AGENDA

MONTHLY MEETING
Tuesday July 20, 2021
1:30 PM

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Proposed Agenda

4. Minutes

5. Projects
   a. Kittitas Valley Wind Project
      • Operational Updates
   b. Wild Horse Wind Power Project
      • Operational Updates
   c. Chehalis Generation Facility
      • Operational Updates
   d. Grays Harbor Energy Center
      • Operational Updates
   e. Columbia Generating Station
      • Operational Updates
   f. WNP – 1/4
      • Non-Operational Updates
   g. Columbia Solar
      • Project Updates
      • Staff Update
   h. Desert Claim
      • Project Updates
   i. Horse Heaven Wind Farm
      • Project Updates
   j. Goose Prairie Solar
      • Project Updates

6. Other
   • 1st Quarter Cost allocation

7. Adjourn

Note: "FINAL ACTION" means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.020
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1 (Pages 1 to 4)
MS. MOON: Amy Moon, present.

MS. OWENS: Kyle Overton?

MR. OVERTON: Kyle Overton here.

MS. OWENS: Patty Betts?

MS. BETTS: Patty Betts, present.

MS. OWENS: Stew Henderson?

MR. HENDERSON: Stew Henderson, here.

MS. OWENS: Stephen Posner? Okay. And I see we have our court reporter on the line. Can you please identify yourself?

COURT REPORTER: Yes, my name is Patsy Jacoy, J-A-C-O-Y.

MS. OWENS: Thank you. For operational updates, Kittitas Valley Wind?

MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis, present.

MS. OWENS: Wild Horse Wind Power project?

MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, present.

MS. OWENS: Grays Harbor Energy Center?

CHAIR DREW: Excused.

MS. OWENS: Chehalis Generation facility?

MR. MILLER: Mark Miller, present.

MS. OWENS: Columbia Generating Station?

MS. MOON: Hi. Mary Ramos isn't here today, so I'll read for her.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

MS. OWENS: Thank you. Counsel for the Environment?

MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman as counsel for the Environment. Also with me is Megan Sallomi from our division.

MS. OWENS: Thank you. Chair, there is a quorum.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there anyone else on the line who would like to introduce themselves?

MR. HURD: Owen Hurd from TUUSSO Energy, yes?

MR. BJORNSON: Blake Bjornson, here. CHAIR DREW: Okay, so one at a time. I heard Owen Hurd from TUUSSO Energy, yes?

MR. HURD: Yes.

CHAIR DREW: And then Blake?

MR. BJORNSON: You've got Blake Bjornson from One Energy for Goose Prairie Solar.

MR. KOBUS: And David Kobus with Scout Clean Energy, Horse Heaven project.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

MR. McMAHAN: Tim McMahen with Stole Rives law firm.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. With that we will move to our proposed agenda. You see that on the screen and you've received it ahead of time. Councilmembers, is there a motion to adopt the proposed agenda?

MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, motion to adopt agenda.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any discussion?

Oh, second? Let's get a second on the record.

MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, I'll second.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of adopting the proposed agenda please say aye.

COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? Agenda is adopted.

Moving on to the meeting minutes for our May 18th monthly meeting minutes, they're on the screen. Is there a motion to approve the minutes, Ms. Kelly?

MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, I move to approve the minutes.

CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?
## Page 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MS. OWENS: I will correct the minutes, yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you. With those changes, all those in favor of approving the minutes from the May 18th counsel meeting please say &quot;aye.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The minutes as amended are approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moving to our facility updates, first we have Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project. Mr. Melbards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MR. MELBARDS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, EFSEC Council and staff. For the record, this is Eric Melbards with EDP Renewables for the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project. I have nothing nonroutine to report for the period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I do see that you had a monthly -- you had a capacity factor of over 50 percent for the month of May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MR. MELBARDS: Yes, yes, we did, and pleasantly windy for a wind farm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Moving on to our next facility, Wild Horse Wind Facility, Ms. Galbraith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MS. GALBRAITH: Yes, thank you Chair Drew, Councilmembers and staff. This is Jennifer Galbraith with Puget Sound Energy with the Wild Horse Wind Facility. For the month of May I have one nonroutine item and that is the Kittitas County Fire Marshal conducted the annual fire, life and safety inspection on May 24th. A couple of minor items were identified during the inspection and were either resolved during the inspection or are in the process of being resolved. And that's all I have.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I did see that, and congratulations for the very good safety inspection results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MS. GALBRAITH: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Moving on to -- thank you -- Chehalis Generation Facility, Mr. Miller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, EFSEC Council and staff. This is Mark Miller, the plant manager representing the PacifiCorp Chehalis Generation Facility. I have one nonroutine note in the submitted report for the month of May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>As mentioned in the April report, the Chehalis plant conducted an annual relative accuracy test audit of continuous submission monitors during the last week of April. After reviewing the laboratory analysis of the volatile organic carbon components, we believe that the analysis results were suspect due to a laboratory quality assurance issue. Therefore, we remobilized the stack sampling contractor to pull new samples for VOC analysis at a different laboratory. The follow-up stack sampling was conducted on Friday, June 4th and we are waiting for follow-up laboratory analysis results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>The final RATA report will be reviewed by PacifiCorp corporate environmental staff prior to being submitted to EFSEC staff later this week or early next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Are there any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from Council? I heard an echo. Ms. Owens, are you also hearing an echo from me? I am hearing an echo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>MS. OWENS: Yes, I believe somebody might have their microphone open.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>MR. MILLER: Yeah, I think it stopped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Miller. Are there any questions from Councilmembers? Okay, hearing none, we will move on to our next update which is the Grays Harbor Center, Grays Harbor Energy. Mr. Overton will make that report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Grays Harbor facility. This month Grays Harbor had no nonroutine items to report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Thank you, Mr. Overton.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>At Columbia Generating Station, on April 23, 2021, EFSEC responded to Energy Northwest's comment addressing federal preemption of Washington State's authority to regulate the Columbia Generating Station, otherwise known as CGS, radioactive air emissions. And on May 26th Energy Northwest submitted a response and requested a meeting with EFSEC to discuss the next steps for further coordination with EFSEC and Department of Health. And an upcoming event is on May -- on May 8th Energy Northwest began its R25 refueling and maintenance outage which is a target for fueling and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Thank you, Mr. Overton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Moving on to Columbia Generating Station and WNP-1 and 4, and we will hear the report from Ms. Amy Moon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. This is Amy Moon, EFSEC staff, I'm a facility site specialist. Mary Ramos had another engagement and couldn't make it today, so I'll read her report. This is actually for Columbia Generating Station as well as WNP-1 and 4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8    | At Columbia Generating Station, on April 23, 2021, EFSEC responded to Energy Northwest's comment addressing federal preemption of Washington State's authority to regulate the Columbia Generating Station, otherwise known as CGS, radioactive air emissions. And on May 26th Energy Northwest submitted a response and requested a meeting with EFSEC to discuss the next steps for further coordination with EFSEC and Department of Health. And an upcoming event is on May -- on May 8th Energy Northwest began its R25 refueling and maintenance outage which is a target for fueling and maintenance.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>quality assurance issue. Therefore, we remobilized the stack sampling contractor to pull new samples for VOC analysis at a different laboratory. The follow-up stack sampling was conducted on Friday, June 4th and we are waiting for follow-up laboratory analysis results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The final RATA report will be reviewed by PacifiCorp corporate environmental staff prior to being submitted to EFSEC staff later this week or early next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Are there any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions from Council? I heard an echo. Ms. Owens, are you also hearing an echo from me? I am hearing an echo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MS. OWENS: Yes, I believe somebody might have their microphone open.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MR. MILLER: Yeah, I think it stopped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Miller. Are there any questions from Councilmembers? Okay, hearing none, we will move on to our next update which is the Grays Harbor Center, Grays Harbor Energy. Mr. Overton will make that report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Grays Harbor facility. This month Grays Harbor had no nonroutine items to report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Thank you, Mr. Overton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Moving on to Columbia Generating Station and WNP-1 and 4, and we will hear the report from Ms. Amy Moon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. This is Amy Moon, EFSEC staff, I'm a facility site specialist. Mary Ramos had another engagement and couldn't make it today, so I'll read her report. This is actually for Columbia Generating Station as well as WNP-1 and 4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nonroutine items to report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Any questions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Thank you, Mr. Overton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MR. OVERTON: Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CHAIR DREW: Moving on to Columbia Generating Station and WNP-1 and 4, and we will hear the report from Ms. Amy Moon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. This is Amy Moon, EFSEC staff, I'm a facility site specialist. Mary Ramos had another engagement and couldn't make it today, so I'll read her report. This is actually for Columbia Generating Station as well as WNP-1 and 4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Notes

