











2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

## ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

May 17, 2023

\_\_\_\_\_

EFSEC 2023 Monthly Council Meetings v.

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

1	
2	
3	WASHINGTON STATE
4	ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL
5	MAY 17, 2023
6	1:30 p.m.
7	
8	
9	Council Meeting
10	Verbatim Transcript of Hybrid Proceedings
11	Taken at
12	621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast
13	Lacey, Washington
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: Lori Haworth, RPR, CCR #2958
25	

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
     Local Government and Optional State Agencies:
 3
     The Horse Heaven Project:
 4
          DEREK SANDISON, Department of Agriculture
 5
          ED BROST, Benton County
     Badger Mountain:
 6
 7
          JORDYN GUILIO, Douglas County
     Carriger Solar:
 8
 9
          MATT CHILES, Klickitat County
10
     Assistant Attorney General:
11
          JON THOMPSON
          JENNA SLOCUM
12
     Administrative Law Judges:
13
          ADAM TOREM
14
          DAN GERARD
     Council Staff:
15
16
          SONIA BUMPUS
          AMI HAFKEMEYER
17
          AMY MOON
          STEW HENDERSON
18
          JOAN OWENS
          ANDREA GRANTHAM
19
          DAVE WALKER
          SONJA SKAVLAND
2.0
          LISA MASENGALE
          SARA RANDOLPH
21
          SEAN GREENE
          LANCE CAPUTO
22
          JOHN BARNES
          JOANNE SNARSKI
23
          ALEX SHILEY
2.4
25
     (Continued on next page.)
```



1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	State Agency Members:
3	
4	KATHLEEN DREW, Chair KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce ELI LEVITT, Department of Ecology
5	MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish and Wildlife LENNY YOUNG, Department of Natural Resources
6	STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities & Transportation Commission
7	Operational Updates:
8	
9	Kittitas Valley Wind, EDP Renewables:
. 0	SARA RANDOLPH
L <sub>0</sub>	Wild Horse Wind Power Project, Puget Sound Energy:
L1	SARA RANDOLPH
L2	
L3	Grays Harbor Energy Center, Grays Harbor Energy:
L 4	CHRIS SHERIN
	Chehalis Generation Facility, PacifiCorp:
L5	MICHAEL ADAMS
L6	Columbia Generating Station & WNP-1/4, Energy Northwest:
L7	FELICIA NAJERA-PAXTON
L8	Columbia Solar, Tuusso Energy:
L9	
20	TOM CUSHING
21	Counsel for the Environment:
	MEGAN SALLOMI
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	(COUNCIL MEETING COMMENCED AT 1:31 P.M.)
2	000
3	
4	
5	CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is
6	Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Energy Facility Site
7	Evaluation Council, calling today's monthly meeting
8	May 17, 2023, to order.
9	Ms. Grantham, will you call the role?
10	MS. GRANTHAM: Certainly.
11	Department of Commerce?
12	Department of Ecology?
13	MR. LEVITT: Eli Levitt present.
14	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Fish and
15	Wildlife?
16	MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston present.
17	MS. GRANTHAM: Department of Natural
18	Resources?
19	MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young present.
20	MS. GRANTHAM: Utilities and
21	Transportation Commission?
22	MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster present.
23	MS. GRANTHAM: For local government and
24	optional state agencies. For the Horse Heaven Project,
25	Department of Agriculture?

1	MR. SANDISON: Derek Sandison present.
2	MS. GRANTHAM: Benton County, Ed Brost?
3	MR. BROST: Ed Brost present.
4	MS. GRANTHAM: For the Badger Mountain
5	Project, for Douglas County?
6	MS. GUILIO: Jordyn Guilio, Douglas
7	County.
8	MS. GRANTHAM: For the Wautoma Solar
9	Project, for Benton County, Dave Sharp?
10	For the Washington State Department of
11	Transportation?
12	For the Hop Hill Solar Project, for Benton
13	County, Paul Krupin?
14	For the Carriger Solar Project, for Klickitat
15	County?
16	MR. CHILES: Matt Chiles present.
17	MS. GRANTHAM: The assistant attorney
18	generals, Jon Thompson?
19	MR. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson present.
20	MS. GRANTHAM: Jenna Slocum?
21	MS. SLOCUM: Jenna Slocum present.
22	MS. GRANTHAM: Administrative law judges,
23	Adam Torem?
24	JUDGE TOREM: This is Judge Torem. I am
25	on the line.

1	MS. GRANTHAM: Laura Bradley?
2	JUDGE GERARD: Judge Bradley won't make it
3	today.
4	MS. GRANTHAM: Thank you. And was that
5	Dan Gerard?
6	JUDGE GERARD: It was. Thank you.
7	MS. GRANTHAM: And Michael Larripa?
8	JUDGE GERARD: Judge Larripa will also not
9	be here today. (Inaudible comment.)
