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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR DREW: Good evening. This is Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council for a special meeting for Goose Prairie Solar Project on their request to amend the Goose Prairie Solar Site Certification Agreement. And the purpose -- their request is to -- for the Council to approve an amendment to transfer ownership of the Site Certification Agreement from OneEnergy OER Washington Solar 1 LLC to Goose Prairie Solar, LLC.

And this evening we will hear from the applicant about this request, council members will have opportunity for questions, and then we will have public comments, followed by the information about the process from Ms. Hafkemeyer on EFSEC's staff about the transfer request process.

I have a question before we go further.

Ms. Hafkemeyer, do you want to give your presentation before the public comments or after?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: I don't have so much of a presentation as just updating the Council on what staff would recommend as the next steps for the transfer.
CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.
And -- so we will have you after the public
comments, as it says in the agenda.
So with that introduction, Ms. Grantham,
Ms. Owens, will you call the roll?
MS. OWENS: It's Ms. Owens today.
CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce.
MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.
MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology.
MR. LEVITT: Eli Levitt, present.
MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and Wildlife.
CHAIR DREW: Excused.
MS. OWENS: Department of Natural Resources.
MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.
MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation
Commission.
MS. BREWSTER: Stacy Brewster, present.
MS. OWENS: Assistant Attorney General.
MR. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson, present.
MS. OWENS: For counsel staff, Ami
Hafkemeyer.
MS. HAFKEMEYER: Present.
MS. OWENS: Andrea Grantham.
MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.
MS. OWENS: For counsel for the environment, Bill Sherman.

MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman is present.

MS. OWENS: And I'm not sure. Is Meagan Salami on the line as well?

MALE SPEAKER: No, she's not.

MS. OWENS: Okay. Great.

Chair, there's a quorum for the regular council.

Chair, you're muted.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

We will move on now to the presentation by the applicant, Blake Bjornson and Mark Scanlan.

MR. BJORNSON: Great. Thank you, Chair Drew.

Is it possible to do video or --

CHAIR DREW: Actually, I think I should call you a certificate holder.

MR. BJORNSON: That's right.

CHAIR DREW: So let's change that as well.

Okay. Mr. Bjornson.

MR. BJORNSON: Great. It looks like the video doesn't like me.

Good evening, Chair Drew and EFSEC Council.

Thank you all for being here this evening.
As you all know me, Blake Bjornson from OneEnergy Renewables and here for a pretty brief presentation.

So we can just jump straight to this slide. This is the important slide here.

So what we are asking for in conjunction with the purchase and sale agreement -- so One Energy has been working with Brookfield Renewables to sell the Goose Prairie Solar Project. And as part of that transfer, we are requesting -- per the WAC 463-66-100, we are requesting that EFSEC grant a direct transfer of the Site Certificate Agreement from OER WA Solar 1 to Goose Prairie Solar LLC.

And just to clarify, OER WA OneEnergy is a project-level company that we created, OneEnergy created, in order to develop the project to have assets owned by that project. And that is a wholly owned subsidiary of OneEnergy Development LLC.

So just to clarify that. OER WA Solar 1 is a company of OneEnergy Developments. And we are also requesting an upstream indirect transfer of control of that SCA to Brookfield.

So just a little bit of discussion. OneEnergy and Brookfield have agreed to sell the project, and the project being sold to Goose Prairie
Solar LLC. Again, pursuant to that code, we are seeking your approval.

Goose Prairie LLC, similar to OER WA Solar LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield. And Brookfield agrees to comply with all of the conditions and requirements of the SCA, including financial assurance requirements.

For those of you who were involved with the Columbia Solar transfer, we have a bit of a different situation here. Because for the Goose Prairie Solar, we were not submitted that financial assurance. So we don't have the same need to not have any time lapse of no financial assurance. So Brookfield will take on that requirement and will provide that when necessary.

With that, we can switch to the next slide.

And I will turn it over to Mark Scanlan to introduce himself and Brookfield Renewables.

MR. SCANLAN: Perfect. Thank you, Blake.

Yeah. So my name is Mark Scanlan. I'm a Senior Director of Asset Development with Brookfield Renewables here in the U.S.

And as Blake pointed out there, we've been working with OneEnergy for the best part of a year on acquiring this project. And just kind of over the next couple of slides give you an overview of who Brookfield
Renewable U.S. are and what kind of capabilities we possess and looking to kind of take over this solar development project in Washington and bring it into operation in 2023.

So, firstly, Brookfield Renewable are one of the world's largest pure-play power platform globally with its largest footprint being in the U.S. In the U.S., we employ over 800 people; operating in 34 states. This would give us our first operating asset in Washington and increase that number to 35. And we have a deep history, due to our hydro fleet, and some of our hydro assets are over 120 years old.