- The analysis results were suspect due to a laboratory quality assurance issue. Therefore, the stack sampling contractor was remobilized to pull new samples for VOC analysis at a different laboratory. The follow-up stack sampling was conducted on Friday, June 4th.
- The final RATA report will be reviewed by PacifiCorp corporate environmental staff prior to being submitted to EFSEC staff.
- There were no nonroutine items reported for the month of May.
- The report from Ms. Amy Moon at Columbia Generating Station was read by Ms. Owens due to another engagement.
- Columbia Generating Station and WNP-1 and 4 are the update topics.
- The meeting moved on to the next update, which is the Grays Harbor Center, Grays Harbor Energy.
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1 duration of 35 days which I believe would be this
2 Thursday to conclude, and there's no updates for WNP-1
3 and 4.
4 Any questions?
5 CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Ms. Moon. And
6 as I understand it, what Columbia Generating Station
7 and staff and EFSEC staff will talk about with the
8 Department of Health where there are overlaps and
9 review those requirements. So you are all in the
10 process of setting up a meeting to discuss that; is
11 that correct?
12 MS. MOON: Correct, it's still an
13 ongoing work in progress.
14 CHAIR DREW: Work in progress.
15 MS. MOON: About radioactive air
16 emissions.
17 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
18 MS. MOON: Yes, you're welcome.
19 CHAIR DREW: Any other questions? Okay.
20 We will move on to Desert Claim Wind Power Project.
21 Ms. Moon, you're back up.
22 MS. MOON: Okay, thank you, Chair Drew
23 and Councilmembers. I was having a mouse problem.
24 So for the record, this is Amy Moon providing
25 an update on the Desert Claim Project. EFSEC staff
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1 continued to coordinate with Desert Claim. However,
2 there are no project updates at this time.
3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Okay. Now we
4 are moving on to the Columbia Solar Project. And we
5 start with Mr. Kyle Overton.
6 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is
7 Kyle Overton, the EFSEC staff for Columbia Solar.
8 There's a lot of stuff to go over today, so it would be
9 in a couple different sections.
10 First, EFSEC staff are finalizing construction
11 phase plan review in preparation for an anticipated
12 start date of construction at the end of this month.
13 Per the site certification agreements or SCAs for the
14 three sites, all plans and necessary permits must be
15 approved prior to beginning site preparation and
16 construction activities. The EFSEC manager has been
17 delegated authority to approve the required plans, save
18 the initial site restoration plan, or ISRP, which must
19 be approved by the Council.
20 Before I move on to the next part, is there
21 any questions on that part of the update?
22 CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Go ahead.
23 MR. OVERTON: Okay. So this brings us
24 to a discussion on the ISRP, WAC 463.72.040 and Article
25 4 of the site certification agreements require the
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1 approval by the Council of an initial site restoration
2 plan. This plan must document the process and
3 necessary requirements to restore each site to an
4 approximate pre-project conditions or better. The
5 Council were provided copies of the proposed plans on
6 June 1st for their review and consideration.
7 At this time, EFSEC staff are recommending the
8 Council vote to approve the initial site restoration
9 plans for the Urtica, Penstemon and Camas sites for the
10 Columbia Solar Project.
11 Any questions on that part?
12 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?
13 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, Ecology. I
14 would like to make a motion to approve the initial site
15 remediation plans for the Camas, Penstemon and Urtica
16 sites.
17 CHAIR DREW: Urtica there.
18 MR. DENGEL: Urtica, my apologies.
19 CHAIR DREW: That's okay. Is there a
20 second?
21 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.
22 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. You've had
23 those plans to review. Are there any questions or
24 comments? Hearing none, all those in favor of
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1 approving the initial site restoration plan, please say
2 "aye."
3 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
4 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The
5 motion carries. We have approved the initial site
6 restoration plan for the Columbia Solar Project. Thank
7 you all. Mr. Overton?
8 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you.
9 Continuing on, the next part of the briefing here for
10 Columbia Solar is that a notice of intent for each of
11 the three sites for requesting coverage under a general
12 construction stormwater permit was submitted by the
13 certificate holder on April 28th. In accordance with
14 WAC 463-76-041, a 30-day public comment period began on
15 May 19th and will conclude on June 18th. To date, no
16 comments have been received.
17 In order to facilitate the construction
18 approval process, EFSEC staff is requesting the Council
19 to consider voting on a conditional approval for
20 granting coverage under the Ecology construction
21 stormwater general permit at this time. If no
22 substantial comments are received upon the close of the
23 comment period, the general stormwater permits would
24 then be issued. If substantive comments are received,
25 the permits would not be issued and EFSEC staff would
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 17</th>
