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APPEARANCES

Councilmembers:
1. KATHLEEN DREW, Chair
2. ELI LEVITT, Department of Ecology
3. KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce
4. STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission
5. MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish & Wildlife
6. LENNY YOUNG, Department of Natural Resources
7. STACY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission
8. MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish & Wildlife
9. Lenny Young, present.
10. Ms. Grantham, will you please call the roll?
11. Ms. GRANTHAM: Yes.
12. Department of Commerce?
13. Ms. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.
14. Ms. GRANTHAM: Department of Ecology?
15. Department of Fish & Wildlife?
16. Mr. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston is present.
17. Ms. GRANTHAM: The Department of Natural Resources?
18. Mr. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.
19. Ms. GRANTHAM: Utilities and Transportation Commission?
20. Ms. BREWSTER: Stacy Brewster, present.
21. Ms. GRANTHAM: For local government and optional state agencies for the Horse Heaven Project, Department of Agriculture?
22. Mr. SANDISON: Derek Sandison, present.
23. Ms. GRANTHAM: Benton County?
24. Mr. BROST: Ed Brost is here.
25. Ms. GRANTHAM: For the Badger Mountain Project, Douglas County?
26. Ms. GIULIO: Jordyn Giulio, present.
27. Ms. GRANTHAM: The assistant attorney general?
28. Mr. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson, present.
29. Ms. GRANTHAM: Administrative Law Judges, Johnette Sullivan?
30. Adam Torem?
31. Judge TOREM: This is Judge Torem, I'm here.
32. Ms. GRANTHAM: Laura Bradley?
33. Judge BRADLEY: This is Judge Bradley, I'm present.
34. Ms. GRANTHAM: For absent Council Staff, Sonia Bumpus?
35. Ami Hafkemeyer?
36. Ms. HAFKEMEYER: Present.
37. Ms. GRANTHAM: Amy Moon?
38. Ms. MOON: Amy Moon, present.
39. Ms. GRANTHAM: Joe Wood?
40. Sean Chisholm?
41. Mr. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.
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Chair Drew, EFSEC Council and Staff. So my name is Felicia Paxton and I’m the principal environmental scientist for Energy Northwest for -- and reporting for Columbia Generating Station, I have the following update.

As regarding environmental compliance, Energy Northwest submitted an amended diesel generator one-time report to update the runtime information for Diesel Generator 3. This report is required under EFSEC Order No. 873.

Those are the only updates I have today.

Columbia Solar, Mr. Hurd.

MR. HURD: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, Councilmembers and EFSEC Staff. This is Owen Hurd from Tuusso Energy reporting on the Columbia Solar Projects.

Update on construction is that we’re working with PSE to resolve some communication issues on the interconnection on Penstemon, but other than that Penstemon is pretty much complete. We’ve completed all of our testing and just have that one remaining issue.

On Camas, we have all the modules now installed and mechanical completion is expected in the next few weeks.

Urtica trails behind a bit, we’re still waiting for delivery of the remaining modules and we’re still dealing with power and mediation. So mechanical completion is currently looking like early May.

We held our first TAC meeting on February 18th and nothing to report in terms of environmental or safety compliance.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you, thank you very much.

Moving on to Desert Claim, Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: Good afternoon --

CHAIR DREW: Wait a minute, can we go back a little bit there?

Before we move on, I did ask because we did receive some photographs. And, Mr. Hurd, I’ll ask you, put you on the spot there, these are some photographs from the Columbia Solar Project from some of the sites.

I would assume this is Penstemon.

MR. HURD: This is Penstemon. I think this is looking to the northeast, kind of looking east now.

So you’re seeing kind of half of the plant and then the other half is behind you to the left.

CHAIR DREW: So that would be a overview of the entire -- of most of the site?

MR. HURD: Yeah, yeah. We were kind of -- I think that picture was taken kind of from the middle there in between those two sections looking to the left in this picture, yeah.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. So if you do have other pictures that you do want to share with us as we move forward to operationalizing the Columbia Solar Project, feel free to add them to your update.

MR. HURD: Okay, yeah, will do.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Now we’ll move on to Desert Claim, Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: All right, thank you. Good afternoon, Council, Chair Drew and members of the Council. For the record, this is Amy Moon providing the Desert Claim update. EFSEC Staff continue to coordinate with Desert Claim, however there are currently no project updates.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Horse Heaven Wind Farm, Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. Again, this is Amy Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind Project. In February, EFSEC Staff continued to work on the preparation of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or DEIS. The work has included the review of our contractor Golder’s work on drafting the DEIS as well as coordinating DEIS chapter reviews with Washington State agencies, such as the Department of Transportation, Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Ecology and the Utilities and Transportation Commission.

EFSEC Staff continues to work on wildlife and habitat mitigation in coordination with the Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife and the Horse Heaven applicant. Habitat and wildlife mitigation discussions have included impact analysis as well as opportunities for impact avoidance and minimization of impacts. This work -- excuse me, continues to support the applicant in refining an updated mitigation plan.

In addition to working on the DEIS in close cooperation with our contractor Golder, EFSEC is working with the applicant to draft portions of the DEIS Chapter 1, Purpose of Action. The applicant input includes the proposed project overview and background.

Does the Council have any questions?

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from Councilmembers?

Thank you, Ms. Moon.

MS. MOON: You’re welcome.

CHAIR DREW: And now we will have the adjudicative update from Ms. Hafkemeyer.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you. For the record
this is Ami Hafkemeyer. Good afternoon, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. I have a brief update for you on the Horse Heaven adjudication.

