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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR DREW: Good afternoon. This is
Kathleen Drew, Chair of the Washington State Energy
Facility Site Evaluation Council, and I'm calling our
meeting of June 16th to order.

MS. MASTRO: Is there a quorum of the EFSEC
Council?

CHAIR DREW: Yes.

MS. MASTRO: There is a quorum of the EFSEC
Council.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Next I will ask for the EFSEC Staff to
introduce themselves.

MS. BUMPUS: This is Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC
manager.

MS. KIDDER: This is Ami Kidder, EFSEC
siting and compliance manager.

MR. OVERTON: This is Kyle Overton, EFSEC
site specialist.

MS. MOON: This is Amy Moon, EFSEC site
specialist.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Is there anyone else on the call who would
like to introduce themselves at this point?

MR. SMITH: This is Jeremy Smith for
Chehalis Power.

MS. DIAZ: Jennifer Diaz for Puget Sound
Energy.

MR. MCMANAHAN: Tim McMahan, Stoel Rives.

MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman from the Attorney
General's Office as counsel for The Environment.

MR. SHERIN: Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor
CHAIR DREW: Mr. Dengel, thank you.

MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel.

CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?

MS. BREWSTER: Chair Drew, I'll move that we approve the proposed agenda for today's meeting.

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?

 Anyone else?

CHAIR DREW: Approve the agenda?

CHAIR DREW: The minutes are adopted.

CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The agenda is adopted.

Moving on to the meeting minutes. Is there a motion to approve the minutes from May 19th, 2020?

CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?

MR. DENGEL: Second.

CHAIR DREW: And that was?

MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel.

CHAIR DREW: Mr. Dengel, thank you.

CHAIR DREW: Are there any additions or corrections? If not, all those in favor of approving and adopting the agenda for today, please say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The agenda is adopted.

Are there any comments on the draft minutes? Hearing none, all those in favor of approving the minutes from May 19th, 2020, please say "aye."

COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIR DREW: Opposed? The minutes are approved. Thank you.

Now moving on to our facility updates.

First is the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.

MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair Drew,

MR. MELBARDIS: This is Eric Melbardis, from the May report, there is -- I don't -- I have no nonroutine items to report.

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?

Hearing none, let's move on to the next item on our agenda, which is the Title V update, Mr. Overton.

CHAIR DREW: Any questions?

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Apologies. Good afternoon, Chair Drew, Council, and Staff. This is Jeremy Smith, the environmental analyst for Chehalis Generation Facility. I have no abnormal reports for the month of May.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Questions?

CHAIR DREW: Any questions?

Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Now we have Grays Harbor Energy Center, Mr. Sherin.

MR. SHERIN: Good afternoon, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the May report, there is -- I don't -- I have no nonroutine items to report.

CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?

Hearing none, let's move to the next item on our agenda, which is the Title V update, Mr. Overton.

MS. MOON: Sorry, this is Amy Moon. Can I interject? Could we go back to Desert Claim and Columbia Solar?

CHAIR DREW: Oh, did I miss that? I'm sorry.

MS. MOON: Yeah.

CHAIR DREW: I was looking at the documents as they came up rather than the agenda. We can do that.
CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Kidder.

Are there any questions? Okay. Moving on to Columbia Solar Project, Ms. Kidder?

MS. KIDDER: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Drew and Councilmembers. This is Ami Kidder. EFSEC Staff are continuing to coordinate with the certificate holder as they refine their site design plans. As design plans become more concrete, Staff expects submission of site-specific -- site-specific facility plans to follow shortly. Staff will continue to keep the Council updated as we progress. Are there any questions?

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Kidder. Now we will move on to the Title V update with Mr. Overton.

MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is Kyle Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Grays Harbor facility. Monday, June 8th, the Council received the proposed final drafts for the Grays Harbor Title V Air Operating Permit and technical support document, which is available in your packets. These documents were developed with the input from EFSEC Staff, ORCAA, the EPA, and the facility. During this process -- process, opportunity for comment was provided to members of the public and other interested parties.

We received one comment on the draft permit, which was determined to be outside of the scope of the permit itself. The proposed final draft of the permit and supporting documents have been updated to include the permit number and end dates as necessary, but are otherwise unchanged from the drafts previously reviewed and approved by the Council for public comment.

At this time, EFSEC Staff would like to request that the Council vote to approve the permit and supports documents for issuance. Should the Council choose to do so, the permit will become effective tomorrow, June 17th, 2020. Any questions?

MR. DENGEL: This is Rob Dengel with the Department of Ecology.