<th>Page 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. return to the Council with the comments and a recommendation on permit issuance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Are there any questions?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Are there any questions for Mr. Overton? Hearing none, Mr. Dengel, would you like to make a motion?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>MR. DENGEL:</strong> Yes, I'd like to make a motion to grant coverage under the Ecology construction stormwater general permit in the event that no substantive comments are received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Is there a second?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <strong>MS. BREWSTER:</strong> Stacey Brewster, second.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Thank you. Any discussion?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I think that seeing that there haven't been any comments received to date and the comment period ends at the end of this week that, you know, as you heard Mr. Overton state, should there be any substantive comments received it will not go forward in this motion, but I think this way we can facilitate moving forward if we have no substantive comments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. All those in favor please say &quot;aye.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <strong>COUNCILMEMBERS:</strong> Aye.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> All those opposed? The motion carries, thank you. Is there anything else, Mr. Overton?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. <strong>MR. OVERTON:</strong> Yes, I can speak to that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Councilmembers and staff. That's correct, we're in the process of preparing a motion that will go in front of the Council for consideration that will both withdraw the Typha and Fumaria projects and also contemplate a transfer of the SCA and so those will be forthcoming.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. But that is -- that is correct, those two projects encountered problems that cannot be overcome and so we'll be moving forward with just the three projects that were discussed today.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Thank you.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. <strong>MR. HURD:</strong> Yeah.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Does that answer your questions?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> Thank you, Madam Chair, that just raised another question. About the transfer of the SCA, so that would be an ownership transfer of the --</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> -- of the project I guess?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Yes, and we haven't received that information yet, but Mr. Hurd, who is with TUUSSO Energy, has notified the staff that that's in process, so we will hear about it and be able to examine that before, but we do vote on that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> Okay. That's helpful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 18</th>
<th>Page 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>MR. OVERTON:</strong> No, Chair Drew, that is all I have for Columbia Solar. Thank you, everybody.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Thank you, everyone. Oh, go ahead.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> Oh, this is Kate Kelly, Chair Drew. I just -- before we left Columbia Solar, I just was wanting to make sure I understood what needs to happen before construction can begin. It sounds like construction is going to begin in like two weeks. So I mean understandably the stormwater permit public comment period has to expire, but what else has to happen?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Mr. Overton?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>MR. OVERTON:</strong> Yes, I can speak to that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. There's a couple things that need to happen for Columbia Solar to be granted approval to start construction. The first of those, like you mentioned, is the stormwater permit. Any necessary permit would be required to be in place and approved. There's also several plans that need to be approved, one of those being the initial site restoration plan that the Council is required to vote on which was approved earlier, and the rest of the required plans, and there's several of them, such as public safety, fire control plan, construction management plan, those also need to be approved and that authority has been delegated to the EFSEC manager, Sonia Bumpus. So once all those plans and their permits are approved, then at that point they've fulfilled their requirements under the site certification agreement to begin construction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> And you've received all of those plans and permits and they have been going through review and basically are awaiting maybe final signoff; is that correct?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <strong>MS. OWENS:</strong> Correct, yeah, we're in the very final stages of getting the rest of the plans approved. Hopefully within the next week or so we'll have that completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> And one follow-up question, Chair.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Sure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. <strong>MS. KELLY:</strong> So the initial application had five sites in it and now there's three. The other two are not going to be developed; is that correct?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. <strong>CHAIR DREW:</strong> Perhaps we could have Mr. Owen Hurd -- first of all, I want to say congratulations that, you know, moving forward with these three sites, but I do know you were on the call and perhaps you would like to respond to that question.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13. **MR. HURD:** Yeah, thank you, Chair Drew,
chair drew: okay.
ms. kelly: thank you.
chair drew: anything else, ms. bumpus, that you would like to add?
ms. bumpus: no, that's -- that covers everything, yeah.
chair drew: okay.
ms. bumpus: more to follow on that.
chair drew: yes, yes. thank you. in the meantime we look forward to the start of construction.
so moving on then to our next item, the goose prairie solar project. mr. overton.
mr. overton: yes, thank you again.
this is kyle overton, the site specialist for the goose prairie project. staff are in the final stages of application review and are coordinating with the applicant and our contract agencies to finalize development of a staff memo for the sepa responsible official's review. there will be more information on that in a moment.
as you will remember, on march 30th, efsec made a determination that the proposed project is consistent and in compliance with local land use. in the meantime we look forward to the start of construction.

