1. Call to Order .................................................................Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
2. Roll Call .........................................................................................Joan Owens, EFSEC Staff
3. Proposed Agenda ...............................................................................Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
4. Minutes Meeting Minutes.................................................................Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
   - September 14, 2021 Special Meeting Minutes
   - October 19, 2021 Monthly Meeting Minutes
5. Projects
   a. Kittitas Valley Wind Project
      - Operational Updates..........................................................Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables
   b. Wild Horse Wind Power Project
      - Operational Updates..........................................................Jennifer Galbraith, Puget Sound Energy
   c. Chehalis Generation Facility
      - Operational Updates..........................................................Mark Miller, Chehalis Generation
   d. Grays Harbor Energy Center
      - Operational Updates..........................................................Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor Energy
   e. Columbia Generating Station
      - Operational Updates..........................................................Marshall Schmitt, Energy Northwest
   f. WNP – 1/4
      - Non-Operational Updates......................................................Marshall Schmitt, Energy Northwest
   g. Columbia Solar
      - Project Updates.................................................................Owen Hurd, Tuusso Energy
   h. Desert Claim
      - Project Updates.................................................................Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
   i. Horse Heaven Wind Farm
      - SEPA update.................................................................Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
   j. Goose Prairie Solar
      - Project Updates.................................................................Kyle Overton, EFSEC Staff
   k. Badger Mountain
      - Project Updates.................................................................Sean Chisholm, EFSEC Staff

6. Adjourn..........................................................................................Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
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1. **Appearances**

   Councilmembers:
   2. KATHLEEN DREW, Chair
   3. ROB DENGEL, Department of Ecology
   4. KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce
   5. STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission
   6. LENNY YOUNG, Department of Natural Resources
   7. MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish & Wildlife
   8. Assistant Attorney General:
   9. JON THOMPSON
   10. Council Staff:
   11. JOAN OWENS
   12. SONIA BUMPUS
   13. AMI HAFKEMEYER
   14. KYLE OVERTON
   15. MARK MILLER, Chehalis Generation Facility

2. **Proceedings**

   CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, calling to order our special council meeting for September 14th, 2021.

   The purpose of this meeting in the notice is to consider the Chehalis Generating Facility's request to purchase municipal water during a low flow period of the Chehalis River under Article 6.a.7.a of the site certification agreement.

   The last sentence of the notice is an error as it says the Council may consider and take final action on granting expedited processing. We are not considering granting expedited processing. We are considering a resolution, as it says in the first paragraph, for the purpose of purchasing water for Chehalis generation -- Generating Facility. I apologize for any confusion that may -- that may have caused for anyone. But as I said, it is specifically only for the purpose of considering the Chehalis Generating Facility's request to purchase municipal water during a low flow period of the Chehalis River under the site certification agreement.

   So with that clarification, Ms. Owens, will you take the roll?

   MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce?

   MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.

   MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology?

   MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, present.

   MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and Wildlife?

   MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, present.

   MS. OWENS: Department of Natural Resources?

   MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.

   MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation Commission?

   MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.

   MS. OWENS: Assistant Attorney General?

   MR. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson, present.

   MS. OWENS: For Council Staff, Sonia Bumpus?

   MS. BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus, present.

   MS. OWENS: Ami Hafkemeyer?

   MS. HAFKEMEYER: Ami Hafkemeyer, present.

   MS. OWENS: Amy Moon?

   Kyle Overton?

   MR. OVERTON: Kyle Overton, here.