CHAIR DREW: Yes, Mr. Dengel?

MR. DENGEL: Yeah, so I had a question regarding the -- basically the triggering event for the AOP, because it looks like they were operating since 2008. And I was just wondering, was there something that happened between now and then that -- did they increase their emissions from that point or were they always in the status of developing a permit?

MR. OVERTON: Yeah, this has been a very long process. Part of the issue earlier was getting some of the state and federal requirements in line for their PSD, their potential for certificate deterioration, I believe, permit, and that had to be resolved before we could issue the AOP. So once that was taken care of --

(Brief interruption.)

MR. OVERTON: So there was always the requirement, it just was a long process in getting that finished.

Did I answer your question?

MR. DENGEL: Okay. That -- that's what I need to know. Thank you.

MR. OVERTON: Mm-hmm.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any other questions?

MR. DENGEL: do you want to make a motion to approve the Title V Permit?

MR. DENGEL: Yes, so a motion to approve the Title V Permit for Grays Harbor Energy Center as written.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Is there a second?

MR. SIEMANN: This is Dan Siemann, I'll second that.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Are there any further discussions on this permit?

I think that what we'll do is call the roll since I think that's a better way to vote in this situation.

So, Ms. Mastro, will you call the roll, please?

MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?

MS. KELLY: I vote to approve.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?

MR. DENGEL: Vote to approve.

MS. MASTRO: Fish and Wildlife?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Natural Resources?

MR. SIEMANN: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Utilities and Transportation Commission?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 13</th>
<th>Page 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>current fish collection conditions for CGS. The</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>proposed Resolution 347 is specific to the Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Generating Station and updates the conditions of fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Resolution 347 was prepared in consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>consistent with current Fish and Wildlife scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>collection permits. Resolution 347 will serve in lieu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>of an annual fish and wildlife permit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The proposed Resolution 347 sets forth the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>fish sampling conditions including collection and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>handling methods, fish species and numbers, and annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>reporting. Resident fish including smallmouth bass,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>walleye, yellow perch, common carp, channel catfish,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>brown bullhead, yellow bullhead, and the largescale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>sucker collection is authorized -- and large scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>sucker, I'm sorry, the fish collection is authorized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>under the proposed Resolution 347 within the Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>River. Collection of sensitive species, Steelhead and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Chinook salmon, will be at the Columbia River Ringold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fish Hatchery near Station 30 and at the Snake River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Lyons Ferry Fish Hatchery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Preparation of the draft resolution included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>a review of the National Marine Fishery Service, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>NMFS, March 10th, 2017, Endangered Species Act, Section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 14</th>
<th>Page 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>record, I am Amy Moon, a member of the EFSEC Staff. I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>want to introduce you a proposed resolution,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Resolution 347, authorizing fish collection for the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program at the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Columbia Generating Station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>At the May 2020 Council meeting, EFSEC Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>presented the history on the annual fish collection at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>the Columbia Generating Station that is a -- that is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>required under the Radiological Environmental Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Program, which is known at REMP. The REMP is a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>requirement of the Site Certification Agreement in which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>annual fish monitoring is conducted to evaluate the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>gamisotopes found in Columbia River resident fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>species at Station 30, which is River Mile 351 to 354 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Benton and Franklin Counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Station 30 is located near Outfall 001 where</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>the authorized National Pollutant Discharge Elimination,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>otherwise known at the NPDES Permit, wastewater is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>discharged from the Columbia Generating Station to the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Columbia River.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Resolution 157 originally authorized fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>collection in 1979 at CGS as well as at other facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>that were never constructed. The new proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>resolution, Resolution 347 that you have in your June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Council packet, extends Resolution 157 and provides the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7A2, biological opinion, and the Magnuson-Stevens |
Fishery Conservation and Management Act essential fish |
habitat response for renewing the Nuclear Regulatory |
Commission operating license or the Columbia Generating |
Station. |

The biological opinion examined effects on |
threatened and endangered species as a result of the CGS |
operation including fish collection for the REMP, intake |
of cooling water from the Columbia River, and effluent |
discharge monitoring. The biological opinion determined |
relicensing the CGS, quote, is not likely to jeopardize |
the continued existence of Upper Columbia River spring |
run Chinook salmon and Upper Columbia River Steelhead, |
and is not likely to result in a destruction or adverse |
modification of the designated critical habitat, end |
quote. |

