













2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 425, Seattle, WA 98121 • 206.389.9321 • Toll Free: 855.329.0919

2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Fee: 800.649.2034

## ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Depositions • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

## **Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings**

(From Audio Recording)

February 23, 2023

**Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council v.** 

Thank you for choosing BA Litigation Services for your court reporting, legal video, and deposition technology needs. It is always our goal to provide you with exceptional service. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR Vice President



The Premier Advantage<sup>™</sup> PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

1	
2	ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL
3	
4	Hop Hill Solar Project
5	Informational Public Meeting
6	
7	
8	February 23, 2023
9	
10	
11	Three Rivers Convention Center, Halls G & H
12	7016 West Grandridge Blvd.
13	Kennewick, WA 993366
14	and
15	via Teams Video Conferencing
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Transcribed by: STEVEN B. CRANDALL, CER
25	Certified Electronic Reporter #1198

1	CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I am calling
2	I'm Kathleen Drew, chair of the Energy Facility Site
3	Evaluation Council, calling to order our public meeting,
4	public informational meeting, for the Hop Hill Solar
5	Project to order. Will Ms. Grantham call the role.
6	STAFF GRANTHAM: Certainly. Department of
7	Commerce.
8	KATE KELLY: Kate Kelly present.
9	STAFF GRANTHAM: Department of Ecology.
10	ELI LEVITT: Eli Levitt present.
11	STAFF GRANTHAM: Department of Fish and
12	Wildlife.
13	(No response)
14	STAFF GRANTHAM: Department of Natural
15	Resources.
16	LENNY YOUNG: Lenny Young present.
17	STAFF GRANTHAM: Utilities and
18	Transportation Commission.
19	STACEY BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster present.
20	STAFF GRANTHAM: Assistant Attorney
21	General Jenna Slocum.
22	JENNIFER SLOCUM: Present.
23	STAFF GRANTHAM: John Thompson.
24	(No response)
25	STAFF GRANTHAM: The Hop Hill Project,

1	Benton County, Paul Krupin.
2	PAUL KRUPIN: Present.
3	STAFF GRANTHAM: Oh, I just wanted to let
4	you know, those of you who are in here to unmute your
5	mic and speak into it. You just have to click the red
6	button. So next I have Administrative Law Judge Dan
7	Gerard.
8	JUDGE GERARD: Present.
9	STAFF GRANTHAM: For EFSEC staff, Sonia
10	Bumpus.
11	SONIA BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus, present.
12	STAFF GRANTHAM: Ami Hafkemeyer.
13	AMI HAFKEMEYER: Present.
14	STAFF GRANTHAM: Amy Moon.
15	(No response)
16	STAFF GRANTHAM: Patty Betts.
17	(No response)
18	STAFF GRANTHAM: Stew Henderson.
19	(No response)
20	STAFF GRANTHAM: Joan Owens.
21	JOAN OWENS: Present.
22	STAFF GRANTHAM: Dave Walker.
23	(No response)
24	STAFF GRANTHAM: Sonya Skavland.
25	(No response)

1	STAFF GRANTHAM: Lisa Masengale.
2	LISA MASENGALE: Lisa Masengale present.
3	STAFF GRANTHAM: Sara Randolph.
4	(No response)
5	STAFF GRANTHAM: Sean Greene.
6	SEAN GREENE: Sean Greene present.
7	STAFF GRANTHAM: Lance Caputo.
8	(No response)
9	STAFF GRANTHAM: John Barnes.
10	JOHN BARNES: Present.
11	STAFF GRANTHAM: Osta Davis.
12	(No response)
13	STAFF GRANTHAM: And Joanne Snarski.
14	JOANNE SNARSKI: Present.
15	STAFF GRANTHAM: And do we have a Counsel
16	for the Environment present?
17	MEGAN SALLOMI: Megan Sallomi present.
18	STAFF GRANTHAM: Thank you. Chair, there
19	is a quorum.
20	CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Ms. Grantham. So
21	as a introduction, the EFSEC Council, when they receive
22	an application for an energy facility site, is required
23	by statute to have an in-person meeting in the community
24	within the first 60 days for information, to provide an
25	introduction by the applicant to the community, as well

as to take public comments.

2.

And that is followed tonight by our land use hearing. And so we will be holding these two meetings back to back, which is what we prefer to do. So folks only have to come out once and not twice for this.

We will go through the presentations, as you see on the agenda, and then we will ask people who are here from the public to comment. Each will have three minutes to speak. And following that, depending on how long that takes, we will have a break and then move into the land use informational meeting, land use consistency hearing. Excuse me.

So that's the plan for this evening. And we have people signed up to testify both here in person as well as through Microsoft Teams. So it is a hybrid meeting this evening. Thank you again all for being here. And with that, I will ask BrightNight to come forward for the project presentation.

PAUL CAUDILL: Is this on now? Okay.

Thank you very much. Good evening. No. Is that --

CHAIR DREW: I would also like to add that Mike Livingston from the Department of Fish and Wildlife is here with us this evening.

PAUL CAUDILL: Good evening. Thanks

Chairman Drew and Council members for inviting us here

to talk about Hop Hill. My name is Paul Caudill. I'm on the Board of Directors for BrightNight and sit on the company's customer advisory council.

I've been working directly with the company and its customers since the first quarter of 2019 when I retired as CEO and President of one of Berkshire Hathaway's large regulated power and gas utility businesses here in the western United States.

For the purpose of tonight's focus, however, it's probably more important for me to note that I'm a native of Benton County. I was born here, not sad to say, a long time ago at Kadlec Hospital and grew up in Richland in the Tri-Cities.

My father worked at Hanford and my mom worked in the Kennewick school system and for the city of Richland. I still take a little pride in being a graduate of Columbia High School class of '72, and was fortunate to begin my career in the power business with Bechtel here at WNP-2 not long after graduating from college.

I drove out to the Hop Hill site yesterday with an old friend. We took the back roads through West Richland, Benton City, and Prosser. It didn't take long for me to reflect on the drive how markets, local community interest, and government permitting processes

over time determine what the most productive use of land is.

I grew up hiking, camping, and fishing on some of the same land we drove through yesterday, especially when we drove through the Yakima River area. If it was irrigated, our farmer neighbors at that point in time planted it in sugar beets and alfalfa or grew cherry and apple trees.

Further north, as you got up into Sunnyside and towards Yakima, it was hops. The less productive land was left to nature, which led to some of the epic dust storms that I can remember in our lower here in the Tri-Cities yet.

Yesterday confirmed these changes. Much of this land is now covered with wine grapes and more hops. The land use decisions made here over the last 25 years or so have created a whole new industry helping diversify the regional economy in a way that my parents never imagined.

I stood on the ridge at the site yesterday and see clearly that Hop Hill is a great site for a solar facility and for grazing. I give credit for the progress being made, not to just my colleagues at BrightNight, but the vision and commitment of local landowners, community leaders, and stakeholders who see

2.

the opportunity to use this project to take another step forward in the region's progress.

But I know, as my dad used to say, that one man's treasure is another man's trash. While many of us see Hop Hill as progress, there are rightfully others who see it differently. And I recognize that.

There's an important point here, and one that I've learned through experience developing, constructing, and operating large solar projects like Hop Hill. Permitting processes, like the one we're in with Hop Hill don't have to create winners and losers. Land use decisions, especially with a project of this size, don't have to result in a binary win/lose outcome.

There are issues with a project of this size.

I've talked with a couple of the folks -- neighbors

about traffic, for example. We recognize that. I know

it is in BrightNight's best interest, and Ron and the

team are committed to work in good faith with

stakeholders involved, to achieve outcomes beneficial to

as many people as practical.

Before I turn over to Ron Kiecana,
BrightNight's Chief Development Officer, I want to
reinforce a key point. Projects like Hop Hill take
years to put together. It is a complex, time consuming,
challenging, expensive, and some would say risky

- process. Take years to get to the point we're at today.

