



Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

Amended AGENDA

MONTHLY MEETING
Tuesday November 17, 2020
1:30 PM

CONFERENCE CALL ONLY
Conference number: (360) 407-3810 ID: 214817

- 1. Call to OrderKathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 2. Roll CallTammy Mastro, EFSEC Staff
- 3. Proposed AgendaKathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 4. Minutes **Meeting Minutes**.....Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
 - October 20, 2020
- 5. Projects
 - a. **Grays Harbor Energy Center**
 - Operational Updates.....Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor Energy
 - SCA SEPA and PSD update.....Kyle Overton, EFSEC Staff
 - SCA staff recommendation.....Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Staff

*The Council may consider and take **FINAL ACTION** on issuing the SCA Amendment.*
 - b. **Kittitas Valley Wind Project**
 - Operational Updates.....Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables
 - c. **Wild Horse Wind Power Project**
 - Operational Updates.....Jennifer Diaz, Puget Sound Energy
 - d. **Chehalis Generation Facility**
 - Operational Updates.....Mark Miller, Chehalis Generation
 - e. **Desert Claim**
 - Project Updates.....Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
 - f. **Columbia Solar Project**
 - Project Updates.....Ami Kidder, EFSEC Staff
 - g. **WNP – 1/4**
 - Non-Operational Updates.....Kip Whitehead, Energy Northwest
 - h. **Columbia Generating Station**
 - Operational Updates.....Kip Whitehead, Energy Northwest
- 6. Adjourn.....Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair

Note: "FINAL ACTION" means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.020

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting
Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council
October 20, 2020



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101
www.buellrealtime.com
email: info@buellrealtime.com



WASHINGTON STATE
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL
Lacey, Washington
Tuesday, October 20, 2020
1:30 p.m.

Telephonic Monthly Council Meeting
Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358
Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
1325 - 4th Avenue, Suite 1840
Seattle, Washington 98101
(206) 287-9066 | Seattle
(360) 534-9066 | Olympia
(800) 846-6989 | National
www.buellrealtime.com

1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 20, 2020
2 1:30 P.M.
3 --oOo--
4 P R O C E E D I N G S

6 CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is
7 Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Washington State Energy
8 Facility Site Evaluation Council calling our monthly
9 meeting to order today.

10 Ms. Mastro, will you call the roll?
11 MS. MASTRO: Good afternoon, Chair Drew.
12 Department of Commerce?

13 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.

14 MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?

15 Department of Fish and Wildlife?

16 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston's here.

17 MS. MASTRO: Department of Natural

18 Resources?

19 Utilities and Transportation Commission?

20 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.

21 MS. MASTRO: Chair?

22 CHAIR DREW: Yes.

23 MS. MASTRO: There's not a quorum at this

24 time. I'll call the roll for the EFSEC Staff.

25 CHAIR DREW: Okay.

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 Councilmembers:

- 3 KATHLEEN DREW, Chair
- 4 KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce
- 5 MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish and Wildlife
- 6 STACEY BREWSTER, Utilities and Transportation Commission
- 7 ROB DENGEL, Department of Ecology

8 Assistant Attorney General:

9 JON THOMPSON

10 EFSEC Staff:

- 11 SONIA BUMPUS
- 12 AMI KIDDER
- 13 AMY MOON
- 14 JOAN OWENS
- 15 KYLE OVERTON
- 16 TAMMY MASTRO

17 Also in Attendance:

- 18 JEREMY SMITH, Chehalis Generation Facility
- 19 BILL SHERMAN, Counsel for The Environment
- 20 LEE OTIS, Commerce
- 21 JENNIFER DIAZ, Puget Sound Energy
- 22 ERIC MELBARDIS, EDP Renewables
- 23 KIP WHITEHEAD, Energy Northwest
- 24 CHRIS SHERIN, Grays Harbor Energy Center
- 25 KAREN MCGAFFEY, Perkins Coie