The fish collection method including the |
proposed Resolution 347 follow the biological opinion |
limits. The maximum number of nonlethal take or salvage |
of Chinook salmon is two and ten for Steelhead. Energy |
Northwest has successfully captured all the necessary |
resident fish in the Columbia River in the past by |
angling, and electrofishing is not planned. However, if |
electrofishing is required, the proposed Resolution 347 |
provides conditions for electrofishing to minimize |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 17</th>
<th>Page 18</th>
<th>Page 19</th>
<th>Page 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>impacts to Chinook salmon and Steelhead that are in alignment with the biological opinion. The collection of sensitive species, i.e. Chinook salmon and Steelhead, will be from two hatcheries, as stated earlier, thus minimizing impacts to Endangered Species Act listed species. In response to a question posed by the EFSEC Council at the May 2020 Council meeting regarding tribal consultation, NMFS notified potentially affected tribes during preparation of the biological opinion. The Nasburt Tribe and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Nation were notified. NMFS discussed their draft findings with the Naz Perce Tribe and the Umatilla Tribe. Neither requested further discussions or government-to-government consultation. Does the Council have any questions at this time? MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, I -- I do have a comment and a recommendation. This is Mike Livingston with Fish and Wildlife. Hello? CHAIR DREW: Am I back on now? Can you hear me? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Chair Drew, we can hear. CHAIR DREW: Okay. So I had turned my mic off so that we could give whatever broadband width we needed to Ms. Moon. So yes, Mr. Livingston, what I'd like to do is to have us bring a motion up to approve the resolution and then have a conversation about the concern that -- that you have raised to our Staff. So, Ms. Aitken, would you please put the page 3 of the resolution? MS. AITKEN: Yes, sorry, I -- think we're -- CHAIR DREW: Between page 3 and page 4 as we talk about that. And then, Mr. Livingston, if you'd like to put a motion forward and we'll get a second and then we'll talk about your recommendation. MR. LIVINGSTON: Okay. So, Chair, I move that the Council approve the proposed Resolution 347 on the condition that EFSEC Staff amend the resolution to remove Condition No. 4 and edit the following condition numbers to reflect the deletion. CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you. Is there a second? MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster, I'll second. CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And now we'll have a discussion before we vote so everybody can hear what the issue is, but I thought it was better to get that up. So Mr. Livingston is recommending -- so, Ms. Aitken, if you can go to page 4 there. Next page. There we go. The deletion of the fourth item in the resolution. Mr. Livingston, would you like to speak to your motion? MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, thanks, Chair. So during review of the resolution, I noticed on page 4, Condition No. 4, that the migration timing for Upper Columbia River Steelhead is -- is not accurate. I confirmed that with our district fish file just in the area that the actual period runs late June through mid October. So we wanted to make sure that we have the right window. But then also during review, we noticed on page 3, Condition No. 2, that the resolution provides Columbia Generating Station ability to nonlethally handle or salvage Steelhead and spring Chinook. So it's my opinion that Condition No. 4 is not needed and can be completed from the resolution. CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Are there questions or comments from other Councilmembers?</td>
<td>MS. BREWSTER: This is Stacey Brewster. So I'm wondering, rather than amending No. 4 with the appropriate date, you're recommending withdrawing it entirely because of the safe handling listed in No. 2; is that correct? MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, that's correct. Yeah. CHAIR DREW: Any other questions, comments? MR. SIEMANN: This is Dan Siemann from DNR, and I guess I -- I'm leaning towards keeping it in, No. 4 in, but perhaps removing the dates. And my thinking here is one, it's an important statement to make; but number two, that due to climate change, the dates will continue to change and -- in terms of the run. And so -- but I think that we could just specify the first part without the dates and -- and be successful. MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair Drew, this is -- I'd like to respond to that. Just part of the reason I made the recommendation to remove it was it wasn't clear to me when the sampling was going to occur. And -- and so I was trying to provide maximum flexibility for Columbia Generating Station staff and then also the fact that the -- this is nonlethal take or salvage, so there wouldn't be a long term impact on the population. I do see what Councilman Siemann's suggesting could</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 21</td>
<td>Page 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (<a href="http://www.novapdf.com">http://www.novapdf.com</a>)</td>
<td>2. I'll ask either Ms. Bumpus or Ms. Moon to talk about the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. history of this development of this resolution, and I</td>
<td>3. 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. think they may have also spoken with you,</td>
<td>4. Mr. Livingston, to make this -- to respond to your</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mr. Livingston, to make this -- to respond to your</td>
<td>5. conditions for this recommendation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. So, Ms. Bumpus, do you want to give the</td>
<td>6. Council some background on this?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Council some background on this?</td>
<td>7. MS. BUMPUS: Well, I think before I -- I add</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. MS. BUMPUS: Well, I think before I -- I add</td>