  And I know from personal experience the difference

  between what I would call a good project, one that can

  and will develop -- will deliver for a wide range of

  stakeholders, and a bad project, one that delivers for

  the developer only. Hop Hill is a really good project

  and I'm back home to help anyway I can move it forward.

  Ron?
  - RON KIECANA: Thank you. Thank you Paul. Thank you Chairman Drew, EFSEC Council, staff, and the community for the opportunity to speak. BrightNight is a founder-owned and operated renewable independent power producer or IPP. Being an IPP means we will be a part of the development, construction, and operation of the projects.

(Technical issue)

RON KIECANA: Next slide please. Let's go one more. Yeah. Thank you.

Yeah, so our involvement in all phases of the project means that we will be a long-term partner with the community. The Journey already began as we have started the development of the Hop Hill Project over two years ago now.

In our experience, early community engagement is important because every community's needs and ideas

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are different. The feedback we have received from this community has helped us to shape the proposed project we are talking about here today.

As a company, BrightNight is focused on the development of utility scale renewable power projects here in the US and Asia Pacific. In the US we are largely focused on solar, energy storage, and green hydrogen projects, and we now have 68 projects in development across the US.

We have some of the most well-respected financial partners in the industry in Global Infrastructure Partners and Cordelio Power, which is owned by the Canadian pension fund. The BrightNight team is 118 people and deeply talented and experienced, and in our past, we have collectively delivered more than 10 gigawatts of conventional and renewable power projects combined. Seven of our team members live right here in the Pacific Northwest.

BrightNight was founded on providing its customers and partners with differentiated solutions with a focus on safety, value, and reliability, and best-in-class execution. Our customers are power buyers and the community.

Two areas of BrightNight differentiation I'd like to mention, our technology and our customer-centric

2.

approach. We have a proprietary software platform we use to deliver innovative and optimized project solutions at the highest value to our customers. Our customer-focused approach helps to understand what the customer really wants and needs.

BrightNight's technology and our customer focus differentiation has already given us results. We have a power sale agreement in place for 280 megawatts of clean power for the Hop Hill Project. Power sales is a significant risk for any project, and we have taken a very big step to making this project a reality for the community in Washington State by selling this initial 280 megawatts. Excuse me. We are now talking with other industry participants about the sale of the remaining 220 megawatts, and the interest is strong.

Next slide please.

Our focus on delivering successful projects to our customers and the communities where we desire to be a trusted partner is our highest priority here at BrightNight. We have the tools, the system, the financial support, and the people to ensure that this happens.

We have already -- we already have in place, as you can see here, a highly experienced team dedicated to the delivery of the Hop Hill Project. This provides the

2.

focus needed to deliver large complex infrastructure projects such as this.

In closing, we are excited to partner with this community to further its legacy beyond nuclear and hydro generation and to support Washington State's leadership in the energy industry. My colleague, Chris Wissel-Tyson, VP of Development for BrightNight, will now give you an overview of the project.

Next slide and thank you.

CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Thank you Chairman Drew, EFSEC Council, and staff. Purposes of this hearing is to talk about why we sited the project here and how it was developed. So I'm going to walk you through the development of the site.

Hope Hill is a 500 megawatts solar and 500 megawatt battery storage project, which we've been developing in this community for the last two years. Hop Hill is located on 5000 acres just south of Rattlesnake Hills. It'll be interconnected to the electrical grid through three different interconnection options on the BPA system.

We often get the question, why did you decide to site here? Just happened a few minutes ago. Well, we sited and developed Hop Hill for four main goals.

Our first goal is to provide low cost, reliable energy.

This area has a strong solar resource which we can utilize with a battery to shift that energy to when customers need it. Further, there are excellent existing generation resources like hydro and nuclear we can pair with to provide dependable and affordable energy to the region.

Two, want to avoid lengthy infrastructure projects. As many of you know, there's significant constraints in the transmission system or moving electrical energy here in the Pacific Northwest. A potential project can trigger line upgrades throughout both Washington and Oregon, cost hundreds of millions of dollars, and take 10 to 15 years to build. By utilizing existing infrastructure we can reduce these impacts to our communities and produce cheaper power.

Goal three, we want to minimize impacts to natural resources, the community -- and the community while maximizing community benefits. First, we specifically targeted, non-irrigated grazing land that had both low commercial value but also avoided natural habitat -- valuable natural habitat.

We also sited the project in a location that is shield from the surrounding community so Benton County residents can enjoy the benefits of this project, including \$253 million in tax revenue over the project

life, without experiencing the significant impact to their viewshed.

Last, our fourth goal, was to develop a project that honors and preserves the productive nature of the land. We were challenged with this goal early on, and we're excited to bring agrivoltaics to the Hop Hill site, which is the combination of renewable generation and traditional agricultural uses on the same land. This shows that agriculture and renewable energy can work in concert and not conflict with each other.

Next slide please.

Once we decide on a general siting location for a project, we refine our final location by addressing a number of constraints or considerations. First, we work to avoid natural resources, both species and habitat. With Hop Hill we worked early on with Department of Fish and Wildlife to avoid impacts to the (inaudible) hackberry habitat, by shifting the site from the northwest corner to the southeast portion of the site.

Next we performed transact cultural resource surveys and avoided as well as buffered any resources we found in the area. Last we worked to avoid valuable habitat both on state and federal lands. By starting with this larger siting area, we're able to avoid these impacts as well as micro-site the project throughout

2.

1 | this process.

2.

Next slide.

On my next slides, we'll look at some of the visual renderings what this project will look like. This first slide shows the view from Snipes Road directly south of the site. It shows the same view, but after the project is installed. You can see the part of the array on the far right hand side, but it's largely shielded by the hill south of the ranch.

Next slide.

This is a visual from Missimer Road on the northern portion of the ranch, so actually kind of in the center of the where the project will be.

Next slide.

In this slide you can see the majority of the project. We wanted to show a close up rendering on the project site, giving you -- to give you an idea of what the panels will look like as well as the transmission line. The panels will stand around eight feet tall, but they'll be much shorter than the 18 to 20 foot hop trellises to the south. Now, I'm going to hand it over to Lindsey Hesch our permitting director to talk about site diligence and mitigation.

LINDSEY HESCH: Next slide, please.

Thanks, Chris. Good evening. I'm Lindsey

Hesch. I'm going to address the third purpose of this presentation, which includes environmental, social, and economic impacts. In doing so, I will share an overview with you of the project diligence, potential impacts, and mitigation measures proposed. You can see on the slide a list of the many studies and plans to create the best project possible for host communities. The project layout is preliminary and we will continue to refine design as a part of this process. Your input is important to helping us design the best project possible.

Based on the results of these studies, we have been able to verify the constructability of the site, avoid impacts to wetlands and their buffers, minimize proposed water body impacts to ephemeral drainages, avoid impacts to all cultural resource sites identified during survey, and as Chris mentioned a bit earlier, shift the project layout east to reduce potential impacts to species and their habitats.

The majority of the project within the solar array perimeter fence is planned to occur on pasture, grass land, and developed environments, but a smaller portion is planned on shrubsteppe habitat. Although the project worked to site around species and their habitats, we have develop a draft habitat mitigation

plan to compensate for any loss to shrubsteppe.

BrightNight strives to hire locally whenever possible. Our project is estimated to create approximately 300 plus construction jobs and three to five permanent jobs. Our goal is to hire a majority of the onsite construction workforce locally to the extent workers are available, with an estimated 75% of the workforce expected to already reside within a one-hour commute of the project area. Our socioeconomic review indicates that the area has a large construction workforce to pull from and that there is sufficient housing for any temporary workers needed.

Moving forward, we have multiple plans -listed on the slide you see here -- that will be
finalized to protect the community and the environment,
such as those for emergency response, traffic control,
cultural resources, decommissioning, stormwater, and
vegetation management.