And we operate in all kind of renewable classes. So we have hydro facilities, wind facilities, solar facilities, which are one of our big grow platforms and we hope to double that figure in the next two years with projects such as Goose Prairie and other projects that we're building across the U.S.

And we have a distributed generation business that's separate from our utility scale solar. And we have energy storage which comprises of batteries, but also a very large pump hydro station in the U.S. as well. And the bottom part of this slide here is referring to the -- to the pipeline of -- of potential renewable projects that we have in the U.S. as well.
So this touches, again, on the Brookfield capabilities in terms of what we can do, what we do do across the U.S. So, basically, we can provide a one-stop shop servicing and kind of bring -- bring projects to all stages of their life from development, in the case of Goose Prairie.

And then we have a very large operating fleet of the asset classes that I mentioned and we can do all our sources in-house in terms of we have a trading team, operational team, development team, and -- and basically have operate -- operate assets currently to provide enough energy in the U.S. to -- to -- to -- to energize 3 million homes annually.

And this -- this kind of touches on some of our sustainability initiatives in terms of what -- what we do with our existing assets and kind of -- and just on that as well.

And this is kind of what we can do, given our suite of services as well, in terms of just the energy trading team we have that can maximize the production and the utilization of the -- of the renewable energy being created by what are wind, hydro, and solar assets. And we think we are uniquely positioned as well, given how these assets can complement each other.
If one would imagine, if it's not raining, it's sunny. And if it's not either of those, it should be somewhat windy.

And -- and this map, again, just kind of gives an overview of where our assets are within the U.S. and moving into, kind of, Pacific Northwest. It's even only kind of the three years that we've really grown our footprint here. And the three wind sites you can see just on the Oregon/Washington boarder at Shepherds Flat Wind site that we acquired in early 2021.

So that's a high-level overview of Brookfield and kind of the capabilities we have and how we see this asset as a fit to our growing U.S. fleet.

And also -- sorry.

The other part I should have touched on, too, is this asset is part of the Brookfield Global Transition Fund, which is a $15 billion fund that focuses specifically on decarbonization initiatives globally.

I have no further comments and questions, but happy to take any questions that the counselors may have.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

First of all, I would like to understand, as you take over the -- as this moves forward, should this
move forward, what is your plan for who you would have
on the ground from your company to -- to work with us
through the construction process and into the future?

MR. SCANLAN: Yeah. There's probably a
couple of parts to that. It will be myself and members
of the Brookfield asset development team that will be
taking over Blake's position in terms of communication
with the EFSEC Council. And as we plan to progress this
project.

We will be looking for an EPC provider, so
an Engineering Procurement and Construction Provider to
build the asset.

We look for a Tier One Provider. Brookfield
maintains very high health and safety standards, and we
will have -- will have staff on-site maintaining all the
build practices in place from that perspective as well.

And, obviously, the site certificate
outlines the required submissions that are up to 90 days
in advance of on-site work taking place.

So we basically have been working closely
with Blake and the OneEnergy team that we are aware of
all the kind of requirements outlined within the site
certificate. And we will obviously comply with those
requirements.

And as Blake touched on as well, we would
be the entity posting the security for the site
restoration at the back end of this proposed project as well.

CHAIR DREW: And do you plan to both
construct the project and then continue into -- not that
things don't change, but --

MR. SCANLAN: Yeah.

CHAIR DREW: -- continue in to keeping it
for the operation.

MR. SCANLAN: Yes. So like, we -- we would
see ourselves as an owner-operator of this project. So
we will take it over at this point. We'll engage with
the EPC to build the project. We will procure panels,
and at that point, we take over the operation of the
project.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

MR. SCANLAN: Yep.

CHAIR DREW: Are there questions from
council members?

MS. KELLY: Chair Drew, this is Kate Kelly.

I do have a question.

So and -- and I have one of two questions, I
guess.

But the first one is when you are talking
about them taking it over and -- during the construction
phase, given the national and almost global footprint that you just described to us, would the intent be to use Washington construction workers or to bring people in from your international team.

MR. SCANLAN: Yes. So there will be a mix. Like, I think we have one or two guys on our team that would definitely work with the health and safety in terms of putting in Brookfield practices. And the main contractor will not be Brookfield. We will engage the EPC provider. But we are cognizant of the Washington and sales tax abatements and such in terms of what -- what kind of different categories of labor and the -- the incentives towards using those. So there's been nothing decided on that yet, but we would look to use Washington labor in the course of the build and the operations.

MS. KELLY: Thank you. We hope you do. Chair Drew, if it is okay, I've got one more question.

So the very first slide described an upstream indirect transfer, and I don't know what that means.