<td>8. anything in at this point, I'm going to defer to Amy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. anything in at this point, I'm going to defer to Amy</td>
<td>9. Moon. Amy Moon has worked very closely with the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Moon. Amy Moon has worked very closely with the</td>
<td>10. Department of Fish and Wildlife and has reviewed the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Department of Fish and Wildlife and has reviewed the</td>
<td>11. federal documents and a lot of the historical documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. federal documents and a lot of the historical documents</td>
<td>12. related to the old Resolution 157. So I'm going to give</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. related to the old Resolution 157. So I'm going to give</td>
<td>13. Amy Moon an opportunity to -- to add what she can to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Amy Moon an opportunity to -- to add what she can to</td>
<td>14. help the Council with this decision.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. help the Council with this decision.</td>
<td>15. Amy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Amy?</td>
<td>16. MS. MOON: Thank you, Sonia, and thank you,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. MS. MOON: Thank you, Sonia, and thank you,</td>
<td>17. Chair Drew. So it is true that Chinook and Steelhead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Chair Drew. So it is true that Chinook and Steelhead</td>
<td>18. are pretty much present year-round. There's a yearly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. are pretty much present year-round. There's a yearly</td>
<td>19. migration or yearly migrant time that the federal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. migration or yearly migrant time that the federal</td>
<td>20. government identified, there's an adult migrant time,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. government identified, there's an adult migrant time,</td>
<td>21. and then there's a spawning and varying time. And --</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. and then there's a spawning and varying time. And --</td>
<td>22. and when you combine all of those, they extend the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. and when you combine all of those, they extend the</td>
<td>23. entire year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. entire year.</td>
<td>24. So I agree with what Chair -- or what</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. So I agree with what Chair -- or what</td>
<td>25. Councilmember Livingston is saying, that it's confusing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 22</th>
<th>Page 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. if they're not within the migratory window? I mean,</td>
<td>1. to have item 4 when item 2 talks about that the handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. would it be before they're heading upstream? I mean, I</td>
<td>2. of both the Chinook and the Steelhead may occur and then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. guess it would make sense from a power generation</td>
<td>3. there's a -- a nonlethal take or salvage number with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. standpoint. I'm missing something here, so if anybody</td>
<td>4. that. Because when you -- when you're looking for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. could help clue me in on that, I would -- I would deeply</td>
<td>5. recovery of sport fish, fish that people may be eating,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. appreciate it.</td>
<td>6. that is generally collected during the sport fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. MR. DENGEL: Okay. I -- I think I've got a</td>
<td>7. season, and it would be much more successful at that,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. stupid question here, so I'm going to apologize. This</td>
<td>8. which then means that -- that -- that we are within some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. is Rob Dengel with Ecology. I'm looking at this, and</td>
<td>9. of the -- the migrant window, but -- but there's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I -- and so the -- the item No. 4, so I know there's</td>
<td>10. conditions around that on how you would have to -- how</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. debate on when that window occurs. You have spring run</td>
<td>11. Energy Northwest would have to handle those sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. population, I mean, they're salmon, they -- they spawn</td>
<td>12. species, and then there's reporting requirements on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. and they die. I believe that whole cycle is migratory,</td>
<td>13. that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. so when would they biologically grab the -- the salmon</td>
<td>14. And so these requirements are not only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>15. impressed upon Energy Northwest and Columbia Generating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>16. Station by the federal government, but also within this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>17. resolution, even without the item No. 4. Is there</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>18. anything else I can answer?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>19. CHAIR DREW: Mr. Siemann, I'd -- I'd like to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>20. go back to you and see if this resolves the questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>21. and concerns that you have.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>22. MR. SIEMANN: I guess the question I have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>23. now is what was the original reason for putting No. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>24. in, what is the genesis of that, and what is being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>25. missed by -- by deleting it or what is being lost by</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAIR DREW: Oh, go ahead.