Next slide, please.

The proposed project is low impact and minimally invasive that allows for the continued use of agriculture during operation. Before I go into each of these boxes you see on the slide here, I'd like to note a few things about Hop Hill that will make us a good neighbor in general. Our project is safe and quiet.

2.

It will provide real benefits to the surrounding community, including the ability to continue agricultural uses within and surrounding the project.

The project creates a large overall tax benefit and cash flow into the community and uses minimal community support services, facilities, and utilities to host it.

The solar panels are not harmful. Millions of Americans have installed them on their homes, businesses, schools, and farmland. The project will not produce harmful chemicals, will not omit odor, and would not be audible outside of the perimeter fence during operations. At the end of the project's useful life, the site would be decommissioned and can return to its pre existing use.

Now, starting with the boxes you see on the slide moving top left to right, some key examples that demonstrate our further commitment to create a project in harmony with the existing land and the community include:

First, we began to review the project in a very large 11,000 acre siting area to identify the best approximate 5,000 acre place for the proposed fenced area. This has allowed us to site the project around environmental constraints more than we would typically be able to do so.

We have minimized impacts to water bodies and avoided them entirely for wetlands. We have applied a conservative 100-foot buffer for ephemeral drainages and only proposed impacts of water bodies at crossing locations for access and collector lines. We have reviewed fencing perimeters to allow for wildlife movement.

We will avoid impacts to cultural resource sites identified to date and are committed to working with the Washington Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation and Native American tribes to avoid impacts to the greatest -- to the greatest extent possible. We are also committing to onsite cultural resources monitoring for all phases of construction.

We have designed the project to work around areas with steep topography and will minimize grading to the greatest extent feasible. Moving on to the next trail. Sorry, that's me, apologies. The project area is largely set back from major roads and populated areas, preserving the viewshed for neighbors.

We are utilizing existing transmission infrastructure, and the project has been predominantly sited on existing non-irrigated grazed pasture. We know that agricultural preservation is important to the Benton County community, and we are excited to propose

2.

dual use of the site to keep the land productive while the facility is in operations. We are evaluating fencing options that would best balance the needs for predation protection, wildlife movement, and security.

And lastly, we are planning to purchase water from a permitted offsite source. Thank you as this concludes my high level overview of project impacts and proposed mitigation, and I will hand it back over to Chris.

CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Thank you, Lindsey. Next slide.

So we'd like the industry and the public to see solar panels as farm equipment and the sun as a solar resource, but we know we have a lot of work to do.

Agrivoltaics, in this case, combining grazing and solar generation shows the benefits we can bring to traditional agricultural communities.

By adding panels to the project site we can shade the property, increasing water conservation by up to 300%, and double plant growth as their growth is water limited here in eastern Washington. That's -- this adds up to an increase in carrying capacity of 10% for sheep grazing when adding solar panels to a property.

With agrivoltaics we're also able to restore

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and preserve a historic grazing operation that spans all the way back to the original homesteading of the land. The same family plans to own and operate this grazing operation through operations.

Last we just don't want to help set a new standard for the industry, but we want to contribute to its improvement. For the Hop Hill site we're sponsoring through local universities an agrivoltaics research project on the transportation of nutrients under the panels.

So thank you guys for your time. You know, we would love the opportunity to show you we can be a good neighbor and also be able to produce clean reliable energy to your community? Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. There we go. I'm going to ask the Council members if they have questions. And I'll start off with one. As I looked at the application, I noticed especially -- I focused in a little bit on the shrubsteppe habitat -- and I noticed that there are some 1000 plus acres that's classified as altered shrubsteppe. And so I didn't understand whether that was then going to have panels on it, if it was outside a fenced area, was wildlife going to have access to that? If you could answer some of that, I'd appreciate it.

LINDSEY HESCH: Yes. So my understandings of the classifications are permanent, altered, and temporary. And so temporary is just that. It would be outside the perimeter fence, once that was operational and moves on. Permanent would be considered like a direct impact. So where the operations and maintenance building would be or where the sub parking area substation, you know, an actual gravel pad or an impact from a piling. And then the altered habits is really considered everything else within the perimeter fence that is still allowed or still offers ecological benefit but doesn't have the direct habitat so -- or doesn't have the direct impact to the habitat. So it will be basically the area within the panels that's still naturally vegetated within and around the panels, if that makes sense. CHAIR DREW: So I guess my question is then, two part, that for the time that there's solar panels, wildlife -- except for perhaps small critters -wouldn't have access to that habitat. And secondly, would those areas also be the ones that would be grazed by sheep or would it be other areas? So I'm wondering about what the impact to the that shrubsteppe habitat would be over time in reality.

No.

LINDSEY HESCH: Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand

your concern that -- well, the site is currently being 1 grazed, so yes, we are planning on using the entire site 2. for grazing so and I'm so sorry, but can you repeat the 4 first question? 5 CHAIR DREW: So that there's not access to 6 wildlife --7 LINDSEY HESCH: Right. CHAIR DREW: -- for the wildlife habitat 8 9 in those intervening years where it's being used for the 10 solar facility, then? LINDSEY HESCH: Right, yeah. So we are 11 evaluating fencing options that would balance the needs 12 13 for the sheep grazing for any security measures we need 14 with the facility, as well as being wildlife friendly. 15 And we are very willing to work with WDFW and figuring out what the best kind of options are to make sure that 16 17 it's still in use, ecological, as much as feasible. 18 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Are there other 19 questions from Council members? 20 COUNCIL MEMBER: So the fence question is what I was going to follow up with is, you know, you 21 guys are proposing a fairly innovative project here with 2.2 23 the agriculture integration. Are you -- so on the fence 24 piece, you know, we struggle with the notion that these 25 are chain link fences that are eight feet tall.

they're pretty much barriers to wildlife movement. So do you have some ideas on other designs and options that we can -- you'll be entertaining so that we can review those?

CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Yeah. You know, I'd say first of all, as a company, we're very interested in pro wildlife friendly fencing. As long as it can pass safety standards, we can get through financing and, you know, local fire marshal's okay with it. There is this added complexity with this project, which, you know, we're starting to realize is, if we add this grazing component, there is a predation aspect.

And so the owners and the people that'll be running this grazing operation are worried about predation. And so things like leaving a gap under the fence, you know, those sort of options that we really like is, you know, as a company that becomes a worry when you have coyotes that can come in and get into the sheep.

So, you know, that's something we're -- it's a little bit of give and take. We're going back and forth and saying if there's, like, a happy medium, if there's any way we can provide access to certain pastures during a part of the year because there's different tranches of the site. So the sheep can be grazing in one area and

we open up a wildlife corridor during that time, and we shift it back and forth.

You know, we're trying to think of different options, but this is a little bit of uncharted territory in terms of trying to do both these things together.

And so we're just trying to -- we're, you know, willing to work with you guys and WDFW to kind of figure out what the best solution would be, you know.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Do you also -- do you have a question at this point in time?

LINDSEY HESCH: I was just going to add one more. I'll be brief. Yeah. I was just going to say there's also -- we definitely want to listen and, you know, hear from all stakeholders, like he was saying about balancing it. There are some resources out there, one in particular by Colorado Parks and Wildlife. I think WDFW might have referenced it in some other guidelines, but there are some resources out there to pull from regionally and, you know, it's just a matter of, like, working with the agency and finding out what's most appropriate here.