10	MS. GRANTHAM: Thank you.
11	For EFSEC council staff, Sonia Bumpus?
12	MS. BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus is present.
13	MS. GRANTHAM: Ami Hafkemeyer?
14	MS. HAFKEMEYER: Present.
15	MS. GRANTHAM: Amy Moon?
16	MS. MOON: Amy Moon present.
17	MS. GRANTHAM: Stew Henderson?
18	Joan Owens is present.
19	Dave Walker?
20	Sonja Skavland?
21	MS. SKAVLAND: Sonja Skavland present.
22	MS. GRANTHAM: Lisa Masengale?
23	MS. MASENGALE: Lisa Masengale present.
24	MS. GRANTHAM: Sara Randolph?
25	MR. WALKER: Dave Walker is sorry.

1	Dave Walker is present. I couldn't get it off mute.
2	MS. GRANTHAM: No problem. Thank you,
3	Dave.
4	Sara Randolph?
5	MS. RANDOLPH: Sara Randolph present.
6	MS. GRANTHAM: Sean Greene?
7	MR. GREENE: Sean Greene present.
8	MS. GRANTHAM: Lance Caputo?
9	MR. CAPUTO: Lance Caputo present.
10	MS. GRANTHAM: John Barnes?
11	MR. BARNES: John Barnes present.
12	MS. GRANTHAM: Osta Davis?
13	Joanne Snarski?
14	MS. SNARSKI: Joanne Snarski present.
15	MS. GRANTHAM: And Alex Shiley is present.
16	For operational updates, Kittitas Valley Wind
17	Project?
18	Wild Horse Wind Power Project?
19	MS. RANDOLPH: Actually, this is Sara
20	Randolph. I will be speaking for both of those.
21	MS. GRANTHAM: Thank you.
22	MS. RANDOLPH: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,
23	council members, and staff. For the record, this is
24	Sara Randolph, Site Specialist
25	CHAIR DREW: Sara? If you could pause

```
1
     just a minute. We were just doing roll call.
 2
                   MS. RANDOLPH:
                                  Oh.
                                       I am sorry.
 3
                   CHAIR DREW:
                                That's okay. We will be back
 4
     in a couple minutes.
 5
                   MS. GRANTHAM: No worries.
              Thank you, Chair Drew.
 6
 7
              For Grays Harbor Energy Center?
 8
                   MR. SHERIN:
                                For Grays Harbor Energy
 9
     Center, Chris Sherin.
10
                   MS. GRANTHAM: Chehalis Generation
11
     Facility?
12
                   MR. ADAMS:
                               Mike Adams present.
13
                   MS. GRANTHAM: Columbia Generating
14
     Station?
15
              Columbia Solar?
16
                   MR. CUSHING: Tom Cushing present.
                   MS. GRANTHAM: And do we have someone
17
18
     present for the Counsel for the Environment?
19
                   MS. SALLOMI: Megan Sallomi present.
20
                   MS. GRANTHAM:
                                  Thank you, Ms. Sallomi.
21
              Chair, there is a quorum for the regular
22
     council, Horse Heaven council, Badger Mountain council,
23
     and Carriger Solar council. Thank you.
24
                   MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, this is Kate
25
     Kelly. I apologize, I am late. Present.
```

1	CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
2	Thank you. So moving on to our proposed
3	agenda. You see the agenda, proposed agenda in front of
4	you. Is there a motion to adopt the agenda?
5	MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young. So moved.
6	CHAIR DREW: Second?
7	MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster. Second.
8	CHAIR DREW: All those in favor, say
9	"aye."
10	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
11	CHAIR DREW: Opposed?
12	Agenda is adopted.
13	Moving on to the meeting minutes. The first in
14	front of us are the monthly meeting minutes from
15	April 19, 2023. Is there a motion to approve the
16	April 19th monthly meeting minutes?
17	MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster. I move to
18	approve the April 19th monthly meeting minutes.