   MS. OWENS: Joe Wood?
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1. Sean Chisholm?
2. And I will move on to our court reporter?
3. THE COURT REPORTER: Tayler Garlinghouse, present.
4. MS. OWENS: Thank you.
5. And for Chehalis Generation Facility?
6. MR. MILLER: This is Mark Miller representing the Chehalis -- PacifiCorp Chehalis Generation Facility.
7. MS. OWENS: Thank you.
8. Chair, there is a quorum for the regular Council.
9. CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
10. So let's bring up for the Council the resolution, and I believe you also have that in your inboxes. You may not have all seen it yet, but we will ask Mr. Overton to go through it in detail. I know that you have received the -- in writing the request from Chehalis generation -- Generating Facility. So Mr. Overton will walk through the resolution and answer any questions you have, and we also have Mr. Miller present to answer questions.
11. Mr. Overton?
12. MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Chehalis Generation Facility.
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1. Basin Partnership funding of water conservation efforts, which is -- and that conservation funding is a requirement of that Article 6 of the SCA in order to purchase during low flow periods.
2. The Department of Ecology was provided notice of this proposal on September 2nd by the facility, and Ecology indicated their support of the proposal during a meeting held on September 3rd with a representative of EFSEC, Jon Thompson, our AG, and the facility.
3. EFSEC Staff are requesting the Council to consider the request from Facility at this time.
4. Are there any specific questions or any clarification items that I could help out with?
5. CHAIR DREW: Councilmembers, do you have questions?
6. MR. YOUNG: Kyle, this is Lenny Young. Has any organization or individual come forth speaking against doing this?
7. MR. OVERTON: No, there hasn't been. There also hasn't really been a public input process here and it isn't required per the SCA. This -- the section of the SCA was in part developed when the -- an amendment was done several years back and was part of a negotiation between EFSEC, the facility, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Ecology, which put in these requirements to allow for purchase of water.
8. So there was public input at that time, and Jon Thompson may have a little more nuance take on that, but that was when the public had the opportunity to comment on this process.
9. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Follow up on that, is the City of Chehalis amenable? Do we have any kind of a readout on where the City stands?
10. MR. OVERTON: Again, that's not a requirement of this, but I do believe Mr. Miller has been in touch with the City and they are supportive and they do have water to provide the facility. And --
11. CHAIR DREW: Mr. Miller, would you like to answer that?
12. MR. MILLER: Yes, that's correct. We -- we've been in discussions with Mr. Vasilauskas, the water department director, and also communicating with his staff on the availability of water to purchase. And we discussed also the -- the opportunity for us to become more engaged in their conservation activities. So the City is -- is aware, they know that we've curtailed purchases, obviously, and they have water available for us and are not opposed to us purchasing water immediately if this is approved.
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1. Facility. I have a kind of a brief overview, and then we can go through the resolution if there's any questions or any clarification or some additional information required.
2. So the facility mentioned in their August Council meeting update that on July 7th, the Chehalis River entered into a low flow period curtailing the purchases of -- the purchase of water by the facility. EFSEC Staff have been working with the facility and Department of Ecology to resolve this issue.
3. In Article 6 of the Chehalis Facility's site certification agreement, or SCA, it limits the purchase of water from the City of Chehalis during low flow periods in the Chehalis River, and low flow periods are designated as times when the flow as measured at Grand Mound is below 165 cubic feet per second.
4. EFSEC was informed of this situation and received a formal request to purchase water under provisions identified in Article 6.a.7.a. of the SCA to provide a letter and draft resolution outline the request, which allows for the purchase of 20 acre feet of water from the City of Chehalis.
5. And to the same extent that they're purchasing water, the facility is proposing to provide $25,000 to bill the City of Chehalis and the Chehalis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 9</th>
<th>Page 10</th>
<th>Page 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MR. YOUNG: Thank you.</td>
<td>1. Overton. I can -- basically the -- the facility has a requirement in the SCA to purchase all their water from the municipal supply. So it would -- and Mr. Gallagher indicated that, you know, they have a separate right, the City does, and that this would be the -- kind of beholden to that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CHAIR DREW: Mr. Thompson, do you have anything to add to the questions that have been asked?</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>1. MR. LIVINGSTON: And this is Councilmember Livingston again. Thanks -- thanks for that confirmation. I -- given that information, which confirms what I was assuming, I -- I don't have any concerns from a Fish standpoint at this point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>2. CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Are there questions or comments from Councilmembers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. MR. MILLER: Just that -- well, maybe if we could scroll down to the actual language indented there in the resolution from the site certification agreement. A little bit further. Yeah, so what I want to just point out is the second sentence -- let me see, where it indicates that really the only notice is 15 days notice to Ecology in approval by the Council. So Ecology did receive notice, and I'm not certain whether the -- I counted the days, but -- but it's basically just an opportunity of at least, you know, 15 days for Ecology to be available to evaluate. Because it was part of -- as -- as Mr. Overton mentioned, it was part a settlement agreement between WDFW, Ecology, and the applicant. And so this kind of protects Ecology's right to review what's being proposed, and they've had the opportunity to do that and weighed in on -- with their approval of this approach. So that's all I would add.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>3. MS. KELLY: Chair Drew, this is Kate Kelly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>4. CHAIR DREW: Mr. Overton, would you like to answer that?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. MR. OVERTON: Yes, hopefully we get some confirmation. I -- given that information, which confirms what I was assuming, I -- I don't have any concerns from a Fish standpoint at this point.</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>5. MR. OVERTON: Yes, this is a one-time authorization to purchase 20 acre feet of water from the City during this low flow period of Chehalis River. It's not an ongoing approval. Any ongoing approval would require an SCA amendment of some sort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>6. MS. KELLY: We expect -- we expect the flows to go up?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>7. MR. OVERTON: Yes, hopefully we get some rain coming in and that is the case. But the facility, and Mark can clarify this, has indicated that as of September 19th, they will run out of reserve waters to continue operation, which is why we're seeking this authorization to purchase water during the low flow period at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. CHAIR DREW: My -- my understanding, too, about the history of this is that -- and Mr. Miller maybe can correct me if I overstated or state it wrong -- is that at the time of the site certification agreement, the Council looked at the issue of purchasing water and had concern about the water supply and therefore, required a significantly larger holding facility for water on site. And that has come into play in this situation. So good foresight there, and then put the limitation in terms of the water flow at that point. It may be -- certainly something given our issues going forward, it may be something that is more recurring and may require some additional investigation in the future.</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>17.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>19.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>20.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>21.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>22.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>23.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>24.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>25.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MR. LIVINGSTON: Thanks, Councilmember Young, there. I -- for the question. My assumption has been and I believe I've been able to get through the records here to confirm this is, is that this would be coming out of the City's already approved right of water, so it wouldn't have any more impact on in-stream flow. And maybe we can just get a confirmation on that. But that's been my assumption. |