COUNCIL MEMBER: Thank you Chairman -Chairwoman. I want to say thank you for coming to
Tri-Cities. This is really noteworthy given the weather
and conditions you're experiencing. And it was the

weather that drove me to answer -- ask the following 1 2 question, how much power can you generate on a day like today? And two, how many days of sunshine in Tri-Cities, at the site, will you experience? How many 4 5 days do you expect? 6 RON KIECANA: So yeah, we both -- we'll see production from the facility during the winter 7 months, there actually will be production from the 8 9 facility during overcast days as well. It will be 10 reduced, and it really will depend on, you know, if it's intermittent and just how thick that cloud cover really 11 Chris can speak to the actual capacity factor and 12 13 what we're expecting to see for production from the facility on an annualized basis. And, Chris, I'll hand 14 15 it over to you. CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: 16 Sure. I mean, I 17 think we're looking in the mid 20% for capacity factor. You know, very logically in the summer, middle of the 18 day, you have very large spikes in production. And 19 20 that's one of the ideas of having a battery; to shift 21 that power in the summertime. 22 In the wintertime, if you look at that kind of 23 peak you can get during the summer, your probably 24 average day is -- your production is probably 30% of 25 that, you know, in the middle of winter. So it is a hit

to production. 1 But, you know, we are a business -- we put a 2. 3 MET station out there for a year and measured the rate -- the solar radiance -- we have a very good idea 4 5 of it and we're here because it's a very strong resource for the region. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER: How much of that 7 information is in the ASC and, forgive me, I was only 8 9 appointed last Friday, so I haven't read the ASC and I 10 saw it over there in the corner, but if you'd just kind of share with me how much is in there. 11 12 CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: If the production 13 numbers aren't in there, I believe we'd be able to share 14 those. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER: All right. 16 LINDSEY HESCH: I don't know if they're in 17 there. 18 CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Yeah. We're not 19 exactly -- yeah, we could double check if they're in 20 there. 21 CHAIR DREW: And if you could send that to 22 our siting specialist so that it will be shared with all 23 the Council, because that's one of the things we need to 24 do is make sure the information doesn't go to one, but

to all of us who are participating.

```
1
                  CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON:
                                       Okay.
 2.
                  COUNCIL MEMBER:
                                   Thank you.
3
                  CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Council members
4
   who are on Teams, are there any questions?
5
                  STACEY BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster.
6
                  CHAIR DREW: Go ahead, Ms. Brewster.
                  STACEY BREWSTER: Thanks.
7
                                             Thanks.
    (Inaudible)
8
9
                  CHAIR DREW: We're not hearing you very
10
   well.
                  STACEY BREWSTER: I will hold my question
11
    for later than, thanks.
12
13
                  CHAIR DREW: We can hear you now.
14
                  STACEY BREWSTER: Okay. Speaking about
15
    shrubsteppe earlier, I noticed in the preliminary site
   plan that there's some overlay. Actually quite a bit in
16
17
    the northeast corner of the solar area. Can you talk
    about that and how the effects of grazing on that
18
    shrubsteppe will be looked at?
19
20
                  CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Yeah. I think I
    covered in, you know, the presentation here. You know,
21
22
    the reason we've shifted over into that corner -- I
23
   mean, again, this is kind of a balancing of resources
24
    and impacts -- was, you know, early on we worked with
25
   Mike at WDFW and there was a strong concern and want to
```

preserve prey habitat, shrubsteppe or not, that was 1 present on the northwestern portion of the site. 2. 3 So we had in terms of actual shrubsteppe 4 habitat, a lot less impact over there, but we were 5 closer to a nesting or nesting Ferruginous Hawks that were using that area to catch pray. And so the decision 6 7 was made, you know, that was maybe the priority according to WDFW and so it was better to shift over and 8 9 go into that shrubsteppe habitat as opposed to impacting 10 that prey area. The land is currently grazed. You know, we can 11 follow up with maybe some more specifics around the 12 13 impact of sheep grazing versus the cows and (inaudible) 14 they have out there already. But I want to be clear 15 that everywhere we're siting on this property is still being grazed by the landowner. 16 17 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any other 18 questions from Council members? Next on our agenda.

questions from Council members? Next on our agenda.

Thank you very much for your presentation and for EFSEC siting answering our questions. Up next is the exciting process presentation, Ms. Hafkemeyer.

AMI HAFKEMEYER: Thank you. Good evening. For the record, this is Ami Hafkemeyer. I am the -- thank you -- Director of Siting Compliance for EFSEC.

And I will be giving a short presentation on the EFSEC

19

20

21

22

23

24

siting process for those of you who are new to our agency.

Next slide.

2.

A little bit of history of the EFSEC agency. The Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council was created in 1970 for the siting of thermal power plants. The intent was to create a one-stop permitting agency for large energy facilities. EFSEC is comprised of state and local government members who review each application before voting to make a Council recommendation to the Governor. This recommendation comes along with a draft Site Certification Agreement, or SCA, which defines all pre-construction, construction, operation, and decommissioning plans. If approved by the Governor the decision preempts other state or local regulations.

Next slide.

EFSEC is comprised of members from several different state level agencies. The chairperson is appointed by the Governor, and there are standing members from five other agencies appointed by those agencies to sit on the Council full time.

The current Council is made up of Chairwoman

Kathleen Drew, Eli Levitt from the Department of

Ecology, Mike Livingston from the Department of Fish and

Wildlife, Kate Kelly from the Department of Commerce,

Lenny Young from the Department of Natural Resources, and Stacey Brewster from the Utilities and Transportation Commission.

There are additional agencies that may elect to appoint a Council member during the review of an application. These agencies are the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Health, and the Military Department. To this point, none of these agencies have elected to appoint a member for the review of this application.

The local county shall also appoint a Council member for the review of an application. Benton County has appointed Paul Krupin for the review of the Hop Hill Project.

Next slide please.

So, to reiterate, there are types of projects that can be certified through EFSEC and some projects, such as thermal power plants over 350 megawatts and nuclear facilities for the purpose of generating electricity, are required to site through EFSEC.

Others, such as wind, solar, and renewable hydrogen, geothermal, wave tidal, et cetera, may opt in at any size. Transmission lines greater than 115 kilovolts can also opt in. And there are additional thresholds for pipelines, refineries, and other storage

1 facilities that can also elect to site through EFSEC.

And those thresholds are found in the Revised Code of Washington 80.50.020.

Next slide.

Here is a map of the facilities that are certified or have applied for certification under EFSEC's jurisdiction. You can see marked in green there are six operating facilities, including two natural gas facilities, one nuclear facility, one solar facility, and two wind facilities. The blue marks indicate the three additional facilities that have been approved but have yet to start construction. Two being wind facilities and one being a solar facility. The clear or transparent marker is the one facility that is in the process of decommissioning. And EFSEC is currently reviewing applications for six projects, including the Hop Hill Project, which is what brings us here this evening.

Next slide, please.

Here is a flow chart showing the general process an applicant will go through when they submit an application to EFSEC. You can see that there are multiple processes that happen concurrently while EFSEC is reviewing an application. On the left, you will see there's a land use hearing -- an adjudicative process,

in the middle, the State Environmental Policy Act or SEPA process, and on the right, the third process involving identifying and preparing additional applicable environmental permits. All these processes ultimately feed into the Council's recommendation made to the Governor.

Next slide.

Where an adjudicative proceeding is required, a record is compiled and parties to the adjudication are identified. In the process of preparing for the adjudication, parties are identified and the issues to be adjudicated are determined, exhibits and testimony are provided, after which the Council looks at all the information, the adjudication record, and deliberates.

Finally, the Council draws up their findings of fact and conclusions of law from the information provided throughout these proceedings and incorporate those findings into their recommendation to the Governor along with the SEPA review and associated permit requirements and conditions.

Next slide, please.

For every proposed project, a SEPA review is performed. When a determination of significance and a decision to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement or EIS is made, public comments are taken on the scope of

the EIS. After public comment for scoping, the SEPA responsible official determines the scope of the EIS. A draft EIS is prepared and issued with a minimum 30-day public comment period, after which the final EIS is prepared and released.

In some instance a Determination of
Nonsignificance, a DNS, or a Mitigated Determination of
Nonsignificance, MDNS, is issued. If the SEPA
responsible official determines that a project meets the
criteria of a DNS or MDNS, an EIS is not required. In
this process the determination is noticed to the public
and there's a minimum 15 day public comment for an MDNS
while a DNS requires no public comment period.