19	CHAIR DREW: Second?
20	MS. KELLY: Kate
21	MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston
22	MS. KELLY: Kelly. Second.
23	MR. LIVINGSTON: second.
24	CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Kate Kelly and
25	Mike Livingston.

1 I did not find any corrections. Are there any 2 other corrections or changes to the April 19, 2023, 3 monthly meeting minutes? 4 Hearing none, all in favor of approving those 5 minutes, please say "aye." MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: 6 Aye. 7 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? 8 Minutes are approved. 9 Moving on to the April 25, 2023, Carriger Solar 10 informational meeting minutes. Is there a motion to 11 approve those minutes? 12 Matt Chiles. So moved. MR. CHILES: 13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Second? 14 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young. Second. 15 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. 16 The corrections I have, and I will just make a 17 statement upfront, is, I only was reviewing the -- those 18 of us who were representing the council. I am not 19 trying to amend any comments from people who made 20 comments to the meeting, so those will stand as they are 21 written. But in several instances, we find that Eli 22 Levitt was identified as the speaker when it was in fact 23 another person. So on page 50, line 25, Eli Levitt should be 24 Mike A-l-l-e-r-i-t-t. 25

1 And on page 55, line 21, the speaker identified 2 as Eli Levitt should be Judge Larripa. 3 And on page 57, line 1, the speaker identified 4 as Eli Levitt should be Kenneth McCune. 5 So those are the changes I have to amend those Carriger Solar informational meeting minutes. Are there 6 7 any other changes? Hearing none, all those in favor of approving 8 9 the minutes as amended, please say "aye." 10 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye. 11 CHAIR DREW: Opposed? 12 The meeting minutes are approved as amended. 13 Moving on to the Kittitas Valley Wind Project 14 update and Wild Horse Wind Power Project update. 15 Ms. Sara Reynolds. 16 Thank you. MS. REYNOLDS: 17 Good afternoon, Chair Drew, council members, and staff. 18 19 For the record, this is Sara Randolph, Site 20 Specialist for Kittitas Valley Facility. I am speaking 21 on behalf of Eric Melbardis. The facility update is 22 available in your council packet. There are no 23 nonroutine updates for this reporting period. 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. 25 And then Wild Horse Wind Power Project.

1 Randolph. MS. RANDOLPH: I am speaking on behalf of 2. Jennifer Galbraith. The facility update is available in 3 4 your council packet. There are no nonroutine updates 5 for this reporting period. Thank you. CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Chehalis 6 Generation Facility. Mr. Adams. 7 MR. ADAMS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, 8 9 EFSEC council, and staff. For the record, this is Mike 10 Adams, Plant Manager, representing Chehalis Generation 11 Facility. 12 I have one comment for the period of April. We 13 did upgrade both of our continuous emission monitoring 14 systems on each unit. We are currently in the 15 certification process, that which should be completed 16 next week with stack testing on those units. Otherwise, 17 I have no nonroutine comments. Thank you. 18 CHAIR DREW: 19 Grays Harbor Energy Center. Mr. Sherin. 20 MR. SHERIN: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, 21 council members, staff. This is Chris Sherin, Plant 22 Manager for Grays Harbor Energy Center. For the month 23 of April, we have no nonroutine items to report. 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Columbia Generating Station.

25

2.

MS. NAJERA-PAXON: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, council members, and staff. For the record, this is Felicia Najera-Paxon representing Columbia Generating Station and the Washington Nuclear Projects 1 and 4. For the month of April, we have no nonroutine items to present.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

We do have another subject in front of us, an NPDES permit. Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Council Chair Drew and council members. For the record,
this is Amy Moon, EFSEC staff member. I am providing an
update on the national pollutant discharge elimination
system, or NPDES, permit at the Columbia Generating
Station.

I last provided an update on this project to the council at the February 15, 2023, council meeting. Since that time, the public comment period closed on the March -- on March 18th of -- for the draft permit, and EFSEC worked with the Washington Department of Ecology to address the comments received and finalize the NPDES permit. The only comments were received from the Washington Department of Natural Resources and Energy Northwest.

The Department of Natural Resources submitted a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statement that Energy Northwest must obtain authorization for operations on state-owned aquatic land. This comment does not affect any specific NPDES permit condition and, therefore, was not added to the permit.

The comments received from Energy Northwest were substantive and were addressed in the final NPDES.

A copy of the final permit and the fact sheet were included in the May council packet.

The Columbia Generating Station has been operating under an administrative extension of the permit since September 13, 2019. This administrative extension was granted at a time the Washington State Department of Ecology was not staffed with an NPDES permit rider to prepare the new permit that was set to expire on October 31st of 2019. The final permit included in the council packet has date placeholders that will be populated prior to issuing the permit. permit effective date must be at least 30 days after the permit issuance date and should be effective on the 1st of a month for the permittee to meet the reporting For this reason, if the council approves requirements. the issuance of the NPDES permit, I suggest the issuance date be prior to May 31, 2023, to accommodate an effective date of July 1, 2023.

Т	Does the council have any questions?
2	CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from
3	the council?
4	And this permit has had the 30-day review, and
5	we have heard the comments. Are you recommending that
6	the council make a motion on this item?
7	MS. MOON: Yes. I am recommending that
8	the council make a motion to issue this final NPDES
9	permit.
10	CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
11	Is there a motion to issue the NPDES permit for
12	Columbia Generating Station?
13	MR. LEVITT: This is Eli Levitt. I move
14	to approve this NPDES permit.
15	CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
16	Second?
17	MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster. Second.
18	CHAIR DREW: Okay.
19	Any comments?
20	All those in favor of approving the NPDES
21	permit for Columbia Generating Station, please say
22	"aye."
23	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
24	CHAIR DREW: Did we have to say that it
25	should have a date before May 31st? I am sorry.

1	MS. MOON: Well, if the most seamless
2	way to issue this and have the first the effective
3	date be July 1st would be for us to issue it before
4	May 31st so that we can have, you know, from June to
5	July, that waiting period, for it to be effective.
6	CHAIR DREW: And with this motion, you
7	will have that.