1 MS. MASTRO: Before that, the Assistant
2 Attorney General?

3 MR. THOMPSON: This is Jon Thompson,
4 present.

5 MS. MASTRO: EFSEC Staff, Sonia Bumpus?

6 MS. BUMPUS: Sonia Bumpus.

7 MS. MASTRO: Ami Kidder?

8 MS. KIDDER: Present.

9 MS. MASTRO: Amy Moon?

10 MS. MOON: This is Amy Moon, I'm present.

11 Thank you, Tammy.

12 MS. MASTRO: Thank you, Amy.

13 Kyle Overton?

14 MR. OVERTON: Present.

15 MS. MASTRO: Joan Owens?

16 MS. OWENS: Present.

17 MS. MASTRO: Patty Betts?

18 Stew Henderson?

19 And for the record, this is Tammy Mastro.

20 Thank you, Chair Drew.

21 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Mastro, there are four of
22 us present for the Council. I think that makes a
23 quorum; am I wrong?

24 MS. MASTRO: You are not wrong, Chair Drew.
25 You are correct. I'm sorry. There is a quorum for the

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 10/20/2020

Page 5

1 EFSEC council.
 2 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 3 Is there anyone on the line who would like
 4 to introduce themselves at this point?
 5 MR. SMITH: This is Jeremy Smith for
 6 Chehalis Generation Facility.
 7 MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman from the Attorney
 8 General's Office as counsel for The Environment.
 9 MS. OTIS: This is Lee Otis working with
 10 Washington Commerce on siting energy development
 11 throughout the state.
 12 MS. DIAZ: Jennifer Diaz with Puget Sound
 13 Energy.
 14 MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis, EDP
 15 Renewables.
 16 MR. WHITEHEAD: Kip Whitehead, Energy
 17 Northwest.
 18 MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor
 19 Energy Center.
 20 MS. MCGAFFEY: Karen McGaffey, Perkins Coie.
 21 CHAIR DREW: And if our court reporter could
 22 introduce yourself as well.
 23 THE COURT REPORTER: This is Tayler
 24 Garlinghouse.
 25 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Page 6

1 With that, Councilmembers, you have in front
 2 of us a proposed agenda. Is there -- oh, in our chat,
 3 we have Rob Dengel, who is a Councilmember from Ecology
 4 who is also present.
 5 So in front of us is the proposed agenda.
 6 Is there a motion to adopt the agenda for today?
 7 MS. BREWSTER: Chair Drew, this is Stacey
 8 Brewster. I'll move that we adopt the agenda for today.
 9 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 10 Second?
 11 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston --
 12 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly --
 13 Sorry, Mike.
 14 Kate Kelly, second.
 15 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 16 Are there any changes to the agenda or any
 17 questions?
 18 Hearing none, all those in favor of adopting
 19 the proposed agenda, please say aye.
 20 COUNCILMEMBERS: AYE.
 21 CHAIR DREW: Agenda is adopted.
 22 Moving on to the meeting minutes from
 23 September 15th, our last meeting, 2020. Is there a
 24 motion to approve the meeting minutes from September
 25 15th?

Page 7

1 MR. DENGEL: This is Rob Dengel, motion to
 2 approve the minutes.
 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 4 Is there a second?
 5 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston, second.
 6 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 7 Are there any questions or changes or
 8 comments about the September 15th minutes?
 9 Hearing none, all those in favor to adopt --
 10 approve, excuse me, the September 15th minutes, please
 11 say aye.
 12 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
 13 CHAIR DREW: Any opposed?
 14 The meeting minutes for September 15th are
 15 adopted. Thank you.
 16 Moving now to our project updates. First is
 17 for the Kittitas Valley Wind Project -- Project, Eric
 18 Melbardis.
 19 MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,
 20 EFSEC Council, and Staff. This is Eric Melbardis with
 21 EDP Renewables speaking for the Kittitas Valley Wind
 22 Power Project. There was nothing nonroutine to report
 23 during the period.
 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 25 Moving on to the Wild Horse Wind Facility,

