Tesoro Savage Vancouve	ar Energy Terminal		Appendix L
Tesoro Savage Vancouve	er Energy Terminal	Transportation I	mpact Analysis

<i>Important Note:</i> Information provided in this Appendix is referenced in EFSEC's Draft Environmenta Impact Statement (EIS). However this report was originally prepared to support the Applicant-prepared preliminary draft EIS. Any reference in this Appendix to "the EIS" refers to the Applicant-prepared preliminary draft EIS and not EFSEC's Draft EIS.	ıl ed
Toporo Covogo Vancouvar Energy Distribution Torminal Foo	



TECHNICAL REPORT

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

Project #: 13574.0

Date: August 22, 2013

To:

Helen Devery, Berger ABAM

From: Brian J. Dunn P.E., Chris Brehmer, P.E., and Anais Malinge

This report documents the results of the transportation impact analysis (TIA) prepared by Kittelson & Associates, Inc. (KAI) for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal in Vancouver, Washington. The proposed development site is located along the south side of Lower River Road (SR 501), within the Port of Vancouver.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
Scope of Report	6
Intersection Analysis Methodology	7
Existing Conditions	8
Baseline Traffic Conditions	
Total Traffic Conditions	18
Intersection Sight Distance	22
On-Site Access and Traffic Circulation	23
Access Spacing	23
Construction Traffic Impacts	23
Truck Traffic Impact	25
Intersection Traffic Control Changes	29
Concurrency Corridor Trip Assignment	29
Findings and Recommendations	30
References	
Appendices	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC (the Applicant) is proposing to construct a facility to receive petroleum by rail, store it on site, and ship it via the Columbia River to various users/refiners on the West Coast. The proposed facility involves three separate Port property areas; Terminal 5, Parcel 1A, and Berths 13 and 14 along the Columbia River. These areas are all zoned appropriately for the

proposed industrial use and are currently used for marine cargo laydown, temporary storage of scrap metal and a marine lay berth.

The proposed development will begin operations in 2015 and reach peak operations by 2020. At that time, the proposed facility will be handling a maximum of 360,000 barrels of petroleum per day, an average of 4 unit trains per day, and is expected to reach peak employment of 110 workers.

Traffic associated with the proposed site development will primarily access the Port's Terminal 5 area via Lower River Road (SR 501) west, Old Lower River Road south, and the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road to the east. From this road, access driveways will be established to separate parking lots adjacent to the facility's administrative and support buildings (Facility Area 200), just north of the loop track.

A site vicinity map is shown in Figure 1 with the proposed site plan shown in Figure 2.

Study Findings and Recommendations

This study concludes that acceptable levels of traffic operations and safety can be maintained at the study intersections with the build-out of the proposed development. Key study findings and recommendations are as follows:

Findings

- All study intersections currently operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours and are projected to continue to do so in 2020 and 2025 with site development.
- A review of historical crash data identified no safety-related mitigation needs at the study intersections.
- Intersection sight distance is adequate at all study intersections.
- The proposed development is estimated to generate 332 average daily trips, 48 weekday a.m. peak hour trips (40 in, 8 out), and 46 weekday p.m. peak hour trips (10 in, 36 out).


Recommendations

- The applicant should work with the Port of Vancouver and City of Vancouver to post a 25 MPH speed limit on Old Lower River Road south of SR 501, where no posted speed sign exists.
- The applicant should work with the Port and WSDOT to post a YIELD sign to control the channelized northbound right-turn maneuver from Old Lower River Road onto SR 501.
- The applicant should work with the Port and City of Vancouver to reconfigure traffic control devices at the Old Lower River Road/Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection.

- The applicant should work with the Port to add texturing/coloring treatments to the striped crosswalk on the private access approach to Lower River Road (SR 501), between the Far West Steel operation and the proposed Storage Tank area.
- The applicant should properly locate and maintain any new landscaping, signage, and/or above-ground utilities installed along the site frontage and internal roadways to ensure that adequate sight distance continues to be available.



SITE PLAN PROVIDED AUGUST 2013 BY BERGERABAM



Additional details on the analysis, pertinent findings and recommendations are documented in the remaining sections of this report.

SCOPE OF REPORT

This transportation impact analysis determines the transportation-related impacts associated with the proposed site development. The study intersections and overall study area for this project were determined based on a review of existing travel patterns, the traffic impact analysis requirements pursuant to Vancouver Municipal Code (VMC) Sections 11.80.130 and 11.80.080, the City's *Traffic Study Guidelines*, the City of Vancouver's Concurrency Ordinance (VMC 11.70), and direction provided by City of Vancouver staff through the project scoping process.

Transportation Concurrency Corridors and Study Intersections

The study site is located within Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) #38. In accordance with Vancouver's Transportation Concurrency ordinance, this report summarizes the number of weekday p.m. peak hour site-generated trips impacting each of the City's adopted concurrency corridors. Site-generated trips within the City were tracked to the following City-modeled concurrency corridors:

- Fourth Plain Boulevard (Port of Vancouver to I-5)
- Mill Plain Boulevard (Fourth Plain Boulevard to I-5)

Analysis Periods

Weekday morning (6:00 - 9:00 a.m.) and evening (4:00 - 6:00 p.m.) peak hour traffic conditions were modeled at the study intersections.

Study Intersections

Per the VMC and City staff direction, operational analysis was prepared at the following study intersections:

- Old Lower River Road/Lower River Road (SR 501)
- Gateway Avenue/Lower River Road (SR 501)
- Fourth Plain Boulevard/Mill Plain Boulevard (SR 501)
- Old Lower River Road/ Old Alcoa Facility Access Road

Report Format

The remaining sections of this report address the following transportation issues:

- Existing site conditions, surrounding land uses, and transportation system conditions within the site vicinity;
- Vehicle crash histories at study intersections;
- In-process developments, anticipated regional traffic growth trends, and planned transportation improvements in the study area;
- Future year 2020 and 2025 background traffic conditions at all study intersections during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Trip generation and distribution estimates for the proposed site development;
- Future year 2020 and 2025 total traffic conditions at the study intersections with full buildout of the site during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- Vehicle queuing and sight distance at key study intersections;
- On-site access and circulation;
- Access spacing;
- Transportation concurrency corridor trip assignment;
- Analysis of construction traffic impacts; and,
- Potential traffic mitigation measures.

Conclusions and recommendations are provided following the analysis and documentation herein.

INTERSECTION ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

All level-of-service (LOS) and volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio analyses described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures stated in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (Reference 1). A description of level of service, how it is measured, the criteria by which it is determined, and generally acceptable ranges of level of service are presented in Appendix "A".

The peak 15-minute flow rate was used in the evaluation of all intersection operations to ensure that this study was based on a reasonable worst-case scenario. For this reason, the operations analyses reflect conditions that are only likely to occur for 15 minutes out of each average weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour. Traffic conditions during all other weekday hours will likely operate under better conditions than those described in this report.

For the intersections operations analyses, *Synchro Version 8.0* software was used to analyze the study intersections along SR 501. Due to the unique traffic control characteristics of the Old Lower River Road/Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection, a separate software program, *SIDRA Version 5.1* was used to properly model the delay associated with stop-controlled and free-flow movements.

Intersection Operating Standards

VMC Section 11.80.130B requires signalized intersections under City jurisdiction to maintain LOS "E" and a v/c ratio less than 0.95. Unsignalized intersections must maintain a v/c ratio less than 0.95 for the critical movement and/or approach.

SR 501, which overlaps Lower River Road and then Mill Plain Boulevard to the southeast, is under the jurisdiction of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). Therefore, intersections along SR 501 are subject to WSDOT's traffic operation standards, which require LOS "D" or better.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing conditions analysis identifies site conditions and current operational and geometric characteristics of roadways within the study area. This section creates a basis for comparison to future conditions. The study site was visited and inventoried in July 2013. At that time, information was collected regarding site conditions, adjacent land uses, existing traffic conditions, and transportation facilities in the study area.

Site Conditions and Adjacent Land Uses

The proposed development site is located in three separate Port of Vancouver properties: a portion of Terminal 5, all of Parcel 1A, and Berths 13 and 14 along the Columbia River. At Terminal 5, two additional railroad loop tracks will be added inside the current loop tracks (constructed in 2010) to unload petroleum from incoming rail cars (see Areas 200 and 600 on site plan). Parcel 1A, just east of the Farwest Steel operation, is currently used for marine cargo laydown and temporary storage of steel scrap and will be the location of a series of holding tanks (a.k.a. Product Storage Tanks) designed to temporarily store petroleum (see Area 300 on site plan). Lastly, Berths 13 and 14 along the Columbia River, just south of the Subaru of America lot, will be used to transfer petroleum onto barges and ships (see Area 400 on site plan).

Recent completion of the loop track at Terminal 5 is part of ongoing efforts by the Port to complete the West Vancouver Freight Access project. Construction efforts are currently underway to complete a new grade-separated crossing for Gateway Avenue to pass over the adjacent rail line. These improvements will provide access to Terminal 5 properties south of the rail line, including the Clark County Corrections Jail Work Center and Subaru of America Lot along Harborside Drive. Terminal 5 is also the location of the future BHP Billiton Bulk Potash Handling Facility; preliminary construction has begun and the facility is expected to be completed in in mid-2015.

There are a variety of other marine and industrial businesses in the vicinity of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal site. Other nearby industrial businesses include Subaru of America, Kelly Steel, Tri-Star, FarWest Steel Corporation, West Van Materials Recovery Center, and Tidewater. There is also a propane terminal (operated by Keyera) and a gas fired turbine power plant

(operated by Clark County Public Utilities) located at the east end of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road, which is a private roadway. The Clark County Jail Work Center is located west of Gateway Avenue and the Subaru facility.

Adjacent Roadway Facilities

Table 1 summarizes key characteristics of the local study area roadways.

Table 1: Existing Transportation Facilities and Roadway Designations

Roadway	Classification	Cross- Section	Speed Limit	Side- walks?	Bicycle Lanes?	Median?	On-Street Parking?
Fourth Plain Boulevard	Principal Arterial	3-5 lane	35 mph	Partial	Yes	TWLTL ¹	No
Mill Plain Boulevard (SR 501)	Principal Arterial (State Highway Route)	5-lane	35 mph	Yes	Yes	Raised	No
Lower River Road (SR 501) ²	Principal Arterial (State Highway Route)	2-5 lane ³	45-50 ⁴ mph	No ⁵	No ⁶	No	No
Gateway Avenue	Local Public Street	2-lane	Not Posted	Partial (east side)	No	No	Yes
Old Lower River Road	Local Public Street	2-lane	Not Posted	No	No	No	No
Old Alcoa Facility Access Road	Private Street	2-lane	15 mph	No	No	No	No

¹ Two-Way Left-Turn Lane with exclusive turn lanes at major street intersections.

SR 501

State Route (SR) 501 is operated and maintained by WSDOT. West of I-5, this highway leads west out of the downtown Vancouver area along Mill Plain Boulevard and then along Lower River Road west of the Fourth Plain Boulevard/Mill Plain Boulevard intersection. As Mill Plain Boulevard, the highway has 5 lanes of travel and urban design features including a landscaped median, bicycle lanes and sidewalks. West of the Fourth Plain Boulevard intersection, the highway becomes more rural in nature, where it reduces down to 2 travel lanes with left-turn lanes provided at major intersections. The highway generally has wide paved shoulders and fog line striping for bicycle travel and there is a multi-use path at intermittent locations along the south side of the road.

Gateway Avenue

Gateway Avenue is the main entrance to Terminal 5 at the Port of Vancouver. The roadway is a private road with two travel lanes, partial sidewalks and on-street parking is allowed.

² Lower River Road (SR 501) is both a City-designated Principal Arterial and State designated Highway Route from Fourth Plain Boulevard to the City Limits, and then only a State Highway Route west of Gateway Avenue.

³ Cross-section changes from 5 lanes east of 26th Avenue to 2 lanes west of 26th Avenue, with left-turn lanes at major intersections.

⁴ Posted speed changes from 45 MPH east of Centennial Industrial Park to 50 MPH west of Centennial Industrial Park.

⁵ There is a new two-way multiuse trail along the south side of Lower River Road (SR 501) extending from Gateway Avenue east along the Far West Steel property as well as the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal site frontage for the Tank Farm Area.

⁶ Although not formally designated as bike lanes, there is fog line striping and sufficient paved shoulder on both sides of SR 501 for bicycle travel.

Old Lower River Road

Old Lower River Road extends south from Lower River Road (SR 501) and then west to provide access to local industrial businesses before it circles back to SR 501 to the northwest. It is a public local road with two lanes of travel, no sidewalks or bicycle lanes, and no on-street parking.

Old Alcoa Access Facility Road

The Old Alcoa Access Facility Road extends east from where Old Lower River Road turns southbound-to-westbound. This private road has two travel lanes, a posted speed of 15 MPH and no sidewalks. It leads east to the Keyera propane facility and a gate that prevents further travel east.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Sidewalks and bicycle lanes are installed along Mill Plain Boulevard and Fourth Plain Boulevard. While there are no designated bicycle lanes along Lower River Road (SR 501), a two-way multiuse path was recently installed along the south side of SR 501 starting at Gateway Avenue and proceeding east along the FarWest Steel property frontage. This path also extends along the site frontage of the Tank Farm area of the Tesoro Savage project and includes a striped crosswalk and signage at the private access to SR 501 between the FarWest Steel and the proposed Storage Tank area.

No continuous sidewalks or bicycle lanes are installed on the local streets that lead directly to the site, namely Gateway Avenue and Old Lower River Road.

Transit Facilities

The nearest fixed-route public transit service to the site is provided at the Mill Plain Boulevard/Fourth Plain Boulevard intersection, and provided by C-Tran (Reference 2). The intersection is roughly 0.75 miles east of the easternmost portion of the site. *Route #25* serves the intersection and provides service between 39th Street/Fruit Valley Road, downtown Vancouver, and the 99th Street Transit Center. Service is provided on weekdays at approximately 35-minute headways and on weekends at approximately 50-minute headways. *Route #25* travels on Fruit Valley Road, Fourth Plain Boulevard, and Mill Plain Boulevard.

The Port of Vancouver is in the process of developing a multi-modal path along Lower River Road (SR 501) to the bus service line at the Mill Plain/Fourth Plain intersection.

Crash Analysis

A five-year crash history of all study intersections (data reported from January 2008 through December 2012) was obtained from WSDOT in an effort to identify potential safety issues. Key crash variables (e.g., type, severity, etc.) were reviewed at each intersection to assess whether any crash patterns might be identifiable.

Table 2 presents a summary of the 5-year crash history at the study intersections in terms of crashes by type, severity, and per million entering vehicles (MEV). The City's *Traffic Study Guidelines* identify a crash rate greater than or equal to 1.0 crashes/MEV as a threshold that determines the need for additional evaluation and potential mitigation.

Table 2: 2008-2012 Crash Data Summary

		Crash Type			Crash Severity			
Intersection	# of Crashes	Rear -End	Side- swipe	Angle	Overturned Vehicle	PDO ¹	Injury	Crashes per MEV ²
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	0.35
Gateway Ave/Lower River Road (SR 501)	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	0.25
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	4	-	2	1	1	3	1	0.33
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00
Lower River Road/Private Driveway (FarWest Steel) ³	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00

¹ PDO: Property Damage Only

As shown in the previous table, the study intersections have a crash rate of less than one crash per million entering vehicles. Based on the crash review, and in accordance with City requirements for thresholds exceeding 1.0 crashes/MEV, no apparent safety hazards or safety-based mitigation measures were identified. *Appendix "B" contains the crash data used for the crash analysis.*

Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control Devices

Figure 3 illustrates the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices at the study intersections. Each of the intersections is also described below.

Fourth Plain Boulevard/Mill Plain Boulevard (SR 501)

This four-legged intersection is signalized and operates with protected left-turn phasing on the mainline approaches of Mill Plain Boulevard and permitted left-turn phasing on the Fourth Plain and St. Francis Lane approaches. The traffic signal is isolated, and therefore, not coordinated with other signals along Mill Plain Boulevard. Crosswalks with pedestrian signal control are installed on the southwest and southeast intersection approaches. Pedestrians are not accommodated on the other two approaches. The westbound approach on Fourth Plain Boulevard has a free right turn lane that merges with northbound-to-westbound Mill Plain Boulevard traffic at speed.