We are not yet ready to present the schedule for the upcoming Horse Heaven adjudication, but Staff wanted to make the Council aware that we are coordinating with Judge Torem to schedule and begin drafting notices for the prehearing conferences. We anticipate having further detail on the conferences and adjudication schedule at the April council meeting.

Are there any initial questions at this time?

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from Councilmembers?

MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacy Brewster. Is there a very rough idea of when that will be happening? Just how many months out are we looking?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Sure. So we have internally talked about noticing the prehearing conference in April to schedule a prehearing -- to have a prehearing conference held in May. We have considered internally holding multiple prehearing conferences and that is part of what we are still scheduling. If we decide to hold multiple, we would anticipate announcing that detail probably in April as we get closer to that decision.

CHAIR DREW: Would you anticipate the adjudicative hearing to be late summer or do we not know yet?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: I don't think we have a very firm timeline yet, but I would anticipate mid to late summer.

CHAIR DREW: And we will be talking about what format and other aspects of the adjudicative hearing as we move forward. I think the Councilmembers would be interested, so we'll try and have a more specific update in April.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Yes. Those are part of the details that we're navigating as meetings continue to change their dynamic with shifts in public facilities. So we are working out those details internally and with Judge Torem to make sure we iron out everything that we need to have ready for these hearings and for the adjudication.

CHAIR DREW: And again, remind me what you think the DEIS schedule might be.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: We anticipate draft DEIS issuance. I believe we are working to end of May at this moment.

CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. Ms. Brewster, does that help answer your questions?

MS. BREWSTER: It does. Thank you very much.

CHAIR DREW: Yeah. As much as we know at this time, so thank you.

Are there any other questions? Okay, thank you.

Moving on to the Goose Prairie Project update, Ms. Hafkemeyer.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew. Again for the record, this is Ami Hafkemeyer. The Goose Prairie Solar Project has its special meeting scheduled tonight for their proposed SCA transfer. The meeting will be held virtually by Microsoft Teams or by phone from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. or until last speaker, whichever comes first.

And we anticipate being able to review those comments and have any associated documentation ready for the Council, hopefully at the April council meeting. In addition to that, Staff are working with the certificate holder to begin coordination of preconstruction plan review.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

And if anyone who is listening or watching this meeting would like to participate at 5:00 today, they can go to EFSEC's website to get the information?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: That's correct.

CHAIR DREW: Wwefsec --

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Correct. And the website that will be open tonight to receive public comment -- and I'm sorry, I can never remember if they're forward slashes or backslashes, it's one of the directions.

CHAIR DREW: That's its: -- I think forward slash, forward slash, comments.efsec.wa.gov. If that doesn't work, try the opposite direction slash. And I sincerely apologize for my confusion on the matter.

CHAIR DREW: And if anyone's interested in going to our website and scrolling down the facilities to Goose Prairie, you will see the information about the meeting in the comment database posted there. Okay, thank you.

Moving on to Badger Mountain, Mr. Chisholm.

MR. CHISHOLM: Good afternoon Council, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the record, this is Sean Chisholm providing my last update on the Badger Mountain Solar Energy Project. Ami Hafkemeyer will be taking over since I have accepted a position with WSDOT.

EFSEC Staff continues to coordinate with the applicant as well as with both contractor and state agencies reviewing the ASC for our environmental review.
EFSEC Staff has issued a determination of significant intent – determination is – significant intent of scoping and initiated the public comment period which begun March 14th. The comment period will last through -- until April 12th. The comments will be accepted during the comment period by either postal mail to Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast, Lacey, Washington 98504-3172 or going to our website to submit them at https://comments.efsec.wa.gov.

Does the Council have any questions so far? CHAIR DREW: Again, Mr. Chisholm, that was if you want to comment on the Badger Mountain SEPA determination of significance; is that correct? MR. CHISHOLM: Yes, it was correct. CHAIR DREW: Okay. So again, going to our website to the facility for Badger Mountain and on that page, you will see additional information. And Ms. Owens just put in the chat "the comment database." So we are mentioning the comment database twice tonight. Once for the Goose Prairie meeting on the amendment for change of ownership and one for Badger Mountain on the SEPA determination of significance. Okay, thank you. Go on.

MR. CHISHOLM: I would also like to add for an update on the Badger Mountain land use order. I would like to introduce Ami Hafkemeyer.

CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. And congratulations and good luck in your position with WSDOT, we'll miss you.

Ms. Hafkemeyer.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew and thank you, Mr. Chisholm. I'd just like to add a little bit of information. The public comment period open for the Badger Mountain proposal right now is for the scope of determination of significance. If the public has any thoughts that they would like to share for consideration of what they would like to be included in EFSEC's environmental review, please send those comments to us through any of the means mentioned.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And that helps clarify because it's not on the actual determination, but on the scope of the review, so that's critical for people to know. So thank you for expanding on that. Go ahead.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you. I would like to draw the Council's attention to your packets. There is included a draft order for the Badger Mountain land use consistency determination at the January council meeting.
filing of the application for site certification with the Council that -- that precluded the siding of a solar facility at this location, so as laid out in the order. So I don't know if that answers the question or if there's something more to it.

CHAIR DREW: Also, I would add that we did not receive any testimony from the applicant or in fact any other party stating that it was consistent. And it's particularly because of the addition of the interim ordinances that were adopted by Douglas County.

Is that -- I guess I'm looking to you, Mr. Thompson, to verify.