MS. BUMPUS: And --

CHAIR DREW: Any other questions --

22 take out item 4, we will not have to continue to update where this time might change over the years, and if we

and like Mr. Siemann said, I think it was Mr. Siemann, their relicensing with that biological opinion. And --

and varying are what Energy Northwest has to look at these life stage windows, the migrant times of spawning

Amy Moon again. You're correct on that. And these -- these life stage windows, the migrant times of spawning

and -- and ultimately, you know, we -- we realized that we had not itemized all of the applicable fish windows for the different life stages for these species. And as

Amy Moon said earlier, if you were to apply and itemize all of the -- all of the windows for these species throughout their life stages, you would essentially be left with really no -- no window of opportunity for the

sampling. So I -- I did want to just add that that was another reason where we -- we thought that that -- or I think that Councilmember Livingston's recommendation is -- is a good one because the -- this -- this item of No. 4 does not include all of the windows that would be applicable. But again, if you were to apply all those, if I understand it correctly, and, Ms. Moon, please

interject if I'm incorrect, but that you would be leaving Energy Northwest with really no opportunity to do the sampling or rather a very narrow window where they may not be successful in doing the sampling.

MS. MOON: Yeah, thank you, Sonia. This is Amy Moon again. You're correct on that. And these -- these life stage windows, the migrant times of spawning and varying are what Energy Northwest has to look at what the federal government put in documentation for their relicensing with that biological opinion. And --

and where this time might change over the years, and if we take out item 4, we will not have to continue to update the resolution for that shift.

CHAIR DREW: Any other questions --

MS. BUMPUS: And --

CHAIR DREW: Oh, go ahead.
Livingston, I think -- I think we could probably amend a motion that I made to include adding the annual time frame to No. 7, and hopefully we could vote on it at that point.

CHAIR DREW: Okay.

MR. LIVINGSTON: That -- that's my -- that would be my suggestion.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you.

Other comments?

MS. KELLY: Madame Chair, this is Kate Kelly. I -- that would address my concern as well.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Any other comments?

MS. MOON: Chair Drew, this is Amy Moon. I just wanted to clarify that that window, the annual permitting time period is July 1st through June 30th of the next year.

CHAIR DREW: And -- and that is found the annual permit would -- from what source?

MS. MOON: That was from Energy Northwest's application and from the scientific collection permit that was -- that was prepared by Fish and Wildlife. So if EFSEC didn't have the authority to issue a permit in lieu of, a July 1st through June 30th collection permit would have -- would have been issued by Fish and Wildlife on an annual basis.

CHAIR DREW: And since what we do is in lieu of other agency permits with the information provided by our Fish and Wildlife contract, then that is the date that you would put into this document; is that correct?

MS. MOON: That is correct.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Thank you.

So we have a motion on the floor that adopts the -- approves the resolution eliminating the No. 4 paragraph and adding the time period to No. 7 to be annually between July 1st and June 30th. Is that -- that's what I hear the motion is on the floor.

Is there a second to that?

MR. LIVINGSTON: This is Council Livingston, I will second that. Can I second my own motion?

CHAIR DREW: No, you would need someone -- let's find somebody else.

MS. KELLY: This is Councilmember Kelly. I'll second that motion.

CHAIR DREW: Okay. Then are other -- are there additional comments from Councilmembers before we vote?

Okay. Ms. Mastro, will you call the roll?

MS. MASTRO: Department of Commerce?

MS. KELLY: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Ecology?

MR. DENGEL: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Fish and Wildlife?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Department of Natural Resources?

MR. SIEMANN: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Utilities and Transportation Commission?

MS. BREWSTER: Aye.

MS. MASTRO: Chair Drew, your vote?

CHAIR DREW: Aye.

There -- it is a unanimous vote to approve.

CHAIR DREW: Thank you. The resolution is adopted.

I want to say thank you to the Councilmembers, all of you with very thoughtful review and help to make this a better product, and I really appreciate your eyes and thoughts on it. So I'm very, very appreciative of that as well of all the work that the Staff has done on it as well. So thank you.

And we will make those corrections before I sign the resolution. Okay. Let's go back to our agenda. And if there is nothing else for us to discuss, which it seems we are at the end of our agenda, our meeting is adjourned. Thank you all.

(Adjourned at 2:15 p.m.)
CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON

I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

___________________________________
Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project
Monthly Operations Report
June 2020

Project Status Update

Production Summary:
Power generated: 36,744 MWh
Wind speed: 10 m/s
Capacity Factor: 50.7%

Safety:
No incidents

Compliance:
Project is in compliance

Sound:
No complaints

Shadow Flicker:
No complaints

Environmental:
No incidents
Project Status Update

Production Summary:
Power generated: 43,759 MWh
Wind speed: 10 m/s
Capacity Factor: 58.3%

Safety:
No incidents

Compliance:
Project is in compliance

Sound:
No complaints

Shadow Flicker:
No complaints

Environmental:
No incidents
Operations & Maintenance
June generation totaled 71,650 MWh for an average capacity factor of 36.51%.