chair drew: yes, yes. thank you. in the meantime we look forward to the start of construction.
so moving on then to our next item, the goose prairie site, has submitted a request for expedited processing. it serves a narrower function which i'll get to here in a second with the standard that the council has followed in the past decisions as to what it means to be consistent and in compliance.

but there's another aspect that plays in here, and that is that the one energy, the developer of the goose prairie site, has submitted a request for expedited processing, as they're entitled to do under the statute, and in order to grant a request for expedited processing, which results in the waiving of the adjudicative hearing, the two requirements for that is that you make a determination of land use consistency, and also that the environmental impacts of the projects -- project are not significant or can be mitigated to nonsignificant levels. so that's a determination -- that second determination is one that the efsec sepa responsible official, which is the efsec manager sonia bumpus, would make.

so -- so but then turning to the -- so the -- so the land use consistency as you can see is a -- is a input to the -- to a decision on expedited processing from the council.
so i want to turn to the -- what does it mean to be consistent and in compliance with local land use. so -- so basically, the standard that the council has developed is that the project is said to be consistent if it can be either permitted outright or conditionally in the zone in which the project is proposed. and in this case, as it has been spoken to in a certificate submitted by yakima county planning, the -- the -- under the yakima county code, the proposed facility is considered to be -- meets the definition of a power generating facility, and power generating facilities that are proposed to be located in the agricultural zoning district are considered type 3 uses under the code, yakima county code, and they are, quote, uses which may be authorized subject to the approval of a conditional use permit. type 3 conditional uses are not generally appropriate through the zoning district. the type 3 uses require a hearing examiner review of
applications. So in other words, it is -- projects of this sort are eligible to apply for a conditional use permit, and consistent with how the Council has previously addressed land use consistency, if a project would be -- could be permitted conditionally, then it is considered for purposes of this threshold land use consistency determination to be consistent and in compliance.

So when a local land use authority submits this kind of a certificate attesting to the consistency of the proposed project with the land use regulations, then that's considered prima facie evidence of consistency, meaning it's accepted as correct unless it's proved otherwise or rebutted.

So the Council didn't hear any -- any comment or evidence from any members of the public to challenge that assertion from the County, so -- so the Council has in front of it sufficient evidence with the -- with the certificate submitted by the County planning department to make the determination at this time as -- as staff is recommending.

So hopefully that provides you with a little more context for this and understanding of the significance of the -- of the decision that's in front of you. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.

Are there questions from Councilmembers? Okay, hearing none --

MR. DENGEL: Oh, sorry, this is Rob Dengel at Ecology. I had a clarification question on the conditional use permit.

CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.

MR. DENGEL: And that is if we're -- if we make the determination that it's consistent with the land use laws, then can we make -- are we then bound to that determination when determining whether or not to issue a conditional -- a conditional use permit.

CHAIR DREW: Mr. Thompson?

MR. THOMPSON: Yes, thank you. So the way the Council has dealt with this in the past is to say that, you know, whether the applicable conditional use criteria are in fact met is a question for later EFSEC proceedings. So if the County process is to have a hearing examiner make a determination about whether the conditional use criteria that are set forth in the County code are met, then Council, the Council precedent, you know, for these types of things is to say that there will be some process provided for that determination later -- later in EFSEC's review of the SCA application. So there would need to be -- Council would need to address that in making an expedited processing determination.