Next slide.

environmental permits that a facility may require, which may include water quality and air quality permits.

These permits are identified in the final order with the Council's recommendation to the Governor.

Next slide, please.

At the conclusion of the Council's review of an application, a recommendation is made to the Governor to either approve or reject the application. This initiates a 60-day window within which the Governor will then approve the application, reject the application, or

remand the application back to the Council for further consideration. Any application that is rejected by the Governor is a final decision for that application.

Next slide, please.

If an application is approved by the Governor, EFSEC then has oversight of the environmental compliance for the life of the facility through decommissioning. EFSEC has standing contracts with appropriate state agencies that assist in the monitoring and enforcement of conditions either in the site certification agreement, identified permits, or stipulations in the EIS or MDNS. EFSEC's enforcement authority extends to the issuance of any penalties that may apply.

Next slide, please.

That concludes my presentation for this evening. Before I end, I'd like to remind everybody how they may submit comments for this proposal. If you'd like to sign up to speak this evening and you are joining us virtually or by phone, you can call the EFSEC mainline at 360-664-1345 to be added to the speaker list. You may also send in written comments by postal mail to our office at 621 Woodland Square Loop, PO Box 43172, Olympia, Washington 98504-3172.

Comments may also be submitted to our online comment database at https://comments.efsec.wa.gov.

There are two databases available for the duration of 1 the meeting, one for general comments and one for 2 3 comments specific to land use, both of which will be open until midnight this evening. Are there any 4 5 questions? 6 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I would like to now invite the Counsel for the Environment, Megan 7 Sallomi, to make a few -- say a few words to the 8 9 audience here tonight. The Attorney General also 10 through our statute, has a Counsel for the Environment that is there for any public members to talk to about 11 the project and bring concerns forward. Ms. Sallomi. 12 13 MEGAN SALLOMI: Hi. Can you turn my 14 camera on? Or is that not possible? 15 CHAIR DREW: Owen is working on it. He's 16 got it. 17 MEGAN SALLOMI: Okay. Hi, nice to see you 18 My name is Megan Sallomi. As Chair Drew 19 mentioned, I was appointed by the Attorney General to 20 represent the public and its interest in protecting the 21 quality of the environment before EFSEC when EFSEC makes 22 a decision on this project. So my role is that of a 23 party to the proceeding, similar to the applicant. I 24 don't work for EFSEC, nor do I make a final decision on 25 whether the project should be approved or denied.

just simply advocate to EFSEC regarding the public's environmental concerns for or against the project.

And so in that regard, I'm very happy to hear from you. I'll be listening tonight. And if you want to reach out to me after the meeting to talk more, I would be happy to do that. I'll put my email and phone number in the chat. But for those of you who are here in person, my phone number is 206-389-2437 and my email is megan M-E-G-A-N dot sallomi S-A-L-L-O-M-I at A-T-G dot W-A dot G-O-V. Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you very much. At this point, we will move to our public comments, and Judge Gerard is going to be presiding over the public comments. We will have Ms. Grantham call the names, and we will have a clock that will have three minutes.

Judge Gerard.

JUDGE GERARD: Everyone. I just want to give some clarification on what the comments are going to be and to articulate we'll have two sections tonight where comments can be made. This initial section is for general comments on the project.

Secondarily, when we get to the land use hearing, those will be limited exclusively to the land use hearing comments. So if you have a lot to say, reserve the land use comments to that particular portion

of the hearing as you will be limited only to three 1 minutes in comments at this point. 2 3 We are recording these and there are people 4 online so if you are either present in person or online, 5 please be sure to articulate and speak up loudly so everyone can hear you. So with that, go ahead and call 6 our first participant. 7 CHAIR DREW: If we can pause just a second 8 9 here. Do we have a court reporter tonight as well? 10 STAFF GRANTHAM: Chairman Drew, this is Andrea Grantham. Currently, I'm not seeing a court 11 12 reporter online, but I contacted our court reporter 13 service. I let them know that we are recording. So, I 14 will be sending this over. But for that, please, 15 whenever I call your name and you come up to speak, can you please spell out your name fully for the record. 16 17 CHAIR DREW: And we will start the time 18 for your comments after you spell your name so that if you have a long name or a short name, it won't make a 19 20 difference. 21 Chairman Drew, Judge CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: 22 Gerard, Council we have a very short video with some 23 public comments. Would now be the time to present that? 24 CHAIR DREW: Why don't we give the folks 25 here a chance to comment, and we can conclude the

meeting with that. 1 CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Excellent. Okay. 2. 3 Thank you. 4 STAFF GRANTHAM: This is Andrea Grantham 5 again, I will call the first person. I have Jason Lor. Is there a Jason Lor on the line? 6 JUDGE GERARD: And for those you 7 participating remotely, if you are on mute, that may be 8 9 why we can't hear you. So go ahead and turn that off if 10 that is the case. 11 STAFF GRANTHAM: I will go ahead and call the next person, and if Jason Lor comes back, we can go 12 13 back over to them. The next person I have is Stan 14 Isley. 15 STAN ISLEY: Yes. This is Stan Isley. My last name is I-S-L-E-Y. Thank you, Chair Drew and EFSEC 16 17 members and staff for giving me this opportunity to 18 comment tonight on the Hop Hill -- proposed Hop Hill 19 Solar Project. Thanks also to the BrightNight 20 representatives for presenting their information and 21 giving us information about the proposed project. 22 I'm the Conservation Chair for the Yakima 23 Valley Audubon Society and I'm offering these comments 24 this evening on behalf of Yakima Valley Audubon. Yakima

Valley Audubon and the conservation community in general

support the rapid transition away from fossil fuel energy sources in Washington to sustainable renewable energy sources like solar.

We want, however to "do it right." To make this transition while avoiding negative environment impacts and other collateral damage. We encourage the development of dispersed solar energy generation, like rooftop, so we accept the need to develop utility-scale solar energy projects like this Hop Hill Solar Project to aid Washington State's rapid transition goals to clean energy.

Washington State University Energy Program is currently leading an effort to develop maps of potential least conflict solar energy development sites across the Columbia Plateau of central and eastern Washington. A large group of volunteer stakeholders, myself included, we're participating in this project identifying areas that must be protected while, at the same time, identifying areas that represent potential solar farm sites with minimal likely conflicts or concerns.

WSU's final report and maps will be finished and available to everyone by June 30th of this year -- June 30th, 2023. Yakima Valley Audubon encourages EFSEC and permitting authorities and also the solar energy developers to use the least conflict maps to inform and

1 guide future solar development in Washington's Columbia 2 Plateau when they become available.

In regards to this particular Hop Hill Solar Project, specifically Audubon is concerned about potential negative impacts to Ferruginous Hawks, negative impacts to Sage Brush Sparrow habitats, also negative impacts to shrubsteppe habitat, and impacts to the Arid Lands Initiative, Hanford core area.

We recommend -- Yakima Valley Audubon -- we recommend that the Hop Hill solar developers work very closely with the experts at WDFW also with Washington Audubon staff and others to avoid negative impacts on the -- as the Hop Hill Project is developed and to ensure that unavoidable impacts are fully mitigated.

I was a little concerned hearing about the impacts this project proposes to already grazed shrubsteppe. We've lost 80% of the shrubsteppe habitat that we -- that existed 200 years ago in this state and the shrubsteppe obvious are declining.

JUDGE GERARD: Mr. Isley we have hit the three minute point. Thank you for your comments. You can send more in writing if you choose.

STAFF GRANTHAM: The next person I have is Rylan Grimes. Do we have a Rylan Grimes on the line?