8	MS. MOON: Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIR DREW: All those in favor, please
LO	say "aye."
L1	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
L2	CHAIR DREW: Opposed?
L3	Okay. The NPDES permit is approved.
L4	MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew, council
L5	members, and staff. We appreciate it.
L6	CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
L7	Next on our agenda is the Columbia Solar
L8	operational update. Mr. Cushing.
L9	MR. CUSHING: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,
20	EFSEC council, and staff. This is Thomas Cushing
21	representing Columbia Solar, for the record. There is
22	no nonroutine items to report for operation.
23	CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
24	The next item on our agenda is the Desert Claim
25	amendment update. Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: Good afternoon, Council Chair Drew and council members. Again this is Amy Moon, providing a project update on Desert Claim.

EFSEC received a request to amend the Desert Claim site certification agreement, or SCA, on May 5th of 2023 from EDF Renewables. The request was to extend the deadline for completing construction by five years to November 13, 2028.

The Desert Claim SCA is for a maximum -- I am sorry. The Desert Claim SCA is for a maximum production capacity of 100 megawatts from 31 turbines. The extension request is to require construction to be substantially completed by November of 2028.

The current SCA was initially executed

February 1, 2010, and amended by Resolution No. 343 on

November 13, 2018. The SCA Amendment No. 1 was to allow

for the reconfiguration of the project site boundary and

the installation of fewer but larger turbines than were

originally authorized in the February 2010 SCA. The

amended site certification agreement consists of 25 to

31 turbines, not to exceed 492 feet in height, and a

total capacity of no more than 100 megawatts, a

reduction from up to 95 turbines with a maximum capacity

of 190 megawatts. That was the first change, the first

amended SCA. The SCA amendment also reduced the total

project footprint to approximately 4,400 acres with the removal of 1,271 acres.

The signed SCA authorizes the certificate holder to construct the project such that substantial completion is achieved no later than five years from the date that Amendment No. 1 was approved by the council on November 13, 2018. Therefore, the substantial completion date would be November 2023. EFSEC rule Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, 463-68-060, "Review and recording changes in the project status or site conditions," requires that if construction does not start within five years of the effective date of the SCA and thereupon continue in a reasonably uninterrupted fashion towards project completion, the certificate holder shall report to the council its intention to proceed or not to proceed with the project at least 90 days prior to the end of the SCA five-year period.

The receipt of the request to amend the Desert Claim SCA on May 5, 2023, from EDF Renewables met the conditions of notification required under WAC 463-68-060. WAC 463-68-070, known as a review of changes, states that construction may start only upon the council finding that no changes or amendments to the SCA, regulatory permits, or project related environmental documents are necessary or appropriate, or

1 upon the council's approval of any necessary or 2 appropriate changes or amendments. 3 Does the council have any questions? 4 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions? 5 So in terms of this amendment, are you going to brief us on what the next steps are? 6 MS. MOON: So the next steps would be for 7 the council to either approve or not approve for 8 9 pursuing the change or to create an Amendment No. 2. 10 And so if I am directed to pursue Amendment No. 2, then 11 I would be drawing-up the information for that amendment 12 to be put before the council and you, Chair Drew. 13 with that, it's ensuring that if anything additional 14 needed to be done to meet the requirements in the law, that that would be done. And since these aren't -- the 15 16 only change of this is a date, I do not think that there is anything further required, let's say, for SEPA, which 17 18 means that this is -- and maybe, Jon Thompson, if you 19 know different, can interrupt here. But basically, this 20 is just a request to extend this project date, the 21 substantive completion date by another -- up until 2028. 22 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Hafkemeyer? 23 MS. HAFKEMEYER: I just wanted to jump in. 24 I believe, because it is a request for an amendment, 25 there is a public informational meeting associated with

the request, as well, so that EFSEC staff is working to schedule.

MS. MOON: Yes. You are correct. Thank you, Ami.

CHAIR DREW: And because it is an amendment that came to us, what -- I guess what I am curious about that I am hearing is that we can either move forward with it or not. And I thought we had to at least consider it, the amendment.

MS. MOON: Yeah. So the WAC 463-68-070, review of changes, says that construction can only start upon the council finding that no changes or amendments to the SCA or upon council's approval of any necessary or appropriate changes or amendments. So the change here would be that completion date; that substantive completion date.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. So our next steps then would be to schedule a public meeting, informational meeting, and hear from the public about this item.

MS. MOON: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. That would be the next step. Okay. That's what I had remembered from the earlier processes.

So the staff is working on that and will get back to us. So there isn't a decision to be made at

this meeting or until we hear from the public on the matter.

MS. MOON: Correct. This is for information so that you know we received that request, and a little history on the project since most people on the current EFSEC council were not involved at all with Desert Claim. There is a couple people that were, but the majority were not. So bringing people just up-to-speed of, that we have a Desert Claim site certification agreement, construction has not been started, and a request was made to extend that date for substantial completion.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

Any other questions from council members?