Page 8

1 Ms. Diaz?
 2 MS. DIAZ: Yes, thank you, Chair Drew,
 3 Councilmembers, and Staff. This is Jennifer Diaz with
 4 Puget Sound Energy representing the Wild Horse Wind
 5 Facility. I have nothing nonroutine to report for the
 6 month of September.
 7 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 8 Moving on to the Chehalis Generation
 9 Facility, Mr. Miller? I mean, Mr. Smith?
 10 MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,
 11 Council, and EFSEC Staff. This is Jeremy Smith, the
 12 environmental analyst representing Chehalis Generation
 13 Facility. At this time, we do not have any nonroutine
 14 items to report for the month of September.
 15 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.
 16 Moving on to the Desert Claim Wind Power
 17 Project, Ms. Moon?
 18 MS. MOON: Good afternoon, Council Chair
 19 Drew and Councilmembers. As just stated, this is Amy
 20 Moon providing an update for the Desert Claim Project.
 21 EFSEC -- EFSEC Staff continue to coordinate with Desert
 22 Claim and there are no project updates at this time.
 23 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.
 24 For the Columbia Solar Project, is that
 25 Ms. Kidder?

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 10/20/2020

Page 9

1 MS. KIDDER: Correct. Thank you. Good
 2 afternoon, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the
 3 record, my name is Ami Kidder. The certificate holder
 4 continues to update EFSEC Staff on their preconstruction
 5 activity. There are no further project updates at this
 6 time.
 7 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 8 WNP-1/4 and Columbia Generating Station, we
 9 can take those together, Mr. Whitehead?
 10 MR. WHITEHEAD: Good afternoon. This is Kip
 11 Whitehead, and I'll be reporting on both the WNP-1/4
 12 and the Columbia Generating Station. There are no
 13 project updates to report for the month of September.
 14 There is, however, a personnel change at Energy
 15 Northwest. Shannon Khounalla is no longer the
 16 environmental manager. The new environmental manager
 17 for Energy Northwest is Brad Barcus.
 18 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 19 MR. WHITEHEAD: And that's all I have to
 20 report.
 21 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 22 Moving on to Grays Harbor Energy Center,
 23 let's have an operational update, Mr. Sherin.
 24 MR. SHERIN: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,
 25 Councilmembers, and Staff. As far as our operations

Page 10

1 updates for the Grays Harbor Energy Center this month,
 2 we have no nonroutine items to report outside of the
 3 fact that we did submit responses to the additional
 4 information request for the -- our PSD amendment
 5 application during the month of September. And I'll --
 6 I'll also add that we have also submitted the same for
 7 the site certification amendment additional information
 8 requests during the month of October.
 9 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.
 10 So we will then move on to the SCA amendment
 11 update by Mr. Overton.
 12 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle
 13 Overton, the site specialist for Grays Harbor. As the
 14 Council is aware, during the last Council meeting, Grays
 15 Harbor Energy provided a brief presentation on their
 16 applications for amendment of their SCA and PSD air
 17 permit, which is the Prevention of Significant
 18 Deterioration air permit.
 19 Staff -- these two amendment requests are
 20 separate but related processes in order to get approval
 21 for their proposed facility upgrade. For the PSE
 22 amendment, Staff continues to work with Ecology
 23 contractors and facility to process the PSD amendment
 24 application and are in the process of drafting permit
 25 documents. Once the final draft is completed, the

Page 11

1 Council will be provided opportunity for further review
 2 prior to a decision to release the documents for public
 3 comment.
 4 For the SCA amendment, public meeting was
 5 held on October 6th to provide members of the public an
 6 opportunity to provide comments on the proposed SCA
 7 amendment. No comments were received by EFSEC during
 8 the open comment period nor during the public meeting.
 9 EFSEC Staff are currently reviewing the facility's
 10 response to a supplementary data request as Mr. Sherin
 11 referenced earlier, and we continue to work with the
 12 facility to process their SCA amendment application.
 13 And that's -- that's it for the update.
 14 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 15 Are there questions?
 16 Ms. Bumpus, are you going to give an
 17 overview of our next steps?
 18 MS. BUMPUS: Well, I can add some comments
 19 to -- to Mr. Overton's update. As Mr. Overton stated,
 20 we are looking at the responses to the data requests.
 21 Some of those responses are related to questions that
 22 Councilmembers asked at the first Council meeting where
 23 we talked about the SCA amendment. So Staff are going
 24 to be pulling those together to send to Councilmembers,
 25 and you'll probably get those today or tomorrow.