MR. DENGEL: Thank you for the clarification. That's very helpful.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair, I do have a question.

CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.

MR. LIVINGSTON: And this is for staff.

Can we just get an update on the progress of SEPA analysis?

CHAIR DREW: I believe that they're ready to provide that next.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Okay, okay.

MS. OWENS: Yes, that is on the agenda.

CHAIR DREW: Yeah, and you know, because we need both determinations to do expedited processing, we're taking one at a time. So if we can deal with this on land use consistency, then we'll move on to that next update.

So is there a motion, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes.

CHAIR DREW: Okay, go ahead.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yeah, I'd like to make a motion, Chair. So I'd like to make a motion that staff work with the administrative law judge to draft an order that incorporates the Council's determination on land use with the SEPA determination.

CHAIR DREW: Well, let's -- can we back up? There was another suggestion sent out late this morning that we start -- and this is my fault, I should have clarified. Let's first have a motion on land use consistency. And so perhaps -- perhaps we should have a motion to determine that the proposed project is consistent and in compliance with County land use plans and zoning ordinances.

Would you like to make that motion, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Sure. So I'd like to make a motion that land use consistency is in compliance with County zoning and permitting processes.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there a second?

MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, second.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Discussion? I think we've heard the discussion that the County has provided the certificate, there hasn't been any statement to the contrary by any member of the public. All those in favor, please say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
the environmental impacts are not significant or may be mitigated to a nonsignificant level under RCW 43-21C-031.

So with the Council's determination of land use consistency today as well as the issuance of the MDNS, the required information will be available for the Council to make a decision on the applicant's request for expedited process. And staff at this time would like to request that the Council direct staff to draft an order which incorporates the land use decision and information about the SEPA decision into an order about expedited process that the Council can then discuss and vote on at the July Council meeting.

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions about that process? Mr. Livingston, would you like to make a motion?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes. So I'd like to make a motion that staff work with the administrative law judge to draft an order that incorporates the Council's determination on the land use with SEPA determination and present that order to the Council for a vote on expedited processing at our July Council meeting.

CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?

MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.
Chair Drew: All those opposed? The motion is adopted. Thank you, all.

We will now move on to our Horse Heaven Wind Project update. Ms. Moon.

Ms. Moon: Yeah, good afternoon, Council Chair Drew and Council Members. For the record, this is Amy Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind Project. The comment period for the State Environmental Policy Act, aka SEPA, determination of significance and request for comments on scope of environmental impact statement which is -- the acronym is EIS, for the Horse Heaven Wind Project closed after a 30-day public comment period on June 10, 2021. A total of 361 comments were received during the comment period. EFSEC staff are processing the comments and working with our consultant Golder to identify the resources that require detailed analysis in the EIS.

Does the Council have any questions?

Chair Drew: Are there questions for Ms. Moon? Okay.

Ms. Moon: All right, thank you.

Chair Drew: Thank you. Okay.

Mr. Dengel: Oh, sorry. Excuse me, Chair Drew, this is Rob Dengel.

Chair Drew: Yes.

Chair Drew: All those opposed? The motion is adopted. Thank you, all.

We will now move on to our Horse Heaven Wind Project update. Ms. Moon.

Ms. Moon: Yeah, good afternoon, Council Chair Drew and Council Members. For the record, this is Amy Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind Project. The comment period for the State Environmental Policy Act, aka SEPA, determination of significance and request for comments on scope of environmental impact statement which is -- the acronym is EIS, for the Horse Heaven Wind Project closed after a 30-day public comment period on June 10, 2021. A total of 361 comments were received during the comment period. EFSEC staff are processing the comments and working with our consultant Golder to identify the resources that require detailed analysis in the EIS.

Does the Council have any questions?

Chair Drew: Are there questions for Ms. Moon? Okay.

Ms. Moon: All right, thank you.

Chair Drew: Thank you. Okay.

Mr. Dengel: Oh, sorry. Excuse me, Chair Drew, this is Rob Dengel.

Chair Drew: Yes.

Chair Drew: All those opposed? The motion is adopted. Thank you, all.