Okay. I will call the next person I have Mike Alldritt.

```
MIKE ALLDRITT: Council, my name is Mike
1
2.
   Alldritt, M-I-K-E, A-L-L-D-R-I-T-T. I'm here tonight to
    speak in favor of the Hop Hill Solar Project. Not only
4
    does the project help Washington State get to its
 5
    goals -- to get to the clean energy transition goals
    that have been set for the state, it also with
6
   developers like BrightNight, who have reached out to the
7
    local building trades.
8
9
             That's a great opportunity for local people,
10
    apprenticeship programs. These jobs provide living wage
    jobs, full family benefits, and a retirement with
11
   dignity. So I'm here to speak on behalf of Iron
12
13
    Workers, Local 14, as well as Local 29, Portland,
14
            That's my comment. Thank you for giving me the
15
   opportunity.
16
                  JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Albert.
17
                  STAFF GRANTHAM:
                                   The next person I have is
    Chad Higgins.
18
19
                  CHAD HIGGINS: Hello. My name is Chad
20
   Higgins. You can spell my name as C-H-A-D,
21
   H-I-G-G-I-N-S. I come before you today to be an
22
    informational resource. As a researcher and professor
23
   at Oregon State University I have researched these
24
    systems for the past eight years, and much of the cited
25
    information in regards to the benefits of these systems,
```

agrivoltaic systems, presented here tonight was taken from research that I performed here in the region.

Briefly these systems, and I have dedicated my research efforts and life to finding ways to integrate energy systems into agricultural systems for mutual benefit and how those systems can increase the sustainability of our water, food, and energy resources as well as the sustainability of our local farmers. I come at this from a land preservation and agricultural land preservation perspective and recognizing also the need for renewable energy resources.

In our research, we have shown that the water efficiency of plants within an agrivoltaic system in arid regions can increase by up to 300%, that we have doubled the production of parch pasture under these systems when properly managed, and that the sheep stress hormones are reduced, as well as we were able to graze more sheep per acre, more head of sheep per acre.

I believe in -- the research has demonstrated that these systems are viable and really a step forward and a potential win-win-win situation for rural communities and our push towards a more sustainable future. Thank you, Chair Down and Council members.

JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Higgins.

STAFF GRANTHAM: The next person I have is

Jim Millbauer. 1 JIM MILLBAUER: Chair Drew and committee 2. 3 members, thank you for the opportunity to comment tonight. My name is Jim, J-I-M, Millbauer, 4 5 M-I-L-L-B-A-U-E-R and I'm a resident of Benton County, and I reside in Kennewick. I'm speaking in support of 6 the Hop Hill Solar Project. I believe this project will 7 help enable our clean energy transition and create local 8 9 economic opportunities. It will do so by incorporating 10 historic agricultural use. 11 I personally have installed solar panels in my 12 residence because I believe in having a diversified 13 energy portfolio to rely upon and I apply the same 14 belief to this project for our county, region, and state. This project will support our local labor 15 16 workforce and therefore our economy. And given our 17 state's renewable energy needs, the profound economic 18 opportunity this project represents for the local 19 community, and land use consistency with the county comp 20 plan, I urge you to move forward with this project. 21 Thank you for the opportunity. 22 JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Millbauer. 23 The next person I have is STAFF GRANTHAM: 24 James Remmey. 25 JAMES REMMEY: James Remmey, J-A-M-E-S,

R-E-M-M-E-Y. I am a member of the IBEW 112 here in --1 based out of Kennewick. I'm speaking in favor of the 2. 3 Hop Hill solar farm. We install solar panels all the 4 time. This will enable hundreds of jobs for my local brothers and sisters in the IBEW 112 as well as other 5 6 trades. We simply need to go in a more economical 7 direction when it comes to providing energy, and the 8 9 more energy sources that we have, the more cost 10 effective it will be for everyone to have energy in 11 their homes. 12 And also the environmental impact, as far as 13 I'm aware, is much less than hydro, wind, and nuclear. 14 So I think it's a win-win to diversify in all these 15 areas, but solar has a really great or low impact on the Thank you very much. 16 environment. 17 STAFF GRANTHAM: Next I have Kathryn Tominey. 18 19 KATHRYN TOMINEY: Yes. Do you hear me? 20 STAFF GRANTHAM: Yes, we can. 21 KATHRYN TOMINEY: Okay. My name is 22 Kathryn Tominey, K-A-T-H-R-Y-N, Tominey, T-O-M-I-N-E-Y. 23 I'm a retired research scientists from the National Lab. 24 I've lived here since February of 1968, but I grew up on 25 a very traditional family dairy farm, so I've got

technology history and farming history.

I've been following agrivoltaics for some years now, primarily via NREL and what have you. And I've been very impressed with the approach of planning this project. It leaves the land pretty much, sort of, as is. Not a lot of irrigation, nothing overhead. The livestock, the sheep will have, not only good grazing but some shade on the really hot days, which makes a big difference.

And I think the overall approach as laid out is working very hard to make a good balance between the ongoing grazing business, the sheep business, and the natural world. And last, the farming business, both grazing and crop have a lot of challenges because of markets. And the reliable stream of electricity revenue will be very helpful. It has been helpful in many other areas in stabilizing income and leaving the land in agriculture. Thank you.

JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Ms. Tominey.

STAFF GRANTHAM: Next I have Carl

21 | Anderson.

2.

CARL ANDERSON: That's Carl Anderson,

C-A-R-L, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N. I am the fourth generation

rancher on the proposed site. We do plan on

re-introducing sheep just as my great grandparents did.

- That will help diversify the farm and along with the solar income, make it a sustainable situation. Land is non-irrigated, very low production. The cattle are spread out, about one pair -- Cow calf pair per 25 aces. Very dry.
  - In my opinion, these solar panels are not much different than the apple orchards to the south, or the hop trellises, wine vineyards. It's just another product that the land can produce some income. It is a very isolated area. On a busy day, there might be two or three cars that drive by.

The way the geography is, you cannot see it from town. Unlike the Horse Heaven Hills project, there shouldn't be any long-term effects with the neighbors or community. I speak in favor of the project. And thank you for being here tonight.

JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Anderson.

STAFF GRANTHAM: Next, I have Steve

19 | Branch.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEVE BRANCH: Good evening. My name is Steve Branch. That's S-T-E-V-E, B-R-A-N-C-H. I'm with Zirkle Fruit Company. We are a true tree fruit and grape grower and part of the Hop Hills project will cross over a portion of our vineyard. We support this project and we also support the team that's been working

on it. Hop Hill is going to provide significant solar power and storage towards the renewable energy demands of our communities. This project also directly aligns with the regional and state goals related to renewable energy.

We've been consistently impressed with the project team and their approach and caring for the affected lands and ensuring that they do not disturb our operations and those also in the surrounding area. They've shown themselves to be honest and transparent partners at all points. And add as a little bit of an aside that, as a family-owned and operated farming operation, we can tend to be a little bit skeptical when people approach us to partner on projects, and we tend to be a little hesitant on comments of platitudes and trust us and those types of comments. However, we had the joy of actually hosting the founder of BrightNight at our facility and quickly realized that we both have a great care of environmental concerns. He himself is a regenerative farmer, which is something that we care very deeply about. And at every point during our conversations with BrightNight, they showed themselves to be very caring, honest, and transparent with us addressing our needs and concerns, all those points. for all those reasons, we would encourage your support

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for this project and thank you for your time. 1 Thank you, Mr. Branch. 2. JUDGE GERARD: 3 STAFF GRANTHAM: Next I have Ira Johnson. 4 IRA JOHNSON: My name is Ira Johnson, 5 I-R-A, Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N, and I live in Kennewick. I have visited with everybody here. They've done an 6 excellent job of presentation, much better than the wind 7 project that the people want to put in. 8 And my question is, who's going to pay for 9 10 Us taxpayer's paying for it or is somebody else paying for it? Another question is, who gets the 11 benefit of the electricity? Do we get it or does 12 13 Seattle get it? If Seattle gets it, move it over there 14 and let Seattle do it then. 15 We talked about chain link fence and sheep and all that along the -- that area up there, deer and elk 16 travel through there. So that's something you need to 17 18 think about. Environmental-wise, you're going to have 19 to have a lot of concrete and that includes having water 20 to mix the concrete with. Where you going to get this? How is that going to increase the road traffic? 21 22 All these things like that we need to consider. 23 The -- it's good we're going to get to use local labor. 24 It's good for our guys here. Lots of good points, you 25 But I just want to know who's paying for it?