MS. KELLY: Chair, this is Kate Kelly.

And I am glad we are going to have more time to kind of contemplate what's being asked here, for a hearing and other advice from EFSEC staff and council, but I am -- I guess I am particularly interested in any cumulative impact in terms of land use and so on. And I don't -- I wasn't here when this was originally proposed or amended the first time, so, but just understanding what the -- really, the lay of the land is and if that needs to be taken in account. I just noticed that it's in Kittitas County.

1 CHAIR DREW: Yes. Okay. So noted. Wе 2 will make sure that we get some information to the 3 council. Perhaps another -- a little bit longer 4 briefing on the history of the project would be in good order because of the fact that the council isn't 5 familiar with that amendment from 2018. 6 Ms. Hafkemeyer, did you have something else 7 8 you'd like to share? 9 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Oh. I also just 10 wanted -- if the council had any questions for the 11 certificate holder, I believe they are also on the line. 12 Or we could anticipate a longer presentation on the 13 proposal at the informational hearing. 14 CHAIR DREW: Let's do that because I think it's better for the council to have that information to 15 16 ask questions from. Thank you. 17 Okay. Moving -- go ahead. Sorry. Chair 18 MS. BUMPUS: Excuse me. 19 Drew, this is Sonia Bumpus. 20 CHAIR DREW: Yes. 21 I just wanted to add for the MS. BUMPUS: 22 council that there are some documents on land use 23 consistency that go back to the original record for Desert Claim, and that may be something that would be 24 25 helpful for council members to take a look at. Some of

that information is on our website. There is an Order 825 on land use consistency, but there is also some other documents they may be interested in. So I just wanted to put this out there.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you, thank you. We will learn more about this project and look forward to the scheduling of the public meeting.

Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project update.
Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: Good afternoon, Council Chair

Drew and EFSEC council members. Again, this is Amy Moon

providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind Project.

of the final environmental impact statement, or final EIS. This included submitting Data Request No. 8 to the applicant on May 8, 2023, for additional information on air quality and visual simulations.

EFSEC staff continued to actively engage with Washington state agencies regarding potential impact analysis and mitigation opportunities.

establish an updated commitment date to complete the processing of the Horse Heaven application for site certification. This new date is referred to as the extension date as defined in the Revised Code of

1	Washington 80.50.100 that requires that "the council
2	shall report to the governor its recommendations as to
3	the approval or rejection of an application for
4	certification within 12 months of receipt by the council
5	of such an application or at such later time as is
6	mutually agreed by the council and the applicant." The
7	current date to report to the governor is set as
8	July 8th of 2022.
9	Does the council have any questions? I mean,
10	yes, I am sorry, 2023. Thank you.
11	CHAIR DREW: You're welcome.
12	MS. MOON: Wrong year. I am in the past.
13	So does the council have any questions about
14	that?
15	CHAIR DREW: So we don't have an extension
16	request to consider at this point; is that
17	MS. MOON: Yeah. Correct. We are working
18	with the applicant on establishing a realistic
19	commitment date because we are still working on the
20	final environmental impact statement, taking into
21	account public comments, agency comments, and then
22	adjudication has been scheduled. So there is a couple
23	parts here. The final EIS, the adjudication, the time
24	necessary for the council to review the final EIS, and
25	then make a recommendation to the governor, so working

on strategizing and trying to take all of this into account, and part of that is taking into account analysis that is being worked on but has not yet been completed that's required for the final EIS. So as of today, we do not have an agreed upon extension date with the applicant.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

Are there any other questions for Ms. Moon before we go to the adjudication update?

MR. BROST: I have a question. This is Ed Brost.

CHAIR DREW: Yes.

MR. BROST: What is the reason for the extension, or what has caused the extension request? It's just taken longer than they thought or what?

MS. MOON: Well, the reason is, is yes, the whole process is lengthy, and it's hard when you are working on something -- so the last extension that was granted was during the draft EIS. It's hard to judge or guess what kind of public comments and agency comments that was going to bring about. And so in looking at those comments, definitely July 8th is not an adequate time to vet and provide an answer and to update the final environmental impact statement.

CHAIR DREW: And I would add that

1 adjudication is planned for after that date. And so --MS. MOON: 2 Yeah. 3 CHAIR DREW: -- the date would give the 4 council, the staff, the time to complete the EIS, the council the time for adjudication and consideration of 5 the issues and deliberation before a recommendation to 6 7 the governor. Is that helpful, Mr. Brost? 8 9 Any other questions for staff? 10 Moving on to the adjudication update. 11 Ms. Hafkemeyer. 12 Thank you. MS. HAFKEMEYER: Good 13 afternoon, Chair Drew, council, and staff. For the 14 record, this is Ami Hafkemeyer. On Tuesday, May 2nd, a third prehearing 15 16 conference was held. Following that conference, EFSEC 17 staff are working with Judge Torem to prepare and issue an order for filing dates, a protection order, and will 18 19 also be issuing instructions for the filing protocols. 20 Are there any questions? 21 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions 22 for -- on the adjudication update? 23 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. 24 Following that prehearing conference, are we closer to 25 setting dates for the adjudication?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Yes. It's my understanding that at the third prehearing conference, the parties were informed of the adjudication dates and came to an agreement on slightly different pre-filing dates than what EFSEC staff had drafted, and so we will be seeing those dates in an order in the coming days very shortly from Judge Torem.

