

Columbia Solar Public Informational Meeting

Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

August 17, 2021



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



STATE OF WASHINGTON
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL

SPECIAL MEETING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO SITE CERTIFICATION AGREEMENTS FOR
TUUSSO ENERGY, LLC
COLUMBIA SOLAR PROJECT

5:00 p.m. to 5:46 p.m.

DATE TAKEN: AUGUST 17, 2021

REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR 2121

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

(All parties appeared via videoconference)

Council Members:

- Kathleen Drew, UTC, Council Chair
- Kate Kelly, Department of Commerce
- Robert Dengel, Department of Ecology
- Michael F. Livingston, Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Lenny Young, Department of Natural Resources
- Stacey Brewster, UTC

For Columbia Solar Project:

- Owen Hurd
- Jacqueline Fedida, Greenbacker Capital

UTC Staff:

- Joan Owens
- Sonia Bumpus
- Ami Hafkemeyer
- Kyle Overton

Also Present:

- Jonathan Thompson, Assistant Attorney General
- Ernesto Avelar (Laborers)
- Randy J. Hill

1 TUESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2021

2 5:00 P.M.

3 -o0o-

4 MS. DREW: Good evening. This is Kathleen
5 Drew, Chair of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation
6 Council, calling to order a special meeting and
7 opportunity for public comment on technical amendments
8 to the Site Certification Agreement for TUUSSO Energy,
9 LLC, and Columbia Solar Project located in Ellensburg,
10 Washington.

11 Ms. Owens, will you call the role of the
12 Council, please?

13 MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce.

14 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.

15 MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology.

16 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, present.

17 MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and Wildlife.

18 MR. LIVINGSTON: Michael Livingston,
19 present.

20 MS. OWENS: Department of Natural Resources.

21 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.

22 MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation
23 Commission.

24 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, Present.

25 MS. OWENS: Thank you.

1 Chair, there is a quorum for the Council.

2 And for the Columbia Solar Project?

3 MS. DREW: Mr. Owen Hurd? Your microphone
4 was not own.

5 MR. HURD: Owen Hurd, present.

6 MS. DREW: Thank you.

7 So I would like to begin by reading through
8 some of the notice so all that are participating know
9 what this is about.

10 On July 28th, 2021, TUUSSO Energy, LLC, also
11 known as the certificate holder, requested the Energy
12 Facility Site Evaluation Council approve an amendment
13 request to the Columbia Solar site certification
14 agreements for the Camas, Penstemon, Urtica, Typha, and
15 Fumaria sites. Those are the names of the five sites.
16 If approved the amendment would transfer ownership of
17 the Camas, Penstemon, and Urtica sites and terminate the
18 Site Certification Agreement for the Typha and Fumaria
19 sites.

20 EFSEC invites you to participate in its
21 consideration of this amendment request. If approved,
22 the transfer of control would trigger technical
23 amendments to the SCA to formally recognize the change
24 in ownership and commitments made by the new owner that
25 it has the demonstrated capability to acquire and

1 possess the project.

2 The Council, as the State agency responsible
3 for regulating Columbia Solar, is holding a virtual
4 public special meeting tonight to provide an opportunity
5 for citizens, stakeholders, and interested persons, or
6 organizations to receive information and provide
7 comments on the proposed transfer and terminations in
8 the Site Certification Agreement.

9 Following the public comment, the Council
10 will consider the information before it, before it acts
11 on the certificate holder's request. And this is
12 pursuant to our Washington Administrative Code
13 463-66-030.

14 We do have two ways for people to
15 participate. You can call -- excuse me, you can send an
16 e-mail to efsec@utc.wa.gov if you would like to speak
17 tonight, or you can call 360-664-1345. You may also
18 submit your comments at <https://comments.efsec.wa.gov>.
19 And the amendment request can be found at our website,
20 www.efsec.wa.gov, under the Columbia Solar tab under the
21 facilities.

22 So with that, I would invite Mr. Owen Hurd
23 to present information about this amendment request.

24 MR. HURD: Great. Thank you, Chair Drew.

25 Good evening, Council Members and EFSEC

1 staff. Thank you for making time for the special
2 council meeting, especially over the dinner hour.
3 We will do what we can to make it concise.