it us taxpayers in Washington or who? You know, that's 1 Thank you for listening to me. 2 my question. 3 JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Johnson. STAFF GRANTHAM: Next I have is Mike 4 5 Bosse. 6 Thank you, Council. MIKE BOSSE: That's 7 Mike, M-I-K-E, Bosse, B-O-S-S-E. Thank you for your Thank you for this opportunity to give public 8 9 I am a representative of Operating Engineers 10 Local 302 representing 14,000 operating engineers through Alaska, Washington, and Idaho with about 1,200 11 here locally. Projects such as the Hop Hill Project 12 13 provides good sustainable family jobs here locally. On 14 previous projects, we've heard the comments and the 15 arguments that, hey, you know, these are just construction jobs. We build our careers one 16 17 construction job after another. We build things. 18 move on. We build the next thing. So project such as 19 this project is very important to our livelihood, and we 20 support it. On a personal note, I think it's a good 21 point to remember that this proposed land is already 2.2 used as grazing land. So the impact of sheep to the 23 shrubsteppe wouldn't impose, in my opinion, anymore 24 impact than is already imposed upon it. This is also a private project proposed on private property that poses 25

no real harm or risk to the public. So therefore 1 preserving the rights of landowners to do what they need 2. 3 or can do on their own private property should be 4 preserved. Appreciate your time and thank you. 5 JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Bosse. 6 Next I have Aubrey STAFF GRANTHAM: Newton. 7 8 AUBREY NEWTON: Good evening. Can you 9 hear me okay? 10 STAFF GRANTHAM: Yes, we can. 11 AUBREY NEWTON: Okay. Great. Aubrey 12 Newton, A-U-B-R-E-Y, N-E-W-T-O-N. Thank you, Chair Drew 13 and EFSEC Council for the opportunity to speak to you 14 this evening. As mentioned, I'm Aubrey Newton. I'm the 15 Director of the Northwest Laborers'-Employers Cooperation and Education Team here this evening 16 17 commenting as an affiliate of Laborers Local 348, 18 located in the Tri-City area. 19 BrightNight's proposal for the Hop Hill Solar 20 Project is exactly the kind of project Washington's 21 local workforce, and specifically the state's legacy 22 fossil fuel workers, need in order to expand their 23 skills so they can fully participate in new job 24 opportunities created by the transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy. The Laborers are encouraged 25

by and celebrate the efforts that the developer is taking and has taken to utilize local workers in Washington for projects such as this one.

In addition to hiring local workers, we encourage the utility, the developer, and the EFSEC Council to leverage existing labor resources in the community such as construction trade unions, as they are doing, to meet the demand for the pipeline of energy projects expected to come online in the next few years. By partnering with construction unions like ours and others that you will hear tonight and taking advantage of our free apprenticeship and training programs, we can grow and train our own skilled local solar workforce while ensuring safe and quality construction at no additional cost to rate payors.

Construction unions like ours and others have a base of workers with years of experience and the infrastructure to recruit and train local workers and the ability to work with our local contractor partners to ramp up quickly and safely. We bring this up because of the ability to quickly bring in a diverse workforce from disadvantage communities and inherently enhanced opportunities for women, veterans, and people of color to transition into projects -- energy projects -- like this one.

2.

1 Therefore, we urge you to approve this project and set precedents for good developers like BrightNight 2 3 that want to grow the economy, participate in Washington's energy transition, and create jobs for 4 5 workers to grow in the Tri-City area. Thank you for your time. 6 Thank you. JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Ms. Newton. 7 I will call on Jason Lor 8 STAFF GRANTHAM: 9 again. And lastly, I will call on Rylan Grimes one more 10 time. Okay Judge, that is the end of my sign-up sheet. I understand that BrightNight brought in a sign-up 11 sheet, so some people in the crowd might have signed up 12 13 with that. I'm not sure how you how you want to go 14 about that. 15 JUDGE GERARD: Pardon. Who just spoke? I quess I failed somehow not 16 GREG WAGNER: 17 to sign up or something. JUDGE GERARD: Would you go ahead and 18 19 state your name for us please. 20 GREG WAGNER: Oh well. 21 JUDGE GERARD: I need you to state and 22 spell your name but you can go head and make your 23 comments. Hello. Yes sir, I am speaking with you. Ιf 24 you wish to make comments now, I need to just state and 25 spell your name.

```
1
                  GREG WAGNER: Oh, I'll make a comment.
                                                          Μy
2
   name is Greq Wagner. I'm with a group known as CEASE,
3
    Citizens Educated About Solar Energy.
                  JUDGE GERARD: Wait. Slow down. I need
4
5
   you to spell your name also, please for the record, sir.
6
                  GREG WAGNER: My what?
                  JUDGE GERARD: I need you to spell your
7
   name, please.
8
9
                  GREG WAGNER: Oh, I'm sorry. W-A-G-N-E-R.
10
                  JUDGE GERARD: Go ahead, Mr. Wagner. You
    can make your comments now, at this point. Please go
11
    ahead and reset the clock.
12
13
                  GREG WAGNER: Okay. Greg Wagner, with the
    group known as CEASE, Citizens Educated About Solar
14
15
            I oppose the solar project. It does harm to
    the environment, to the citizens.
16
17
             It will create a few temporary full-time jobs
18
    for union members. I support that but, if you really
    want to create jobs for union people, it should be
19
20
    full-time factory jobs that give them good benefits.
    They don't have to travel all across the country to work
21
2.2
   at a solar project.
23
             When that solar project is done, there'll be
24
   very few, if any, full-time positions for citizens in
25
    the area. The solar project will cover what -- 12,000
```

acres of ground -- deliver very little electricity to the grid. It does more harm than it does good.

Solar is not the future for America. If anything, it's going to destroy America. We need to have power plants powered by natural gas, coal, nuclear that will give us the reliable affordable electricity we need to make our country prosper. Solar energy is something that will just destroy our country.

All for the sake of Governor Inslee's ridiculous green energy projects all earmarked on the east side of the Cascade Mountains, none near his home. This is all the hypocrisy, and it needs to change. Our future depends on it. Thank you very much.

JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Mr. Wagner.

Okay. At this point, we're going to orderly offer up additional comments if someone would like to do that.

We're going to start with individuals who are currently physically present.

If you did not sign up and you wish to make comments, go ahead and step up to the podium. We'll give you a few minutes to do that. If no one does we'll move on to those who are participating virtually.