MR. THOMPSON: You are correct. Yeah, that's exactly right. The applicant, you know, the applicant also is in agreement that the interim ordinances or the -- yeah, the interim ordinances adopted are, you know, because of their setback requirements or setback from various geographic features would not allow for the siding of the facility, so... But yeah, there was no testimony from the county on that point, but it was, you know, can readily be determined from the text of the local ordinances. So that's how we got to the conclusion that's outlined in the order.

CHAIR DREW: Mr. Levitt, does that answer your question?

MR. LEVITT: Yeah, I guess to a degree. Maybe it's a topic for another day. I'm just curious to what degree other counties have, you know, similar ordinances than what's used to either promote or discourage the specific type of projects.

CHAIR DREW: This is the first we've seen of an interim ordinance of this nature. So I think those will be the questions we'll explore more deeply in an adjudication.

MR. LEVITT: Okay, thank you. No more questions.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. No, you're fine, it's good to bring them up to ask those questions.

Are there any other questions or comments by Councilmembers?

MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, this is Kate Kelly. I guess to kind of speak to my support for this order is that it's my understanding that as we -- after we adopt the order and as we move forward with an -- any adjudication further discussion of this matter that we'll have an opportunity to explore what the conflict might be and whether or not we can adopt conditions that might mitigate or at least discuss the implications of it.
You're right. I did make that mistake even though --
yes, I think there might be an error in the order. So I
would suggest that we review that last sentence.
But if we can move forward with a vote from the
Council, we can send to you the final language which
will -- adjudication may be held concurrent with or
separate from the adjudication related to the Badger
Mountain Project in whole or something to that effect.
MR. THOMPSON: Correct. We could just place
a reference to the RCW section that requires that there
be an adjudication in cases in which there's not
expedited processing. I don't have it at my fingertips
right now, but I would just suggest making that
substitution instead of the reference to the State
Environmental Policy Act.
CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you.
So given that, is there agreement from the
Council that we adopt this order and with that wording
amended? Let's say, all those in favor please say
"aye."
COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIR DREW: Opposed.
Okay. The motion is adopted and we will send
you the wording of that order before it is signed in
case you have any comments on the final wording, so
thank you.
I think that -- does that conclude our action
on Badger Mountain? Looking back at our agenda here.
MS. GRANTHAM: Yes, there's nothing further
for the Badger Mountain for this council meeting, Chair
Drew.
CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you.
So I would like to take a few minutes of the
Council's time to talk about a piece of legislation that
was passed, it's called Engrossed Second Substitute,
House Bill 1812, and it passed the legislature. It has
not yet been approved or signed by the governor which is
the next step. So I will just give a little bit of an
overview of the overall legislation and then we'll have
more of an update to the Council at the next meeting.
This was a governor request bill and a few of
the things that it accomplishes is to modernize EFSEC by
adding clean energy language and promoting environmental
justice to the intent section. Adding authority for
clean energy product manufacturing, green electrolytic
hydrogen and energy storage facility proposers to opt
into the EFSEC siting process. It creates EFSEC as an
independent agency and creates an EFSEC account.
At this point, we are an agency where the chair
is appointed by the governor, but the agency is -- sits
with the UTC, the Utilities and Transportation
Commission, for purposes of administrative functions
that are performed by the UTC.
So going forward, EFSEC will -- the UTC will
not be required to perform the administrative financial
functions and other administrative functions for EFSEC.
The Bill also authorizes the EFSEC chair and
designated staff to offer to consult with federally
recognized tribes who may be affected by proposed
projects as well as requiring the Department of
Archeology & Historic Preservation to work with tribes
and applicants, to assess potential effects to tribal
culture, resources, archaeological sites and sacred
sites.
The Bill makes a number of tweaks to our public
hearing processes including allowing the Council to
limit an adjudication hearing to land use zoning issues.
It also -- if the environmental impact of the proposed
facility is not significant or will be mitigated to a
nonsignificant level, it also requires participants in
adjudicative hearings to raise specific issues in
writing prior to the adjudication and then requires a
public comment period prior to the adjudication so that
people will have an additional opportunity to raise
those issues.
The Bill adds a pre-application process so that
potential applicants can work with EFSEC to consult
regarding the completeness of their application. And
also to ensure transparency, the Bill requires the
Council to hold public meeting to take comments prior to
issuing of recommendation when a project is granted
expedited processing.
So there are a number of specifics, we will be
of course both working on our implementation of looking
at our administrative processes and how we're going to
move forward to provide those to our own agency.
We will also be doing some rule writing and
hiring staff, specifically within the creation of the
program that adds the opportunity for clean energy
manufacturing projects to opt into a process. So we
will have -- any rules that we put forward will come
before the Council. So we will have more information
after the governor signs the legislation, but I did want
to give you a heads-up.
It's a significant Bill. We have put forward a
couple of times in the legislature bills that included
some of these pieces that are in this Bill and we look
forward to having an agency that is responsive to the
public and also to achieving clean energy goals.
I don't know if Councilmembers have any
Okay, hearing none, we will continue to give you updates as we move through this process. And thank you for your time and attention today. We will see most of you tonight at 5:00 for our hearing on the Goose Prairie change of ownership.

Thank you, this meeting is adjourned.