4 As you know, TUUSSO Energy submitted a
5 former request for three actions at the end of last
6 month; and so for the discussion tonight we would like
7 to do two things: One, is kind of step through each of
8 those requested actions; and then, second, introduce
9 Greenbacker, because two of the actions are in relation
10 to the pending sale of Penstemon, Camas, and Urtica
11 projects to a Greenbacker subsidiary.

12 So, Joan, if you want to go to the -- maybe
13 flip two slides. So we go.

14 So the first request is around the Typha and
15 Fumaria projects. So as Chair Drew mentioned, there
16 were five projects originally -- all located in the same
17 area but interconnecting at different points of the --
18 of the power grid. And because of where Typha and
19 Fumaria were located, they faced transmission
20 constraints that were unique to them and did not impact
21 the other projects.

22 Unfortunately, it is cost prohibitive to
23 remedy these transmission constraints. It would require
24 major upgrades that -- that we just simply can't move
25 forward with and at this point we've exhausted all

1 potential solutions to this issue.

2 So -- so the request here is just for the
3 final and complete termination of these projects.

4 Are there any questions on that?

5 MS. DREW: Council Members, are there any
6 questions?

7 MR. YOUNG: Owen, this is Lenny Young with
8 the Department of Natural Resources.

9 Without getting too technical, could you
10 give a little bit more of a description of what
11 transmission constraints entails?

12 MR. HURD: Yeah. I mean, essentially, there
13 was not capacity for -- for the output of these projects
14 and it would be a line coming over the Cascades. So
15 that's why the transmission cost is -- you know, over 10
16 times what the total project cost would be. Yeah.

17 MR. YOUNG: Thank you. I appreciate that.

18 MS. DREW: Any other questions? Thank you.
19 Please continue.

20 MR. HURD: Great.

21 Joan, if you want to flip to the next slide.

22 So these next two actions both are in
23 relation to the pending sale to the Greenbacker. So
24 just some brief context here, we've entered into an
25 agreement with Greenbacker, a Nobel Energy corporation,

1 to sell the projects, the three remaining projects --
2 Penstemon, Camas, and Urtica -- to one of their
3 subsidiaries, CitrinSolar, LLC.

4 And right now TUUSSO Energy holds the
5 certificate, the SCAs, for each of those projects.

6 The way the deal is structured is that
7 Greenbacker subsidiary will be acquiring the three
8 project entities. And in order for that to happen, we
9 need to first get the site certification agreements down
10 into those project entities.

11 So -- so that the second proposed action is
12 the permission to transfer each of these SCAs down to
13 the project companies and then to amend the names
14 accordingly to reflect the new certificate holders,
15 which would be the project companies.

16 MS. DREW: Any questions from Council
17 Members on the Action No. 2?

18 Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Kelly.

19 MS. KELLY: This is Kate Kelly.

20 Are there bonds or deposits or that kind of
21 thing that go with the Site Certification Agreement or
22 the underlying permits that will need to transfer?

23 MR. HURD: Yeah, that's a good question.

24 So there's the site restoration financial
25 assurance, which is a requirement of the SCA. And

1 because TUUSSO is the certificate holder for all the
2 projects right now, TUUSSO has provided that in the form
3 of a standby trust backed by a letter of credit. So
4 that has been provided.

5 Now, when the -- when the projects and the
6 SCAs transfer to Greenbacker, Greenbacker will be bound
7 by all the same requirements that TUUSSO has been bound
8 by. And so I believe the intention is to potentially
9 change the form of that site restoration financial
10 assurance. However, the -- the current instrument will
11 not be released until, you know, the new instrument is
12 in place and EFSEC is comfortable with it and provides
13 kind of written confirmation that any new instrument is
14 sufficient.

15 So in short, all the same requirements will
16 apply to any new owner. And -- and the current
17 instrument will remain in place until and unless EFSEC
18 has signed off on -- on some other form of -- of
19 financial assurance, which is a guarantee.