² MEV: Million Entering Vehicles

³ The private drive intersection with Lower River Road (SR 501) between the Far West Steel property and the proposed Tesoro Savage Tank Farm area was established in the last two years and no crash data was available for this intersection. Given the limited use of this road, its recent construction in accordance with City design standards and sufficient intersection sight distance (as observed and noted in the previous section of this report), there are no apparent traffic safety issues at this location.


TRAFFIC SIGNAL

CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALIZED)

LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL
OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALIZED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT CONTROL

DELAY (UNSIGNALIZED)
V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

Southbound approach has "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" Sign



EXISTING WEEKDAY PM TRAFFIC EXISTING WEEKDAY AM TRAFFIC CONFIGURATIONS AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS CONDITIONS CONTROL DEVICES OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) 4 N 71 GATEWAY AVENUE/
1) LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) 4 1 FOURTH PLAIN BLVD/
1) MILL PLAIN BLVD (SR 501) AN M 000 一个 OLD LOWER RIVER RD/
OLD ALCOA ACCESS
FACILITY RD CM=WB LOS=B Del=12.3 ₹0 CM=WB LOS=A Del=9.3 1 K 9 1

EXISTING LANE

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS WEEKDAY AM & PM PEAK HOURS VANCOUVER, WA

Gateway Avenue/Lower River Road (SR 501)

This T-shaped intersection operates with stop-control on the minor street approach of Gateway Avenue. An exclusive left-turn lane is provided for movements off the highway.

Old Lower River Road /Lower River Road (SR 501)

This T-shaped intersection operates with stop-control on the minor street approach of Old Lower River Road; however, the northbound right-turn movement from Old Lower River Road is channelized with no posted traffic control. That impact analysis conservatively assumes the northbound right-turn movement is stop-controlled for delay calculation purposes, even though drivers may only yield before merging with mainstream traffic.

Old Lower River Road /Old Alcoa Facility Access Road

This four-legged intersection is intersected by the public portion of Old Lower River Road (north and west legs only), the private portion of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road (east leg), and an outbound-only driveway coming from the rail loop track and "perimeter road" at Terminal 5. Traffic control is as follows:

- Southbound approach: stop-controlled with a "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" sign
- Northbound approach: stop controlled
- Eastbound approach: uncontrolled
- Westbound approach: stop-controlled

The traffic control configuration allows the current primary southbound-to-westbound and eastbound-to-northbound movements on the public section of Old Lower River Road to occur freely while stopping all other movements.

Existing Traffic Conditions

Traffic counts were obtained at the study intersections on mid-week days in May 2013 during the weekday morning (6:00-9:00 a.m.) and afternoon (4:00-6:00 p.m.) peak periods. The counts were compiled and reviewed to identify the peak hour periods for the street system, which occurred from 7:00-8:00 a.m. and 4:00-5:00 p.m.

As shown in Figure 3 and in Table 3, all study intersections currently operate within acceptable operations thresholds during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 3: Existing Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)		В	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower Miver Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	PM	Α	0.08	103 D	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.06	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	Α	0.07	103 D	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.55	LOS "D"	Yes
Tourth Flam Biva/Will Flam Biva (SK 301)	PM	В	0.28	103 D	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	В	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcod Facility Access Rd	PM	Α	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "C" contains the traffic count data sheets used in this study and Appendix "D" contains the operational analysis worksheets prepared for the existing conditions weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour analysis periods.

BASELINE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The baseline traffic conditions analysis estimates operating conditions for the year 2020, when the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal is expected to operate at full capacity and at full employment. Also, a baseline future forecast for the year 2025 was prepared, per the City's TIA requirements, to identify how the study area's transportation system will operate five years after completion of the proposed development.

This baseline analysis includes general traffic growth in the region, vehicle trips generated by inprocess developments in the site's vicinity, but does not include traffic from the proposed development. It also accounts for planned transportation improvement projects not associated with the proposed site development.

Planned Roadway Improvements

As noted earlier in this report the Port of Vancouver is completing several street improvement projects to improve access to Terminal 5 and other areas of the Port. The Port has constructed a "perimeter road" around the outside of the loop track connecting Old Lower River Road with Harborside Drive and a new grade-separated structure for Gateway Avenue to convey the roadway over the railroad tracks. Access to Berths 13 and 14 along the Columbia River will also be established. These improvements will help reduce internal site traffic use of Lower River Road (SR 501) traveling between site areas. No other funded street improvements were identified in the study area.

Build-Out Year 2020 Baseline Traffic Conditions

A 1.5-percent linear annual growth rate was applied to existing year 2013 peak hour traffic volumes over a 7-year period to develop year 2020 baseline traffic volumes for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours. This growth rate was applied to major traffic movements at the study intersections along SR 501 intersections, but not at minor connections related to Port properties such as Gateway Avenue and Old Lower River Road.

One in-process development was identified and included in the 2020 baseline traffic volumes; the Terminal 5 Bulk Potash Handling Facility. The approved Bulk Potash Handling Facility development is to be located west of the proposed site. The vehicle trips generated from this in-process development were assigned to the study intersections based on the trip generation and assignment contained in the *Bulk Potash Handling Facility Transportation Impact Analysis* (Reference 3).

Figures 4 and 5 and Table 4 illustrate the build-out year 2020 baseline traffic conditions for the respective weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour periods. As shown, the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably under these scenarios during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 4: Build-Out Year 2020 Baseline Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)		В	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 301)	PM	Α	0.08	103 D	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	Α	0.07	LO3 D	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.68	LOS "D"	Yes
Tourth Flam Biva/Iviiii Flam Biva (SK 301)	PM	В	0.34	LO3 D	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	В	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Ray Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	PM	Α	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "E" includes in-process development trips. Appendix "F" contains the 2020 baseline traffic conditions operational analysis worksheets prepared for the a.m. and p.m. peak hour periods.

Forecast Year 2025 Baseline Traffic Conditions

Year 2020 baseline traffic volumes were further increased by a 1.5 percent linear annual growth rate to develop year 2025 baseline traffic volumes. Consistent with the 2020 analysis, the growth rate was applied to major traffic movements of study intersections along SR 501. No additional in-process developments or planned roadway improvements were identified at the study intersections for the 2025 forecast year.

CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALIZED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL
OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALIZED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT CONTROL
DELAY (UNSIGNALIZED) V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

Southbound approach has "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" Sign

COLUMBIA RIVER 501 GATENAY THARBORGUE DA 501 %09 FRUIT VALLEY RD 5

2025 TOTAL TRAFFIC 2020 TOTAL TRAFFIC SITE-GENERATED 2025 BACKGROUND 2020 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CONDITIONS **TRAFFIC** TRAFFIC CONDITIONS TRAFFIC CONDITIONS OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) GATEWAY AVENUE/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) ◙≥ -OURTH PLAIN BLVD/ LL PLAIN BLVD (SR 501) 10S=B 10S=B 10S=B 17.7 WC=0.73 LOSEB DELTAS, VICEO,75 LOS=B VC=0.70 VC=0.70 LOS=B
Del:15.6
V/C=0.68 20 1 20 OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ OLD ALCOA ACCESS , FACILITY RD 20 CM=WB LOS=B Del=12.3 CM=WB LOS=B Del=12.3 CM=WB LOS=B Del=12.3 CM=WB LOS=B Del=12.3 40

(NO SCALE)

August 2013

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR
VANCOUVER, WA

CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALIZED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL
OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALIZED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT CONTROL
DELAY (UNSIGNALIZED) V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

Southbound approach has "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" Sign

COLUMBIA RIVER THARBORGUE DA 501 10gV FRUIT VALLEY RD Ω Ω

Б

501

2025 TOTAL TRAFFIC 2020 TOTAL TRAFFIC SITE-GENERATED 2025 BACKGROUND 2020 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS CONDITIONS **TRAFFIC** TRAFFIC CONDITIONS TRAFFIC CONDITIONS OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) GATEWAY AVENUE/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) ፟ -OURTH PLAIN BLVD/ LL PLAIN BLVD (SR 501) LOS=B Del=10.4 V/C=0.36 LOS=B VC=0.37 VC=0.37 10S=B 10S=B 10S=B 10S=0.38 10C=0.38 LIOSEB (COMPANY) 20 1 20 OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ OLD ALCOA ACCESS FACILITY RD CM=WB LOS=A Del=9.3 CM=WB LOS=A Del=9.3 CM=NB LOS=A Del=9.1 CM=NB LOS=A Del=9.1 40 1, 5,8

(NO SCALE)

August 2013

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS
WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR
VANCOUVER, WA

As shown in Figures 4 and 5 and in Table 5, all study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably in the year 2025 during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 5: Forecast Year 2025 Baseline Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower River Rdy Lower River Rd (SR 301)	PM	Α	0.08	103 D	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
Gateway Aver Lower River Ru (3K 301)	PM	Α	0.07	LO3 D	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.73	LOS "D"	Yes
Fourth Plain Biva/Iviiii Plain Biva (SN 301)	PM	В	0.37	LO3 D	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	В	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	PM	Α	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "G" contains the 2025 baseline traffic conditions operational analysis worksheets prepared for the a.m. and p.m. peak hour periods

TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

This report section presents the proposed development plan, its anticipated trip generation, trip distribution and assignment, the operational impacts of site trips on the study intersections, and required trip assignment to the City of Vancouver's concurrency corridors.

Proposed Development Plan

Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC (the applicant) is proposing to construct a facility to receive petroleum by rail, store it on site, and ship it via the Columbia River to various users/refiners on the West Coast. The development proposal, known as the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, is to begin operations in 2015 and reach peak operations (full staffing) by the year 2020. At that time, the proposed facility will be processing a maximum of 360,000 barrels of petroleum per day, an average of 4 unit trains per day, and reach peak employment of 110 workers. Descriptions of the facility processing areas comprising the site are described in the following sections.

Terminal 5

The portion of Terminal 5 associated with this project is located along the south side of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road. It involves Area 600 (West Boiler Area) and Area 200 (Uloading & Office Area) as shown in the site plan. In this area, the applicant is proposing to construct new loop track lines within the existing loop track along with a structure used to unload petroleum delivered by unit trains. A boiler/steam plant and a series of small office buildings will be built north of the loop track

and along the south side of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road. The buildings will be used by facility employees to park their personal vehicles during the workday, begin and end their workday, and to conduct normal business operations.

Vehicular access to this portion of Terminal 5 will occur from Lower River Road (SR 501) via the public access to Old Lower River Road, and then the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road.

Parcel 1A

Parcel 1A is located along the south side of Lower River Road (SR 501) just east of the FarWest Steel Corporation site. A series of storage tanks will be built as shown in the site plan (Area 300 – Storage) for temporary storage of petroleum. Parking at the storage tank are will be limited to a few stalls and will be used for occasional routine maintenance; no peak hour "commute" trips to this area are anticipated. Vehicular access to the storage tank area will be provided via SR 501 and the existing private drive located along the east side of FarWest Steel Corporation.

Berths 13 and 14

Berths 13 and 14 to the Columbia River are located southeast of Terminal 5 and just south of the Subaru America car lot. These berths, as shown in the site plan (Area 400 -Marine Terminal) will be used to pump petroleum onto barges or ships.

Vehicular access to the berths will be provided by a new connection to Harborside Drive and Gateway Avenue as part of a Port project. Like the storage tank area, vehicle parking at the berths will be limited to a few stalls and no peak hour "commute" trips to this area are anticipated.

Site Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates of daily and weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour vehicle trip ends for the proposed development were calculated using the standard reference manual, *Trip Generation*, 9th *Edition*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (Reference 4). ITE trip rates for land use code 110 (Light Industrial) were used as the basis for estimating vehicle trips. These rates, using permanent employees as the independent variable, are based on empirical observations at other similar industrial developments.

Table 6 shows the estimated trip generation for the proposed industrial use.

Table 6: Trip Generation Estimate

				Weekd	ay AM Peak H	our Trips	Weekda	y PM Peak Ho	our Trips
Land Use	ITE Code	Size	Daily Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out
Light Industrial	110	110 employees	332	48	40	8	46	10	36

Truck Traffic

Because the primary function of the proposed facility is to transfer petroleum from rail cars onto nearby barges, post-construction operations of the proposed development are not expected to generate tractor-trailer trucks trips on the external street network on typical days. Instead, typical delivery and service vehicle trips are expected. A separate discussion of contractor trips and construction-related truck trips is provided later in this report.

Site Trip Distribution and Assignment

The estimated vehicle trip distribution pattern was based on a review of the existing weekday a.m. and p.m. traffic counts at the Lower River Road (SR 501)/Old Lower River Road intersection, (where all external trips are expected to enter and exit the site) as well as the existing patterns observed at the Mill Plain Boulevard (SR 501)/Fourth Plain Boulevard intersection.

As shown in Figures 4 and 5, all weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour site trips were assigned to points east along SR 501, reflecting the location of the Port of Vancouver and major destinations to the east such as the downtown area of Vancouver and I-5.

Build-Out Year 2020 Total Traffic Conditions

The year build-out year 2020 total traffic analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate with the proposed development complete and operating at full capacity and full employment. This analysis includes general regional traffic growth, traffic generated due to inprocess developments and the vehicle trips generated from the proposed development.

Figures 4 and 5 and Table 7 also illustrate the year 2020 total traffic conditions. As shown, all study intersections are forecast to continue to operate adequately during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 7: Build-Out Year 2020 Total Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.10	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	PM	Α	0.12	103 D	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	Α	0.07	103 D	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.70	LOS "D"	Yes
Tourth Flam Biva/Iviiii Flam Biva (SK 301)	PM	В	0.36	103 D	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	В	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower Niver Ray Old Alcod Facility Access Ru	PM	А	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "H" contains the 2020 with project traffic conditions operational analysis worksheets.

Forecast Year 2025 Total Traffic Conditions

The forecast year 2025 total traffic analysis identifies how the study area's transportation system will operate five years after the proposed development reaches its peak capacity and full employment. Figures 4 and 5 and Table 8 illustrate the future year 2025 total traffic conditions and show that the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 8: Forecast Year 2025 Total Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.10	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower Miver Rd/Lower River Rd (3R 301)	PM	Α	0.13	103 D	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.08	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	Α	0.07	LO3 D	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.75	LOS "D"	Yes
Fourth Plain Biva/Will Plain Biva (SK 501)	PM	В	0.38	LO3 D	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	В	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Ray Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	PM	Α	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "I" contains the 2025 total traffic condition operational analysis worksheets prepared for the a.m. and p.m. peak hour periods.

Vehicle Queuing Analysis

Vehicle queuing analyses were using the *SimTraffic* software for the study intersections along Lower River Road (SR 501) and using the *SIDRA* software for the Old Lower River Road/Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection. Tables 9 and 10 show the forecast 95th percentile vehicle queues for the year 2020 and 2025 weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour conditions, both without and with the development project. One vehicle was assumed to occupy 25 feet of space for this analysis. *Appendix "J" includes the queuing analysis worksheets.*

Table 9: Forecast 95th Percentile Vehicle Queues, Weekday a.m. Peak Hour

					Storage		
Intersection	Approach	Movement	2020 Baseline	2020 Total	2025 Baseline	2025 Total	Length Available (feet)
Old Lawrenchia and Mark	Westbound	Left	<25	25	<25	<25	750
Old Lower River Rd/ Lower River Rd (SR 501)	Northbound	Left	<25	<25	25	<25	125
	Northboand	Channelized Right	75	75	75	75	250
Gateway Ave/	Westbound	Left	<25	25	<25	25	200
Lower River Rd (SR 501)	Northbound	Left/Right	75	75	75	75	200
Old Lower River Rd/	Westbound	Through/Right	<25	<25	<25	<25	175
Old Alcoa Facility Access	Northbound	Left/Through/Right	<25	<25	<25	<25	150
Rd	Southbound	Left	<25	25	<25	25	>500

Table 10: Forecast 95th Percentile Vehicle Queues, Weekday p.m. Peak Hour

					Storage		
Intersection	Approach	Movement	2020 Baseline	2020 With Project	2025 Baseline	2025 With Project	Length Available (feet)
2111	Westbound	Left	<25	<25	<25	<25	750
Old Lower River Rd/ Lower River Rd (SR 501)	Northbound	Left	50	50	25	50	125
	Northboand	Channelized Right	75	75	75	75	250
Gateway Ave/	Westbound	Left	<25	<25	<25	<25	200
Lower River Rd (SR 501)	Northbound	Left/Right	50	50	50	75	200
Old Lower River Rd/	Westbound	Through/Right	<25	<25	<25	<25	175
Old Alcoa Facility	Northbound	Left/Through/Right	<25	<25	<25	<25	150
Access Rd	Southbound	Left	<25	<25	<25	<25	>500

As shown in the previous two tables, the forecast queues can be accommodated within the available storage at the identified study intersections, during both the a.m. and p.m. peak periods.

INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

Per VMC Section 11.80.140, public and private streets must comply with the sight distance standards specified in *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets standards* (AASHTO, Reference 5). To address this requirement, the proposed development plan was reviewed to ensure that drivers associated with the site development (normal traffic & construction traffic) have adequate intersection sight distance at key intersections in the site vicinity. Table 11 shows the results of the sight distance analysis for stop-controlled movements at key intersections. As shown in the following table, all locations currently have adequate intersection sight distance.

Table 11: Intersection Sight Distance Analysis Results

Intersection	Approach	Available Sight Distance (Feet) ¹	Minimum AASHTO Standard (Feet) ²	Is Sight Distance Adequate?
Lower River Road (SR 501)/ Old Lower River Road	Northbound	>1,000 WB & EB	555 feet	Yes
Lower River Road (SR 501)/ Gateway Avenue	Northbound	>1,000 WB & EB	555 feet	Yes
Lower River Road (SR 501)/ Private Access -FarWest Steel ³	Northbound	>1,000 WB & EB	555 feet	Yes
Old Lower River Road/ Old Alcoa Facility Access Road	Northbound Southbound Westbound	650 WB, >1,000 NB 550 WB 650 WB	280 WB, 610 NB 280 WB 280 WB	Yes Yes Yes

¹ Distances shown reflect approaching free-flow traffic movements only.

² Minimum AASHTO distances shown reflect posted speed of roadway, which is 50 MPH along Lower River Road (SR 501). Where posted speed is not provided (i.e. Old Lower River Road) and no other data is available, the Basic Rule speed of 55 MPH was assumed. An 85th percentile speed of 24 MPH was recorded along Old Lower River Road, west of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road.

³ This intersection was included in the sight distance analysis to ensure adequate sight distance for vehicles potentially related to the proposed site development.

It should be noted that the private drive access along the east side of the FarWest Steel property and its connection with Lower River Road (SR 501) was included in the sight distance analysis, given this connection has the potential to be used by site traffic. Additionally, there is no posted speed limit on Old Lower River Road, south of SR 501. Therefore, the 55 MPH Basic Rule speed was assumed to apply to free-flowing traffic approaching from Old Lower River Road, except west of the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection, where a speed survey conducted along Old Lower River Road indicated an 85th percentile travel speed of 24 MPH . *Appendix "K" contains the results of this speed survey*.

ON-SITE ACCESS AND TRAFFIC CIRCULATION

On-site access and traffic circulation was evaluated based on the proposed site plan. As stated in the trip generation section of this report, most, if not all, site trips will travel to/from the administrative and support buildings on the Terminal 5 property (Area 200- Unloading and Office). Traffic will access this location using Lower River Road (SR 501) and Old Lower River Road. After making a left-turn onto the Old Alcoa Facility Access Road, site traffic will have the option of using one of several new driveways to separate parking lots next to the administrative buildings.

Any site trips associated with the storage tank area on Parcel 1A (Area 300 – Storage) to the northeast will use Lower River Road (SR 501) to access the private access drive east of FarWest Steel. Any trips associated with the petroleum loading operation at Berths 13 and 14 along the Columbia River will use a new driveway connection to Harborside Drive, which will connect with Gateway Avenue and the internal ring road around the loop track which leads to Old Lower River Road and the administrative buildings on the Terminal 5 property.

ACCESS SPACING

The proposed development relies on taking access to public and private street connections that are already established and in conformance with City of Vancouver access spacing requirements, per VMC Section 11.080.110.

CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IMPACTS

The proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal will generate traffic during facility construction. To account for the traffic-related impacts, construction worker and truck delivery traffic have been estimated and analyzed.

Construction Year 2014 Baseline Traffic Conditions

Construction of the proposed development is estimated to occur over a 9-month period in 2014. An annual growth rate of 1.5 percent, consistent with build-out and forecast year growth, was applied to

major traffic movements at the study intersections along SR 501 intersections, but not at minor connections related to Port properties such as Gateway Avenue and Old Lower River Road. In addition to background traffic growth, the construction traffic associated with the BHP Billiton site was accounted for as in-process traffic. As per the Terminal 5 Transportation Management Plan, 177 daily construction workers and 64 daily truck deliveries are estimated during a majority of BHP Billiton site construction (KAI, Reference 6). The associated freight access plan was referenced to determine site access and circulation patterns for these workers and trucks during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The resulting construction year 2014 a.m. and p.m. peak hour background traffic volumes and operations results are presented in Table 12 Figures 6 and 7, respectively. As shown, the study intersections operate acceptably in both the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Appendix "L" includes the in-process trip generation and freight route from the Terminal 5 TMP.

Table 12: Construction Year 2014 Baseline Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.09	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (3R 301)	PM	В	0.31	103 0	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.06	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	В	0.09	103 0	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.65	LOS "D"	Yes
Tourth Flam Bivay Will Flam Biva (SK 301)	PM	В	0.32	103 0	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	С	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Ray Old Alcod Facility Access Rd	PM	В	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Site Construction Trip Generation

During the 9-month construction period, the proposed development site will generate construction worker and truck delivery traffic. Tesoro Savage estimates a total of 125 daily construction workers and 83 daily, round trip truck deliveries.

Table 13 shows the estimated trips generated due to construction of the proposed development.

Table 13: Estimated Construction Trip Generation

			Weekd	ay AM Peak H	our Trips	Weekda	y PM Peak Ho	ur Trips
Trip Type	Size	Daily Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out
Construction Workers	125 employees	250	125	125	0	125	0	125
Truck Deliveries	83 deliveries	166	16	8	8	16	8	8
Net New Trip Ger	neration	416	141	133	8	141	8	133

The 125 construction workers were assumed to arrive during the a.m. peak period and depart during the p.m. peak period. The 83 roundtrip truck deliveries were distributed across the 10-hour daily construction schedule; as such, an estimated 16 total truck deliveries were assumed during both the a.m. and p.m. peak periods (8 in, 8 out), respectively.

Site Construction Trip Distribution and Assignment

Based on trip distribution pattern estimates by Tesoro Savage, all construction worker traffic will use the Old Lower River Road entrance from Lower River Road (SR 501). Tesoro Savage also estimates that 11% of trucks will use Old Lower River Road entrance from Lower River Road (SR 501), 3% will use Gateway Avenue, and 86% will use the private access drive east of FarWest Steel.

Construction Year 2014 Total Traffic Conditions

The impact of construction site generated trips on intersection operations are shown in Table 14 as well as Figures 6 and 7 for the a.m. and p.m. peak periods, respectively. As shown, the study intersections operate acceptably in both the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Table 14: Construction Year 2014 Total Traffic Conditions Summary

Intersection	Peak Hour	LOS	v/c	Standard	Meets Standard?
Old Lower River Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.10	LOS "D"	Yes
Old Lower Miver Rd/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	PM	В	0.32	103 0	Yes
Gateway Ave/Lower River Rd (SR 501)	AM	Α	0.06	LOS "D"	Yes
dateway Aver Lower River Ru (3R 301)	PM	В	0.35	103 0	Yes
Fourth Plain Blvd/Mill Plain Blvd (SR 501)	AM	В	0.70	LOS "D"	Yes
Tourth Flam Biva/Will Flam Biva (SK 301)	PM	В	0.37	103 0	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	AM	С	NA	LOS "E" &	Yes
Old Lower River Rd/Old Alcoa Facility Access Rd	PM	В	NA	V/C ≤ 0.95	Yes

Appendix "M" contains the construction year 2014 traffic conditions operational analysis worksheets.

Construction Truck Traffic

As stated previously, there will be up to an estimated 83 round-trip truck deliveries (83 in, 83 out) occurring on weekdays at the site over the course of the 9-month construction schedule. These trips will generally occur within the construction staging period between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. During peak traffic periods on the adjacent major street network, only 16 trucks (8 in, 8 out) are estimated to occur during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours, respectively.

All construction truck deliveries are projected to travel to and from I-5, and the two designated truck routes intended to be used by drivers are Mill Plain Boulevard and Fourth Plain Boulevard. On


occasion, when traffic congestion occurs along I-5, truck drivers may use the designated truck route along Fruit Valley Road via either 39th Street or 78th Street. However, the magnitude of truck trips is anticipated to be small, given the low peak hour truck trip projections and presence of other truck route options. The Applicant will make best efforts to require construction truck drivers to route their deliveries via Mill Plain Boulevard and Fourth Plain Boulevard.

It should also be emphasized, again, that the primary function of the proposed facility is to transfer petroleum from rail cars onto nearby barges. Therefore, post-construction operations of the proposed development are not expected to generate any significant truck traffic on the external street network save for typical delivery and service vehicles.

V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALIZED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL
OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALIZED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT CONTROL
DELAY (UNSIGNALIZED)

CH HEAVE OLD A COLONIER ROSE COLUMBIA RIVER 501 GATENAY Adagosope Da Southbound approach has "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" Sign 501 FRUIT VALLEY RD LAIN BLVD_



CONSTRUCTION YEAR 2014 TRAFFIC WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR VANCOUVER, WA

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

Southbound approach has "Right Turn Permitted Without Stopping" Sign

CM = CRITICAL MOVEMENT (UNSIGNALIZED)
LOS = INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT LEVEL
OF SERVICE (UNSIGNALIZED)
Del = INTERSECTION AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY
(SIGNALIZED)/CRITICAL MOVEMENT CONTROL
DELAY (UNSIGNALIZED)

CH HEAVE OLD A COLONIER ROSE COLUMBIA RIVER 501 GATENAY Adagosope Da 501 FRUIT VALLEY RD LAIN BLVD_

> 2014 TOTAL TRAFFIC SITE-GENERATED 2014 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS TRAFFIC TRAFFIC CONDITIONS OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ OWER RIVER RD (SR 501) GATEWAY AVENUE/ LOWER RIVER RD (SR 501) 125 = **②** <u>≤</u> \$ 7 \$ % -OURTH PLAIN BLVD/ LL PLAIN BLVD (SR 501) OLD LOWER RIVER RD/ *
> OLD ALCOA FACILITY
> ACCESS RD CM-NB LOS-B Del-11.7 CM-NB LOS-B Del-11.7

CONSTRUCTION YEAR 2014 TRAFFIC WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR VANCOUVER, WA

Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis

INTERSECTION TRAFFIC CONTROL CHANGES

While the operational analyses documented in this report found adequate capacity is available at the study intersections, four traffic control changes and/or enhancements are recommended as described below¹.

- The applicant should work with the Port of Vancouver and City of Vancouver to post a 25 MPH speed limit on Old Lower River Road south of SR 501, where no posted speed sign exists.
- Based on a review of turn movement patterns, intersection configuration, and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD, Reference 7), the applicant should work with the Port and WSDOT to post a YIELD sign to control the channelized northbound right-turn maneuver from Old Lower River Road onto SR 501. A YIELD sign is appropriate given that northbound right-turn drivers have sufficient sight distance to make a decision to enter and merge with the highway traffic stream, and the ability to enter the highway without stopping reduces the time and distance drivers need to fully merge into the through lane, benefiting both side street and highway traffic.
- The applicant should work with the Port and City of Vancouver to reconfigure traffic control devices at the Old Lower River Road/Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection.
- The applicant should work with the Port to add texturing/coloring treatments to the striped crosswalk on the private access approach to Lower River Road (SR 501), between the Far West Steel operation and the proposed Storage Tank area. This treatment is intended to enhance the safety of bicyclists and pedestrians using this crosswalk as part of the adjacent multi-use path.

CONCURRENCY CORRIDOR TRIP ASSIGNMENT

As part of the transportation impact analysis, the number of trips assigned to the City of Vancouver's Transportation concurrency corridors has been evaluated. The two corridors impacted by the development are Mill Plain Boulevard (Fourth Plain Boulevard to I-5) and Fourth Plain Boulevard (Mill Plain Boulevard to I-5).

Table 15 summarizes the total number of weekday p.m. peak hour trips entering each of the City's adopted concurrency corridors. Assigned trips were recorded counting trips only once along each of the specified sections.

¹ Regardless of site development, the changes below could also be implemented by the Port of Vancouver in advance of site development, subject to local agency and Port approval.

Table 15: Concurrency Corridor Weekday PM Peak Hour Trip Assignment

Corridor Name	Corridor Limit	PM Peak Hour Trips to Corridor
	Fourth Plain to I-5	20
	I-5 to Andresen	0
Mill Plain Blvd.	Andresen to I-205	0
IVIIII PIAITI BIVU.	I-205 to 136 th Ave.	0
	136 th Ave. to 164 th Ave.	0
	164 th Ave. to 192 nd Ave.	0
St. Johns / Ft. Van Way	Mill Plain to 63 rd St.	0
	Mill Plain to I-5	26
Countly Digita Divid	I-5 to Andresen	0
Fourth Plain Blvd.	Andresen to I-205	0
	I-205 to 162 nd Ave.	0
A . d D d	Mill Plain to SR500	0
Andresen Road	SR500 to 78 th St.	0
112 th Avenue	Mill Plain to 28 th St.	0
112 Avenue	28 th St. to 51 st St.	0
164 th /162 nd Avenue	SR14 to SE 1 st St.	0
164 /162 Avenue	SE 1 st St. to Fourth Plain	0
	18 th St. to 112 th Ave.	0
Burton Road / 28 th Street	112 th Ave. to 138 th Ave.	0
	138 th Ave. to 162 nd Ave.	0
10 th Church	112 th Ave. to 138 th Ave.	0
18 th Street	138 th Ave. to 164 th Ave.	0
136 th /137 th Avenue	Mill Plain to 28 th St.	0
136 /13/ Avenue	28 th St. to Fourth Plain	0
192 nd Avenue	SR14 to NE 18 th St.	0

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the transportation impact analysis, the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal can be developed while maintaining acceptable levels of service and safety on the surrounding transportation system. The analysis developed the following findings and recommendations.

Findings

• All study intersections currently operate acceptably during the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours and are projected to do so in 2020 and 2025 with site development.

- A review of historical crash data identified no safety-related mitigation needs at the study intersections.
- Intersection sight distance is adequate at all study intersections.
- The proposed development is estimated to generate 332 additional daily trips, 48 weekday a.m. peak hour trips (40 in, 8 out), and 46 weekday p.m. peak hour trips (10 in, 36 out).

Recommendations

- The applicant should work with the Port of Vancouver and City of Vancouver to post a 25 MPH speed limit on Old Lower River Road south of SR 501, where no posted speed sign exists.
- The applicant should work with the Port and WSDOT to post a YIELD sign to control the channelized northbound right-turn maneuver from Old Lower River Road onto SR 501.
- The applicant should work with the Port and City of Vancouver to reconfigure traffic control devices at the Old Lower River Road/Old Alcoa Facility Access Road intersection.
- The applicant should work with the Port to add texturing/coloring treatments to the striped crosswalk on the private access approach to Lower River Road (SR 501), between the Far West Steel operation and the proposed Storage Tank area.
- Any new landscaping, signage, and/or above-ground utilities installed along the site frontage and internal roadways should be properly located and maintained to ensure that adequate sight distance continues to be available.