CHAIR DREW: Mr. Miller, do you have an answer on that? |

MR. MILLER: This is Mark Miller. My understanding is yes, this is within the -- the water rights the City has, and they're -- they've primarily pulled water, I believe it's from the south fork of the Newaukum River, and there really hasn't been any other, you know, concern noted about impact. There were discussions with Mr. Gallagher of Ecology and -- just in anecdotally, you know, he doesn't seem to think the request would have any impact, and he also noted -- maybe, Mr. Overton, you can confirm that, that this was, you know, consistent within the City's water right. |

MR. OVERTON: Right, yes, this is Kyle.
but is that correct on the history,

Mr. Miller?

Mr. Miller: Yes, the history of this
particular plant's design dates back into the '90s, late '90s, when many of these negotiations, and obviously Mr. Consen [phonetic], you know, on his research of the -- the settlement between the parties, they actually changed the original design from this plant using evaporative cooling powers, used air cooled condenser, which is technically something you would see in arid environments. And a lot of this was early in discussions around what they call the Centralia Reach. So there were low flow periods, and so the City of Centralia redesigned their waste water treatment facility and moved it downstream. And so there were total daily max -- you know, TMVL limits on -- as far as oxygen consumption in the river.

So there -- there's quite a bit of history there. We have, you know -- just in the last ten years, we've curtailed purchases seven of those ten years due to low flow, and yes, as part of the design change, there was a -- an -- you know, a consideration for greater amount of raw water storage and also the demineralized water. So those -- those early design changes was part of the settlement that was reached, but still left in this particular constraint that when the river is measured at the Grand Mound station for the USGS reached 165 cubic feet per second, we would curtail water to mitigate further impacts.

So that was a long answer, yes, you're correct, Chair Drew.

Chair Drew: No, I appreciate that, and I'm sure the Council appreciates on the details on the -- on the history as well.

Ms. Brewster: This is Stacey Brewster. I have one question. You mentioned that you've had to curtail before, have you had to purchase water in the past?

Mr. Miller: Not -- in the history of the operation of the plant, there's been no period with which we've come close to consuming our on-site storage. Right now, you know, we -- we have approximately eight to ten days left depending on, you know, losses within the system, whether we have two weeks or not. But it's, you know, we've always had at least a minimum of 30 days left before the next rains have occurred.

And this river basin, as Mr. Gallagher noted in our discussions with him, is, you know, primarily a rain- and spring-fed river. So it doesn't rely on snow melt. So the changes in the river flow are pretty -- pretty dramatic and -- and soon after a rainfall. So when we've had curtailment in the past, you know, we may have had an August rain of a day or two or a Labor Day September rain, and we would always, you know, be able to pull water and get us by for another period of time. But this is the longest stretch that we have -- you know, in our recorded operating history that we've curtailed purchases. So now 58 days now or so that we haven't purchased water.

Chair Drew: Thank you.

Are there additional questions or comments by Council members?