We will now move on to our Horse Heaven Wind Project update. Ms. Moon.

Ms. Moon: Yeah, good afternoon, Council Chair Drew and Council Members. For the record, this is Amy Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind Project. The comment period for the State Environmental Policy Act, aka SEPA, determination of significance and request for comments on scope of environmental impact statement which is -- the acronym is EIS, for the Horse Heaven Wind Project closed after a 30-day public comment period on June 10, 2021. A total of 361 comments were received during the comment period. EFSEC staff are processing the comments and working with our consultant Golder to identify the resources that require detailed analysis in the EIS.

Does the Council have any questions?

Chair Drew: Are there questions for Ms. Moon? Okay.

Ms. Moon: All right, thank you.

Chair Drew: Thank you. Okay.

Mr. Dengel: Oh, sorry. Excuse me, Chair Drew, this is Rob Dengel.

Chair Drew: Yes.
Administration and Department of Defense to make 20 total. And that -- although that was passed in 2019, but that work was scheduled to -- that group was asked to convene in July of 2021.

So we're working now on completing, gathering the membership of that -- of that group, and I just wanted to let folks know that we will be contacting your agencies, the heads of your agencies, to request their appointment of a member and you'll be cc'd on that and hopefully you might be able to help make sure that gets to the right person at the right time, and you'll be hearing more about that as we go forward.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. So just so that you will be able to let your agencies know, please respond to our request for a participant.

So thank you very much. I think that's all the business to come before us today. So we will be again providing you with the draft order before our July meeting with plenty of time for your review which will also include the SEPA documentation and we will then prepare to have -- take action on that at our July 20th meeting.

So with that, this meeting of the EFSEC Council is adjourned. Thank you, all.

(Adjourned at 2:22 p.m.)
Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project
Operator: EDP Renewables
Report Date: July 6
Reporting Period: June 2021
Site Contact: Eric Melbardis, Sr Operations Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

**Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)**
- Power generated: 30,205 MWh
- Wind speed: 8 m/s
- Capacity Factor: 41.6%

**Environmental Compliance**
- No incidents

**Safety Compliance**
- Nothing to report

**Current or Upcoming Projects**
- Nothing to report

**Other**
- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Wild Horse Wind Facility
Operator: Puget Sound Energy
Report Date: July 7, 2021
Report Period: June 2021
Site Contact: Jennifer Galbraith
SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
June generation totaled 57,763 MWh for an average capacity factor of 29.43%.

Environmental Compliance
Nothing to report.

Safety Compliance
Noting to report.

Current or Upcoming Projects
Nothing to report.

Other
Nothing to report.
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility  
Operator: PacifiCorp  
Report Date: June 7, 2021  
Reporting Period: May 2021  
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller, Plant Manager  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance  
-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.  
  • 179,807 MW-hrs generated in June for year-to-date generation of 1,100,022 MW-hrs and a YTD capacity factor of 51.08%.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance  
-Permit status if any changes.  
  • No changes.  
-Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.  
  • No issues or updates.  
-Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.  
  • None.  
-Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.  
  • None.  
-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.  
  • None.

Safety Compliance  
-Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.  
  • Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 2,131 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects  
-Planned site improvements.  
  • No planned changes.  
-Upcoming permit renewals.
• Title V Air Operating Permit Complete Renewal Package submitted on December 23, 2020. Title V AOP expires December 29, 2021.

-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  • No issues or updates.

Other
-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).
  • Nothing to report.

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).
  • The Environmental Analyst for the Chehalis plant position is open and has been posted for re-fill. We are currently reviewing applications for this position.

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).
  • Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

Mark A. Miller P75451
Manager, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility
Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center  
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC  
Report Date: July 20, 2021  
Reporting Period: June 2021  
Site Contact: Chris Sherin  
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
- GHEC generated 86,747MWh during the month and 1,133,286MWh YTD.
- GHEC Annual Maintenance Outage ended on June 18th. Major Inspections and AGP installations were completed.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
- There was no outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.
- GHEC submitted letters notifying staff of emissions events on June 18th and June 21st. The events were technical violations of the Air Operating Permit however, no environmental limits were exceeded. Both events are similar in nature to the April event. GHEC is currently coordinating with ORCAA and EFSEC Staff for the next step with the event.
- Routine monthly and quarterly reporting to EFSEC
  - Monthly Outfall Discharge Monitor Report (DMR)
- GHEC submitted an AGP Notice of Operation for each gas turbine.
- GHEC submitted the 2021 RATA Test Plan.