Environmental Compliance
Due to ongoing public health concerns surrounding the Coronavirus pandemic, the 2020 annual Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) update was provided to TAC members via email in lieu of an in-person meeting.

Safety Compliance
No lost-time accidents or safety injuries/illnesses.

Current or Upcoming Projects
Nothing to report.

Other
The Renewable Energy Center (visitor center) remained closed to the public during the month of June due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Access to project buildings is restricted to only essential employees and contractors, to ensure safe and continued operation of the facility.
Wild Horse Wind Facility
Technical Advisory Committee
2020 Update

Completing eagle surveys with drone

PUGET SOUND ENERGY
Sage-Grouse Nesting & Brood-Rearing Habitat Improvements
The 2019 sage-grouse habitat monitoring report is attached for your review (Attachment 1). PSE developed this 4th annual monitoring report with the data collected by Beck Botanical Services, the third party contractor that completed field work starting in 2018. Monitoring of the sage-grouse nesting and brood-rearing habitat area will continue through 2020 in accordance with the Sage-Grouse Nesting & Brood-Rearing Habitat Restoration and Management Plan. After the conclusion of the 2020 monitoring, we will evaluate the effectiveness of the management actions, with consideration of the best available science and up-to-date information on sage-grouse populations in Washington State.

Hunting
No changes to the hunting program are anticipated for the 2020 season. Permits for the upcoming hunting season will be available to reserve soon on WDFW’s Hunt by Reservation website at https://privatelands.wdfw.wa.gov/private_lands/.

Grazing Management
The 2019 Grazing Monitoring Report prepared by Tip Hudson is attached for your review (Attachment 2). This report includes monitoring results from the 2019 utilization measurements and observations in the Wild Horse paddocks. If you have any questions about this report please contact Tip Hudson at hudsont@wsu.edu.

Also attached is the final published Rancher-to-Rancher Case Study about the Stingley cow-calf operation titled “Grazing Management That Achieves Multiple-Use Goals: Russ Stingley”. This case study provides an excellent overview and history of the Coordinated Resource Management (CRM) plan and the collaborative approach to achieve cattle production and wildlife habitat goals.

Eagle Conservation Plan and Permit
As reported to the TAC on 12/13/19, the United States Fish & Wildlife Service (Service) issued a 5 Year Eagle Incidental Take Permit (ETP) for Wild Horse on 11/05/19. This is the first ETP issued by the Service for a wind project in Washington State. The permit conditions are consistent with the Eagle Conservation Plan (ECP) and the Service’s goal of maintaining stable or increasing breeding populations at both the eagle management unit and local population scales. The conditions PSE must comply with include the following:

- **Avoidance and Minimization Measures** (consistent with the Site Certification Agreement)
- **Compensatory Mitigation** – PSE will modify power poles consistent with APLIC Suggested Practices for Reducing Avian Electrocutions, to be completed by January 31, 2021. Power poles were selected using a risk assessment method approved by the Service, and the number, location, and retrofitting methods have been determined in consultation with the Service. The total number of poles were determined using the Service’s Resource Equivalency Analysis model for the number of golden eagle fatalities estimated to occur during the permit tenure, with consideration of the pole type (equipment/non-equipment, retrofit type (cover-up/reframing), and retrofit longevity.
(10 years/30 years, respectively). Upon completion, power pole retrofits will be inspected by a qualified individual to ensure modifications were completed correctly.

- **Fatality Monitoring**
  - Incidental monitoring during site operations throughout the permit tenure (consistent with the Wildlife Incident Reporting and Handling System)
  - Formal search and scan/drone monitoring in years 1-3 of the permit tenure at all turbines on a quarterly basis. Methods may be modified in consultation with the Service to increase searcher efficiency. Methods will be determined based on turbine-specific conditions to achieve highest detectability.

- **Adaptive Management**
  - Based on estimated take during the permit tenure, establishes thresholds to ensure that the actual number of eagle fatalities is within the permitted number. If a particular threshold is reached, action may be implemented to reduce the risk of additional take.