So we do have one participant. So let's go ahead and let her go first, and you can go second. And then again, please state and spell your name for the

2.

record, and then go ahead and begin. 1 2. KAREN BRUN: Karen Brun, K-A-R-E-N, 3 B-R-U-N and I actually just have a question for 4 BrightNight, if that's allowed. I believe you mentioned 5 that you have a power contract for 280 megawatts is that -- I won't ask you specifically who that's to or 6 with -- but is it a Washington utility? 7 8 RON KIECANA: It's a company that --9 KAREN BRUN: So does that imply that the 10 power is going to --11 If you are going to speak JUDGE GERARD: would you step to the podium so that participants who 12 13 are here virtually can also hear you. Thank you. 14 KAREN BRUN: I'm sorry. RON KIECANA: Yes. It's a -- the buyer 15 has operations within the state of Washington. 16 17 KAREN BRUN: So does that mean that the 18 power will stay in Washington? 19 RON KIECANA: The power is very likely to 20 be consumed locally and regionally. If you look at 21 where -- if you look at solar irradiance, it's much 22 stronger to the south. I mean, I think, it would make 23 more sense if -- I think you're implying or asking -- if 24 the power may be sent elsewhere. I think it would be 25 beneficial to build the project elsewhere. So I think

having it here in this region, I think there's probably 1 a high likelihood of that power staying here within this 2 3 region. 4 KAREN BRUN: Okay. Because my concern is 5 that if that power goes someplace else, it's not going to do anything to work into Governor Inslee's, you know, 6 7 qoal? 8 RON KIECANA: Sure. Yes. 9 KAREN BRUN: Thank you. 10 JUDGE GERARD: Thank you, Ms. Brun. And we do have additional comments. Before we do, the 11 project will still be -- the participants will be around 12 13 during the break before we get into the Land Use 14 Consistency. If you do have any questions for them go 15 ahead and reserve them at that point. Right now, this is just a public comment opportunity. So --16 17 DEKEN LETINICH: Good evening. Thank you, Chair Drew and the EFSEC Council for the opportunity to 18 19 speak today. My name is Deken Letinich, D-E-K-E-N, 20 L-E-T-I-N-I-C-H. I had signed up to speak during the land use, but I feel like my comments are probably more 21 22 appropriate for this time, so I move myself up. 23 I'm the Assistant Political and Legislative Director for the Washington & Northern Idaho District 24 25 Council of Laborers. We have roughly 8,000 members

across the state and locally Local 348 Laborers here in Richland. I'm here to speak in support of the Hop Hill Solar Project.

It's been stated that this project will provide, it looks like, roughly 300 good jobs for this area in the Columbia Basin and the developer has made it clear that this project intends to use local resources for construction. And it's also worth noting the large amount of tax money that would go back into the community.

Overall, the project is important to the workers who live here, their families, and generations to come. The work force is here. We're ready. And we hope that EFSEC would uplift developers that want to grow Washington's clean energy goals.

I'm going to go off script for just a second. And to hear the actual landowners affected come and comment in favor of this project, I think, should be a big signal for everyone that their private land is being used for a project that they support. And to see the work by the developer to include consideration for -- there's a fancy word over there, agrivoltaics, I think is really interesting.

So for the benefits to the area, to the landowners, and the minimal impacts on the environment,

2.

I hope that EFSEC will support this project. Thank you. 1 Thank you, Mr. Letinich. 2. JUDGE GERARD: 3 Any other participants wish to comment at this point who 4 are live in the auditorium -- room? Let's move on to 5 virtually. If you do wish to speak, go ahead and type your name into the chat so we can orderly do it. If we 6 don't get any chats then we'll go ahead and end this 7 portion of tonight's meeting. Right. Thank you. We'll 8 9 also move on to the presenters last public comments. 10 Okay. Before we move on to the presenters additional 11 comments, those who did not speak or do have additional 12 comments you wish to make, you may do so by 11:59 p.m. 13 14 There is an email on the website, also in 15 front of us now, efsec@efsec.wa.gov. Thank you. CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Thank you. I think 16 17 there's a link on the last slide that we submitted of 18 our presentation. I think we can find a short video 19 there. 20 RON KIECANA: Can I make a comment before the video starts? 21 22 JUDGE GERARD: Yes. Go ahead. 23 CHRIS WISSEL-TYSON: Yeah. No. I, you 24 know, I think there's some general questions I'd love to 25 make a comment about. I mean, one was about, you know,

where the power is going. There's a, you know, a common, you know, thought or feeling that, you know, is the power going to California or out of the region. And Ron hit on this a lot. I mean, really, you want to produce power to use it.

And one of the biggest issues, and I kinda hit on it, is the transmission system. There's a lot of constraints. And not only are there constraints in that system, there's a really high cost to move power. I mean, not only is solar not competitive in southern Oregon, let alone California, the cost to move it there is cost prohibitive. So we would never move the power that far, in general. I mean, the industry or the markets could change. But today that seems like quite a long-shot perspective.

I think there is a, you know, also a thought of, you know, who's going to pay for it? This is very expensive. I mean, Ron and I grew up in the business. We built coal plants. I built natural gas plants with Ron. We know economics of these. Solar in the last 10 years has become very competitive in the industry. It's in areas like Arizona or California, it's sometimes two-thirds the cost of coal. So it's very competitive.

I mean, at the end of the day, we're a business and we're trying to generate some type of income or

profit off these. And we're relatively technology agnostic as long as it's carbon neutral and these type of projects are profitable. So the thought that, you know, someone else is paying for it -- we're actually -- have to participate in a competitive market to bid these projects.

In the very last I think, you know, there's some thought around foundation and impact. In general, the panels are put on piles into the ground. We do not use concrete to hold the panels. We actually just push those into the ground. You know, I think maybe the most difficult part of that construction process is if there's any competent rock, we will do some drilling to get those poles in.

VIDEO: Well, most of these so-called developers who come in on solar are really -- I compare them to some of the original, you know, gas lease guys going to Colorado and Texas trying to, you know, get mineral leases and stuff. They're just basically collecting land, to try to aggregate and put it together in a package that they're going to flip. That's not BrightNight.

You know, BrightNight is looking at this for a long-term development. They want to be the owner operator, developer, owner operator. To me, that's

1 important. You're working with somebody who's going to 2 see it through to the end.

Washington State has led the nation in sustainable energy for 70 years. It is what we do and we do it really well between nuclear, hydro power, and now growing into solar and wind. This is our strength.

We have a lot of land here. And, right now, we have lots of non-arable land and we have to be able to provide farmers, especially small farmers, alternative ways in order to be able to generate income.

This is good for the state. It brings in good paying jobs, union jobs often, and it moves us closer to our 2030 date of being 100% fossil fuel independent in Washington State.

We depend on a steady flow of construction jobs. So even though they are temporary, they mean everything. It doesn't matter, necessarily, that they're temporary as long as there is always something else to go to.

I think with this renewable energy that we are getting, I think we have to adjust to a little change.

I think we're going to get a lot of benefit out of it.

And that's important for every one of us.

I've lived in the Tri-Cities since 1968. Well,
I have an interest in the agrivoltaics, and I think

coming into our area will be wonderful for the area.

Wonderful for our farmers too.

Renewable energy would be a big impact for the community, especially with the, you know, the dam situations, the hydro electric, the nuclear energy out of Hanford, and then the wind power, and the solar. The solar would be, you know, out of sight, out of mind type situation.

What I want people to know about agrivoltaics and renewable power is that it's not an either-or choice. And it's not -- that, to me, is a false choice. These things can coexist and they can coexist for mutual benefit. Like there is gains that can be had on the agricultural side if you think about the sun as a farm resource that you can manage.

You're going to have sheep at Hop Hill. So in addition to plants, which is the primary crop for Hop Hill, because the sheep have got to eat something. An interesting anecdote is whenever I do tours of our agrivoltaics research sites, I don't put up shade tents. I just say everybody find a spot where they want to be and where does everybody go? They all go under the solar panels and that's because, just like humans want to be comfortable out of the sun, sheep do the same.

JUDGE GERARD: All right. Thank you, everyone. This

2.2

```
concludes the Informational Meeting. We will reconvene
 1
     at -- 15 minutes from now -- so right at 7:15 for the
 2
 3
         Land Use Consistency Hearing. Thank you all.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Steven B. Crandall, certify that the foregoing
4	of the proceedings and testimony taken in the matter of
5	The above-entriced proceeding.
6	That the foregoing was transcribed from an
7	the best of my ability, taking into consideration poor
8	That the foregoing was transcribed from an audio/video recording. The foregoing was transcribed to
9	
10	
11	counsel of any party to this action, or relative, or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	STRUM
19	
20	Steven B. Crandall, CER Certified Electronic Reporter #1198
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	