MS. BREWSTER: Thanks.

JUDGE TOREM: Yeah. This is Judge Torem.

I can just tell the council members you will be seeing the first round of testimony being filed I believe it's June 2nd, and the hearing, itself, the adjudication, will take place over a number of days in August. And hopefully your calendars, that they were cleared, by your submissions for unavailability.

We are going to start the adjudication on Thursday, August 10th, and Friday, August 11th. The following week, there will be two additional -- or two and a half additional days, August 14th is Monday and August 15th a Tuesday, and then simply taking a break in the afternoon on Wednesday, the 16th of August, so that we can have a hearing adjudication session in the morning and then the monthly council meeting in the afternoon.

If there is anything further to be done

2.2

Wednesday afternoon the 16th, it won't be involving council members but maybe some other housekeeping issues.

To look at the following week's schedule,
August 21st through August 25th will be the other five
days of adjudication. So hopefully, those are on your
calendar. They will be the bulk of the day. We are
breaking it up because it's virtual, into two or three
sessions each day so that no one gets too much of a
screen watch headache, and we will go on from there.
But in the prehearing conference order that should come
out later this week, all of the other dates leading up
to the adjudication will be published, as well, so look
for those.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Judge Torem.
Other questions?

Okay. Moving on to Goose Prairie Solar Project update. Sara Randolph.

MS. RANDOLPH: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, council members, and staff. For the record, this is Sara Randolph, Site Specialist for the Goose Prairie Facility.

EFSEC staff are continuing to receive review documents being sent by the certificate holder for preconstruction plans with construction planned to

commence on June 1st.

Goose Prairie Solar is requesting coverage under a general construction storm water permit that was submitted by the certificate holder March 2nd. In accordance with WAC 463-76-41, a 30-day comment period began on April 20th and will conclude on May 20th. There has been one comment received to-date that would not result in changes to the letter of coverage. The certificate holder and their consultant will be on the phone if there are any questions.

Are there any questions?

CHAIR DREW: Any questions on the Goose Prairie Solar Project update? Thank you.

Moving on to the Badger Mountain Project update. Joanne Snarski.

MS. SNARSKI: Thank you, Chair Drew, and good afternoon, council members. For the record, this is Joanne Snarski.

Since the April council meeting, we have received responses to Data Requests 1 and 2. After receiving the initial response from the applicant, our consultants have requested further clarification to several of the applicant's responses which we are referring to as Data Request 3. We anticipate a response to Data Request 3 on June 16th.

Included in the applicant's response to us was completion of initial drafts for a traffic impact study along with a traffic control plan. We are coordinating review of these documents with the Washington State Department of Transportation in Douglas County. The applicant has also submitted a revised draft of the cultural resources survey which is currently under review by EFSEC and our contracted agencies.

The information provided in response to these data requests shall be used to support the development of the draft environmental impact statement that is currently in-progress.

Finally, on May 11th, staff, along with Chair Drew and Director Bumpus, met with the Colville Confederated Tribes' Business Council. This meeting was conducted as government-to-government consultation, and Chair Drew has more information to share with the council about this meeting.

Would you like to do that now, Chair?

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I will.

As you are aware, council members, EFSEC statute under RCW 80.50 requires the Chair to conduct government-to-government consultation with affected tribes during the review of an energy project application, and the Chair must provide an update to the

2.2

council on such project consultation efforts.

Since EFSEC received the Badger Mountain application in October 2021, EFSEC's been in communication with the Colville Tribe to coordinate the review of the initial cultural resources survey report and to arrange a formal government-to-government tribal consultation meeting.

After much planning, on May 4, 2023, the Chair and EFSEC staff met with the Colville Tribal Business Council in Nespelem, Washington for the purpose of conducting formal tribal consultation on the Badger Mountain application for site certification.

The staff and I were honored to meet with the Colville Tribal Business Council where we discussed the tribe's concerns regarding this proposal. We shared an open and honest discussion and agreed that both EFSEC and the Colville Tribe could expand on our technical coordination which we believe is particularly important as EFSEC prepares the Badger Mountain draft EIS over the next several months. So we have asked them to review documents as we prepare that EIS and look forward to their participation.

Are there any questions?