**Next TAC Meeting**
The next TAC meeting will likely be scheduled in spring or early summer of 2021.

**Questions and Comments**
If you have any questions regarding this annual update please contact Jennifer Diaz at 509-964-7813 or jennifer.diaz@pse.com.
**EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update**

**Facility Name:** Wild Horse Wind Facility  
**Operator:** Puget Sound Energy  
**Report Date:** August 8, 2020  
**Report Period:** July 2020  
**Site Contact:** Jennifer Diaz  
**SCA Status:** Operational

---

**Operations & Maintenance**  
July generation totaled 65,725 MWh for an average capacity factor of 32.41%.

**Environmental Compliance**  
Nothing to report.

**Safety Compliance**  
No lost-time accidents or safety injuries/illnesses.

**Current or Upcoming Projects**  
Nothing to report.

**Other**  
Nothing to report.
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: July 10, 2020
Reporting Period: June 2020
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
- Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
  - 83,765 MW-hrs. generated in June for a year-to-date 1,151,444 MW-hrs. and a capacity factor of 51%.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
- Permit status if any changes.
  - No changes
- Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
  - No issues or updates
- Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
  - None
- Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
  - None
- Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
  - None

Safety Compliance
- Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
  - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 1767 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects
- Planned site improvements.
  - No planned changes
- Upcoming permit renewals.
  - No upcoming renewals
- Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  - No issues or updates
Other
- Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).
  - Nothing to report
- Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).
  - No changes this reporting period
- Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).
  - Facility personnel completed 40 hours of volunteer work for the City of Chehalis Parks and Recreation Department. Chehalis staff assembled new benches, performed hedge trimming, and brush removal at the updated Penny Playground at Recreation Park.

Respectfully,

Mark A. Miller--P75451
Manager, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility
EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: August 7, 2020
Reporting Period: July 2020
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance
-Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
  - 180,466 MW-hrs. generated in June for a year-to-date 1,300,647 MW-hrs. and a capacity factor of 51.6%.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance
-Permit status if any changes.
  - No changes.
-Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
  - No issues or updates.
-Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
  - None.
-Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
  - None.
-Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
  - The facility submitted the Quarterly Discharge Monitoring and water usage reports. There were no permit deviations.

Safety Compliance
-Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
  - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 1798 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects
-Planned site improvements.
  - No planned changes.
-Upcoming permit renewals.
  - No upcoming renewals.
-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.
  - No issues or updates.
Other
- Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).
  - Nothing to report.
- Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).
  - The facility hired a new operations person. The facility has no open positions and is fully staffed with 18 personnel.
- Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).
  - Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

Mark A. Miller—P75451
Manager, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility
Desert Claim Wind Power Project

Project update

[Place holder]
EFSEC Monthly Operational Report
Grays Harbor Energy Center

June 2020

Safety and Training
- There were no accidents or injuries during the month and the plant staff has achieved 4198 days without a lost time incident.
- Continued executing COVID-19 contingency measures.

Environmental & Compliance
- There were no emissions, outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.
- All routine reporting was completed for the month.

Operations & Maintenance
- Grays Harbor Energy Center (GHEC) operated 1 days during the month.
- GHEC generated 3560 MWh during the month and 873,147 MWh YTD.
- The plant capacity factor was 0.8% for the month and 32.2% YTD.

Noise and/or Odor
- None.

Site Visits
- None.

Other
- None.
Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC
Report Date: August 10, 2020
Reporting Period: July 2020
Site Contact: Chris Sherin
Facility SCA Status: Operational

**Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)**
- GHEC generated 194,192MWh during the month and 1,067,339MWh YTD.

---

**The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:**

**Environmental Compliance**
- There were no emissions, outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.
- Quarterly Emission Data Reports and Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports were submitted to staff.

**Safety Compliance**
- The sites annual corporate safety audit was conducted.

**Current or Upcoming Projects**
- None.

**Other**
- Ongoing COVID-19 mitigation efforts at the site.
Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP -1/4)
No updates to report

Columbia Generating Station (CGS)
No Updates to Report

Response to EFSEC Council Questions

How is Energy Northwest responding to the Covid-19 pandemic?
- Energy Northwest’s initial response to the pandemic included the removal of all non-essential employees from the various worksites and implementation of a work from home strategy.
- Only essential personnel have been reporting to the facilities.
- As our county moves into Phase 2, Energy Northwest is working to transition non-essential employees back to the facilities in a reduced capacity with a continued focus on working from home.

Confirmation that the facility is still in operation
- Energy Northwest facilities remain in operation.
- At the request of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) the Columbia Generating Station (CGS) has reduced its power output on several occasions over the past few weeks.