We trust this report adequately addresses the traffic impacts and associated with the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report or the analyses performed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Transportation Research Board. Highway Capacity Manual 2000. 2000.
- 2. C-Tran. http://www.c-tran.com. July 2013.
- 3. Parametrix. *Terminal 5 Bulk Potash Handling Facility Transportation Impact Analysis Report*. February 2011.
- 4. Institute of Transportation Engineers. 9th Edition, Trip Generation. 2012.
- 5. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets.* 2011, 6th Edition.
- 6. Kittelson and Associates, Inc. West Vancouver Freight Access Project: Terminal 5
 Transportation Management Plan. May 2012.
- 7. Federal Highway Administration. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. 2009 Edition.

APPENDICES

- A. Level-of-Service Descriptions
- B. Crash Data
- C. Traffic Count Data
- D. 2013 Existing Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets
- E. In-Process Development
- F. Build-Out Year 2020 Baseline Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets
- G. Forecast Year 2025 Baseline Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets
- H. Build-Out Year 2020 Total Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets
- I. Forecast Year 2025 Total Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets
- J. 95th Percentile Queuing Analysis Worksheets
- K. Speed Survey Results for Old Lower River Road
- L. Construction In-Process Development
- M. Construction Year 2014 Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

Appendix A Description of Level-of-Service Methods and Criteria

Level of Service Concept

Level of service (LOS) is a concept developed by traffic engineers to gauge the overall quality of the travel experience through an intersection or roadway segment as it is perceived by the traveler. Six categories are used to denote the various levels of service, which range from A to F.

Signalized Intersections

At signalized intersections, level of service is defined by a single performance measure: average control delay per vehicle. Control delay is defined to include initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. Table A1 provides a qualitative description of each LOS category as it applies to signalized intersections, and Table A2 identifies the average control delay threshold point used as the boundary for each LOS category. LOS thresholds for the specific reviewing jurisdiction(s) are described in the body of the report.

Table A1
Level of Service Definitions (Signalized Intersections)

	Level of Service Definitions (Signatized Intersections)
Level of Service	Average Delay per Vehicle
А	Very low average control delay, less than 10 seconds per vehicle. This occurs when progression is extremely favorable, and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may also contribute to low delay.
В	Average control delay is greater than 10 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 20 seconds per vehicle. This generally occurs with good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop than for a level of service A, causing higher levels of average delay.
С	Average control delay is greater than 20 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 35 seconds per vehicle. These higher delays may result from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level, although many still pass through the intersection without stopping.
D	Average control delay is greater than 35 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 55 seconds per vehicle. The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle length, or high volume/capacity ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.
E	Average control delay is greater than 55 seconds per vehicle and less than or equal to 80 seconds per vehicle. This is usually considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. These high delay values generally (but not always) indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high volume/capacity ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences.
F	Average control delay is in excess of 80 seconds per vehicle. This is considered to be unacceptable to most drivers. This condition often occurs with oversaturation. It may also occur at high volume/capacity ratios below 1.0 with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also contribute to such high delay values, even when the volume/capacity ratio is significantly below 1.0.

Table A2
Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Control Delay per Vehicle (Seconds)
А	≤10
В	>10 and ≤20
С	>20 and ≤35
D	>35 and ≤55
E	>55 and ≤80
F	>80

Unsignalized Intersections

Unsignalized intersections include two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) and all-way stop-controlled (AWSC) intersections. The 2000 Highway Capacity Manual provides models for estimating average control delay at both TWSC and AWSC intersections. Table A3 provides a qualitative description of each LOS category as it applies to unsignalized intersections, and Table A4 identifies the average control delay threshold point used as the boundary for each LOS category. LOS thresholds for the specific reviewing jurisdiction(s) are described in the body of the report.

Table A3
Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Delay per Vehicle to Minor Street
А	 Nearly all drivers find freedom of operation with very little time spent waiting for an acceptable gap. Very seldom is there more than one vehicle in queue.
В	 Some drivers begin to consider the average control delay an inconvenience, but acceptable gaps are still very easy to find. Occasionally there is more than one vehicle in queue.
С	 Average control delay becomes noticeable to most drivers, even though acceptable gaps are found on a regular basis. It is not uncommon for an arriving driver to find a standing queue of at least one additional vehicle.
D	 Average control delay is long enough to be an irritation to most drivers. Average control delay is long because acceptable gaps are hard to find, because there is a standing queue of vehicles already waiting when the driver arrives, or both.
E	 Drivers find the length of the average control delay approaching intolerable levels. Average control delay is long because acceptable gaps are hard to find, because there is a standing queue of vehicles already waiting when the driver arrives, or both. There may or may not be substantial excess capacity remaining at the intersection when this condition is encountered.
F	 Most drivers encountering this condition consider the length of the average control delay to be too long. Average control delay is long because acceptable gaps are hard to find, because there is a standing queue of vehicles already waiting when the driver arrives, or both. There may or may not be substantial excess capacity remaining at the intersection when this condition is encountered.

Table A4
Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Control Delay per Vehicle (Seconds)
А	≤10
В	>10 and ≤15
С	>15 and ≤25
D	>25 and ≤35
E	>35 and ≤50
F	>50

It should be noted that the level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections are somewhat different than the criteria used for signalized intersections. The primary reason for this difference is that drivers expect different levels of performance from different kinds of transportation facilities. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes than an unsignalized intersection. Additionally, there are a number of driver behavior considerations that combine to make delays at signalized intersections less onerous than at unsignalized intersections. For example, drivers at signalized intersections are able to relax during the red interval, while drivers on the minor street approaches to TWSC intersections must remain attentive to the task of identifying acceptable gaps and vehicle conflicts. Also, there is often much more variability in the amount of delay experienced by individual drivers at unsignalized intersections than signalized intersections. For these reasons, the control delay threshold for any given level of service has been set to be less for an unsignalized intersection than for a signalized intersection. While overall intersection level of service is calculated for AWSC intersections, level of service is only calculated for the minor approaches and the major street left turn movements at TWSC intersections. No delay is assumed to the major street through movements. For TWSC intersections, the overall intersection level of service remains undefined: level of service is only calculated for each minor street lane.

In the performance evaluation of unsignalized intersections, it is important to consider other measures of effectiveness (MOE's) in addition to delay, such as v/c ratios for individual movements, average queue lengths, and 95th-percentile queue lengths. By focusing on a single MOE for the worst movement only, such as delay for the minor-street left turn, users may make inappropriate traffic control decisions.

Appendix B Crash Data

UNDER 23 UNITED STATES CODE - SECTION 409. THIS DATA CANNOT BE USED IN DISCOVERY OR AS EVIDENCE AT TRIAL IN ANY ACTION FOR DAMAGES AGAINST THE WSDOT. OR ANY JURISDICTION INVOLVED IN THE DA

				-																	
		COMP																			
		DIST DIR																			
		FROM FROM				R	DADWAY						VEH 1				MV DRIVER	VEH 2		PEDCYCLIST	T
MILE	BLOCK INTERSECTING	REF REF	*REPORT MOST SEVERE				URFACE						COMP DIR	EH 1 COMP DIR			CONT CIRC 1	COMP DIR VEH	1 2 COMP DIR PEI	DCYCLIST ACTION CONT CIRC :	1 IMPACT LOCATION (City, County & Misc Trafficways -
JURISDICTION PRIMARY TRAFFICWAY POST	NUMBER TRAFFICWAY	POINT MI or FT POINT REFERENCE POINT NAME	NUMBER DATE TIME INJURY TYPE I	# INJ #FAT #VEH #PEDA	IL JUNCTION RELATIONSHIP	WEATHER CO	NDITIONS LIGHTING CONDITION	NS FIRST COLLISION TYPE / OBJECT STRUCK	VEHICLE 1 TYPE	VEH 1 ACTION	MV DRIVER CONT CIRC 1 (UNIT 1)) MV DRIVER CONT CIRC 2 (UNIT 1)	FROM	TO	EHICLE 2 TYPE	VEH 2 ACTION	(UNIT 2)	FROM	TO	(UNIT 2) (UNIT 2)	2010 forward)
City Street NW LOWER RIVER RD	6800	800 F W NW ERWIN D RIEGER HWY	3241661 07/12/09 7:57 PM Possible Injury	1 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Raining We	t Daylight	Vehicle overturned	Motorcycle	Going Straight Ahead	Under Influence of Alcohol	Under Influence of Drugs	West E	st							
City Street FRUIT VALLEY RD		75 F N W FOURTH PLAIN BLVD	3319581 07/08/10 5:12 PM No Injury	0 0 2	At Driveway	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	Entering at angle	Passenger Car	Making Left Turn	Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle		East S	uth Motorcycle		Going Straight Ahead	None	South Norti	:h		Lane of Primary Trafficway
City Street NW LOWER RVR RD		0.25 M W W MILL PLAIN BLVD	2998371 11/01/08 11:02 AM No Injury	0 0 2	At Driveway	Raining We	t Daylight	One car entering driveway access	Passenger Car	Making Left Turn	Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle	Driver Distractions Outside Vehicle	East S	uth Pickup, Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	None	West East			
City Street FRUIT VALLEY RD	4 PLAIN BLVD		C700870 03/04/08 7:30 AM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Overcast Dry	Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - one stopped - rear-end	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead			North S	uth Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Stopped at Signal or Stop Sign		North Vehic	icle Stopped		
City Street FRUIT VALLEY RD	FOURTH PLAIN BLVD		C708538 05/18/08 4:05 PM Possible Injury	1 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - one stopped - rear-end	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Stopped at Signal or Stop Sign			South \	hicle Stopped Not Stated		Going Straight Ahead		South North	:h		
City Street W 4 PLAIN BLVD	FRUIT VALLEY RD		2815573 04/07/09 10:04 AM Unknown	0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	Entering at angle	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Making Right Turn	Improper Turn	Driver Distractions Outside Vehicle	North \	est Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Stopped for Traffic	None	West Vehic	icle Stopped		
City Street W FOURTH PLAIN BLVD	2000 NW FRUIT VALLEY RD		3432316 08/30/11 9:50 PM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Dark-Street Lights C	n Entering at angle	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	Disregard Stop and Go Light		West E	st Passenger Car		Making Left Turn	None	South West	t		Lane of Primary Trafficway
City Street FRUIT VALLEY RD	W FOURTH PLAIN BLVD			0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - one stopped - rear-end	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Follow Too Closely		North S	uth Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Stopped at Signal or Stop Sign	None	North Vehic	icle Stopped		
City Street NW FRUIT VALLEY RD	2600 W FOURTH PLAIN BLVD		3432569 09/03/11 11:26 PM No Injury	0 0 1	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Dark-Street Lights C		Passenger Car	Making Left Turn	Under Influence of Alcohol	Exceeding Reas. Safe Speed	West 1	orth							Past the Outside Shoulder of Primary Trafficway
City Street NW FRUIT VALLEY RD	2600 W FOURTH PLAIN BLVD		3432701 06/05/11 8:15 PM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From opposite direction - both going straight - sideswipe	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Over Center Line		South 1	orth Passenger Car		Going Straight Ahead	None	North South	:h		Lane of Primary Trafficway
State Route 501 2.03	3		3319664 06/26/10 12:37 PM Evident Injury	1 0 1	At Intersection and Not Related	Unknown Dry	Daylight	Vehicle overturned	Motorcycle	Going Straight Ahead	None		South 1	orth							Lane 1 Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.03	3		2998685 08/12/08 12:09 PM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From opposite direction - one left turn - one straight	Passenger Car	Making Left Turn	Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle		Northeast S	utheast Passenger Car		Going Straight Ahead	None	Southwest North	heast		Lane 2 Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.03	3		3327378 06/15/10 11:36 AM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy We	t Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - both moving - sideswipe	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	Other		North S	uth Bus or Motor Stag	:	Going Straight Ahead	None	North South	:h		Lane 1 Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.03	3		2814245 10/05/10 12:04 AM No Injury	0 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Dark-No Street Light	s Same direction both turning left both moving sideswipe	Not Stated	Making Left Turn	Improper Turn		West 1	orth Truck Tractor & S	mi-Trailer	Making Left Turn	None	West Norti	:h		Intersecting Road Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.14	4		3322720 04/30/11 7:07 PM Possible Injury	2 0 3	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - both moving - rear-end	Motorcycle	Going Straight Ahead	Follow Too Closely		West E	st Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Changing Lanes	Inattention	West East			Lane 2 Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.14	4		E203234 10/30/12 1:02 PM Possible Injury	1 0 2	Driveway Related but Not at Driveway	Raining We	t Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - both moving - rear-end	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Follow Too Closely		East \	est Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Slowing	None	East West	t		Lane 1 Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.32	2		2998961 05/09/08 4:33 PM Possible Injury	3 0 2	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight t Daylight Daylight	From same direction - both going straight - one stopped - rear-end	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	Unknown Driver Distraction		Northwest S		or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Stopped for Traffic	None	Northwest Vehic	icle Stopped		Lane 1 Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.36	6			1 0 1 1	At Driveway	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	Vehicle - Pedalcyclist	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Making Left Turn	Fail to Yield Row to Pedestrian		Southeast S	uthwest					Rid	ding with Traffic None	Right Shoulder Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.39	9		2813749 03/21/09 5:22 AM Possible Injury	1 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Raining We	t Dark-Street Lights C	n Roadway Ditch	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Apparently Asleep		Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.45	5		E091902 02/13/11 8:47 PM No Injury	0 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy We	t Dark-Street Lights C	Tree or Stump (stationary)	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Apparently III	Over Center Line	Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.50	0		2815057 05/04/08 1:01 AM Serious Injury	3 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Dark-No Street Light	s Other Objects	Passenger Car Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Exceeding Reas. Safe Speed		Northwest S	utheast							Past Right Shoulder Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.5:	1		2993460 02/05/08 3:12 PM Evident Injury	1 0 1	Driveway Related but Not at Driveway	Raining We	t Daylight	Vehicle overturned	Truck (Flatbad, Van, etc)	Going Straight Ahead	Inattention		Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.53	3			1 0 2	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	From opposite direction - both moving - head-on	Motorcycle	Going Straight Ahead	Exceeding Reas. Safe Speed	Over Center Line	West E		or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	None	East West	t		Lane 1 Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.63	3		3319469 04/17/11 1:17 AM Serious Injury	4 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Dark-No Street Light	s Vehicle overturned	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Exceeding Stated Speed Limit		Northwest S	utheast							Past Right Shoulder Decreasing Milepost
State Route 501 2.65	5		3432519 09/28/11 5:40 AM Evident Injury	1 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy We	t Dark-No Street Light	S Over Embankment - No Guardrail Present	Pickup, Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	Unknown Driver Distraction		Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 3.43	1		3613647 11/04/12 7:32 AM No Injury	0 0 1	At Intersection and Not Related	Overcast We	t Unknown	Over Embankment - No Guardrail Present	Passenger Car	Going Straight Ahead	Other		Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 3.44	4		3322175 05/29/10 5:46 PM Possible Injury	1 0 1	Not at Intersection and Not Related	Clear or Partly Cloudy Dry	Daylight	Tree or Stump (stationary)	Pickup,Panel Truck or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	Other		Southeast 1	orthwest							Past Right Shoulder Increasing Milepost
State Route 501 4.10	0		2998687 08/20/08 10:29 AM Possible Injury	2 0 2	At Intersection and Related	Raining We	t Daylight	From opposite direction - one left turn - one straight	Passenger Car	Making Left Turn	Did Not Grant RW to Vehicle		Southeast S	uthwest Pickup,Panel Truc	or Vanette under 10,000 lb	Going Straight Ahead	None	Northwest South	theast		Lane 1 Decreasing Milepost

Collision not at study intersection

ACCIDENT ANALYSIS

Project Name: Tesoro/Savage Petroleum Terminal

 Project Number:
 13574

 Analyst:
 AXM

 Date:
 07/03/2013

Filename: C:\Users\amalinge\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Window


KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.