So before us, we have the resolution, which if you'll scroll down there, Ms. Owens, to the resolution portion, and the resolution I guess the -- including the background and information that's provided, but the -- the final part says having considered Centralia general -- sorry, Chehalis Generating Facility -- the letters are messing me up here -- proposal, the comments of Ecology, and the recommendation of EFSEC Staff, the Council hereby approves pursuant to Article 6.a.7.a PacifiCorp's purchase of water from the City of Chehalis effective immediately according to the terms proposed.

PacifiCorp must submit certification that payment has been made to the City of Chehalis and to the Chehalis Basin Partnership on the terms described in this resolution by December 1st, 2021. And PacifiCorp, of course, is the owner of the Chehalis Generating Facility.

Is there a motion to approve this resolution?

Mr. Dengel: Rob Dengel, motion to approve said resolution.

Chair Drew: Thank you.

Is there a second?

Ms. Kelly: Kate Kelly --

Mr. Livingston: Mike Livingston --

Ms. Kelly: -- second.

Chair Drew: I'll say Kate Kelly seconded because that's the voice I heard first.

Thank you all.

Are there -- is there any discussion? This is a complex issue with a lot of history, and I want to thank the Staff, Mr. Miller, Mr. Thompson, Mr. Overton, and certainly the Department of Ecology for working to address this issue as we need to make sure that the facility does have access to water within the confines of the site certification agreement.
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So I thank you all for your work, and I will ask the Councilmembers how you vote. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIR DREW: Aye.

All opposed?

The motion is approved unanimously, and I will have signatures, my signature and Ms. Bumpus' on the call, on this resolution and forwarded so that the purchase of the water can happen.

MR. MILLER: Chair Drew, this is Mark Miller of PacifiCorp Chehalis. I just want to thank Mr. Overton and the rest of the Staff and the Council for reviewing this matter as it is important, and thank you very much.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

With that, this meeting is adjourned. Thank you all very much.

(Adjourned at 1:51 p.m.)
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P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council, and I'm calling our October meeting to order.

Ms. Owens, will you call the roll?

MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce?

MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.

MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology?

MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, present.

MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and Wildlife?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, present.

MS. OWENS: Department of Natural Resources?

MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.

MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation Commission?

MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.

MS. OWENS: Local Government and Optional State Agencies for the Goose Prairie Project, Department of Transportation?

MR. SAURIOL: Bill Sauriol, present.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MS. OWENS: For the Horse Heaven Project,</td>
<td>1. MS. OWENS: For operational updates, Kittitas Valley Wind?</td>
<td>1. CHAIR DREW: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Department of Agriculture?</td>
<td>2. MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis, present.</td>
<td>2. Moving on to our proposed agenda, we have -- you have before you on the screen proposed agenda for today's meeting. Is there a motion to adopt the proposed agenda?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. MR. SANDISON: Here.</td>
<td>3. MR. MELBARDIS: Wild Horse Wind Power Project?</td>
<td>3. MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, motion to approve agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. MS. OWENS: Benton County?</td>
<td>4. MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.</td>
<td>4. MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. MR. THOMPSON: Jon Thompson, here.</td>
<td>6. MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, present.</td>
<td>6. Any discussion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Moving on to Council Staff, Sonia Bumpus?</td>
<td>8. MR. MILLER: Mark Miller, present. And I also have with me the operations manager, Stephen O'Schmidt [phonetic], here.</td>
<td>8. COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. MS. BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus, here.</td>
<td>9. MS. OWENS: Thank you.</td>
<td>9. CHAIR DREW: Opposed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. MS. HAFKEMEYER: Ami Hafkemeyer, present.</td>
<td>10. MR. SHERRY: Chris Sherin, present.</td>
<td>10. The agenda is adopted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. MS. OWENS: Amy Moon?</td>
<td>11. MS. OWENS: Thank you.</td>
<td>11. Moving on to the meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes this month. We have the September 21st, 2021 monthly meeting minutes. Is there a motion to approve the September 21 monthly meeting minutes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. MS. MOON: Amy Moon, here.</td>
<td>12. MS. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.</td>
<td>12. MR. LIVINGSTON: This is Mike Livingston. I will move to approve the September 21st meeting minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. MS. OWENS: Sean Chisholm?</td>
<td>15. MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.</td>
<td>15. MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. MS. OVERTON: Stew Henderson, here.</td>
<td>17. MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.</td>
<td>17. Any discussion or corrections?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. MS. WOOD: Joe Wood, present.</td>
<td>18. Columbia Generating Station?</td>
<td>18. Hearing none, all those in favor of approving the September 21, 2021 monthly meeting minutes, please say &quot;aye.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. MS. OWENS: Joe Wood, present.</td>
<td>20. MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.</td>
<td>20. CHAIR DREW: Opposed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.</td>
<td>22. MR. HURD: Owen Hurd, present.</td>
<td>22. Moving on to the meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes this month. We have the September 21st, 2021 monthly meeting minutes. Is there a motion to approve the September 21 monthly meeting minutes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. MR. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.</td>
<td>24. MS. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.</td>
<td>24. CHAIR DREW: Any comments or corrections?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.</td>
<td>25. MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.</td>
<td>25. Hearing none, all those in favor of approving the September 27th Goose Prairie public comment meeting, please say &quot;aye.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. MS. OWENS: Oh, I'm sorry.</td>
<td>27. MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, second.</td>
<td>27. CHAIR DREW: Opposed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, present.</td>
<td>28. MS. GRANTHAM: Andrea Grantham, present.</td>
<td>28. Meeting minutes are approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. MR. HURD: Owen Hurd, present.</td>
<td>29. MS. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.</td>
<td>29. Moving on to the operational updates,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. MS. OWENS: Thank you.</td>
<td>30. MS. CHISHOLM: Sean Chisholm, present.</td>
<td>30. CHAIR DREW: Opposed?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the alternative measures called for discouragers for the project. Mitigation measure requiring the inflation of perch conservation measures, which would satisfy the conditions surrounding sage grouse mitigation activities at the Wild Horse and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. This recommendation is for the continuation of the current mitigation practices such as control of noxious weeds and maintaining cattle-exclusion fencing. And they're listed out on the document on the screen now, those -- the mitigation measures that are continued.