MS. SNARSKI: Chair Drew, I did want to make a clarification on my statement. That I said that

1 meeting took place on May 11th, but I think you corrected that in your statement. It did take place on 2 3 May 4th. 4 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I appreciate 5 that. So with no questions on those items, moving on 6 to the High Top and Ostrea Project update. 7 8 Ms. Randolph. 9 MS. RANDOLPH: Chair Drew --10 CHAIR DREW: Back again. 11 MS. RANDOLPH: Chair Drew, council 12 members, and staff. For the record, this is Sara 13 Randolph, Site Specialist for High Top and Ostrea 14 Facilities. 15 EFSEC staff are coordinating with the certificate holder on submission of preconstruction 16 17 plans and schedules. 18 Are there any questions? 19 Any questions? CHAIR DREW: Thank you. 20 Wautoma Solar Project project update. 21 Mr. Caputo. 22 Thank you, Chair Drew, and MR. CAPUTO: 23 council members. For the record, this is Lance Caputo, 24 Siting Specialist for the Wautoma Solar Facility. 25 On Friday, May 12th, EFSEC, in conjunction with

2.2

a site inspection of the proposed Wautoma Power
Facility. We are continuing to coordinate with the
applicant and stakeholders. Currently, the applicant is
collecting additional information requested by EFSEC and
the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
to support a SEPA threshold determination. Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Hop Hill Solar Project update. Mr. Barnes.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Chair Drew, and council members. For the record, this is John Barnes, EFSEC staff with the Hop Hill application update for April.

EFSEC met the applicant and WDFW to determine study procedures for upcoming field studies that are currently being conducted or will be completed later this spring and summer. These include procedures to survey area, baseline wildlife and habitat surveys, ground based raptor nest surveys, rare plant surveys.

information request from Mr. Paul Krupin, EFSEC, Benton County Representative, about production information at the public informational meeting on February 23rd. This information has been obtained from the applicant and sent to Mr. Krupin and the council for review. This

2.

2.2

information has also been updated to the Hop Hill Project page.

updated site plan. The applicant discovered that direct impacts to site HHS11 were being incorrectly depicted in the preliminary site plan. The applicant sent a letter to update the preliminary site plan to reflect avoidance of site HHS11. This information has been updated to the Hop Hill Project page for your review.

Yesterday, May 16th, EFSEC sent to the applicant the first data request and letter requesting a 30-day response time for comment. The applicant is currently revising the Hop Hill application cultural report to reflect comments provided by DAHP and Yakama Nation Cultural Resource Program staff.

We are continuing to coordinate and review the application with our contractor, contracted agencies, and tribal governments. There are no other updates to report on at this time.

Are there any questions?

CHAIR DREW: And "DAHP" does refer to the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, for those who may not be familiar with that acronym.

Thank you, Mr. Barnes.

Are there any questions?

Moving on to Carriger Solar Project update. 1 2 Ms. Snarski. 3 MS. SNARSKI: Chair Drew, I believe your 4 mic is not on. 5 CHAIR DREW: So two things I said. One, on the record here so people can actually hear me, was 6 that "DAHP" refers to the Department of Archaeology and 7 Historic Preservation -- not everybody is familiar with 8 9 that acronym -- for the Hop Hill Solar update by 10 Mr. Barnes. 11 And now --12 Thank you, Chair Drew. MR. BARNES: And now we are moving on to 13 CHAIR DREW: the Carriger Solar Project update. Ms. Snarski. 14 15 MS. SNARSKI: Thank you, Chair Drew. 16 Again, this is Joanne Snarski, for the record. 17 Cyprus Creek Renewables submitted their 18 application for expedited processing on February 10, 19 On April 25, 2023, we held the public 20 informational hearing at the Goldendale Grange hall. 21 held the land use hearing -- consistency hearing for the 22 proposed project last night, May 16, 2023. The meeting 23 was held virtually with the option to call-in with 24 testimony. In addition to the applicant's testimony, 25 two county commissioners provided testimony along with

2.2

11 citizens from the area. There were two additional that were signed-up but apparently didn't show up to present.

We are currently reviewing the testimony given, and we will provide guidance from that review and our legal counsel at a future meeting so the council can make a land use determination.

Additionally, on May 9, 2023, staff submitted

Data Request 1 to the applicant. This supplemental
information is needed to help us better assess potential
impacts from the project and work toward completing the
State Environmental Policy Act review in order to make a
SEPA threshold determination on the project.

The SEPA threshold determination and the land use consistency determination must be completed prior to the council's decision on whether or not to grant the applicant's request for expedited processing.

It is important to note that June 10, 2023, is the deadline for meeting the 120 day decision for expedited processing. At this time, we believe it will be necessary for the council to vote on an extension for that decision, and a special meeting will likely be scheduled for early June. EFSEC staff will be reaching out for your availability to hold this special meeting.

Are there any questions for me?

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from council members?

MR. YOUNG: Yeah. Joanne, this is Lenny Young. This may be a minor question, but.

Over the last couple of meetings, I have heard numerous people pronounce the name of the Solar Project in two different ways, "Carri-ger" and "Carri-jer." Is there a correct accepted pronunciation?

MS. SNARSKI: Well, I have never been good in English, but I have even heard people within Cyprus Creek pronounce it differently, so I think it's a preference thing. Either way is working so far. I am sorry I can't be clearer.