(Hearing adjourned at 2:10 p.m.)
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Goose Prairie SCA Transfer Public Comment Hearing

In the Matter of: OER WA Solar 1, LLC - Goose Prairie Solar

March 15, 2022
CHAIR DREW: Good evening. This is Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council for a special meeting for Goose Prairie Solar Project on their request to amend the Goose Prairie Solar Site Certification Agreement. And the purpose -- their request is to -- for the Council to approve an amendment to transfer ownership of the Site Certification Agreement from OneEnergy OER Washington Solar 1 LLC to Goose Prairie Solar, LLC.

And this evening we will hear from the applicant about this request, council members will have opportunity for questions, and then we will have public comments, followed by the information about the process from Ms. Hafkemeyer on EFSEC’s staff about the transfer request process.

I have a question before we go further. Ms. Hafkemeyer, do you want to give your presentation before the public comments or after?

MS. HAFKEMEYER: I don't have so much of a presentation as just updating the Council on what staff would recommend as the next steps for the transfer.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

And -- so we will have you after the public comments, as it says in the agenda.

So with that introduction, Ms. Grantham, Ms. Owens, will you call the roll?

Ms. Owens: It’s Ms. Owens today.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Ms. Owens: Department of Commerce.

CHAIR DREW: Excused.

Ms. Owens: Department of Natural Resources.

CHAIR DREW: Excused.

Ms. Owens: Department of Fish and Wildlife.

CHAIR DREW: Excused.

Ms. Owens: Department of Transportation.

Commission.

Ms. BREUSTER: Stacy Brewster, present.

Ms. OWENS: Assistant Attorney General.

MR. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson, present.

Ms. OWENS: For counsel staff, Ami Hafkemeyer.

Ms. HAFKEMEYER: Present.

Ms. OWENS: Andrea Grantham.

Ms. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 5</th>
<th>Page 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS. OWENS: For counsel for the environment,</td>
<td>Solar LLC. Again, pursuant to that code, we are seeking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Sherman.</td>
<td>your approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman is present.</td>
<td>Goose Prairie LLC, similar to OER WA Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. OWENS: And I’m not sure. Is Meagan</td>
<td>LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield. And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salami on the line as well?</td>
<td>Brookfield agrees to comply with all of the conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALE SPEAKER: No, she's not.</td>
<td>and requirements of the SCA, including financial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. OWENS: Okay. Great.</td>
<td>assurance requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair, there’s a quorum for the regular</td>
<td>For those of you who were involved with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>council.</td>
<td>Columbia Solar transfer, we have a bit of a different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair, you’re muted.</td>
<td>situation here. Because for the Goose Prairie Solar, we</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you.</td>
<td>were not submitted that financial assurance. So we</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We will move on now to the presentation by</td>
<td>don’t have the same need to not have any time lapse of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the applicant, Blake Bjornson and Mark Scanlan.</td>
<td>no financial assurance. So Brookfield will take on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. BJORNSON: Great. Thank you, Chair</td>
<td>that requirement and will provide that when necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew.</td>
<td>With that, we can switch to the next slide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it possible to do video or --</td>
<td>And I will turn it over to Mark Scanlan to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Actually, I think I should call</td>
<td>introduce himself and Brookfield Renewables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you a certificate holder.</td>
<td>MR. SCANLAN: Perfect. Thank you, Blake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. BJORNSON: That’s right.</td>
<td>Yeah. So my name is Mark Scanlan. I’m a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR DREW: So let’s change that as well.</td>
<td>Senior Director of Asset Development with Brookfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okay. Mr. Bjornson.</td>
<td>Renewables here in the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. BJORNSON: Great. It looks like the</td>
<td>And as Blake pointed out there, we’ve been</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>video doesn’t like me.</td>
<td>working with OneEnergy for the best part of a year on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good evening, Chair Drew and EFSEC Council.</td>
<td>acquiring this project. And just kind of over the next</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thank you all for being here this evening.</td>
<td>couple of slides give you an overview of who Brookfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 6</th>
<th>Page 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As you all know me, Blake Bjornson from</td>
<td>Renewable U.S. are and what kind of capabilities we</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneEnergy Renewables and here for a pretty brief</td>
<td>possess and looking to kind of take over this solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presentation.</td>
<td>development project in Washington and bring it into</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So we can just jump straight to this slide.</td>
<td>operation in 2023.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the important slide here.</td>
<td>So, firstly, Brookfield Renewable are one of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So what we are asking for in conjunction</td>
<td>the world’s largest pure-play power platform globally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with the purchase and sale agreement -- so One Energy</td>
<td>with its largest footprint being in the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has been working with Brookfield Renewables to sell the</td>
<td>In the U.S., we employ over 800 people; operating in 34 states.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Prairie Solar Project. And as part of that</td>
<td>This would give us our first operating asset in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfer, we are requesting -- per the WAC 463-66-100,</td>
<td>Washington and increase that number to 35. And we have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we are requesting that EFSEC grant a direct transfer of</td>
<td>a deep history, due to our hydro fleet, and some of our</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Site Certificate Agreement from OER WA Solar 1 to</td>
<td>hydro assets are over 120 years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Prairie Solar LLC.</td>
<td>And we operate in all kind of renewable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And just to clarify, OER WA OneEnergy is a</td>
<td>classes. So we have hydro facilities, wind facilities,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project-level company that we created, OneEnergy</td>
<td>solar facilities, which are one of our big grow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>created, in order to develop the project to have assets</td>
<td>platforms and we hope to double that figure in the next</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>owned by that project. And that is a wholly owned</td>
<td>two years with projects such as Goose Prairie and other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsidiary of OneEnergy Development LLC.</td>
<td>projects that we’re building across the U.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So just to clarify that. OER WA Solar 1 is</td>
<td>And we have a distributed generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a company of OneEnergy Developments. And we are also</td>
<td>business that’s separate from our utility scale solar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requesting an upstream indirect transfer of control of</td>
<td>And we have energy storage which comprises of batteries,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that SCA to Brookfield,</td>
<td>but also a very large pump hydro station in the U.S. as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So just a little bit of discussion.</td>
<td>well. And the bottom part of this slide here is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneEnergy and Brookfield have agreed to sell the</td>
<td>referring to the -- to the pipeline of -- of potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project, and the project being sold to Goose Prairie</td>
<td>renewable projects that we have in the U.S. as well.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thoughts and responses from the discussion:

So this touches, again, on the Brookfield capabilities in terms of what we can do, what we do do across the U.S. So, basically, we can provide a one-stop shop servicing and kind of bring -- bring projects to all stages of their life from development, in the case of Goose Prairie.

And then we have a very large operating fleet of the asset classes that I mentioned and we can do all our sources in-house in terms of we have a trading team, operational team, development team, and -- and basically have operate -- operate assets currently to provide enough energy in the U.S. to -- to -- to -- to energize 3 million homes annually.

And this -- this kind of touches on some of our sustainability initiatives in terms of what -- what we do with our existing assets and kind of -- and just on that as well.

And this is kind of what we can do, given our suite of services as well, in terms of just the energy trading team we have that can maximize the production and the utilization of the -- of the renewable energy being created by what are wind, hydro, and solar assets. And we think we are uniquely positioned as well, given how these assets can complement each other.

If one would imagine, if it's not raining, it's sunny. And if it's not either of those, it should be somewhat windy.

And -- and this map, again, just kind of gives an overview of where our assets are within the U.S. and moving into, kind of, Pacific Northwest. It's even only kind of the three years that we've really grown our footprint. And the three wind sites you can see just on the Oregon/Washington border at Shepherds Flat Wind site that we acquired in early 2021.

So that's a high-level overview of Brookfield and kind of the capabilities we have and how we see this asset as a fit to our growing U.S. fleet. And also -- sorry.

The other part I should have touched on, too, is this asset is part of the Brookfield Global Transition Fund, which is a $15 billion fund that focuses specifically on decarbonization initiatives globally.

I have no further comments and questions, but happy to take any questions that the counselors may have.

Chair Drew: Thank you.

First of all, I would like to understand, as you take over the -- as this moves forward, should this move forward, what is your plan for who you would have on the ground from your company to -- to work with us through the construction process and into the future?

Mr. Scanlan: Yeah. There's probably a couple of parts to that. It will be myself and members of the Brookfield asset development team that will be taking over Blake's position in terms of communication with the EFSEC Council. And as we plan to progress this project.

We will be looking for an EPC provider, so an Engineering Procurement and Construction Provider to build the asset.

We look for a Tier One Provider. Brookfield maintains very high health and safety standards, and we will have -- will have staff on-site maintaining all the build practices in place from that perspective as well.

And, obviously, the site certificate outlines the required submissions that are up to 90 days in advance of on-site work taking place.

So we basically have been working closely with Blake and the OneEnergy team that we are aware of all the kind of requirements outlined within the site certificate. And we will obviously comply with those requirements.

And as Blake touched on as well, we would be the entity posting the security for the site restoration at the back end of this proposed project as well.

Chair Drew: And do you plan to both construct the project and then continue into -- not that things don't change, but --

Mr. Scanlan: Yeah.

Chair Drew: -- continue in to keeping it for the operation.

Mr. Scanlan: Yes. So like, we -- we would see ourselves as an owner-operator of this project. So we will take it over at this point. We'll engage with the EPC to build the project. We will procure panels, and at that point, we take over the operation of the project.

Chair Drew: Thank you.

Mr. Scanlan: Yep.

Chair Drew: Are there questions from council members?

Ms. Kelly: Chair Drew, this is Kate Kelly. I do have a question.

So and -- and I have one of two questions, I guess.

But the first one is when you are talking about them taking it over and -- during the construction
upstream transfer. So, like, at a higher level in a
corporate family tree, so to speak, you know, it's
being -- the corporate entity is being transferred to a
new owner.

So I think here you have both, it sounds
like, where not only is it going to -- it will have a
new name as the holder of the SCA, a new business
text. But also the actual business entity will be owned by a
different parent company.

So anyway -- so both of those are captured
by the rule that requires approval of the transfer by
EFSEC. I hope that makes sense.

MS. KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Yeah, I have a follow-up
question on that.

So, in essence, if this is going to Goose
Prairie Solar LLC, which is a separate entity, but if
that -- Goose Prairie LLC now is sold to another owner,
then that would have to come back to us.

MR. THOMPSON: Right. Right.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: But, I think -- well, correct
me if I'm wrong, but it sounds as if there's going to be
a new -- a transfer to a different -- a different holder
business entity and then, in addition, that entity will
be owned by somebody else.

CHAIR DREW: Yes. Yes. Yes. Both are
happening.

But in a future instance, just because Goose
Prairie Solar LLC is a separate entity, -- it is a wholly owned
company, the ownership of that company still is
something that needs to -- to come to us for approval
for being sold.

MR. THOMPSON: Right. Yes.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you for raising
those questions, Ms. Kelly.

Are there other questions from council
members?

Okay. Hearing none, let's move to the
public comment period.

We are now going to take public comment from
anyone who is at this meeting who wishes to ask -- to
make a comment. You will have three minutes.