Safety Compliance
- None.

Current or Upcoming Projects
- None.

Other
- Ongoing COVID-19 mitigation efforts at the site.
Facility Name: Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)
Operator: Energy Northwest
Report Date: July 7, 2021
Reporting Period: June 2021
Site Contact: Mary Ramos
Facility SCA Status: (Pre-construction/Construction/Operational/Decommission): Operational

CGS Net Electrical Generation June 2021: 304,057 MW-Hrs

Environmental Compliance
On 6/18/21, Energy Northwest submitted to EFSEC and the Washington State Patrol Fire Protection Bureau (WSP FPB) plans for the Columbia Generating Station Deschutes Building 26 fire alarm upgrades. The plans were submitted to close out a finding from the 2020 Fire Marshal inspection.

One 6/30/21, EFSEC issued a Temporary State Waste Discharge Permit for the Columbia Generating Station and Industrial Development Complex Sanitary Waste Treatment and Disposal System. The temporary permit is effective for 5 years (starting on 7/15/21) or until a State Waste Discharge Permit is issued.

Current or Upcoming Projects
Energy Northwest’s 25th (R-25) refueling and maintenance outage concluded on 6/19/21. The outage was completed in 42 days.

Other
N/A
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update Format

Facility Name: Columbia Solar Projects (TE – Penstemon, LLC, TE – Camas, LLC, TE – Urtica, LLC)
Operator: Tuusso Energy, LLC
Report Date: July 9, 2021
Reporting Period: 30-days ending July 9, 2021
Site Contact: Owen Hurd
Facility SCA Status: Construction

Construction Status (only applicable for projects under construction)
- Mobilized the week of June 28th, starting with Penstemon, then Camas and Urtica
- Mechanical construction to begin this month (July)
- Expected completion dates have pushed out 1-2 months due to equipment delays related to Covid lockdowns in Malaysia; exact impact to timelines is not yet certain, but will likely impact Urtica and Camas more than Penstemon

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)
N/A

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
- Progress on mitigation measures:
  - Penstemon: BMPs complete (straw waddle, silt fence); construction entrance is complete
  - Camas: BMPs nearly complete (straw waddle, silt fence)
  - Urtica: BMP install to begin 7/8 (straw waddle, silt fence); construction entrance to be complete next week
- EFSEC-related inspections
  - Golder was on-site 7/7 to inspect BMPs
  - NW Code to be on site at Penstemon 7/8 to inspect underground trenching

Safety Compliance
- Daily safety tailgate meetings in progress
- Borrego safety auditing and monitoring occurring daily

Current or Upcoming Projects
- Further refinement of planting plans

Other
- Current events of note:
  - Nextracker torque tube delays due to country wide shut down in Malaysia is delaying racking installation across the three sites.
  - High temperatures caused stand-downs week of 6/28; work hours are being adjusted to 6AM-6PM
Desert Claim Wind Power Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Horse Heaven Wind Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Goose Prairie Solar Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]
Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

Non-Direct Cost Allocation
for
1st Quarter FY 2022

July 1, 2021 – September 30, 2021

The EFSEC Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) was approved by the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council in September 2004. The Plan directed review of the past quarter’s percentage of EFSEC technical staff’s average FTE’s, charged to EFSEC projects. This along with anticipated work for the quarter is used as the basis for determining the non-direct cost percentage charge, for each EFSEC project.

Using the procedures for developing cost allocation, and allowance for new projects, the following percentages shall be used to allocate EFSEC’s non direct costs for the 1st quarter of FY 2022

Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project 6%
Wild Horse Wind Power Project 6%
Columbia Generating Station 26%
Columbia Solar 11%
WNP-1 3%
Whistling Ridge Energy Project 3%
Grays Harbor 1&2 10%
Chehalis Generation Project 10%
Desert Claim Wind Power Project 3%
Goose Prairie Solar Project 10%
Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project 12%

Sonia E. Bumpus, EFSEC Manager
Date: 07/1/2021