And it also calls for closing out the requirement to monitor the habitat restoration area and also calls for the TAC to continue to coordinate if conditions surrounding sage grouse warrant additional measures in the future.

The recommendation states that based on the results of, you know, mitigation efforts, the objective of the 2010 alternative mitigation measures have been met and no further actions or mitigation measures are recommended at this time.

This recommendation was presented to members of the Wild Horse TAC during the September 2021 TAC meeting, and members voted to approve adopting the measures in this recommendation and present this to the EFSEC Council for their consideration.

The EFSEC Council has, you know, the ultimate authority on adopting mitigation measures -- mitigation requirements for the project, and at this time, the Wild Horse TAC and EFSEC Staff are requesting the Council to consider the recommendation on sage grouse mitigation.

Are there any questions for either Mr. Overton or Ms. Galbraith?

Mr. Overton or Ms. Galbraith?

Mr. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle Overton, the site specialist for Wild Horse. So I have some additional history and background on this TAC decision that is before the Council today.

In 2010, the EFSEC Council voted to approve the unanimous TAC recommendation for alternate conservation measures, which would satisfy the mitigation measure requiring the inflation of perch [phonetic] discouragers for the project.

One of the alternative measures called for the identification of an appropriate location for the improvement of habitat suitable for sage grouse nesting and [inaudible]. Through an adaptive management process in 2013, a refined management plan was developed and implemented for the improvement of this habitat area. This updated restoration plan called for a five-year monitoring requirement of the restoration area, which concluded in 2020.

Since all that, a recommendation on future sage grouse mitigation activities at the Wild Horse Project was developed by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. This is very good to have the detailed information. I think it's allowed all of us to be prepared for -- for this meeting and this action item.