Page 12

1 So what I'd ask Councilmembers to do is to
 2 have a look at those responses provided by Grays Harbor
 3 and get in touch with myself or Ami Kidder if you have
 4 any follow-up questions. In the meantime, Staff will be
 5 doing the same. We'll be looking at the responses,
 6 seeing if we have any other follow-up questions. If we
 7 have everything that we need, which at this point,
 8 I'm -- I do not know the answer to that, if we have
 9 everything we need, we'll proceed with developing the
 10 SEPA determination and also developing a -- a Staff
 11 recommendation to the Council based off of the -- the
 12 SEPA and the review of the other requirements for
 13 consideration of the amendment.
 14 So I'm not sure of exactly the timeframe for
 15 that, but that is what I foresee being the next steps.
 16 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 17 Councilmembers, are there any questions?
 18 So do you expect, Ms. Bumpus, that it's
 19 likely that we will have the SEPA determination, then,
 20 before the November Council meeting as well as perhaps a
 21 draft or a Staff recommendation?
 22 MS. BUMPUS: Well, we -- that's certainly
 23 our goal. That's what we'd like to be able to do, yeah.
 24 So given that we have all the information we need, the
 25 Council doesn't have any other questions, we can move

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 10/20/2020

Page 13

1 forward.
 2 CHAIR DREW: Okay. So, Councilmembers, once
 3 you get the information about the questions that were
 4 asked, please feel free to contact Ms. Bumpus or
 5 Ms. Kidder or myself if you have -- have questions or
 6 would like additional information, primarily the EFSEC
 7 manager and the siting and compliance manager as they
 8 would be able to help make sure you get your questions
 9 answered. So please be on the lookout for that
 10 additional information.
 11 Moving on to the EFSEC manager project
 12 update on our agenda, Ms. Bumpus?
 13 MS. BUMPUS: All right. Good afternoon,
 14 Chair Drew and Councilmembers. So I wanted to just
 15 provide a general update on -- on something else that
 16 we've been doing and how. And I think it's a good
 17 time -- and I spoke with Chair Drew -- a good time to
 18 update Council on -- on how things are going with this
 19 project we've undertaken. So I'll provide you some
 20 context and history here first.
 21 In early to mid 2019, a team of EFSEC Staff
 22 began an in-house project which focused on streamlining
 23 specifically a solar application review process at
 24 EFSEC. The thinking being that if we could implement
 25 such a plan for a solar project, we might then be able

Page 14

1 to look at other types of energy facilities.
 2 The streamline application approach that was
 3 developed introduces the use of a new generic solar
 4 application form combined with an organized and phased
 5 review of certain parts of that form.
 6 So the first phase of review of the
 7 application form would begin with -- or prior, sorry,
 8 begin prior to the formal application submittal. The
 9 first phase of the review is a relatively cursory
 10 review, and it allows us sort of a checkpoint where we
 11 can go back to the prospective applicant and let them
 12 know if we see any -- any technical or key things
 13 missing from the application.
 14 In phase two of this approach that we have
 15 developed, the depth of -- of our review slightly
 16 increases, and we start to look at the more
 17 environmental impacts, the technical information,
 18 mitigation measures, and these kinds of things. It just
 19 generally gets more in-depth with this part of the
 20 review.
 21 And at this point, what EFSEC Staff would
 22 propose to do is enter into a financial agreement with
 23 the prospective applicant so that we can ensure that
 24 EFSEC costs that are incurred in the phase two are paid
 25 for through a cost reimbursement. So that's generally

Page 15

1 the approach.
 2 Now, there's some things we think that this
 3 does that helps to simplify the application process.
 4 The first thing is that an application form, a standard
 5 application form, that has been developed has been based
 6 off the application requirements that are in EFSEC's
 7 rules. But we've gone through and we've looked at those
 8 rules in detail and we've looked for -- for things, you
 9 know, requirements that really would not apply to a
 10 solar energy project, for instance. So we -- we think
 11 that this really helps a prospective applicant navigate
 12 the development of their application.
 13 There are lot of requirements in EFSEC's
 14 application rules, and as I said, many of them are not
 15 really relevant to a solar project. So we think that
 16 this -- this is a good approach for helping make that
 17 process a little bit easier and clearer.
 18 The second thing we think this does is by
 19 introducing an organized phase review approach, it gives
 20 EFSEC Staff an opportunity really to prescreen the
 21 application for completeness. It helps us to identify
 22 areas that may be lacking information earlier in the
 23 process, and it also allows us to communicate that
 24 information to the -- the application, the prospective
 25 applicant.