20 MS. KELLY: Okay. Thank you for that.

21 MS. DREW: Thank you.

22 Are there any other questions?

23 Okay. Then moving on to Action No. 3.

24 MR. HURD: Yeah. Okay. So provided that
25 the second action is approved and the SCAs are moved

1 down to the project companies, this action is basically
2 seeking permission from the Council to allow for the
3 indirect transfer of control of these SCAs to
4 CitrinSolar. CitrinSolar is the subsidiary of
5 Greenbacker, and because they will be acquiring the
6 project entities and the project entities will own all
7 of the -- what we call "project assets" -- so that would
8 be the Power Purchase Agreement, the Interconnection
9 Agreement, and with the second action, the Site
10 Certification Agreements -- we're asking for permission
11 for this indirect transfer of control that will
12 naturally occur when the project entities transfer over
13 to Greenbacker.

14 So -- and -- and that -- that will be --
15 well, everything we just talked about on the site
16 restoration financial assurance, that will be
17 conditional on that staying in -- the current instrument
18 staying in place or being replaced with something that
19 EFSEC is comfortable with.

20 MS. DREW: Is there any question on the
21 indirect transfer ownership, Action No. 3?

22 MR. HURD: Okay. Great. So, Joan, if you
23 want to flip to the next page.

24 So this just kind of summarizes the current
25 structure and the future structure that we would like to

1 go -- that is being proposed here with the three
2 actions.

3 So currently you see TUUSSO Energy there,
4 which holds all five of these Site Certification
5 Agreements. We're asking that two of them be
6 terminated.

7 And then the second action is that we're
8 assigning those three remaining Site Certification
9 Agreements down to these project entities that already
10 exist.

11 And then the third action is the indirect
12 transfer of control, and all three of those move over to
13 CitrinSolar, LLC, the subsidiary of Greenbacker.

14 So with that, unless there are any other
15 questions, I'll go ahead and pass the mic to Jacqueline
16 Fedida who has joined us tonight. I've been working
17 with Jacquelyn now for -- since the end of 2019 when
18 Greenbacker became involved in these projects in their
19 current capacity, which is as a lender to the projects.
20 And so she's, I guess, new to the Council but very
21 familiar with the project. So thanks for joining us
22 tonight, Jacqueline.

23 MS. DREW: Thanks. Please go ahead.

24 MS. FEDIDA: Thank you for the introduction,
25 Owen, and thank you for having me join tonight.

1 This is very exciting. As Owen mentioned,
2 I've been working with him on these projects for almost
3 two years now, so it's exciting to see these getting
4 built.

5 But -- I'm an investment associate on
6 Greenbacker's team. I'm based in New York, though
7 Greenbacker has offices across the country in Denver,
8 Colorado, in Vermont, and in Portland, Maine. But if we
9 flip to, I believe, the next slide, we have an overview
10 of Greenbacker, and I can tell you a little bit briefly
11 about our firm.

12 So Greenbacker -- next slide.

13 MR. HURD: Joan, one more slide.

14 MS. FEDIDA: Perfect. Thank you.

15 So Greenbacker is an asset management
16 platform that owns and operates both commercial and
17 utility-scale renewable assets across North America. So
18 primarily solar is what our portfolio is comprised of,
19 but we also have wind and storage in there. And we have
20 an objective to generate income, stable income, for our
21 investors.

22 So on the next slide can give an overview,
23 really, of how that actually works.

24 So essentially clients, retail institutional
25 investors, will make investments in Greenbacker in one

1 of our funds, primarily in our fund GREC (phonetic) and
2 we deploy that capital to acquire projects that generate
3 electricity and have long-term power purchase agreements
4 in place with primarily investment grade off-takers.

5 And then we return that income that the projects
6 generate to our shareholders in the form of
7 distributions.

8 So to give an idea of where our footprint is
9 across North America, in the next slide -- or maybe it's
10 one more slide, but I can cover this first.

11 We have about 300 assets currently in 34
12 states with a total -- that totals about 2 gigawatts of
13 energy right now; then we have, I think, another
14 gigawatt under construction coming next year, and a
15 total investment value of the fund of 1.5 billion.

16 So if we go to the next slide, this is where
17 we are across the United States. So it's really
18 everywhere, primarily in the northwest and the
19 northeast, where there are, you know, renewable energy
20 market incentives in place.

21 And then the next slide just did high-level
22 overview of our environmental impact. So a gigawatt of
23 generating capacity, on that 2 gigawatt number, include
24 some assets that are under construction, like, for
25 example, these three sites that we intend to acquire

1 from TUUSSO and -- yeah, these are just some fun stats
2 of our positive environmental impact.