Are there other comments?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 13</th>
<th>Page 14</th>
<th>Page 15</th>
<th>Page 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Is there anyone who would like to</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>make a motion?</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MR. LIVINGSTON: Yeah, Chair Drew,</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>I am happy to make a motion. So</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>I would move that the Council</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>approve the Technical Advisory</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Committee's recommendation that</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Puget Sound Energy has fulfilled</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>the required restoration and</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>monitoring for sage grouse</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>mitigation, and that in</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>conjunction with the ongoing</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>mitigation measures, no</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>additional action or</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>mitigation is required.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Is there a second?</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, second.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Any further comments or</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>discussion?</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Okay. All those in favor of the</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>motion, please say &quot;aye.&quot;</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: The motion is adopted.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you and thanks to all who</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>have participated in this work.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS. GALBRAITH: Thank you.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Next we have Centralia</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Generating Facility. Sorry, looking at the small print</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>there from my perspective. Mr. Miller?</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EFSEC Council, and Staff. This is Mark Miller, the</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>project manager representing the PacifiCorp Chehalis</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Generating Facility. I have one nonroutine comment for</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the month of September.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On September 14th during the special</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>meeting, the EFSEC Council approved PacifiCorp’s request</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to buy water from the City of Chehalis with commitment</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to fund certain conservation measures. Following</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>receipt of the approved resolution, the Chehalis</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>facility began taking water on September 15th. The</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>river returned to the above minimum flow of 165 cubic</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>feet per second on the 19th of September.</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Chehalis Generation Facility purchased</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.91 acre feet of water from the City during this low</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flow period. The total approved purchase per EFSEC</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution 350 was 20 acres.</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I have been in contact with the City of</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chehalis mayor, Dennis Dawes, and the director of the</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chehalis Basin Partnership, Kirstin Harma and</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[inaudible] to these entities have stipulated to the</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>resolution prior to December 1st, 2021.</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Again, thank you for the Council’s attention and</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>support, and are there any questions?</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And thank you for the correction.</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are there any questions for Mr. Mark Miller from the Chehalis Generating Facility?</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I know it’s been quite an interesting process here, and appreciate the work that everyone’s put in to make this a success and ensure the right things are done for the continued operation of your facility and also for the Chehalis Basin. So thank you.</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. MILLER: Thank you.</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Moving on to the Grays Harbor Energy Center, Mr. Sherin.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Chair Drew, I have a quick update on the Chehalis --</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Oh --</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: -- status.</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: -- yes, you do. Mr. Overton, Air Operating Permit.</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Kyle Overton again, the site specialist for the Chehalis Facility. And during the September Council meeting, the EFSEC Council voted to approve the release of the Draft Air Operate- --</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operation Permit renewal documents for the Chehalis Facility for a 30-day public comment period.</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>That public community period will run through October 22nd, and then pending any comments</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>received, it will then be released to the EPA for a 45-day comment period, which will start on the 23rd of October and conclude on December 7th. And at this time, no comments have been received. That’s the update I have for you. Are there any questions?</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you for the update.</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any questions for Mr. Overton?</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you.</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Now moving on to Grays Harbor Energy Center, Mr. Sherin.</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. SHERIN: Afternoon, Chair Drew, Councilmembers, EFSEC Staff. This is Chris Sherin, plant manager for Grays Harbor Energy Center, and this month I have nothing nonroutine to report.</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Great. Thank you.</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia Generating Station and WNP-1/4, Mr. Schmitt?</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR. SCHMITT: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, EFSEC Council, and Staff. This is Marshall Schmitt reporting for Energy Northwest. I have one update.</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|         |         | As previously reported during the last Council meeting, Energy Northwest submitted a written report regarding the potential release of silicone oil to the Columbia River, and then on September 29th Energy
I will be submitting an updated kind of detailed schedules as part of our quarterly update in the next week or so, but in the meantime, I’ll just provide a little bit more color for the Council.

The timelines are very difficult to pin down right now because of this torque tube issue. They’re on a critical path for completion of the projects and -- and as you see in the news, there’s just -- there’s -- the Port congestion is a big issue, so we’re following up everyday, but we don’t have a very specific ETA.

Generally completing Penstemon is the highest priority both because of our power purchase agreement and the fact that PSE is using a portion of the project for their Community Solar program. As a result, we’ve been borrowing equipment including torque tubes from Urtica in an effort to keep crews busy and moving along.

So at the moment, we expect Penstemon to have permission to operate by end of the year, the final testing in January and February.

Urtica, which will be the last to be completed, I think we’ll be shooting for permission to operate by the end of Q1.

And Camas will be somewhere in between the two depending on when the torque tubes arrive.

In terms of environmental compliance, we’re hydroseeding this week on -- partially hydroseeding this Penstemon this -- this week as a means of keeping dust under control. Golder and Northwest Code have continued their ongoing inspections. Nothing there to report, and nothing to report on safety.

On other items, we met with Department of Ecology and WDFW this past week to discuss planting plans, which we’re working on. That’s moving along.

We’re also completing the operations phase reports and getting our first TAC meeting on the calendar, which we’re also coordinating with EFSEC Staff on.

And then lastly, I just wanted to give you an update on the transaction with Greenbacker. Things are moving along according to plan. We’re hoping to close by the end of this month or -- or early next month. Greenbacker’s currently working on the final documentation required to replace site restoration national assurance currently by TUUSSO with performance guarantee, and we’re continuing to work with EFSEC Staff and Jon Thompson to get that in final form so...

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Sounds like a really challenging situation that you’re dealing with and uncertainty as when product is going to arrive.