Page 16

1 The other thing we think that it does is it
 2 increases the amount of interaction with the prospective
 3 applicant earlier in the process. Particularly during
 4 phase one and phase two reviews, it gives EFSEC an
 5 opportunity to ensure the applicant understands our
 6 requirements, understands the application form and what
 7 it's asking, and it -- it I think also helps ultimately
 8 ensure that when we start the applica- -- the formal
 9 application review process, we feel pretty good about
 10 having the information we need that can be provided to
 11 the Council to make its decision.
 12 And above all, you know, the other thing
 13 that I think that this does, we hope that it does, is
 14 minimize the potential for surprises late in the siting
 15 process. This happens, you know, regardless of -- of
 16 planning. That can still happen, but the idea is to
 17 minimize those surprises as much as we can.
 18 So that's sort of the context and the
 19 background and a little bit about what this project
 20 entails. The project team is currently conducting a
 21 pilot test with a solar developer on a solar project.
 22 The developer agreed and we were very thankful that they
 23 did agree to try out our draft solar application form.
 24 We have had some discussions with them about the use of
 25 that form. We just completed what we call phase one and

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 10/20/2020

1 the applicant has so far been very pleased with both the
2 form and the process.

3 On our side of things, we -- we think that
4 we're getting the information that we need and utilizing
5 the form. And the other thing we think we're -- we're
6 getting here is a way of pointing out things that can
7 make this better for the next time around.

8 We are planning to have another meeting with
9 the applicant to go over the -- the next steps, and so
10 there will be more updates about this project as we move
11 forward. As of right now, the -- the draft solar
12 application form is -- is basically a -- you know, it's
13 a -- it's a moving target, we're continuing to improve
14 it. And once we're complete with this pilot, we'll be
15 looking at everything that we've learned from it to see
16 what we might want to change or improve.

17 And so that concludes my update on the solar
18 application streamlining project.

19 CHAIR DREW: Let's pause for a second, yes,
20 and see if the Councilmembers have any questions. And I
21 want to thank the Staff. This really came out of our
22 own review of how we can better perform our application
23 process with work from many members on the Staff, but
24 primarily Stew Henderson, who looked at it from the lean
25 perspective, and Patty Betts, who looked at it from the

1 with once we have gone through the process and we see
2 what tweaks we need to make, it's -- it's definitely a
3 possibility we might want to do some kind of rule
4 change. But our goal has been to work within what the
5 rules will currently allow.

6 MS. KELLY: Thank you.

7 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, this is Mike
8 Livingston. I have a question for Sonia.

9 CHAIR DREW: Sure, go ahead.

10 MR. LIVINGSTON: First of all, I will echo
11 what Chair said, was that it's great that you guys are
12 working on this. I think it's helpful. There are a lot
13 of -- a lot of at least early discussions across Eastern
14 Washington for solar projects, so setting EFSEC up for a
15 streamline review process makes a lot of sense.

16 I'm curious -- my question is, I'm curious
17 if you could just provide an example or two of some of
18 the rules that apply to solar projects that currently
19 exist that you're working to streamline.

20 MS. BUMPUS: Well, so just kind of thinking
21 about what the rule requirements are in our application,
22 our application asks -- or sorry, our application
23 requirements outline quite a bit of -- of information
24 for things that have to do or sort of are consistent
25 with the SEPA resource area. So one of the things

1 SEPA perspective, and Ami Kidder and I know Amy Moon and
2 Kyle Overton. Pretty much everyone on the Staff has had
3 some type of participation and review role, and I just
4 think it really speaks to the interest in continual --
5 continual improvement, which since this week is the lean
6 conference, I'm really -- really proud of our Staff for
7 that.

8 So are there -- are there questions from
9 Councilmembers?

10 MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, this is Kate Kelly.

11 CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.

12 MS. KELLY: I -- and I may have missed it,
13 Sonia, but did any -- does actual implementation of any
14 of the changes you are considering, does that require
15 rule change rulemaking or is this all kind of something
16 that can be done just behind the scenes so to speak?