3 But once we acquire the projects from
4 TUUSSO, we will have a dedicated asset manager to --
5 dedicated to operating these sites and dealing with
6 day-to-day communications with any local regulatory
7 bodies with the local utilities.

8 So, unfortunately, our asset manager was
9 unable to join me this evening, but that contact
10 information will be relayed in due course.

11 MS. DREW: So that would be the person that
12 we would then have participate in our monthly meetings
13 for updates on the facility?

14 MS. FEDIDA: Absolutely. And we generate
15 monthly reports for whoever requires them. Usually for
16 the utility, for the off-taker, any local regulatory
17 bodies, we prepare those reports.

18 MS. DREW: Okay. Thank you.

19 MR. HURD: So that was all that we had
20 prepared, I guess. Again, if there's any questions, we
21 would be happy to try to address them.

22 MS. DREW: Thank you. Are there questions
23 from other council members?

24 MR. YOUNG: Yes, for Owen or Jacqueline.
25 This is Lenny Young, again, Department of Natural

1 Resources.

2 I'm a complete rookie when it comes to
3 corporate finance and how these things work. But I'm
4 kind of curious as to what extent will the three LLCs
5 retain any sense of an independent identity now that
6 they are all under Greenbacker?

7 What -- what degree of autonomy or
8 independence or semi-independence do they have in terms
9 of both operations and finance?

10 Are they still more or less independent
11 entities? Is that a regulatory independence? Is it a
12 financial independence?

13 I think you can see where I'm going with
14 this.

15 Could you give me a sense on that?

16 MS. FEDIDA: From a finance perspective, I'm
17 happy to speak to that, and even from an operations
18 perspective, all of the material project contracts that
19 exist with the LLCs will stay with the LLCs. They
20 will -- the LLCs will be preserved as entities.
21 Greenbacker is really just coming in above and
22 purchasing them at the -- at the TUUSSO level where
23 Greenbacker will stay with no intention of dissolving
24 the project-level companies.

25 MR. YOUNG: Great. Thank you very much.

1 Appreciate that clarification.

2 MS. DREW: Are there other questions?

3 MS. KELLY: Sure, Ms. Drew. It's Kate Kelly
4 again.

5 So I'm just curious -- and you showed the
6 map of the different operations across the country or
7 potential operations.

8 Is this the way those are set up as well, is
9 sort of like the investment firm and then the operations
10 firm on the ground?

11 MS. FEDIDA: So the -- the maps that we
12 showed in prior slides, I apologize if that was
13 confusing. That's an asset map. So in terms of where
14 we have wind farms or where we have solar farms or a
15 biomass facility, that's where those are located in the
16 U.S.

17 In terms of our corporate offices and where
18 most of our employees work out of, that is Denver, New
19 York, Vermont, and -- and Portland, Maine. I think we
20 have a couple employees in San Diego, actually.

21 But with regard to our asset managers and
22 our construction managers who are overseeing to build
23 out of our construction portfolio, they cover -- even
24 though they are based in one of those offices, they
25 cover general geographic regions so that they can

1 generally be on-site when needed.

2 So, for example, the construction manager
3 for the TUUSSO sites is based in Northern California, so
4 she's close by.

5 MS. KELLY: So I don't know -- go ahead.
6 Maybe -- yeah.

7 MR. HURD: Oh, sorry. Well, yeah. I was
8 just going to kind of add on to Jacqueline's comments
9 that -- you know, so Jacqueline is here kind of speaking
10 on behalf of Greenbacker in relation to this transfer
11 because this is kind of the transaction is the -- the
12 aspect of these projects that we're talking about today.

13 But as she mentioned, I mean, there's a
14 whole other team. So -- so there are other folks that
15 had been involved with the projects that I work with on
16 a -- on an almost daily basis from Greenbacker that are
17 very familiar with the ins and outs of these projects.
18 So we've, you know, come together on all of the
19 landscaping and plantings, the mitigation requirements
20 that we have. And so it's not -- I guess if I put
21 myself in the shoes of Council Members, I can image this
22 seems all quite abrupt that, you know, a new company
23 coming in and do they know about, kind of, all of the
24 ins and outs of the projects.

25 But as you can see by the map, this has sort

1 of been Greenbacker's business, you know, is figuring
2 out how to step into these projects in a way that, you
3 know, keeps everything on track and doesn't disrupt
4 anything.