MR. HURD: Yeah, yeah. It has -- it has --
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 21</th>
<th>Page 23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparation of the schedule included fact finding tasks and establishing an annotated schedule of content, which is the backbone of the DEIS.</td>
<td>1. application for site certification, or ASC, for the Goose Prairie Solar Project, and in conjunction with that, application for consideration under expedited processing was also received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Three data requests were submitted to the applicant to clarify information included in the application, which included questions on each element of the environment. Most of the data requests have been fulfilled; however, some visual esthetic data requests and air emission estimates are pending with anticipated completion in October.</td>
<td>3. On August 6th, the Council voted to approve the request for expedited processing after mitigated determination of nonsignificance was issued on July 30th and a decision on land use consistency was made on June 15th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. This working schedule was developed with several assumptions, and updates to the schedule may need to be made as the DEIS process evolves. The schedule is driven by the multiple complex options presented within the application over a large footprint and high public interest.</td>
<td>5. WAC 463-43-080 requires Council to make a recommendation to the governor within 60 days of approving expedited processing. This deadline was extended to October 31.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjudication hearings will follow the public comment period to give agencies, tribal governments, and the public time to review the DEIS information prior to adjudication. EFSEC Staff are actively working with Judge Torem to schedule the adjudication.</td>
<td>6. Once the Council has made the recommendation, under WAC 463-64-030, the Governor’s Office would have 60 days to take on -- to take one of three actions: Approval of the application and executing the Draft SCA; reject the application; or direct the Council to reconsider certain aspects of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The issuance scheduled for the final EIS, or FEIS, will be dependent on the number and content of comments received. The issuance of the FEIS is anticipated no sooner than the end of 2022. As work progresses on the DEIS, we will be available to assess the assumptions made to develop the working schedule and be able to provide an update on the schedule by February 15th.</td>
<td>7. Does the Council have any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Does the Council have any questions?</td>
<td>8. CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions for Ms. Moon?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Thank you very much for the thorough update as usual.</td>
<td>9. Thank you very much for the thorough update as usual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. CHAIR DREW: We are now moving on to the Goose Prairie Solar Project for a project update and Staff recommendation. Mr. Overton?</td>
<td>11. CHAIR DREW: We are now moving on to the Goose Prairie Solar Project for a project update and Staff recommendation. Mr. Overton?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. MR. OVERTON: Thank you. Again, this is Kyle Overton, the site specialist working on the Goose Prairie Solar Project application.</td>
<td>12. MR. OVERTON: Thank you. Again, this is Kyle Overton, the site specialist working on the Goose Prairie Solar Project application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. So in front of the Council today is a Draft Site Certification Agreement and a draft recommendation report to the governor. And some -- I’ll do a brief recap here.</td>
<td>13. So in front of the Council today is a Draft Site Certification Agreement and a draft recommendation report to the governor. And some -- I’ll do a brief recap here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. In January 2021, EFSEC received an</td>
<td>14. In January 2021, EFSEC received an</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAIR DREW: And I vote aye. Thank you. That looks like a unanimous recommendation to me. Thanks again, everyone, for your work.

We have a couple other items for the good of the order or perhaps just additional items on our agenda. And we do have a new project that has been submitted to us for review and that is Badger Mountain Solar Project. And Sean Chisholm will tell us a little bit about it and what the schedule is.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And I know already that Ms. Owens is looking for a time where we can schedule the public informational meeting and land use hearing, and she has been pulling members, and we will be getting back to you in a short time about scheduling that next meeting as well.

Moving on to the second quarter cost allocation, Ms. Bumpus.

MS. BUMPUS: Oh, good afternoon, Chair Drew, and Councilmembers. Thank you very much. For the record, this is Sonia Bumpus. My only update for you today is just on the second quarter fiscal year 2022 nondirect cost allocations. So I will just read these off very quickly here.

For Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project, 5 percent; for Wild Horse Wind Project, 5 percent; Columbia Generating Station, 26 percent; Columbia Solar, 11 percent; WNP-1, 3 percent; Whistling Ridge, 3 percent; Grays Harbor 1&2, 9 percent; Chehalis Generation Project, 10 percent; Goose Prairie Solar, 7 percent; Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project, 12 percent; and our new Badger Mountain Project, 6 percent. And that concludes my update on the nondirect cost allocation.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. With that, our meeting for today is adjourned. Thank you all for your participation.