17 MS. BUMPUS: Thank you, Councilmember Kelly.
18 That's a really excellent question. So our -- our
19 approach to this really was to work within what the
20 rules allow without any changes, and so this approach
21 that I've outlined really tries to do that. Now, that's
22 sort of what our thinking was as we had this being a
23 developing concept, but as you know, when you start to
24 actually implement the concept, things change.

25 And so I would just caveat that response

1 that's different about EFSEC is that we require that
2 they answer all of those questions that are in our rules
3 outlined under the application requirements, but we also
4 require a SEPA checklist.

5 So one of the things that the form does for
6 a solar facility is it -- is -- is it basically combines
7 the requirements and takes out sort of that redundancy.
8 The way that it's been done in the past is if you apply
9 to EFSEC, you have to submit your application consistent
10 with our requirements and our rules, but also a SEPA
11 checklist. And oftentimes we're reviewing those two and
12 finding, you know, certain information in one or the
13 other and it can make the review more time -- time
14 intensive, it can also open up the -- the -- you know, a
15 possibility of mistakes. So that's one of the things
16 that would be different moving forward.

17 Now, in terms of, you know, specific rules
18 that, for instance, wouldn't apply to a solar facility,
19 we do have a lot of questions that have to do with air
20 emissions, green- -- greenhouse gas, air permits, and
21 things like that. And so those are some examples of
22 where we have a lot of -- a lot of information that
23 we're asking for that an applicant would be reviewing
24 and none of those things would apply to a solar
25 facility.

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 10/20/2020

Page 21

1 MR. LIVINGSTON: Great. All right. Thank
 2 you.
 3 MS. BUMPUS: Does that answer your question?
 4 MR. LIVINGSTON: It sure does. Thank you.
 5 CHAIR DREW: I would also like to add that
 6 as the Staff worked in the early stages of developing
 7 this application, the first -- well, actually, we went
 8 into two groups of people. One was all of our contacts
 9 within the State agencies that usually review our
 10 projects. So I know from all of your agencies, we did
 11 have Staff that worked with us on that review level give
 12 input early on in the process.
 13 And secondly, with primarily volunteers
 14 through Renewables Northwest on the industry side to
 15 take a look at what we were doing as well. So as it was
 16 developed, we tried to draw on all of our partners in
 17 developing that as well.
 18 Are there other questions from
 19 Councilmembers?
 20 MR. DENGEL: Not so much a question but a
 21 comment, that this is really exciting to see what this
 22 end product is going to look like and how it will affect
 23 efficiency in the future with what is substantial
 24 permitting information that is submitted. So look
 25 forward to that.

Page 22

1 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 2 Thank you, we're -- Ms. Bumpus, and we're
 3 really pleased with the progress that's been made so far
 4 and look forward to future reports on this subject.
 5 Would you like to address the cost allocation now?
 6 MS. BUMPUS: Yes, Chair Drew. So as we do
 7 at the beginning of every quarter, we have our second
 8 quarter nondirect cost allocation updates that I'd like
 9 to provide to the Council.
 10 For Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project, 9
 11 percent; Wild Horse Wind Power Project, 9 percent;
 12 Columbia Generating Station, 24 percent; Columbia Solar,
 13 8 percent; WNP-1, 3 percent; Whistling Ridge Energy
 14 Project, 3 percent; Grays Harbor 1&2, 15 percent;
 15 Chehalis Generation Project, 12 percent; Desert Claim
 16 Wind Power Project, 8 percent; and Grays Harbor Energy
 17 3&4, 9 percent.
 18 And that concludes my cost allocation update
 19 for second quarter.
 20 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 21 So with that, there is no other business
 22 before us. Councilmembers, please be on the lookout for
 23 additional information to answer your questions about
 24 the SCA amendment, and we'll look forward to hearing
 25 additional SEPA information and recommendations from the

Page 23

1 Staff.
 2 With that, our meeting is adjourned. Thank
 3 you very much.
 4 (Adjourned at 2:01 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 24

1 CERTIFICATE
 2
 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON
 4 COUNTY OF THURSTON
 5
 6 I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
 7 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do certify
 8 that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
 9 the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14 
 15 Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25



EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC
Report Date: November 00, 2020
Reporting Period: October 2020
Site Contact: Chris Sherin
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

- GHEC generated 318,144MWh during the month and 2,075,912MWh YTD.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

- There were no emissions, outfall, or storm water deviations, during the month.
- Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports were submitted to staff.
- Quarterly WETT testing results submitted to EFSEC.
- AOP Semi-Annual Report submitted to EFSEC.
- Annual Stormwater inspection completed.
- Standard monthly reporting - Outfall Discharge Monitor Report (DMR), Fuel Gas sample, submitted to EFSEC & WA DOE. State Quarterly EDR submitted to EFSEC, ORCAA & EPA.