610 SW Alder, Suite 700 Portland, Oregon 97205


(503) 228-5230 Fax: (503) 273-8169


INTERSECTION ANALYSIS


	W 0111	147 5		
Intersection: NV	V Old Lower River Rd/SR 501	Mile Post		
Vahialaa Entaring Inter	section = 1,57	\		
Vehicles Entering Inters Number of Accidents =		1		
Time Period =		5		
Time r enou =		,		
Accident Rate =		1,000,000	0.35 Accidents / mev	
7.00.00.11.11.00	1,570 36		GIGG / ICOIACING / INCI	
	1,515	-1		
Intersection: NV	V Gateway Ave/SR 501	Mile Post		
Vehicles Entering Inter	section = 2,19)		
Number of Accidents =		1		
Time Period =		5		
Accident Rate =	<u> </u>	1,000,000	0.25 Accidents / mev	
	2,190 36			
	•	•		
Intersection: W	Fourth Plain Blvd/W Mill Plain Blvd	Mile Post		
Vehicles Entering Inter	section = 6,67)		
Number of Accidents =		1		
Time Period =		5		
Accident Rate =		1,000,000	0.33 Accidents / mev	
	6,670 36	5 5		
Intersection: NV	V Old Lower River Rd/NW Old Low	e Mile Post		
Vehicles Entering Inters	section = 78	1		
Number of Accidents =)		
Time Period =		5		
Timo Fonoa –				
Accident Rate =	1	1,000,000	0.00 Accidents / mev	
	780 36			
		1		























Appendix D 2013 Existing Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service WorksheetsCrash Data

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1>		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	14	0	53	36	0	25
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	0	72	49	0	34
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			19		211	19
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			19		211	19
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.0
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			95		100	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1471		744	899
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	19	72	49	34		
Volume Left	0	72	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	34		
cSH	1700	1471	1700	449		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	4	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.6	0.0	13.7		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.5		13.7		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		13.3%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, , , ,						

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1>		ች	†	*/*	
Volume (veh/h)	37	0	60	95	0	52
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	43	0	69	109	0	60
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			44		291	44
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			44		291	44
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			95		100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)			1439		670	980
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ED 4	VAID 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	43	69	109	60		
Volume Left	0	69	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	60		
cSH	1700	1439	1700	980		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	4	0	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.6	0.0	8.9		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.0		8.9		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			3.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		20.0%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
,						

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	1	†	~	/	↓	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	∱ ⊅		ሻ	↑	7		4			र्स	7
Volume (vph)	36	68	2	11	170	55	0	2	2	318	22	190
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85		1.00 0.93			1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	2673	2511		1327	1545	1308		1174			1659	1468
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.74	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2511		1327	1545	1308		1174			1281	1468
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Adj. Flow (vph)	42	79	2	13	198	64	0.00	2	2	370	26	221
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	42	80	0	13	198	64	0	3	0	0	396	221
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1					1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.3	31.4		1.4	27.5	72.1		24.3			24.3	72.1
Effective Green, g (s)	5.3	31.4		1.4	27.5	72.1		24.3			24.3	72.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.44		0.02	0.38	1.00		0.34			0.34	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.0			2.0			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	196	1094		26	589	1308		396			432	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	0.02	0.03		0.01	c0.13	0.05		0.00			0.04	0.45
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04	0.07		0.50	0.24	0.05		0.04			c0.31	c0.15
v/c Ratio	0.21	0.07 11.9		0.50 35.0	0.34 15.8	0.05		0.01 15.9			0.92	0.15
Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor	31.4 1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.0 1.00		1.00			22.9 1.00	0.0 1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.1		5.4	1.5	0.1		0.0			23.6	0.2
Delay (s)	31.6	12.0		40.4	17.4	0.1		15.9			46.5	0.2
Level of Service	C C	В		D	В	Α		В			40.5 D	Α
Approach Delay (s)	U	18.7		D	14.4	Λ		15.9			29.9	А
Approach LOS		В			В			В			C	
••												
Intersection Summary			04.0		0141							
HCM Values to Consolity and			24.3	Н	CM Level	of Service			С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio)		0.53		() (/ · \			40.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			72.1		um of lost				10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization)f1		56.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perf	ormance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.91	17.8
18X	R	9	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.86	17.8
Approac	h	11	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	11	88.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.84	18.9
16X	R	60	24.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.63	18.9
Approac	h	71	33.7	0.066	8.6	LOSA	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.66	18.9
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rc								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.6
2X	Т	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.6
Approac	h	4	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.6
All Vehic	eles	111	42.1	0.066	7.0	NA	0.8	24.4	0.25	0.67	19.5

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:36:20 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: EXAM

Movement EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBR Lane Configurations Image: Configuration of the co
Lane Configurations Image: Configuration of the confi
Volume (veh/h) 37 0 27 32 1 60 Sign Control Free Free Stop Grade 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 Hourly flow rate (vph) 46 0 34 40 1 75
Sign Control Free Free Stop Grade 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 Hourly flow rate (vph) 46 0 34 40 1 75
Grade 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 Hourly flow rate (vph) 46 0 34 40 1 75
Hourly flow rate (vph) 46 0 34 40 1 75
•
Lane Width (ft)
Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh) 2
Median type None None
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (ft)
pX, platoon unblocked
vC, conflicting volume 46 154 46
vC1, stage 1 conf vol
vC2, stage 2 conf vol
vCu, unblocked vol 46 154 46
tC, single (s) 4.4 6.4 6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)
tF (s) 2.5 3.5 3.5
p0 queue free % 98 100 92
cM capacity (veh/h) 1384 822 967
Direction, Lane # EB 1 WB 1 WB 2 NB 1
Volume Total 46 34 40 76
Volume Left 0 34 0 1
Volume Right 0 0 0 75
cSH 1700 1384 1700 983
Volume to Capacity 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.08
Queue Length 95th (ft) 0 2 0 6
Control Delay (s) 0.0 7.7 0.0 9.0
Lane LOS A A
Approach Delay (s) 0.0 3.5 9.0
Approach LOS A
Intersection Summary
Average Delay 4.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 18.2% ICU Level of Service
Analysis Period (min) 15

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	T _P		ሻ	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	99	1	13	62	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	132	1	17	83	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			133		250	133
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			133		250	133
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.0
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			99		100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)			1332		733	898
					. 00	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	133	17	83	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1332	1700	894		
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.01	0.05	0.07		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	0	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.3		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.3		9.3		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		17.4%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. ,						

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	\	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1/4	∱ ⊅		, j	^	7		4			ર્ન	7
Volume (vph)	182	140	0	4	58	108	0	19	13	80	3	60
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt Flt Protected	1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00 0.95	1.00 1.00	0.85 1.00		0.94 1.00			1.00 0.95	0.85
Satd. Flow (prot)	0.95 3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1627	1292
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.71	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1204	1292
	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Peak-hour factor, PHF Adj. Flow (vph)	225	173	0.61	5	72	133	0.61	23	16	99	4	74
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	225	173	0	5	72	133	0	26	0	0	103	74
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	220	173	U	3	12	100	U	20	2	2	100	74
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot	1070	0,0	Prot	1070	Free	Perm	0 70	0.70	Perm	0.70	Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	1100		4		1 01111	8	1 100
Permitted Phases		_		•		Free	4	•		8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.8	38.9		1.2	29.3	65.7		10.6			10.6	65.7
Effective Green, g (s)	10.8	38.9		1.2	29.3	65.7		10.6			10.6	65.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.16	0.59		0.02	0.45	1.00		0.16			0.16	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.0			2.0			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	505	1843		19	593	1491		256			194	1292
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.07	0.06		0.00	c0.05			0.02				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.09					c0.09	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.45	0.09		0.26	0.12	0.09		0.10			0.53	0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	24.8	5.8		31.8	10.7	0.0		23.5			25.3	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.1		2.7	0.4	0.1		0.1			1.4	0.1
Delay (s)	25.0	5.9		34.5	11.1	0.1		23.5			26.7	0.1
Level of Service	С	Α		С	В	Α		С			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		16.7			4.7			23.5			15.6	
Approach LOS		В			Α			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	•		13.7	Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.28						4-0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			65.7		um of lost				15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		36.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perf	ormance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW 0	Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
8X	T	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.85	18.7
18X	R	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.83	18.6
Approac	h	3	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.84	18.6
North Ea	ast: NW C	ld Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	1	0.0	0.027	7.7	LOS A	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.86	19.2
16X	R	36	22.0	0.027	7.7	LOS A	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.67	19.1
Approac	h	37	21.2	0.027	7.7	LOSA	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.68	19.1
North We	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
7X	L	80	23.0	0.054	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.054	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	81	22.6	0.054	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	est: Priva	te Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.5
2X	Т	1	0.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOSA	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.5
Approac	h	4	2.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.5
All Vehic	eles	125	21.1	0.054	2.8	NA	0.2	6.1	0.10	0.61	21.3

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:37:22 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING





Table 8. Terminal 5 Project-Related Trips

				To	tal Trip En	ds ^b		
		Daily	Ai	M Peak Tr	ips	F	M Peak Tr	ips
Category of Trip	Units	Trips	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Day Shift Employees and Visitors a						_		Total
Operations	12	24	12	0	12	0	0	0
Maintenance	12	24	12	0	12	0	0	0
Site Administration & Service Providers	16	32	0	0	0	0	16	16
	40	80	24	0	24	0	16	16
Night Shift ^c							10	10
Operations & Maintenance	12	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trucks ^d	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL TRIP ENDS		106	24	0	24	0	16	16

All operations and maintenance workers anticipated to arrive between 7 and 8 AM, while site administration and service providers to arrive between 8 and 9 AM. Maintenance anticipated to leave between 4 and 5 PM, and operations to depart either before 4 PM or after 6 PM.

Assumes a "typical" day when a ship is in port.

Night shift is anticipated to be either a single or double shift with approximately 3 to 12 persons at any given time. Table assumes a maximum of 12,

For site-related deliveries such as fuel. Cargo will arrive by rail and depart by ship. Arrival/departure assumed to occur out of


Fourth Plain Boulevard and Mill Plain Boulevard currently serve as the primary routes between Terminal 5 and destinations beyond the study area. The Fruit Valley Road corridor also attracts some project-related traffic, primarily related to employees and visitors accessing the site. For inbound project traffic, an average of 43 percent is expected to use Fourth Plain Boulevard, 42 percent is expected to use Mill Plain Boulevard, and about 14 percent would use Fruit Valley Road. For outbound traffic, about 50 percent is anticipated to use Fourth Plain Boulevard, 32 percent to use Mill Plain Boulevard and 18 percent to use Fruit Valley Road. Much of the inbound and outbound project traffic using Fourth Plain and Mill Plain Boulevards would travel to/from I-5, with some auto traffic diffusing onto other streets in the study area to accommodate multiple hometo-work trips. Trip distribution assumptions for autos used in the analysis of potential Terminal 5 traffic impacts are illustrated in Figure 6.

It is anticipated that inbound and outbound truck trips generated by the Terminal 5 operations will be primarily focused on travel between the project site and I-5. Between these points, truck traffic is expected to primarily use the Mill Plain and Fourth Plain Boulevard corridors. Truck trip distribution percentages were roughly split between the corridors, with 60 percent using Mill Plain Boulevard (the designated state highway and primary access route to the south) and 40 percent using Fourth Plain Boulevard (the primary access route to the north).

4.4 TRAFFIC VOLUMES WITH TERMINAL 5 OPERATIONS

The trip distribution patterns described above were used to assign trips associated with Terminal 5 operations onto the surrounding street network. These trips are illustrated in Figure 7.

Of project-related AM peak hour inbound trips, 11 would be made via Fourth Plain Boulevard, 10 via Mill Plain Boulevard, and 3 via Fruit Valley Road. No AM peak hour outbound trips are expected.






LEGEND

★XXX (XXX) TURNING MOVEMENT BY DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC - AM (PM)

Figure 7 Terminal 5 AM (PM) Peak Hour **Turning Movements** TERMINAL 5



LEGEND

XXX (XXX) TURNING MOVEMENT BY DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC - AM (PM)

Figure 8 2020 AM (PM) Peak Hour **Turning Movements with** Baseline, Parcel 8, and Terminal 5 TERMINAL 5

Appendix F Build-Out Year 2020 Baseline Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

	→	•	•	←	•	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4		*		*	7
Volume (veh/h)	15	0	53	40	0	25
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	0	72	54	0	34
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			20		218	20
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			20		218	20
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			95		100	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1470		737	897
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	20	72	54	34		
Volume Left	0	72	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	34		
cSH	1700	1470	1700	449		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.01	4	0.03	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.6	0.0	13.7		
Lane LOS	0.0	7.0 A	0.0	13.7 B		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.3		13.7		
Approach LOS	0.0	4.0		13.7 B		
				ь		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		13.3%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	•	•	←	4	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	₽		ሻ	*	W	
Volume (veh/h)	41	0	84	105	0	68
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	0	97	121	0	78
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	110110			140110		
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			48		362	48
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			70		JU2	70
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			48		362	48
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)			7.0		0.4	0.4
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			93		100	92
cM capacity (veh/h)			1433		597	974
					331	314
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	47	97	121	78		
Volume Left	0	97	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	78		
cSH	1700	1433	1700	974		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	5	0	7		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.0		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.4		9.0		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		22.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, ,						

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	1	†	~	/	ţ	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	14.44	∱ ⊅		ሻ	↑	7		4			4	7
Volume (vph)	51	80	0	12	198	61	0	2	2	351	24	224
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85		1.00 0.93			1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1659	1468
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.74	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1280	1468
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Adj. Flow (vph)	59	93	0.00	14	230	71	0.00	2	2	408	28	260
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	59	93	0	14	230	71	0	3	0	0	436	260
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1					1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.2	18.7		1.2	15.7	61.6		26.7			26.7	61.6
Effective Green, g (s)	4.2	18.7		1.2	15.7	61.6		26.7			26.7	61.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.30		0.02	0.25	1.00		0.43			0.43	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	182	766		26	394	1308		509			555	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.02	0.04		0.01	c0.15	0.05		0.00			0.04	0.40
v/s Ratio Perm	0.00	0.40		0.54	0.50	0.05		0.04			c0.34	c0.18
v/c Ratio	0.32	0.12 15.5		0.54 29.9	0.58 20.1	0.05 0.0		0.01 9.9			0.79	0.18
Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor	27.3 1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			15.0 1.00	0.0 1.00
	0.4	0.0		10.3	1.4	0.1		0.0			6.7	0.3
Incremental Delay, d2 Delay (s)	27.7	15.5		40.2	21.5	0.1		9.9			21.7	0.3
Level of Service	C C	В		70.2 D	C C	Α		Α			C C	0.5 A
Approach Delay (s)	O	20.3		D	17.5	А		9.9			13.7	А
Approach LOS		C			В			A			В	
••												
Intersection Summary			45.0		0141							
HCM Velume to Connection	•		15.6	H	UM Level	of Service			В			
HCM Volume to Capacity n	ัสแ0		0.68	0	um of la-4	time (a)			15.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	otion		61.6		um of lost				15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	allOII		57.0%	IC	o Level C	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ont Dord	ormanaa V	obiolog								
wovem	enii Peri	ormance - V	enicles	Dea	A	Lovelof	OFIV Deak	f O	Duan		A. (0.00000
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow	HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delav	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec	OCI VICC	veh	ft	Queucu	per veh	mph
South Ea	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive									
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.91	17.8
18X	R	9	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.86	17.8
Approac	h	11	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	11	88.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.84	18.9
16X	R	60	24.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.63	18.9
Approac	h	71	33.7	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.66	18.9
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.6
2X	Т	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.6
Approac	h	4	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.6
All Vehic	eles	111	42.1	0.066	7.0	NA	0.8	24.4	0.25	0.67	19.5

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:42:25 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: BKAM_2020

	-	•	•	•	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f)		ሻ		ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	41	0	27	35	1	60
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	51	0	34	44	1	75
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			51		162	51
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			51		162	51
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			98		100	92
cM capacity (veh/h)			1378		813	960
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	51	34	44	76		
Volume Left	0	34	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	75		
cSH	1700	1378	1700	976		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	2	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.1		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.3		9.1		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		18.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	1	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f		ች		W	
Volume (veh/h)	109	1	13	69	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	145	1	17	92	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			147		273	146
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					•	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			147		273	146
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)					.	0.0
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			99		100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)			1316		712	883
· · · · · · · · ·	ED 4	14/D 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	147	17	92	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1316	1700	878		
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.01	0.05	0.07		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	0	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.8	0.0	9.4		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.2		9.4		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		17.4%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. , ,						