Ms. Grantham, are there any speakers signed
up to comment?

MS. GRANTHAM: I still have not received any
speakers to sign up, so no.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

Is there anyone who is present at this
meeting, at this virtual meeting, who would like to make
the -- of the Washington

MR. SCANLAN: Do you want to take that one,
Blake?

MR. BJORNSON: I actually might hand that
over to either Tim McMahan or Jon Tompson. That was
some specific language there.

Tim?

MR. McMahan: Tim McMahan here. And
actually, Jon Tompson has made himself the expert on
classifying these transfers in terms of upstream or
indirect and whatever. So I am going to punt this
directly to Jon.

MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. So if you look at
the rule under EFSEC's rules about -- that requires
approval for transfers of a Site Certification
Agreement, it's carefully written to include not just a
situation where there's a, you know, transfer from the
named entity in the SCA to a different -- different
business entity, you know, such that you would just
change the name on the SCA.

It also includes where the ownership of
that -- of that business entity is changing. So it
could be -- it could be the same corporation continues
to own -- to hold the certificate, but -- but its
owner -- you know, if it has a parent company, you know,
it could -- the company itself could be transferred to a
different parent.

And the rule is written in such a way --
that's why I think -- yeah, it's referred to here as the

phase, given the national and almost global footprint
that you just described to us, would the intent be to
use Washington construction workers or to bring people
in from your international team.

MR. SCANLAN: Yes. So there will be a mix.

Like, I think we have one or two guys on our team that
would definitely work with the health and safety in
terms of putting in Brookfield practices. And the main
contractor will not be Brookfield. We will engage the
EPC provider. But we are cognizant of the Washington
and sales tax abatements and such in terms of what --
what kind of different categories of labor and the --
the incentives towards using those. So there's been
nothing decided on that yet, but we would look to use
Washington labor in the course of the build and the
operations.

MS. KELLY: Thank you. We hope you do.

Chair Drew, if it is okay, I've got one more
question.

So the very first slide described an
upstream indirect transfer, and I don't know what that
means.

MR. SCANLAN: It's referred to here as the
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And the rule is written in such a way --
that's why I think -- yeah, it's referred to here as the
a comment?

Is there anyone present at this meeting who would like to make a comment?

Is there anyone present at this meeting who would like to make a comment?

Seeing that I don't believe we have anyone who has joined the call that would like to make a comment, we will now move on to Ms. Hafkemeyer.

MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew.

So EFSEC staff would like to recommend that the Council direct staff to draft an order or resolution -- I apologize. I'm not quite sure which is appropriate -- incorporating the events of this evening for review and approval of the Council of our recommendation on the transfer of ownership of the Site Certificate Agreement.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

A couple of questions. Maybe, Mr. Thompson, you can help here.

One is that this is a site certificate -- an SCA amendment. So the documents that will be prepared for Council to review at the next meeting, would be that amendment and an order; is that correct?

MR. THOMPSON: Correct. There would be a very simple Site Certification Agreement Amendment, you know, for -- if the decision is for approval, just to basically replace the name of the holder and some other minor details, perhaps.

But -- and then there would be a -- right, an order -- as we did recently with the Columbia Solar transfer, an order approving transfer, if that's your decision.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: So -- right.

CHAIR DREW: Is there a motion to direct the -- to direct the staff to prepare the order and the motion to approve this transfer of ownership?

MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young. So moved.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Second?

MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly. Second.

CHAIR DREW: Thanks.

Is there discussion?

Are there -- Council Members, do you have further questions?

I see that we have -- certainly at this point in the process not having put forward that financial security -- a simpler process in front of us.

We have, to my information and knowledge, a very capable new owner that has stepped forward with significant experience around the world and in the U.S. So from my standpoint, that looks like a very secure opportunity.

Are there any other comments?

We can certainly discuss this further in the next meeting as you get an opportunity to think about the information -- or if you have any additional questions.

Okay. Are -- so I -- all those in favor of the motion for -- to direct the staff to prepare those documents for our next meeting, please say aye.

(Verbal responses.)

CHAIR DREW: Those opposed?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR DREW: Motion is adopted.

Council, please -- Council staff, please prepare the documents for our April meeting.

Thank you all very much. This meeting now is adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m.)
Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project
Operator: EDP Renewables
Report Date: April 6, 2022
Reporting Period: March 2022
Site Contact: Eric Melbardis, Sr Operations Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)
- Power generated: 22500 MWh
- Wind speed: 6.27 m/s
- Capacity Factor: 30%

Environmental Compliance
- No incidents

Safety Compliance
- Nothing to report

Current or Upcoming Projects
- Nothing to report

Other
- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints
Facility Name: Wild Horse Wind Facility
Operator: Puget Sound Energy
Report Date: April 7, 2022
Report Period: March 2022
Site Contact: Jennifer Galbraith
SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
March generation totaled 63,296 MWh for an average capacity factor of 31.21%.

Environmental Compliance
Nothing to report.

Safety Compliance
Nothing to report.

Current or Upcoming Projects
Nothing to report.