Safety Compliance

-None.

Current or Upcoming Projects

-Gray Harbor Energy LLC submitted additional information to EFSEC staff in response to follow up requests on the SCA amendment application.

Other

-Ongoing COVID-19 mitigation efforts at the site.

-

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update Format

Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project

Operator: EDP Renewables

Report Date: November 9 2020

Reporting Period: October 2020

Site Contact: Eric Melbardis

Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)

- Power generated: 20,745 MWh
 - Wind speed: 5.3 m/s
 - Capacity Factor: 27.63%
-

Environmental Compliance

- No incidents

Safety Compliance

- Nothing to report

Current or Upcoming Projects

- Nothing to report

Other

- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints

EDP Renewables has amicably terminated its service and maintenance agreement with Suzlon. We have taken service and maintenance in house by hiring all 6 of the former Suzlon technicians. During this process, we have lost access to a few of the proprietary Suzlon reporting systems that we relied upon to provide these updates to EFSEFC. Please bear with me as we work to recreate internal systems that can query this data the way EFSEC wants it displayed.

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Wild Horse Wind Facility
Operator: Puget Sound Energy
Report Date: November 5, 2020
Report Period: October 2020
Site Contact: Jennifer Diaz
SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

October generation totaled 76,996 MWh for an average capacity factor of 37.96%.

Environmental Compliance

Nothing to report.

Safety Compliance

No lost-time accidents or safety injuries/illnesses.

Current or Upcoming Projects

Nothing to report.

Other

Nothing to report.

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: October 7, 2020
Reporting Period: September 2020
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

- Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
 - 271,897 MW-hrs generated in October for a year-to-date 2,064,200 MW-hrs and a capacity factor of 57.6%.
-

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

- Permit status if any changes.
 - No changes.
- Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
 - No issues or updates.
- Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
 - None.
- Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
 - None.
- Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
 - 2020 Quarter 3 Emissions Report
 - 2020 Quarter 3 Waste Water Discharge Monitoring Report
 - 2020 Title V Semi-Annual Compliance Certification.

Safety Compliance

- Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
 - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 1920 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects

- Planned site improvements.
 - No planned changes.
- Upcoming permit renewals.
 - No upcoming renewals.
- Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
 - No issues or updates.

Other

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- Nothing to report.

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).

- Nothing to report.

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).

- Nothing to report.

Respectfully,



Mark A. Miller--P75451
Manager, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility

Desert Claim Wind Power Project

November project update

[Place holder]

Columbia Solar Project

November project update

[Place holder]

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – October 2020

Facility Name: **Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)**

Operator: **Energy Northwest**

Report Date: **November 4, 2020**

Reporting Period: **October 2020**

Site Contact: **Kip Whitehead**

Facility SCA Status: (Pre-construction/Construction/Operational/Decommission): **Operational**

CGS Net Electrical Generation for October 2020: 858,556 MW-Hrs

-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.

Only routine reports submitted for the month

Current or Upcoming Projects

-Planned site improvements potentially related to SCA conditions, EFSEC-issued permits, or future permitting needs.

- **Energy Northwest recently signed a new lease agreement with the Department of Energy.**
- **The new lease agreement requires the Industrial Development Complex (IDC) located at WNP 1/4 to no longer use groundwater as its water source by July 2022.**
- **The IDC is planning to use surface water from the Columbia River as its water source and will be installing a new water filtration system at the site.**

Other

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- **Pandemic Response: Benton-Franklin County is currently under phase 2. Energy Northwest began a slow transition of non-essential employees back to the facilities in a reduced capacity to ensure social distancing measures are maintained.**