washington State Utilities and	
18 10	emily.schimelpfenig@stoel.com; ariel.stavitsky@stoel.com	Transportation Commission MAILSTOP/PO BOX: 431 621 Woodland Sg Loop SE	
19 20	Shona Voelckers	621 Woodland Sq Loop SE Lacey, WA 98503-0361	
20 21	Yakama Nation shona@yakamanation-olc.org ethan@yakamanation-olc.org	1 	
21	jessica@yakamanation-olc.org	Original mailed to:	
22		Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council ATTN: Horse Heaven Adjudication	
23	EFSEC Staff lisa.masengale@efsec.wa.gov;	Mailstop 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172	
25	alex.shiley@efsec.wa.gov; andrea.grantham@efsec.wa.gov;		
26	sonia.bumpus@efsec.wa.gov	cc: Chief ALJ Lorraine Lee OAH Fax No. 360-664-8721	
27			
28		LAW OFFICES OF J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLLC	
1.42 (2012) 2.44	DECLARATION OF SERVICE - 2	J. RICHARD ARAMBURU, PLLC 7052 ¹⁰⁵ AVE., SUITE 1300 SEATTLE 98104 Telephone (206) 625-9515 FAX (206) 682-1376 aramburulaw.com	