Are there any updates on planned upgrades or projects at either facility (like the surface water treatment facility)?
- Energy Northwest recently signed a new lease agreement with the Department of Energy.
- The new lease agreement requires the Industrial Development Complex (IDC) located at WNP 1/4 to no longer use groundwater as its water source by July 2022.
- The IDC is planning to use surface water from the Columbia River as its water source and will be installing a new water filtration system at the site.
Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP -1/4)
No updates to report

Columbia Generating Station (CGS)
No Updates to Report

Response to EFSEC Council Questions

How is Energy Northwest responding to the Covid-19 pandemic?
• Energy Northwest’s initial response to the pandemic included the removal of all non-essential employees from the various worksites and implementation of a work from home strategy.
• Only essential personnel have been reporting to the facilities.
• Benton-Franklin County is currently under modified Phase 1. Energy Northwest is working to transition non-essential employees back to the facilities in a reduced capacity with a continued focus on working from home.

Confirmation that the facility is still in operation
• Energy Northwest facilities remain in operation.
• CGS Net Electrical Generation for July 2020: 761,925 MW-Hrs

Are there any updates on planned upgrades or projects at either facility (like the surface water treatment facility)?
• Energy Northwest recently signed a new lease agreement with the Department of Energy.
• The new lease agreement requires the Industrial Development Complex (IDC) located at WNP 1/4 to no longer use groundwater as its water source by July 2022.
• The IDC is planning to use surface water from the Columbia River as its water source and will be installing a new water filtration system at the site.
The EFSEC Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) was approved by the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council in September 2004. The Plan directed review of the past quarter’s percentage of EFSEC technical staff’s average FTE’s, charged to EFSEC projects. This along with anticipated work for the quarter is used as the basis for determining the non-direct cost percentage charge, for each EFSEC project.

Using the procedures for developing cost allocation, and allowance for new projects, the following percentages shall be used to allocate EFSEC’s non direct costs for the 1st quarter of FY 2021:

- Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project: 10%
- Wild Horse Wind Power Project: 10%
- Columbia Generating Station: 27%
- Columbia Solar: 11%
- WNP-1: 3%
- Whistling Ridge Energy Project: 3%
- Grays Harbor 1&2: 13%
- Chehalis Generation Project: 12%
- Desert Claim Wind Power Project: 8%
- Grays Harbor Energy 3&4: 3%

Date: 7/7/2020

Sonia E. Bumpus, EFSEC Manager
Agency: Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC)

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) This rulemaking would revise adoption-by-reference Chapter 463-78-005 WAC - General and Operating Permit Regulations for Air Pollution Sources

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: This proposal would revise the adoption-by-reference to provide continuity with the current version(s) of Department of Ecology updated air rules.

Reasons supporting proposal: EFSEC is updating its adoption of Chapters as listed below, Adoption of the WAC Air Rules will incorporate the updates made by Department of Ecology air rules, reflected below. Chapter 173-460 Updated 11/11/2019

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.50.040(1), RCW 34.05

Statute being implemented:

Is rule necessary because of a:
- Federal Law? □ Yes ☒ No
- Federal Court Decision? □ Yes ☒ No
- State Court Decision? □ Yes ☒ No

If yes, CITATION:

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council □ Private □ Public ☒ Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Office Location</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drafting: Tammy Mastro</td>
<td>P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172</td>
<td>(360)664-1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation: Sonia Bumpus</td>
<td>P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172</td>
<td>(360)664-1363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSEC Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement: Sonia Bumpus</td>
<td>P.O. Box 43172 Olympia Washington 98504-3172</td>
<td>(360)664-1363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSEC Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:
Expedited Adoption - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file this notice:

☐ Relates only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
☒ Adopts or incorporates by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs other than those programs governing shorelines of statewide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
☐ Corrects typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect;
□ Content is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute;
□ Have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or
□ Is being amended after a review under RCW 34.05.328.

Expedited Repeal - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file notice:

□ The statute on which the rule is based has been repealed and has not been replaced by another statute providing statutory authority for the rule;
□ The statute on which the rule is based has been declared unconstitutional by a court with jurisdiction, there is a final judgment, and no statute has been enacted to replace the unconstitutional statute;
□ The rule is no longer necessary because of changed circumstances; or
□ Other rules of the agency or of another agency govern the same activity as the rule, making the rule redundant.

Explaination of the reason the agency believes the expedited rule-making process is appropriate pursuant to RCW 34.05.353(4):

NOTICE

THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED UNDER AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS USE OF THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO

Name: Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Manager
Agency: Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council
Address: P.O. Box 43172, Olympia, WA 98504-3172
Phone: 360-664-1363
Fax:
Email: EFSEC@utc.wa.gov
Other:

AND RECEIVED BY (date) ______

Date: May 19, 2020
Name: Kathleen Drew
Title: EFSEC Chair

Signature: [Signature]