5 And so there is a whole other -- there's a
6 whole team of people that are kind of working on this,
7 and they do a good job at working collaboratively with
8 developers. So we'll continue to work on the projects
9 until they are done. I'm not going away, but the -- the
10 ownership of the projects will change.

11 MS. KELLY: Thank you.

12 MS. DREW: Other questions from Council
13 Members?

14 MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. And
15 may be more a question for staff.

16 Have we had an ownership change like this
17 happen before?

18 MS. BUMPUS: Yes. This is Sonia Bumpus, for
19 the record.

20 Yes, Council Member Brewster, we have had a
21 change of ownership, one that was similar to this, I
22 believe was for -- several years ago was for Grays
23 Harbor Energy.

24 MS. DREW: We lost you, Sonia.

25 MS. BUMPUS: So I do think we have had

1 ownership changes before on different types of projects,
2 just not recently.

3 MS. BREWSTER: Thank you.

4 MS. DREW: Okay. Are there other questions?

5 Okay. Hearing none, let's move on, then, to
6 the staff presentation about the process.

7 Thank you both very much for your
8 presentations and for answering the questions.

9 Ms. Hafkemeyer.

10 MS. HAFKEMEYER: Thank you, Chair Drew.

11 Welcome, everybody. Thanks for coming to
12 participate this evening.

13 For the record, my name is Amy Hafkemeyer,
14 the siting and compliance manager with the Energy
15 Facility Site Evaluation Council. And I just have a
16 quick presentation to go over the EFSEC process for
17 those of you who are new to us.

18 EFSEC was created in 1970 for the siting of
19 thermal power plants, and the intent was to create a
20 one-stop permitting agency for large energy facilities.
21 EFSEC is comprised of state and local members who review
22 each application before making a recommendation to the
23 Governor. This decision preempts other state or local
24 governments.

25 You can see here that EFSEC is comprised of

1 members from several different state level agencies, the
2 Chairperson is appointed by the Governor and there are
3 standing members from five other agencies appointed by
4 those agencies to sit on the Council.

5 (Dog barking.)

6 My apologies.

7 The current Council is made up of Chairwoman
8 Kathleen Drew, Robert Dengel from the Department of
9 Ecology, Mike Livingston from Department of Fish and
10 Wildlife, Kate Kelly from the Department of Commerce,
11 and Lenny Young from the Department of Natural
12 Resources, and Stacey Brewster from the Department of
13 Utilities and Transportation Commission.

14 There are additional agencies that may elect to
15 appoint a council member during the review of an
16 application. These agencies are the Department of
17 Agriculture, Department of Transportation, Department of
18 Health, and the Military Department.

19 Local governments also have an option to appoint a
20 council member for the review of a project in their
21 area.

22 When a project is located at or near a port, a
23 port authority may also appoint a member through this
24 position, and it is a nonvoting position.

25 As I mentioned previously, EFSEC was created to

1 oversee the siting of thermal power plants. Facilities
2 falling into EFSEC's jurisdiction include any nuclear
3 facility where the primary purpose is produce and sell
4 electricity. We also oversee nonhydro, nonnuclear
5 thermal facilities with a capacity of 350 megawatts or
6 greater. There is no threshold for alternative energy
7 sources, such as wind, solar, storage, et cetera, but
8 they may choose to opt in, as well as transmission lines
9 over 115 kilovolts?

10 Thresholds for pipelines, refineries, and storage
11 facilities that would fall under EFSEC jurisdiction are
12 found in the Revised Code of Washington, RCW 80.50.060.

13 Here's a map of the facilities currently under
14 EFSEC jurisdiction. You can see marked in red there are
15 five operating facilities; including two natural gas
16 facilities, one nuclear facility, and two wind
17 facilities.

18 The dark blue mark indicates the project that is
19 the subject of today's meeting, the Columbia Solar
20 projects that are currently under construction, except
21 for, of course, the two that are being requested to be
22 terminated.

23 And the light blue marks indicate the two
24 additional facilities that are approved but have yet to
25 start construction, both of which are wind facilities.

1 The clear circle is the one facility under
2 decommissioning. EFSEC is also currently reviewing
3 applications for two additional facilities marked in
4 green, ~~Forest Haven~~ **Horse Heaven** facility and Goose Prairie facility.