	۶	→	•	€	←	4	1	†	~	/	↓	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	14.44	∱ ∱		ሻ	†	7		4			र्स	7
Volume (vph)	201	155	0	4	64	119	0	21	14	88	3	66
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85		1.00 0.95			1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1594			1631	1292
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.70	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1594			1200	1292
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	248	191	0.01	5	79	147	0.01	26	17	109	4	81
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	248	191	0	5	79	147	0	29	0	0	113	81
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									2	2		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.3	22.1		1.0	13.8	47.0		8.9			8.9	47.0
Effective Green, g (s)	9.3	22.1		1.0	13.8	47.0		8.9			8.9	47.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.47		0.02	0.29	1.00		0.19			0.19	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	608	1463		22	390	1491		302			227	1292
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.08	0.06		0.00	c0.06	0.40		0.02			0.00	0.00
v/s Ratio Perm	0.44	0.40		0.00	0.00	0.10		0.40			c0.09	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.41 16.4	0.13		0.23 22.6	0.20 12.5	0.10		0.10 15.7			0.50	0.06
Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor	1.00	7.0 1.00		1.00	1.00	0.0 1.00		1.00			17.0 1.00	0.0 1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.0		1.00	0.1	0.1		0.1			0.6	0.1
Delay (s)	16.6	7.0		24.5	12.6	0.1		15.8			17.7	0.1
Level of Service	В	Α.		24.5 C	В	Α		В			В	Α
Approach Delay (s)		12.4		U	4.9	7.		15.8			10.3	,,
Approach LOS		В			A			В			В	
••												
Intersection Summary			40.0		OM Lavad	-f O-mil						
ICM Average Control Delay 10.2 ICM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.34				HCM Level of Service					В			
	actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.0				um of loc	time (a)			15.0			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			32.4%		um of lost	of Service						
Analysis Period (min)	allUII		32.4% 15	IC	O Level (JI SELVICE			Α			
Analysis Fellou (IIIII)			15									

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
8X	T	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.85	18.7
18X	R	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOSA	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.83	18.6
Approac	h	3	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.84	18.6
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	1	0.0	0.027	7.7	LOSA	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.86	19.2
16X	R	36	22.0	0.027	7.7	LOSA	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.67	19.1
Approac	h	37	21.2	0.027	7.7	LOSA	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.68	19.1
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	80	23.0	0.054	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.054	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	81	22.6	0.054	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.5
2X	Т	1	0.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.5
Approac	h	4	2.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.5
All Vehic	eles	125	21.1	0.054	2.8	NA	0.2	6.1	0.10	0.61	21.3

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:38:59 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: BKPM_2020

Appendix G Forecast Year 2025 Baseline Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

	-	•	•	•		~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f		ሻ	†	ች	7
Volume (veh/h)	17	0	53	42	0	25
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	0	72	57	0	34
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			23		223	23
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			23		223	23
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			95		100	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1466		732	894
	ED 4	MD 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	23	72	57	34		
Volume Left	0	72	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	34		
cSH	1700	1466	1700	447		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	4	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.6	0.0	13.7		
Lane LOS	0.0	A		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.2		13.7		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		13.3%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. ,						

	-	•	•	←	4	/	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	\$		ች	†	W		
Volume (veh/h)	44	0	84	112	0	68	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	51	0	97	129	0	78	
Pedestrians					1		
Lane Width (ft)					12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			52		373	52	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			52		373	52	
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5	
p0 queue free %			93		100	92	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1429		588	969	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1			
Volume Total	51	97	129	78			
Volume Left	0	97	0	0			
Volume Right	0	0	0	78			
cSH	1700	1429	1700	969			
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.08			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	5	0	7			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.0			
Lane LOS		Α		Α			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.3		9.0			
Approach LOS				А			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			4.1				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		22.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٠	→	•	•	←	4	1	†	/	/	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1,614	∱ ∱		¥	^	7		4			र्स	7
Volume (vph)	53	85	0	13	211	65	0	2	2	375	26	238
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.93			1.00	0.85
Fit Protected	0.95 2673	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00 1174			0.96 1659	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted	0.95	2524 1.00		1327 0.95	1545 1.00	1308 1.00		1.00			0.74	1468 1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1281	1468
			0.86			0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86 62	0.86 99		0.86 15	0.86 245	76	0.00	0.86	0.86	436	0.86 30	0.86 277
Adj. Flow (vph) RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	99	0	0	245	0	0	1	0	430	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	99	0	15	245	76	0	3	0	0	466	277
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	02	99	1	15	240	70	U	J	1	1	400	211
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				ı		1			·	'		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot	70 / 0	0070	Prot	2070	Free	Perm	10070	070	Perm	1 7 70	Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	1100	1 01111	4		1 01111	8	1100
Permitted Phases		_		'		Free	4	•		8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.2	19.1		1.2	16.1	62.0	•	26.7			26.7	62.0
Effective Green, g (s)	4.2	19.1		1.2	16.1	62.0		26.7			26.7	62.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.31		0.02	0.26	1.00		0.43			0.43	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	181	778		26	401	1308		506			552	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.02	0.04		0.01	c0.16			0.00				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.06					c0.36	c0.19
v/c Ratio	0.34	0.13		0.58	0.61	0.06		0.01			0.84	0.19
Uniform Delay, d1	27.6	15.4		30.1	20.2	0.0		10.1			15.8	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	0.0		17.8	1.9	0.1		0.0			10.9	0.3
Delay (s)	28.0	15.5		47.9	22.1	0.1		10.1			26.7	0.3
Level of Service	С	В		D	С	Α		В			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		20.3			18.3			10.1			16.8	
Approach LOS		С			В			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	ıy		17.7	Н	CM Level	of Service	;		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.73									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			62.0		um of lost				15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		59.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.91	17.8
18X	R	9	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.86	17.8
Approac	h	11	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	11	88.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.84	18.9
16X	R	60	24.0	0.066	8.6	LOS A	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.63	18.9
Approac	h	71	33.7	0.066	8.6	LOSA	0.8	24.4	0.36	0.66	18.9
North We	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.6
2X	Т	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.6
Approac	h	4	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.6
All Vehic	les	111	42.1	0.066	7.0	NA	0.8	24.4	0.25	0.67	19.5

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:45:19 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com

Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip 8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: BKAM_2025

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1		ሻ	†	ች	7
Volume (veh/h)	44	0	27	38	1	60
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	55	0	34	48	1	75
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			55		170	55
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			55		170	55
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			98		100	92
cM capacity (veh/h)			1373		805	956
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	55	34	48	76		
Volume Left	0	34	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	75		
cSH	1700	1373	1700	972		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	2	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.1		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.2		9.1		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		18.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	→	•	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4		ች		**	
Volume (veh/h)	117	1	13	73	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	156	1	17	97	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			157		289	157
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			157		289	157
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			99		100	93
cM capacity (veh/h)			1304		697	871
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	157	17	97	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1304	1700	866		
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.01	0.06	0.07		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.03	1	0.00	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.8	0.0	9.5		
Lane LOS	0.0	A	0.0	A		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.2		9.5		
Approach LOS				A		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		17.4%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	0		

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	1	†	~	/	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	ተ ኈ		ሻ	↑	7		4			र्स	7
Volume (vph)	215	165	0	5	68	127	0	22	15	94	4	71
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1582			1626	1292
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.70	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1582			1195	1292
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	265	204	0	6	84	157	0	27	19	116	5	88
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	265	204	0	6	84	157	0	31	0	0	121	88
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									2	2		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.5	22.5		1.0	14.0	47.5		9.0			9.0	47.5
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5	22.5		1.0	14.0	47.5		9.0			9.0	47.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20	0.47		0.02	0.29	1.00		0.19			0.19	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	614	1474		22	392	1491		300			226	1292
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.09	0.07		0.01	c0.06			0.02				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.11					c0.10	0.07
v/c Ratio	0.43	0.14		0.27	0.21	0.11		0.10			0.54	0.07
Uniform Delay, d1	16.6	7.0		22.9	12.6	0.0		15.9			17.4	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.0		2.4	0.1	0.1		0.1			1.2	0.1
Delay (s)	16.8	7.1		25.3	12.7	0.1		16.0			18.6	0.1
Level of Service	В	Α		С	В	Α		В			В	Α
Approach Delay (s)		12.6			5.0			16.0			10.8	
Approach LOS		В			Α			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			10.4	Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio	0		0.37									
ctuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5					um of lost				15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		33.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perf	ormance - Ve	ehicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW 0	Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
8X	Т	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.85	18.7
18X	R	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.83	18.6
Approac	h	3	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.84	18.6
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower River	r Rd								
1X	L	1	0.0	0.027	7.7	LOS A	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.86	19.2
16X	R	36	22.0	0.027	7.7	LOS A	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.67	19.1
Approac	h	37	21.2	0.027	7.7	LOS A	0.2	6.1	0.33	0.68	19.1
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
7X	L	80	23.0	0.054	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.054	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	81	22.6	0.054	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	est: Priva	ite Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.5
2X	Т	1	0.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.5
Approac	h	4	2.0	0.005	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.5
All Vehic	eles	125	21.1	0.054	2.8	NA	0.2	6.1	0.10	0.61	21.3

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:40:00 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: BKPM_2025

Appendix H Build-Out Year 2020 Total Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

	→	•	•	←	4	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f)		ሻ	†		7
Volume (veh/h)	15	0	93	40	0	33
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	0	126	54	0	45
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			20		326	20
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			20		326	20
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			91		100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)			1470		615	897
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	20	126	54	45		
Volume Left	0	126	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	45		
cSH	1700	1470	1700	449		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.10		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	7	0	8		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	13.9		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	5.4		13.9		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			6.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		15.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
,						

	-	•	•	←	1	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f _è		*	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	49	0	84	145	0	68
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	56	0	97	167	0	78
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			57		417	57
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			57		417	57
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			93		100	92
cM capacity (veh/h)			1422		555	962
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	56	97	167	78		
Volume Left	0	97	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	78		
cSH	1700	1422	1700	962		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.07	0.10	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	5	0	7		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.1		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	2.8		9.1		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			3.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		22.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Lane Configurations The first Colume (pyh) 55 84 0 12 218 61 0 2 2 351 24 244 244 244 245 245 245 245 245 245		٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	/	+	4
Volume (vph)	Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900													
Total Lost time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0													
Lane Uil, Factor 0.97 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0				1900				1900		1900	1900		
Frpb, pedrbikes													
Fipb, ped/bikes													
Fit 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 0.93 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.96 1.00 0.74 1.00 0.74 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.74 1.00 0.74 1.00 0.96 0.8													
Fit Protected 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.96 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 2673 2524 1327 1545 1308 1174 1659 1468 16FP emitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 2673 2524 1327 1545 1308 1174 1280 1468 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.86 0.86 0.86 0.86 0.86 0.86 0.86 0.86													
Satd. Flow (prot) 2673 2524 1327 1545 1308 1174 1659 1468 Fit Permitted													
Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.74 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 2673 2524 1327 1545 1308 11774 1280 1468													
Satid. Flow (perm) 2673 2524 1327 1545 1308 1174 1280 1468 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.86 0.	,												
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.86 0.88 0.84 0.84													
Adj. Flow (vph) 64 98 0 14 253 71 0 2 2 408 28 284 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td>				0.00				0.00		0.00	0.00		
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 436 284 Confl. Pades (#hr) 1 0 0													
Lane Group Flow (vph) 64 98 0 14 253 71 0 3 0 0 436 284 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 1 1 1													
Confi. Peds. (#/hr) Confi. Bikes (#/hr) Heavy Vehicles (%) 31% 43% 50% 36% 23% 22% 0% 100% 0% 9% 14% 10% Turn Type Prot Free Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 8 Permitted Phases Free Actuated Green, G (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Effective Green, g (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Effective Green, g (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.07 0.31 0.02 0.26 1.00 0.43 0.43 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.6 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 180 783 26 405 1308 504 549 1468 V/s Ratio Port 0.02 0.04 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 V/s Ratio Perm 0.05 0.00 V/s Ratio Perm 0.05 0.00 1.5 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01													
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		04	96			253	7.1	U	3			430	204
Heavy Vehicles (%) 31% 43% 50% 36% 23% 22% 0% 100% 0% 9% 14% 10%	, ,			I	I		1			l	ı		
Turn Type Prot Free Perm Perm Free Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 8 Permitted Phases Free 4 8 Free Actuated Green, G (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Effective Green, g (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.07 0.31 0.02 0.26 1.00 0.43 0.43 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0	` ,	210/	//30/	50°/	36%	220/		0%	100%	∩0/.	Ω0/.	1/10/	100/
Protected Phases 5			43 /0	30 /6		23 /0			100 /6	0 /0		14 /0	
Permitted Phases			2			6	riee	Pellii	1		Pelili	0	riee
Actuated Green, G (s)		5			ı	U	Eroo	1	4		Q	0	Eroo
Effective Green, g (s) 4.2 19.3 1.2 16.3 62.2 26.7 26.7 62.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.07 0.31 0.02 0.26 1.00 0.43 0.43 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 180 783 26 405 1308 504 549 1468 v/s Ratio Prot c0.02 0.04 0.01 c0.16 0.00 0.00 v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 <		12	10.3		1 2	16.3		4	26.7		0	26.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.07 0.31 0.02 0.26 1.00 0.43 0.43 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 180 783 26 405 1308 504 549 1468 v/s Ratio Prot c0.02 0.04 0.01 c0.16 0.00 v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0													
Clearance Time (s) 5.0 4													
Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 180 783 26 405 1308 504 549 1468 v/s Ratio Prot c0.02 0.04 0.01 c0.16 0.00 0.00 v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00							1.00						1.00
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 180 783 26 405 1308 504 549 1468 v/s Ratio Prot c0.02 0.04 0.01 c0.16 0.00 v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	()												
v/s Ratio Prot c0.02 0.04 0.01 c0.16 0.00 v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00<							1308						1/68
v/s Ratio Perm 0.05 c0.34 c0.19 v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00	,						1000					U+3	1400
v/c Ratio 0.36 0.13 0.54 0.62 0.05 0.01 0.79 0.19 Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 <td></td> <td>60.02</td> <td>0.04</td> <td></td> <td>0.01</td> <td>60.10</td> <td>0.05</td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>c0 34</td> <td>ഹ 19</td>		60.02	0.04		0.01	60.10	0.05		0.00			c0 34	ഹ 19
Uniform Delay, d1 27.7 15.4 30.2 20.3 0.0 10.2 15.4 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0		0.36	0.13		0 54	0.62			0.01				
Progression Factor 1.00 <td></td>													
Incremental Delay, d2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •												
Delay (s) 28.1 15.4 40.5 22.4 0.1 10.2 22.6 0.3 Level of Service C B D C A B C A Approach Delay (s) 20.4 18.5 10.2 13.8 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B C A B													
Level of Service C B D C A B C A Approach Delay (s) 20.4 18.5 10.2 13.8 Approach LOS C B B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 16.0 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0	•												
Approach Delay (s) 20.4 18.5 10.2 13.8 Approach LOS C B B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 16.0 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0													
Approach LOS C B B B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 16.0 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0		-			_								
HCM Average Control Delay 16.0 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0	Approach LOS												
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0	Intersection Summary												
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.70 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0	•	ıy		16.0	Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 62.2 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0	•	•											
					S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.0% ICU Level of Service B	Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		58.0%						В			
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min)			15									

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perf	ormance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South E	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.92	17.8
18X	R	20	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.86	17.8
Approac	:h	21	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	64	88.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.84	18.1
16X	R	60	24.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.59	18.1
Approac	:h	124	57.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.72	18.1
North W	est: NW	Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	:h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.6
2X	Т	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.6
Approac	:h	4	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.6
All Vehic	cles	175	58.8	0.183	9.9	NA	1.6	58.6	0.31	0.72	18.6

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:43:22 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: TTAM_2020

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	1	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f _a		ሻ	†		7
Volume (veh/h)	41	0	37	35	1	96
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	51	0	46	44	1	120
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		_
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			51		188	51
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			.		100	01
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			51		188	51
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)					0.1	0.1
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			97		100	88
cM capacity (veh/h)			1378		779	960
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	51	46	44	121		
Volume Left	0	46	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	120		
cSH	1700	1378	1700	970		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.12		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	3	0	11		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.3		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.0		9.3		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		18.7%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	→	\rightarrow	•	←	1	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1 >		ች	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	145	1	13	79	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	193	1	17	105	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	. 10110					
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			195		334	194
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			100		001	104
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			195		334	194
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)			1.0		0.1	0.0
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			99		100	93
cM capacity (veh/h)			1262		656	830
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					000	000
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	195	17	105	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1262	1700	825		
Volume to Capacity	0.11	0.01	0.06	0.07		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.9	0.0	9.7		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.1		9.7		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.9			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		20.8%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. , ,						