MR. YOUNG: That's kind of my impression, as well. Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Any other questions?

So with the information gained from the meeting last night, we will look forward to analysis by the staff and by legal counsel on land use consistency, which I want to emphasize is one part of a decision for expedited processing that's required, and we don't know what the council will do at this point. And the other piece is the SEPA determination.

In addition to that, we would look -- if the council determines that the project is consistent, we

will consider all of the concerns raised about conditional use within the Klickitat County code at a point later in our review.

So our review has multiple steps. And I just wanted to make that clear both to the council and to the public that we are at the beginning of the review, and there are multiple steps to come. So we look forward to consider -- continuing review and analysis and bringing that back to the council.

MR. YOUNG: Chair Drew, this is Lenny Young again.

CHAIR DREW: Yes.

MR. YOUNG: One of the persons who testified at the land use hearing last night raised a point that I am not sure is -- I am not sure it's directly related to land use consistency, but I was hoping staff could look into it. And this person asserted that staff is aware of it and may have already consulted with the Office of the Attorney General, and that was the assertion that there was a deed restriction on some of the parcels that may be involved in the project, and that the deed restriction would prevent those parcels -- irrespective of any of EFSEC's jurisdiction, would prevent those parcels from being used for solar.

1 Could staff include looking at that particular matter in their analysis and come back to the council 2 3 with that? 4 I am looking to Mr. Thompson. CHAIR DREW: 5 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. I heard that comment, as well, and we can certainly include that in our 6 7 analysis. 8 MR. YOUNG: Thank you. 9 Thanks for bringing that up. CHAIR DREW: 10 Are there any other questions or comments from council members? 11 12 This is Matt Chiles. MR. CHILES: 13 think that there were a couple of commenters suggesting 14 that EFSEC staff visit the site in-person, and I would like to emphasize that that's probably a good idea, for 15 16 the credibility of EFSEC amongst the community for this 17 project. Thank you. I will answer first, and then 18 CHAIR DREW: 19 I will ask Ami Hafkemeyer to also add to that. 20 We do plan to have a site visit. I do believe 21 staff has been there. But the council will have a site 22 visit. We do schedule a site visit for the council for 23 each of our new projects. So that definitely will be on

As I laid out, we have many steps left for the

the agenda.

24

25

review. So oftentimes when we get either a SEPA determination, that's when we will then look at the site with that information in-hand so that we have some of that environmental analysis performed by our consultant and staff when we are on-site.

Ms. Hafkemeyer, would you like to add to that?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Yes. In part, to echo what you just said, Chair Drew, we have a few sites that the council has not yet seen in-person, and so staff will be scheduling site visits for the council. Staff have been to each of the sites. And we do like to try and schedule site visits for the council, associated with project milestones so that the council can have the site in mind as they are reviewing those documents.

And because having the council together for the site visit constitutes a quorum, they will be noticed as public meetings, and logistics and details announced, along with that information, as well, for the public.

CHAIR DREW: Does that help answer your question, Mr. Chiles?

MR. CHILES: Yes. Thank you very much.

And as I occasionally field questions from people in the grocery stores, I can let them know that. Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Yes. Very much on the logistics. And again, they will be publicly noticed for

1 people to also attend, as well, but that there will be public meetings for the council to see the site. 2 3 Thank you. 4 MR. CHILES: Thank you. 5 CHAIR DREW: Any other comments from council members? 6 JUDGE TOREM: Chair Drew, this is Judge 7 I just wanted to jump in and make a correction 8 9 on the Horse Heaven Project as far as the first date for 10 pre-filed testimony. 11 I said June 2nd earlier. I just misread my 12 I had the "2" right, but it's actually notes. 13 June 12th. And I just want to make sure any of the 14 parties that were on the line didn't think it got 15 accelerated by 10 days. So it's really June 12th that 16 we will see the first round of pre-filed testimony.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you for your clarification on that, Judge Torem.

So we have come to the end of our meeting. And at this point, we very much have a full agenda. I encourage council members to contact our EFSEC staff if you have questions about any of these projects, and -- or you can contact Ms. Hafkemeyer, myself, or Ms. Bumpus, as well. So. I know there was a lot of information put out to you today, so please let us know

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
1
     if there is more that we can provide to you, and any
 2
     clarifications.
               With that, our meeting is adjourned. Thank you
 3
     for your time.
 4
                               (The meeting was adjourning at
 5
                                2:24 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	AFFIDAVIT
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE )
5	
6	I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court
7	Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript includes all the facts, matters, and proceedings
8	occurring at a hearing, conference, or other matter held on date, in city, Washington, at the hour of time.
9	on date, in erey, washington, at the noar or time.
10	Son K Hawath
11	Lori K. Haworth
12	Lori K. Haworth, Certified Court Reporter 2958 in and for
13	the State of Washington, Residing at Gig Harbor, Washington.
14	My CCR certification expires 7/17/16.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	