Other
Nothing to report.
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: April 7, 2022
Reporting Period: March 2022
Site Contact: Stefano Schnitger, Operations Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
- Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
  - 78,511 net MW-hrs generated in January for a capacity factor of 21.1%.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
- Monthly Water Usage: 118,184 gallons
- Monthly Wastewater Returned: 850,483 gallons
- Permit status if any changes.
  - No changes.
- Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
  - No issues or updates.
- Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
  - SWCAA and EFSEC were on-site Wednesday, March 02, 2022, during our Annual RATA. The siting specialist, Sean Chisholm, from EFSEC had never been to the CGF, so the meeting began with a site-tour. Clint Lamoreaux, from SWCAA, met the stack testers and the PacifiCorp representative overseeing the testing, Thomas Wiscomb. A document review was also conducted during this visit. SWCAA requested to review several documents, all documents were promptly provided, and there were no corrective actions required for the documentation presented.
- Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
  - No issues or updates.
- Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
  - Conducted annual Relative Accuracy Test Audit of the continuous emission monitors during the first week of March. The preliminary results were within compliance requirements. A draft report will be submitted to EFSEC staff in April 2022.

Safety Compliance
- Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
  - Zero injuries this reporting period for a total of 2,435 days without a Lost Time Accident.
Current or Upcoming Projects
- Planned site improvements.
  - No planned changes.
- Upcoming permit renewals.
  - Nothing to report.
- Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  - Nothing to report.

Other
- Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).
  - Nothing to report.
- Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).
  - Nothing to report.
- Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).
  - Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

Stefano Schnitger

Stefano Schnitger
Operations Manager
Chehalis Generation Facility
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center  
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC  
Report Date: April 19, 2022  
Reporting Period: March 2022  
Site Contact: Chris Sherin  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance  
- GHEC generated 134,851MWh during the month and 742,195MWh YTD.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance  
- There were no emission, outfall, or storm water deviations, during the month.  
- Routine monthly, quarterly, and annual reporting to EFSEC  
- The annual Water Withdrawal Base Flow report was submitted to EFSEC.  
- Stack emissions re-testing of sulfuric acid (H2SO4) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) results were submitted. The results were again problematic and unrealistic. A proposed correction plan was discussed with and submitted to EFSEC.

Safety Compliance  
- EHS Annual Training conducted in March included hearing Conservation, Confined Space Entry and Lock Out Tag Out (LOTO).

Current or Upcoming Projects  
- None.

Other  
- Conducted a presentation and tour for East Grays Harbor High School’s Environmental Science class.
Facility Name: Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)
Operator: Energy Northwest
Report Date: April 6, 2022
Reporting Period: March 2022
Site Contact: Denis Mehinagic
Facility SCA Status: (Pre-construction/Construction/Operational/Decommission): Operational

Operations & Maintenance
CGS Net Electrical Generation March 2022: 853,749 MW-Hrs

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
N/A

Current or Upcoming Projects
N/A

Other
N/A
 Facility Name: Columbia Solar Projects (Penstemon, Camas and Urtica)
Operator: Tuusso Energy, LLC
Report Date: April 8, 2022
Reporting Period: 30-days ending April 8, 2022
Site Contact: Owen Hurd
Facility SCA Status: Construction

Construction Status

- Penstemon
  - PSE to resolve final communications issues on the interconnection the week of April 11th
  - All other construction activities complete
- Camas
  - Achieved Mechanical Completion on March 23rd
  - Substantial Completion expected April 22nd
- Urtica
  - Pile remediation still underway; concrete collars to be installed on twisted piles
  - Mechanical Completion pushed out to late-June; Substantial Completion to late-July

Other

- The Site Restoration Financial Assurance that was posted by Tuusso is being replaced by Greenbacker; we expect the new Standby Trust and LCs to be in place prior to the April 19th Council Meeting
Desert Claim Wind Power Project

April 2022 project update

[Place holder]
Horse Heaven Wind Project

April 2022 project update

[Place holder]
Goose Prairie Solar Project

April 2022 project update

[Place holder]
BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL

In the Matter of:  

COUNCIL ORDER No. XXX

APPLICATION NO. XXX

GOOSE PRAIRIE SOLAR PROJECT

ORDER ON APPLICATION FOR
TRANSFER OF SITE CERTIFICATION
AGREEMENT, AND TRANSFER OF
CONTROL OF SITE CERTIFICATION
AGREEMENT.

Executive Summary

The Goose Prairie Solar Project is an 80 megawatt alternating current solar photovoltaic generation facility with optional battery storage system to be constructed in Yakima County (the Facility). The Facility is subject to a Site Certificate Agreement (SCA) currently held by OER WA Solar 1, LLC (OER).

Pursuant to WAC 463-66-100, this order approves the February 1, 2022, application of OER and the Brookfield Global Transition Fund, an investment fund managed by Brookfield Asset Management, (Brookfield) for transfer of the SCA from OER to Goose Prairie Solar LLC, and for amendment of the SCA to reflect Goose Prairie Solar LLC as the SCA holder.

Background

1 Brookfield Global Transition Fund describes itself as an investment fund focused on the global transition to a net-zero carbon economy.
2 Out of an abundance of caution, OER and Brookfield applied for separate approval of both a “direct” and “indirect” transfer of the SCA. However, there is no need to make such a distinction in this case. An indirect transfer occurs when there is, for example, a change of control of the certificate holder entity—and therefore indirectly, of the SCA—even though the holder named in the SCA does not change. See WAC 463-66-100 (“No site certification agreement … shall be transferred . . . indirectly, through transfer of control of the . . . agreement owner or project sponsor without express council approval of such action.”).
On January 21, 2021, OER filed an application with the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC or Council) to obtain site certification under RCW 80.50.060 to construct and operate the Facility. Following review of the application, on October 19, 2021, EFSEC issued its Report to the Governor, recommending approval.

The Governor approved the application and OER subsequently executed the SCA on [DATE].