Movement		۶	→	•	•	•	•	1	†	/	/	ţ	4
Volume (vph)	Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Ideal Flow (rophor) 1900													
Total Lost time (s)													
Lane Unit. Factor 0.97 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0				1900				1900		1900	1900		
Frpb, ped/bikes													
Figh. ped/bikes													
Fit 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 0.95 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.85 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.05 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.00 0.70 1.00 0.70 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.0													
Fit Protected 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 3072 3112 1031 1329 1491 1594 1631 1292 Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3072 3112 1031 1329 1491 1594 1594 1200 1292 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81 0.81													
Satd. Flow (prot) 3072 3112 1031 1329 1491 1594 1631 1292													
Fit Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.70 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3072 3112 1031 1329 1491 1594 1200 1292 1200 1200													
Satid. Flow (perm) 3072 3112 1031 1329 1491 1594 1200 1292 Peak-hour factor, PHF	,												
Peak-hour factor, PHF													
Adj. Flow (vph) 273 211 0 5 84 147 0 26 17 109 4 89 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 0													
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 Canfi Group Flow (vph) 273 211 0 5 84 147 0 29 0 0 113 89 Confl. Bikes (#hr) 2 1 <													
Lane Group Flow (vph) 273 211 0 5 84 147 0 29 0 0 113 89													
Confl. Peds. (#/hr) Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 2 1 Heavy Vehicles (%) 14% 16% 0% 75% 43% 7% 0% 0% 31% 9% 67% 25% Turn Type Prot Prot Free Perm Perm Perm Free Protected Phases 5 2 1 1 6 4 8 Permitted Phases 5 2 1 1 6 4 8 Permitted Phases 5 2 1 1 6 8 8 9 6 8.9 47.5 Effective Green, G (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	\ 1 /												
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)		273	211	0	5	84	147	0	29			113	89
Heavy Vehicles (%)										2	2		
Turn Type Prot Prot Free Perm Perm Free Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 4 8 8 Permitted Phases Free 4 8 Free A 8 Free Actuated Green, G (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Effective Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.20 0.48 0.02 0.29 1.00 0.19 0.19 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.0 c0.06 0.02 <t< td=""><td>\ /</td><td>4.407</td><td>400/</td><td></td><td></td><td>400/</td><td></td><td>•••</td><td>•••</td><td></td><td>•••</td><td></td><td>2-21</td></t<>	\ /	4.407	400/			400/		•••	•••		•••		2-21
Protected Phases 5			16%	0%		43%			0%	31%		67%	
Permitted Phases			_			_	Free	Perm	_		Perm	_	Free
Actuated Green, G (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Effective Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.20 0.48 0.02 0.29 1.00 0.19 0.19 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0		5	2		1	6			4			8	
Effective Green, g (s) 9.6 22.6 1.0 14.0 47.5 8.9 8.9 47.5 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.20 0.48 0.02 0.29 1.00 0.19 0.19 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Gry Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.02 v/s Ratio Perm c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.09 0.07 v/s Ratio Perm c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.00								4			8		
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.20 0.48 0.02 0.29 1.00 0.19 0.19 1.00 Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 v/s Ratio Perm 0.10 c0.09 0.07 v/c Ratio Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Delay (s) 16.8 7.0 24.8 12.7 0.1 16.0 18.0 0.1 Level of Service B A C B A B B B A Approach Delay (s) 12.5 5.1 16.0 10.1 Approach LOS B A B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15													
Clearance Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.02 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.06 0.01 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00													
Vehicle Extension (s) 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.07 v/s Ratio Perm 0.10 c0.09 0.07 v/c Ratio 0.44 0.14 0.23 0.21 0.10 0.10 0.50 0.07 Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00							1.00						1.00
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 621 1481 22 392 1491 299 225 1292 v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 0.07 v/s Ratio Perm 0.10 c0.09 0.07 v/c Ratio 0.44 0.14 0.23 0.21 0.10 0.10 0.50 0.07 Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 <t< td=""><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	. ,												
v/s Ratio Prot c0.09 0.07 0.00 c0.06 0.02 v/s Ratio Perm 0.10 c0.09 0.07 v/c Ratio 0.44 0.14 0.23 0.21 0.10 0.10 0.50 0.07 Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 1.0													
v/s Ratio Perm 0.10 c0.09 0.07 v/c Ratio 0.44 0.14 0.23 0.21 0.10 0.10 0.50 0.07 Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00	,						1491					225	1292
v/c Ratio 0.44 0.14 0.23 0.21 0.10 0.10 0.50 0.07 Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td>c0.09</td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>c0.06</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.02</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		c0.09	0.07		0.00	c0.06			0.02				
Uniform Delay, d1 16.6 7.0 22.9 12.6 0.0 16.0 17.3 0.0 Progression Factor 1.00													
Progression Factor 1.00 <td></td>													
Incremental Delay, d2													
Delay (s) 16.8 7.0 24.8 12.7 0.1 16.0 18.0 0.1 Level of Service B A C B A B B A Approach Delay (s) 12.5 5.1 16.0 10.1 Approach LOS B A B B Intersection Summary B B B B HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15													
Level of Service B A C B A B B A Approach Delay (s) 12.5 5.1 16.0 10.1 Approach LOS B A B B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15	-												
Approach Delay (s) 12.5 5.1 16.0 10.1 Approach LOS B A B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15													
Approach LOS B A B B Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15		В			С		Α						Α
Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay 10.4 HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15													
HCM Average Control Delay HCM Level of Service B HCM Volume to Capacity ratio O.36 Actuated Cycle Length (s) Art.5 Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) Analysis Period (min) 15	Approach LOS		В			А			В			В	
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 0.36 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 ICU Level of Service A													
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 47.5 Sum of lost time (s) 15.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15		•			Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.0% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15		atio											
Analysis Period (min) 15													
		ation			IC	CU Level of	of Service			Α			
	Analysis Period (min)			15									

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South E	ast: NW 0	Old Lower Rive	r Rd							·	
8X	T	1	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.88	18.8
18X	R	46	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.85	18.7
Approac	h	47	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.85	18.7
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	13	0.0	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.86	19.0
16X	R	35	22.0	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.65	19.0
Approac	h	47	16.1	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.70	19.0
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	77	23.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	78	22.6	0.052	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	/est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.5
2X	Т	1	0.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.5
Approac	ch	4	2.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.5
All Vehic	cles	177	14.3	0.052	4.8	NA	0.3	7.8	0.17	0.69	20.4

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 3:54:29 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: TTPM_2020

Appendix I Forecast Year 2025 Total Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

	-	•	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	17	0	93	42	0	33
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	0	126	57	0	45
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			23		331	23
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			23		331	23
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			91		100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)			1466		611	894
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	23	126	57	45		
Volume Left	0	126	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	45		
cSH	1700	1466	1700	447		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.10		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	7	0	8		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	13.9		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	5.3		13.9		
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0		В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			6.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		15.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
,						

	-	•	•	←		~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1>		ሻ	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	52	0	84	152	0	68
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	60	0	97	175	0	78
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			61		429	61
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			61		429	61
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF(s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			93		100	92
cM capacity (veh/h)			1417		547	958
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	60	97	175	78		
Volume Left	0	97	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	78		
cSH	1700	1417	1700	958		
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.08		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.04	5	0.10	7		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.1		
Lane LOS	0.0	7.7 A	0.0	9.1 A		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0					
	0.0	2.7		9.1		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			3.6			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		22.2%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
. , ,						

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	/	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	77	∱ ∱		ሻ	†	7		4			4	7
Volume (vph)	57	89	0	13	231	65	0	2	2	375	26	258
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes Frt	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00		1.00 1.00	1.00	1.00 0.85		1.00 0.93			1.00 1.00	1.00 0.85
FIt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00 1.00	1.00		1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1659	1468
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.74	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1281	1468
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Adj. Flow (vph)	66	103	0.00	15	269	76	0.00	2	2	436	30	300
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	66	103	0	15	269	76	0	3	0	0	466	300
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	00	100	1	1	200	10		J	1	1	100	000
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			•	•		1				·		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.2	19.8		1.2	16.8	62.7		26.7			26.7	62.7
Effective Green, g (s)	4.2	19.8		1.2	16.8	62.7		26.7			26.7	62.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07	0.32		0.02	0.27	1.00		0.43			0.43	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	179	797		25	414	1308		500			545	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.02	0.04		0.01	c0.17			0.00				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.06					c0.36	c0.20
v/c Ratio	0.37	0.13		0.60	0.65	0.06		0.01			0.86	0.20
Uniform Delay, d1	28.0	15.3		30.5	20.3	0.0		10.4			16.3	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.5	0.0		23.2	2.6	0.1		0.0			12.0	0.3
Delay (s)	28.5	15.3		53.7	23.0	0.1		10.4			28.3	0.3
Level of Service	С	В		D	C	Α		В			C	A
Approach Delay (s)		20.5			19.4			10.4			17.3	
Approach LOS		С			В			В			В	
Intersection Summary			40.0									
HCM Average Control Dela	•		18.3	Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.75	_		C			45.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	· C		62.7		um of lost				15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		60.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow	HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back o	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
South E	act: NIM (veh/h Old Lower Rive	% vr. Dd	v/c	sec		veh	ft		per veh	mph
8X	αδί. ΝΥΥ C	JIU LOWEI KIVE	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.92	17.8
	-	00									
18X	R	20	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.86	17.8
Approac	h	21	86.0	0.041	12.3	LOS B	0.2	8.2	0.23	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	64	88.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.84	18.1
16X	R	60	24.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.59	18.1
Approac	h	124	57.0	0.183	11.5	LOS B	1.6	58.6	0.39	0.72	18.1
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.6
2X	Т	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOSA	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.6
Approac	h	4	3.0	0.004	8.9	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.6
All Vehic	cles	175	58.8	0.183	9.9	NA	1.6	58.6	0.31	0.72	18.6

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Thursday, July 11, 2013 8:44:10 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: TTAM_2025

	-	\rightarrow	•	←		_
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1		ሻ	†	*	7
Volume (veh/h)	44	0	37	38	1	96
Sign Control	Free	-		Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	55	0	46	48	1	120
Pedestrians		•	. •		•	
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	INOHE			NONE		
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked			55		195	55
vC, conflicting volume			55		190	55
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					405	
vCu, unblocked vol			55		195	55
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)			0.5		0.5	0.5
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			97		100	87
cM capacity (veh/h)			1373		771	956
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	55	46	48	121		
Volume Left	0	46	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	120		
cSH	1700	1373	1700	966		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.13		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	3	0	11		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	9.3		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.8		9.3		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		18.7%	IC	U Level c	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, ()						

	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ĵ.		ሻ		W	
Volume (veh/h)	153	1	13	83	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	204	1	17	111	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			205		350	205
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			205		350	205
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			99		100	93
cM capacity (veh/h)			1250		642	819
· · · · · · ·	ED.	MD 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	205	17	111	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1250	1700	813		
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.01	0.07	0.07		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	0	6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.9	0.0	9.8		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.1		9.8		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		20.8%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)	•		15	10	2 20.010	. 5017100
Anaiyaa Fenoo oooo			1.5			

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	/	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	14.44	∱ 1≽		ķ	†	7		4			ર્ન	7
Volume (vph)	235	181	0	5	72	127	0	22	15	94	4	76
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1582			1626	1292
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.70	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1582			1195	1292
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	290	223	0	6	89	157	0	27	19	116	5	94
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	290	223	0	6	89	157	0	31	0	0	121	94
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			0						2	2		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	4.40/	400/	2	750/	400/	1	00/	00/	040/	00/	070/	050/
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot	0		Prot	^	Free	Perm			Perm	0	Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		0	8	
Permitted Phases	0.0	00.0		4.0	44.0	Free	4	0.0		8	0.0	Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.8	23.0		1.0	14.2	48.0		9.0			9.0	48.0
Effective Green, g (s)	9.8	23.0 0.48		1.0 0.02	14.2	48.0 1.00		9.0			9.0	48.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.20 5.0	5.0		5.0	0.30 5.0	1.00		0.19 5.0			0.19 5.0	1.00
Clearance Time (s) Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
				21		1404						1202
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	627	1491			393	1491		297			224	1292
v/s Ratio Prot v/s Ratio Perm	c0.09	0.07		0.01	c0.07	0.11		0.02			c0.10	0.07
v/c Ratio	0.46	0.15		0.29	0.23	0.11		0.10			0.54	0.07
Uniform Delay, d1	16.8	7.0		23.1	12.8	0.11		16.2			17.6	0.07
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	0.0		2.7	0.1	0.1		0.1			1.4	0.1
Delay (s)	17.0	7.0		25.9	12.9	0.1		16.2			19.1	0.1
Level of Service	17.0 B	7.0 A		23.9 C	12.3 B	Α		10.2 B			19.1	Α
Approach Delay (s)	U	12.7		U	5.2	Λ		16.2			10.8	Λ
Approach LOS		12.7			Α.Δ			В			В	
					А							
Intersection Summary			10.6	ш	CM Laval	of Service			В			
HCM Average Control Dela HCM Volume to Capacity ra	•		10.6 0.38	П	Civi Level	of Service	;		Б			
	สแบ		48.0	C	um of loot	time (c)			15.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	ation		33.8%		um of lost	of Service			15.0 A			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza Analysis Period (min)	auOH		33.8% 15	IC	O Level (n Service			A			
Analysis Feriou (IIIII)			10									

c Critical Lane Group

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW 0	Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
8X	T	1	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.88	18.8
18X	R	46	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.85	18.7
Approac	:h	47	0.0	0.050	9.0	LOS A	0.2	6.0	0.27	0.85	18.7
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower River	r Rd								
1X	L	13	0.0	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.86	19.0
16X	R	35	22.0	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.65	19.0
Approac	:h	47	16.1	0.040	8.2	LOS A	0.3	7.8	0.34	0.70	19.0
North W	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
7X	L	77	23.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	:h	78	22.6	0.052	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	1.07	18.5
2X	Т	1	0.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.96	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.95	18.5
Approac	:h	4	2.0	0.004	9.1	LOS A	0.0	0.5	0.01	0.99	18.5
All Vehic	cles	177	14.3	0.052	4.8	NA	0.3	7.8	0.17	0.69	20.4

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 3:55:01 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.13.2093

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING

SIDRA --INTERSECTION

Site: TTPM_2025

Appendix J 95th Percentile Queuing Analysis Worksheets

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	22	19	75
Average Queue (ft)	1	1	30
95th Queue (ft)	8	14	86
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	39	80
Average Queue (ft)	4	37
95th Queue (ft)	22	69
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	23	61	44	76	77	260	29	312	70	
Average Queue (ft)	2	17	6	24	12	94	3	163	10	
95th Queue (ft)	14	47	25	65	43	202	17	266	48	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)						0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0				

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	32	103	76
Average Queue (ft)	2	6	25
95th Queue (ft)	13	46	80
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	15	64
Average Queue (ft)	1	27
95th Queue (ft)	8	56
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	109	140	48	78	55	131	74	149	24	
Average Queue (ft)	17	43	5	22	9	34	22	49	1	
95th Queue (ft)	64	95	26	60	40	91	55	105	14	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	0								
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	0								

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	20	69	75
Average Queue (ft)	1	2	27
95th Queue (ft)	8	30	83
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	39	77
Average Queue (ft)	3	36
95th Queue (ft)	21	67
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	48	69	44	91	71	308	42	478	182	
Average Queue (ft)	3	17	7	26	14	115	5	206	24	
95th Queue (ft)	22	46	28	69	48	240	26	371	149	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)						1				
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0				