5 Here's a flow chart of the general process an
6 applicant will go through when they submit to EFSEC.
7 There are three concurrent processes during an
8 application review: land use consistency, the SEPA
9 environmental process, and the permitting process for
10 any applicable environmental permits.

11 You can see that there are multiple processes that
12 happen concurrently when EFSEC is reviewing an
13 application, and all of these processes ultimately feed
14 into the Council recommendation to the Governor.

15 The Columbia Solar projects have been through this
16 process with their initial application and completed
17 that process. And the Site Certification Agreements
18 were executed in 2018.

19 This process is a request for an amendment. And
20 the request for amendment is -- must be made in writing
21 by the certificate holder in reviewing whether proposed
22 amendment is consistent with the public health and
23 safety and welfare, the Council shall consider the
24 short-term and long-term environmental impacts of the
25 proposal.

1 In reviewing a proposed amendment, the Council
2 must consider the intent of the original SCA; applicable
3 laws and rules; public health, safety, and welfare; and
4 the provisions of Washington Administrative Code Chapter
5 463-72, which is a site restoration and preservation.

6 An approval by the Council may be in the form of
7 resolution. The Council may take final action on an
8 amendment request which does not substantially alter the
9 substance of any provisions in the SCA or which is
10 determined to not have significant detrimental effect
11 upon the environment.

12 The Council may make a recommendation to the
13 Governor on an amendment which substantially alters the
14 substance of any provision of the SCA or which is
15 determined to have a significant detrimental effect on
16 the environment.

17 And that wraps up my presentation for this
18 evening.

19 Before I end, I would like to remind everybody how
20 they may submit comments on this request for amendment.

21 If you would like to speak this evening, there
22 will be opportunity to give verbal comment at the end of
23 this presentation.

24 Comments may also be submitted to our online
25 comment database at <https://comments.efsec.wa.gov>. The

1 database is open now and will be open until 7:00 p.m.
2 this evening.

3 Are there any questions?

4 MS. DREW: Are there any questions for
5 Ms. Hafkemeyer from Council Members?

6 At this point, I'd like to ask, Ms. Owens,
7 do we have anyone to sign up to speak?

8 MS. OWENS: I currently do not have anyone
9 signed up to speak.

10 MS. DREW: Okay. I think that what we will
11 do -- is there anyone on this call who would like to
12 speak? Please unmute and let us know if you'd like to
13 speak and we'll continue listening for you.

14 I think if you can go back, perhaps, to that
15 last slide that Ms. Hafkemeyer had -- this one is fine.
16 If you are watching this and would like to make a
17 comment, you can do it either by calling or letting us
18 know if you are online now or through the comments
19 database. And we will be here for the next -- let's say
20 until 5:45. If there are no speakers, we'll continue to
21 wait to see if anyone comes forward to speak.

22 And then, again, the comment database will
23 be available throughout seven o'clock this evening. So
24 we'll pause in our meeting for right now.

25 (Pause.)

1 MS. DREW: Good evening. I'm calling the
2 meeting back to order at 5:44 p.m.

3 Ms. Owens, do we have anyone signed up to
4 speak at this point in time?

5 MS. OWENS: I have not received any
6 additional requests to sign up.

7 MS. DREW: Is there anyone participating in
8 this meeting at this point who would like to make a
9 comment?

10 Again, I will say that if you would like to
11 comment between now and seven o'clock in writing, you
12 may submit your comment at:

13 [Https://comments.efsec.wa.gov](https://comments.efsec.wa.gov).

14 And at this point, Council Members, we will
15 have further discussions -- seeing if we have any
16 comments, but we'll have further discussion about this
17 amendment, I expect, at our September meeting. But for
18 tonight, I am adjourning our public informational
19 meeting. And thank you, all, for your participation
20 this evening.

21 Thank you, Mr. Hurd.

22 Thank you to Jacqueline from Greenbacker.

23 And thank you to the staff for
24 participating.

25 Again, we will have further discussion at

1 our September monthly meeting. Thank you, all. Good
2 night.

3 (Public Hearing concluded at 5:46 p.m.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF KITSAP)

I, CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the Columbia Solar Public Informational Meeting on AUGUST 17, 2021, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 26th day of August, 2021.

Crystal McAuliffe



CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR #2121