The SCA authorizes OER, any and all parent companies, and any and all assignees or successors approved by the Council, to construct and operate the facilities subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the SCA. Construction must be substantially complete no later than ten years from the SCA’s effective date.

One Energy Development, LLC, the parent company of OER, has agreed to sell all of OER’s assets, properties and rights relating to the Facility to Goose Prairie Solar LLC subject to certain conditions precedent, including the Council’s approval of transfer of the SCA. Goose Prairie Solar LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brookfield. OER and Brookfield therefore request the Council’s approval for transfer of the SCA from OER to Goose Prairie Solar LLC.

The transfer will require amending the SCA to change the name of the certificate holder from OER WA Solar 1, LLC, to Goose Prairie Solar LLC.

**Analysis**

WAC 463-66-100 provides that an SCA shall not be transferred except with the Council’s approval. A certificate holder seeking to transfer an SCA must file an application with the Council including basic information about the new owner to demonstrate the transferee’s organizational, financial, managerial, and technical capability to comply with the terms and conditions of the SCA, including approved plans for termination of the plant and site restoration. WAC 463-66-100(1).

Following a public informational hearing, the Council may approve an application for transfer of an SCA if it determines (1) that the applicant has provided sufficient information about its organization and affiliations (2) its entitlement to possession of the energy facility or facilities, and (3) its agreement to abide by all the terms and conditions of the SCA to be transferred and has demonstrated that it has the organizational, financial, managerial, and technical capability and is willing and able to comply with the terms and conditions of the certification agreement being transferred. WAC 463-66-100(4).

WAC 463-66-100(5) provides that the Council “shall issue a formal order either approving or denying the application for transfer of the site certification agreement. If the council denies the request, it shall state the reasons for its denial.”

As required by WAC 463-66-100(4), EFSEC held an informational hearing on the application on March 15, 2022, at which representatives of OER and Brookfield presented information about Brookfield and the proposed transaction. No public comments were submitted.
In its written application and during the public informational hearing, OER and Brookfield presented information about Brookfield’s organization and history, its considerable assets, and its large portfolio of planned and operational alternative energy projects, all of which evidence its organizational, financial, managerial, and technical capability to comply with the terms and conditions of the SCA.

The information provided by OER and Brookfield supports a determination that Brookfield has the organizational, financial, managerial, and technical capability and is willing and able to comply with the terms and conditions of the certification agreement being transferred. WAC 463-66-100(4)(c). It is therefore appropriate that transfer of the SCA to Goose Prairie Solar LLC should be approved and that the SCA be amended to reflect Goose Prairie Solar LLC as the holder.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The proposed transfer of the OER SCA from OER to Goose Prairie Solar LLC is approved pursuant to WAC 463-66-100 and the agreement shall be amended.

DATED at Olympia, Washington and effective on this _______ day of April, 2022.

WASHINGTON ENERGY FACILITY
SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL

________________________________
Kathleen Drew, Chair
Badger Mountain Solar Energy Project

April 2022 project update

[Place holder]
Whistling Ridge Energy Project
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[Place holder]
High Top and Ostrea Solar Project

April 2022 project update
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Project Applicant and Project Descriptions

1. Who We Are
2. Ostrea Solar, LLC Project Outline
3. High Top Solar, LLC Project Outline
Who We Are

Cypress Creek Renewables – Website: ccrenew.com

**Cypress Creek Development:** Tai Wallace, Development Director and Jess Mosleh, Associate Project Developer

**Cypress Creek Environmental:** Julie Alpert, Environmental Manager - Western Region and Seija Stratton, Environmental Director

**TRC (Consultant):** Erin Bergquist, Project Manager

Cypress Creek Renewables is powering a sustainable future, one project at a time. Led by an experienced management team, we develop, finance, operate, and own utility-scale and distributed power plants across the country. With more than 8 gigawatts of solar developed and more than 2.5 gigawatts under management, Cypress Creek is one of the country's leading solar and storage companies.
OSTREA SOLAR, LLC

Project Overview

Project Characteristics

**Site:** 80 MWac / 104 MWdc

**Location:** Yakima County, WA

**Interconnection Utility:** BPA, Moxee to Midway 115 kV Line

**Site Control:**
Area: 1,686 acres secured
Single Landowner
High Top Solar Project Site Control Parcels

Project Overview

Project Characteristics

Site: 80 MWac / 104 MWdc
Location: Yakima County, WA
Interconnection Utility: PacifiCorp
Union Gap to Midway 230 kV Line
Site Control:
Area: 1,564 acres secured
Single Landowner
The EFSEC Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) was approved by the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council in September 2004. The Plan directed review of the past quarter’s percentage of EFSEC technical staff’s average FTE’s, charged to EFSEC projects. This along with anticipated work for the quarter is used as the basis for determining the non-direct cost percentage charge, for each EFSEC project.

Using the procedures for developing cost allocation, and allowance for new projects, the following percentages shall be used to allocate EFSEC’s non direct costs for the 3rd quarter of FY 2022:

- Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project 4%
- Wild Horse Wind Power Project 4%
- Columbia Generating Station 24%
- Columbia Solar 7%
- WNP-1 3%
- Whistling Ridge Energy Project 3%
- Grays Harbor 1&2 10%
- Chehalis Generation Project 9%
- Desert Claim Wind Power Project 3%
- Goose Prairie Solar Project 8%
- Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project 15%
- Badger Mountain 7%
- Cypress Creek 3%

Signed: Sonia E. Bumpus, EFSEC Manager

Date: 4/18/22