(Adjourned at 2:03 p.m.)
STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON

I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project
Operator: EDP Renewables
Report Date: November 1
Reporting Period: October 2021
Site Contact: Eric Melbardis, Sr Operations Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)
- Power generated: 16,491 MWh
- Wind speed: 5.5 m/s
- Capacity Factor: 21.9%

Environmental Compliance
- No incidents

Safety Compliance
- Nothing to report

Current or Upcoming Projects
- Nothing to report

Other
- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints
**EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name:</th>
<th>Wild Horse Wind Facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operator:</td>
<td>Puget Sound Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Date:</td>
<td>November 1, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Period:</td>
<td>October 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Contact:</td>
<td>Jennifer Galbraith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA Status:</td>
<td>Operational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operations & Maintenance**
October generation totaled 62,588 MWh for an average capacity factor of 30.86%.

**Environmental Compliance**
Nothing to report.

**Safety Compliance**
Nothing to report.

**Current or Upcoming Projects**
Nothing to report.

**Other**
Nothing to report.
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: November 5, 2021
Reporting Period: October 2021
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller, Plant Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
  - 150,853 MW-hrs generated in October for year-to-date generation of 1,942,331 MW-hrs and a YTD capacity factor of 53.87%.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
-Permit status if any changes.
  - No changes.
-Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
  - No issues or updates.
-Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
  - None.
-Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
  - No issues or updates.
-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
  - Nothing to report.
Safety Compliance
-Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
  - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 2,223 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects
-Planned site improvements.
  - No planned changes.
-Upcoming permit renewals.
-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  - As stipulated in EFSEC Resolution 350, the Chehalis Generation Facility will be funding water conservation projects with the City of Chehalis and the Chehalis Basin Partnership. Certification of payment by December 1, 2021 will be provided as required by Resolution 350.

Other
-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).
  - Nothing to report.
-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).
  - The Environmental Analyst position has been filled by Stacy LaClair. Stacy has a BS Chemistry degree from Saint Martin’s College in Lacey, WA. Her first day working at the Chehalis plant was October 28, 2021.
-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).
  - Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

Mark A. Miller P75451
Manager, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC
Report Date: November 16, 2021
Reporting Period: October 2021
Site Contact: Chris Sherin
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
-GHEC generated 416,420MWh during the month and 2,783,181MWh YTD.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
-There were no emission, outfall, or storm water deviations, during the month.
-Routine monthly and quarterly reporting to EFSEC
  - Monthly Outfall Discharge Monitor Report (DMR)

Safety Compliance
-None.

Current or Upcoming Projects
-None.

Other
-None.
Facility Name: Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)
Operator: Energy Northwest
Report Date: November 4, 2021
Reporting Period: October 2021
Site Contact: Mary Ramos
Facility SCA Status: Operational

CGS Net Electrical Generation October 2021: 848,536 MW-Hrs

Environmental Compliance
On October 27, 2021, Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) conducted the onsite portion of the annual Synthetic Minor Air Permit inspection at Columbia Generating Station. The purpose of the inspection was to assess the station’s compliance with EFSEC Order No. 873 (Order on Request to Operate Multiple Diesel-fired Combustion Units to Provide Steam and Emergency Power). EN is working with Ecology to complete the records review portion of the inspection.

Current or Upcoming Projects
N/A

Other
N/A
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting Facility Update

Facility Name: Columbia Solar Projects (Penstemon, Camas and Urtica)
Operator: Tuusso Energy, LLC
Report Date: Nov 5, 2021
Reporting Period: 30-days ending Nov 5, 2021
Site Contact: Owen Hurd
Facility SCA Status: Construction

Construction Status
- Penstemon
  - Torque tubes finally arrived
  - Racking is now complete and all modules have been installed
  - Interconnection work underway
  - Now awaiting delivery of a switchboard that may determine whether we make it online by EOY
- Camas
  - Still awaiting final batch of torque tubes, currently stuck in the port of Seattle
- Urtica
  - Working on pile refusals: pre-drilling appears to work, and we’re currently awaiting engineering approval of pull test results from initial batch of piles driven into pilot holes

Environmental Compliance
- Golder and NW Code inspections ongoing
- Currently working on some BMP repairs to silt fences and straw waddles, and making sure BMPS are sufficiently robust heading into winter

Safety Compliance
- Daily safety tailgate meetings in progress
- Borrego safety auditing and monitoring occurring daily

Current or Upcoming Projects
- Currently targeting a mid-November closing with Greenbacker
Desert Claim Wind Power Project

November 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Horse Heaven Wind Project

November 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Goose Prairie Solar Project

November 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Badger Mountain Solar Energy Project

November 2021 project update

[Place holder]