MR. SCANLAN: Do you want to take that one, Blake?

MR. BJORNSON: I actually might hand that
over to either Tim McMahan or Jon Tompson. That was some specific language there.

Tim?

MR. McMAHAN: Tim McMahan here. And actually, Jon Tompson has made himself the expert on characterizing these transfers in terms of upstream or indirect and whatever. So I am going to punt this directly to Jon.

MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. So if you look at the rule under EFSEC's rules about -- that requires approval for transfers of a Site Certification Agreement, it's carefully written to include not just a situation where there's a, you know, transfer from the named entity in the SCA to a different -- different business entity, you know, such that you would just change the name on the SCA.

It also includes where the ownership of that -- of that business entity is changing. So it could be -- it could be the same corporation continues to own -- to hold the certificate, but -- but its owner -- you know, if it has a parent company, you know, it could -- the company itself could be transferred to a different parent.

And the rule is written in such a way -- that's why I think -- yeah, it's referred to here as the
upstream transfer. So, like, at a higher level in a
corporate family tree, so to speak, you know, it's
being -- the corporate entity is being transferred to a
new owner.

So I think here you have both, it sounds
like, where not only is it going to -- it will have a
new name as the holder of the SCA, a new business
entity, but then also that entity will be owned by a
different parent company.

So anyway -- so both of those are captured
by the rule that requires approval of the transfer by
EFSEC. I hope that makes sense.

MS. KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Yeah, I have a follow-up
question on that.

So, in essence, if this is going to Goose
Prairie Solar LLC, which is a separate entity, but if
that -- Goose Prairie LLC now is sold to another owner,
then that would have to come back to us.

MR. THOMPSON: Right. Right.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: But, I think -- well, correct
me if I'm wrong, but it sounds as if there's going to be
a new -- a transfer to a different -- a different holder
business entity and then, in addition, that entity will
be owned by somebody else.

CHAIR DREW: Yes. Yes. Yes. Both are happening.

But in a future instance, just because Goose Prairie Solar LLC is a separate -- is a wholly owned company, the ownership of that company still is something that needs to -- to come to us for approval for being sold.

MR. THOMPSON: Right. Yes.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you for raising those questions, Ms. Kelly.

Are there other questions from council members?

Okay. Hearing none, let's move to the public comment period.

We are now going to take public comment from anyone who is at this meeting who wishes to ask -- to make a comment. You will have three minutes.

Ms. Grantham, are there any speakers signed up to comment?

MS. GRANTHAM: I still have not received any speakers to sign up, so no.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

Is there anyone who is present at this meeting, at this virtual meeting, who would like to make
Is there anyone present at this meeting who would like to make a comment?

Is there anyone present at this meeting who would like to make a comment?

Seeing that I don't believe we have anyone who has joined the call that would like to make a comment, we will now move on to Ms. Hafkemeyer.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew.

So EFSEC staff would like to recommend that the Council direct staff to draft an order or resolution -- I apologize. I'm not quite sure which is appropriate -- incorporating the events of this evening for review and approval of the Council of our recommendation on the transfer of ownership of the Site Certificate Agreement.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

A couple of questions. Maybe, Mr. Thompson, you can help here.

One is that this is a site certificate -- an SCA amendment. So the documents that will be prepared for Council to review at the next meeting, would be that amendment and an order; is that correct?

MR. THOMPSON: Correct. There would be a very simple Site Certification Agreement Amendment, you
know, for -- if the decision is for approval, just to
basically replace the name of the holder and some other
minor details, perhaps.

But -- and then there would be a -- right,
an order -- as we did recently with the Columbia Solar
transfer, an order approving transfer, if that's your
decision.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: So -- right.

CHAIR DREW: Is there a motion to direct
the -- to direct the staff to prepare the order and the
motion to approve this transfer of ownership?

MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young. So moved.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Second?

MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly. Second.

CHAIR DREW: Thanks.

Is there discussion?

Are there -- Council Members, do you have
further questions?

I see that we have -- certainly at this
point in the process not having put forward that
financial security -- a simpler process in front of us.

We have, to my information and knowledge, a
very capable new owner that has stepped forward with
significant experience around the world and in the U.S.
So from my standpoint, that looks like a very secure opportunity.

Are there any other comments?
We can certainly discuss this further in the next meeting as you get an opportunity to think about the information -- or if you have any additional questions.

Okay. Are -- so I -- all those in favor of the motion for -- to direct the staff to prepare those documents for our next meeting, please say aye.

(Verbal responses.)
CHAIR DREW: Those opposed?
(No audible response.)
CHAIR DREW: Motion is adopted.
Council, please -- Council staff, please prepare the documents for our April meeting.
Thank you all very much. This meeting now is adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m.)
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