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	30	48	75
Average Queue (ft)	2	3	29
95th Queue (ft)	15	31	85
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	28	66
Average Queue (ft)	1	28
95th Queue (ft)	13	55
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	86	100	40	76	66	111	81	171	30	
Average Queue (ft)	20	46	6	25	8	37	25	59	1	
95th Queue (ft)	59	91	24	62	40	88	59	123	15	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	27	76
Average Queue (ft)	3	1	32
95th Queue (ft)	25	19	89
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	49	79
Average Queue (ft)	7	39
95th Queue (ft)	31	70
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	24	70	58	82	69	260	47	295	90	
Average Queue (ft)	2	18	7	28	14	105	4	164	15	
95th Queue (ft)	12	51	33	68	49	199	23	263	61	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)						0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0				

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	36	63	76
Average Queue (ft)	3	4	30
95th Queue (ft)	22	37	87
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	62
Average Queue (ft)	2	27
95th Queue (ft)	14	57
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	84	100	45	75	62	90	75	140	41	
Average Queue (ft)	19	46	6	24	10	33	25	50	2	
95th Queue (ft)	56	86	25	61	42	77	59	107	21	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	44	19	76
Average Queue (ft)	3	1	34
95th Queue (ft)	24	14	91
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			1
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	TR	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	8	50	82
Average Queue (ft)	0	6	39
95th Queue (ft)	6	27	72
Link Distance (ft)	848		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		180	
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	34	92	46	108	62	344	46	358	104	
Average Queue (ft)	4	20	7	30	11	129	5	191	16	
95th Queue (ft)	22	59	30	74	42	270	26	314	64	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)						3				
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0				

Movement	WB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	L	R
Maximum Queue (ft)	41	71	75
Average Queue (ft)	3	4	27
95th Queue (ft)	21	37	83
Link Distance (ft)		717	
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	750		50
Storage Blk Time (%)			0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0

Intersection: 2: SR 501 & NW Gateway Ave

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	L	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	37	70
Average Queue (ft)	3	29
95th Queue (ft)	19	59
Link Distance (ft)		657
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	180	
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

Movement	EB	EB	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	L	Т	TR	L	Т	LTR	LT	R	
Maximum Queue (ft)	108	124	40	91	77	118	87	170	65	
Average Queue (ft)	23	53	5	29	12	35	29	57	3	
95th Queue (ft)	74	103	23	70	52	89	70	119	27	
Link Distance (ft)			686	686		689	533	756	756	
Upstream Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	225	225			250					
Storage Blk Time (%)										
Queuing Penalty (veh)										

Appendix K Speed Survey Results for Old Lower River Road

LOCATION: NW Old River Rd west of Old River Rd

SPECIFIC LOCATION: 100 ft from Old River Rd

CITY/STATE: Vancouver, WA

QC JOB #: 11091501

DIRECTION: WB

DATE: Jul 16 2013 - Jul 16 2013

0:	Mon	Tue 16-Jul-13	Wed	Thu	Fri	Average Weekday	Sat	Sun	Average Week	Average Week Profile
Start Time		16-Jul-13				Hourly Traffic			Hourly Traffic	
12:00 AM		1				1 1			1	
1:00 AM		1				1 1			1	
2:00 AM		1				1			1	
3:00 AM		19				19			19	
4:00 AM		9				9			9	
5:00 AM		18				18			18	
6:00 AM		76				76			76	
7:00 AM		48				48			48	
8:00 AM		37				37			37	
9:00 AM		44				44			44	
10:00 AM		47				47			47	
11:00 AM		48				48			48	
12:00 PM		52				52			52	
1:00 PM		54				54			54	
2:00 PM		62				62		(62	
3:00 PM		44				44	- y	00	44	
4:00 PM		28				28			28	
5:00 PM		10				10		DATA	10	
6:00 PM		7				7			7	
7:00 PM		2				2			2	
8:00 PM		1				1 1			1	
9:00 PM		9				9			9	
10:00 PM		5				5			5	
11:00 PM		3				3			3	
Day Total		626				626			626	
% Weekday										
Average		100.0%								
% Week		. 30.0 / 0								
Average		100.0%				100.0%				
AM Peak		6:00 AM				6:00 AM			6:00 AM	
Volume		76				76			76	
PM Peak		2:00 PM				2:00 PM			2:00 PM	
		62				62 2:00 PIVI			2:00 PM 62	
Volume Comments: S						UZ			UZ	

LOCATION:																	C JOB #:	
SPECIFIC L				Old River	Rd												RECTION: ATE: Jul 1	
CITT/STATE	1 1	16	<u>21</u>	26	31	36	41	46	51	56	61	66	71	76		U P	Pace	Number
Ctout Times	4.5	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	999		Tatal	Speed	in Pace
Start Time 12:00 AM	2															Total	16-25	
1:00 AM	0	2 0	0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		6 0	1-10	3
2:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1-10	0
3:00 AM	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		7	21-30	4
4:00 AM	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		5	16-25	3
5:00 AM	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		3	8-17	1
6:00 AM	7	2	8	4	0	0	0	Ö	0	Ö	0	0	0	0		21	21-30	12
7:00 AM	6	11	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		34	16-25	27
8:00 AM	1	10	14	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		28	16-25	23
9:00 AM	7	7	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		35	16-25	25
10:00 AM	10	13	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		46	16-25	35
11:00 AM	9	23	22	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		56	16-25	45
12:00 PM	11	27	25	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		65	16-25	52
1:00 PM	3	19	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		47	16-25	40
2:00 PM	12	19	32	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ander of	69	16-25	51
3:00 PM	10	15	57	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		93	16-25	72
4:00 PM	10	10	34	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		62	18-27	43
5:00 PM	0	5	22	4	1	0	0	0	$0 \circ$	0	0	0	0			32	20-29	26
6:00 PM 7:00 PM	0	2 0	8 5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		14 6	21-30 16-25	12 5
8:00 PM	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		5	16-25 16-25	3
9:00 PM	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		3	15-25	1
10:00 PM	2	2	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		20	16-25	16
11:00 PM	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		3	21-30	3
Day Total	100	172	326	<u></u> 56	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		660	16-25	498
Percent	15.2%		49.4%	8.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			10 20	450
ADT 660																		
AM Peak				6:00 AM												11:00 AM		
Volume	10	23	22	4	1											56		
PM Peak Volume	2:00 PM 12	12:00 PM 27	3:00 PM 57	3:00 PM	12:00 PM 2											3:00 PM 93		
Comments:																		
Description of the second																-1-10/6/1-		

ype of report.	i abc ooc	ин Орос	a Data				00	1417 (1 (1	I UDC O	ount o	pood De	itu						r age z or i
LOCATION: SPECIFIC LO	_																C JOB #:	
CITY/STATE															DA		3 2013 - Jul	
	1	16	21	26	31	36	41	46	51	56	61	66	71	76			Pace	Number
Start Time	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	999		Total	Speed	in Pace
Grand Total	100	172	326	56	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		660	16-25	498
Percent	15.2%	26.1%	49.4%	8.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Cumulative																		
Percent	15.2%	41.2%	90.6%	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
ADT 660												_		_			35th Percent	je) : 19 MPH
Comments:	See aeria	al map for	correct lo	ocation of	f tubes													an 20 MPH
																	IVIO	de: 23 MPH

Report generated on 7/17/2013 12:05 PM

SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net)



LOCATION: NW Old River Rd west of Old River Rd

SPECIFIC LOCATION: 100 ft from Old River Rd

CITY/STATE: Vancouver, WA

QC JOB #: 11091501

DIRECTION: EB

DATE: Jul 16 2013 - Jul 16 2013

	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Average Weekday	Sat	Sun	Average Week	Average Week Profil
Start Time		16-Jul-13				Hourly Traffic			Hourly Traffic	
12:00 AM		6				6			6	
1:00 AM		0				0			0	1
2:00 AM		0				0			0	1
3:00 AM		7				7			7	
4:00 AM		5				5			5	
5:00 AM		3				3			3	
6:00 AM		21				21			21	
7:00 AM		34				34			34	
8:00 AM		28				28			28	
9:00 AM		35				35			35	
10:00 AM		46				46			46	
11:00 AM		56				56			56	
12:00 PM		65				65			65	
1:00 PM		47				47			47	
2:00 PM		69				69		(69	
3:00 PM		93				93	- 7	00	93	
4:00 PM		62				62			62	
5:00 PM		32				32		JATA	32	
6:00 PM		14				14			14	
7:00 PM		6				6			6	
8:00 PM		5				5			5	
9:00 PM		3				3			3	
10:00 PM		20				20			20	
11:00 PM		3				3			3	
Day Total		660				660			660	
% Weekday										
Average		100.0%								
% Week										
Average		100.0%				100.0%				
AM Peak		11:00 AM				11:00 AM			11:00 AM	
Volume		56				56			56	
PM Peak		3:00 PM				3:00 PM			3:00 PM	
Volume		93				93			93	

LOCATION: SPECIFIC LOCATION:	OCATIO	N : 100	ft from (DI	C JOB #: ^ IRECTION: ATE: Jul 1	EB/WB
Start Time	1 15	16 20	21 25	26 30	31 35	36 40	41 45	46 50	51 55	56 60	61 65	66 70	71 75	76 999		Total	Pace Speed	Number in Pace
12:00 AM	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		7	16-25	4
1:00 AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	11-20	1
2:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	31-40	1
3:00 AM	3	5	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		26	16-25	18
4:00 AM	2	4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		14	17-26	10
5:00 AM	5	4	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		21	16-25	16
6:00 AM	12	28	43	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		97	16-25	70
7:00 AM	6	26	40	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		82	16-25	66
8:00 AM	6	21	34	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		65	16-25	54
9:00 AM	15	21	35	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		79	16-25	56
10:00 AM	24	36	31	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		93	16-25	67
11:00 AM	15	47	38	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		104	16-25	84
12:00 PM	16	52	45	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		117	16-25	97
1:00 PM	9	41	46	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		101	16-25	86
2:00 PM	22	51	50	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ng infin	131	16-25	101
3:00 PM	14	39	71	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		137	16-25	109
4:00 PM	14	18	49	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		90	16-25	67
5:00 PM	0	10	26	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			42	16-25	36
6:00 PM	1	3	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U		21	21-30	17
7:00 PM	2	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		8	21-30	5
8:00 PM	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6	21-30	3
9:00 PM	3	2	5	1	1	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12	16-25	7
10:00 PM 11:00 PM	2 0	3 1	16 2	4 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		25 6	21-30 23-32	19 4
Day Total	175	417	584	96	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1286	16-25	1000
Percent	13.6%			7.5%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-		1200	10-23	1000
ADT 1286																		
AM Peak		11:00 AM			6:00 AM											11:00 AM		
Volume	24	47	43	12	2	1										104		
PM Peak Volume	2:00 PM 22	12:00 PM 52	3:00 PM 71	3:00 PM 11	12:00 PM 2	12:00 PM 1										3:00 PM 137		
Comments:	See aeria	I map for	correct lo	ocation of	tubes													_

) po o opo		O poc	, u = u.u							• • • • •	P							. 490 = 0.
LOCATION: SPECIFIC LOCATION:	OCATIO	N : 100	ft from (DA	DI	C JOB #: 1 RECTION: 5 2013 - Jul	EB/WB
Start Time	1 15	16 20	21 25	26 30	31 35	36 40	41 45	46 50	51 55	56 60	61 65	66 70	71 75	76 999		Total	Pace Speed	Numbe in Pace
Grand Total Percent	175 13.6%	417 32.4%	584 45.4%	96 7.5%	12 0.9%	2 0.2%	0 0.0%		1286	16-25	1000							
Cumulative Percent	13.6%	46.0%	91.4%	98.9%	99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
ADT 1286																	S5th Percent	je) : 19 MPH
Comments: \$	See aeria	al map for	correct lo	ocation of	tubes													an 20 MPH de: 23 MPH

Report generated on 7/17/2013 12:05 PM

SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net)



QC JOB #: 11091501

DIRECTION: EB/WB

LOCATION: NW Old River Rd west of Old River Rd SPECIFIC LOCATION: 100 ft from Old River Rd

CITY/STATE:	Vancouver, WA							DATE	: Jul 16 2013 - Jul 16 2013
	Mon Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Average Weekday	Sat	Sun	Average Week	Average Week Profile
Start Time	16-Jul-13				Hourly Traffic			Hourly Traffic	
12:00 AM	7				7			7	
1:00 AM	1				1			1	
2:00 AM	1				1			1	
3:00 AM	26				26			26	
4:00 AM	14				14			14	
5:00 AM	21				21			21	
6:00 AM	97				97			97	
7:00 AM	82				82			82	
8:00 AM	65				65			65	
9:00 AM	79				79			79	
10:00 AM	93				93			93	
11:00 AM	104				104			104	
12:00 PM	117				117			117	
1:00 PM	101				101	-6-x-r		101	
2:00 PM	131				131			131 137	
3:00 PM	137				137				
4:00 PM	90				90			90	
5:00 PM	42				42			42	
6:00 PM	21				21			21	
7:00 PM	8				8			8	
8:00 PM	6				6			6	_
9:00 PM	12				12			12	
10:00 PM	25				25			25	
11:00 PM	6				6			6	
Day Total	1286				1286			1286	
% Weekday Average	400.007								
	100.0%								
% Week									
Average	100.0%				100.0%			44.00.114	
AM Peak	11:00 AM				11:00 AM			11:00 AM	
Volume	104				104			104	
PM Peak	3:00 PM				3:00 PM			3:00 PM	
Volume	137				137			137	
Comments: S	See aerial map for correc	t location of to	ıbes						

SPECIFIC LO		uver, W	A													D	C JOB #: ' RECTION: ATE: Jul 1	WB
Start Time	1 15	16 20	21 25	26 30	31 35	36 40	41 45	46 50	51 55	56 60	61 65	66 70	71 75	76 999		Total	Pace Speed	Number in Pace
12:00 AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	11-20	1
1:00 AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	11-20	1
2:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	31-40	1
3:00 AM	1	4	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		19	21-30	14
4:00 AM	0	2	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		9	16-25	8
5:00 AM	3	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		18	17-26	14
6:00 AM	5	26	35	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		76	16-25	61
7:00 AM	0	15	24	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		48	16-25	38
8:00 AM	5	11	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		37	16-25	31
9:00 AM	8	14	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		44	16-25	30
10:00 AM	14	23	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		47	16-25	31
11:00 AM	6	24	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		48	16-25	40
12:00 PM	5	25	20	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		52	16-25	45
1:00 PM	6	22	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		54	16-25	47
2:00 PM	10	32	18	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	andie ,	62	16-25	49
3:00 PM	4	24	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		44	16-25	37
4:00 PM	4	8	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		28	16-25	23
5:00 PM	0	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	16-25	9
6:00 PM	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		7	16-25	6
7:00 PM	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	21-30	1
8:00 PM	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	21-30	1
9:00 PM	2	1	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		9	16-25	6
10:00 PM 11:00 PM	0	1	2 1	2 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		5 3	21-30 21-30	4 2
Day Total	75	245	258	40	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		626	16-25	503
	12.0%	39.1%		6.4%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		020	10 20	000
ADT 626						_					_			_				
		6:00 AM			6:00 AM											6:00 AM		
Volume	14	26	35	9	2	1										76		
PM Peak Volume	2:00 PM 10	2:00 PM 32	1:00 PM 25	10:00 PM 2	2:00 PM 1	12:00 PM 1										2:00 PM 62		

ype of report.	ubc Ooc	пк орсс	o Data				0011	IVI/AIX I	Tube O	ount o	peca De	ita						1 age 2 of 2
LOCATION: SPECIFIC LOCATION:	OCATIO	N : 100	ft from (DATE	DI	C JOB #: 1 RECTION: 2013 - Jul	WB
Start Time	1 15	16 20	21 25	26 30	31 35	36 40	41 45	46 50	51 55	56 60	61 65	66 70	71 75	76 999		Total	Pace Speed	Number in Pace
Grand Total Percent	75 12.0%	245 39.1%	258 41.2%	40 6.4%	6 1.0%	2 0.3%	0 0.0%		626	16-25	503							
Cumulative Percent	12.0%	51.1%	92.3%	98.7%	99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
ADT 626																	5th Percent	je) : 19 MPH
Comments: \$	See aeria	l map for	correct lo	ocation of	tubes													an 19 MPH le: 23 MPH

Report generated on 7/17/2013 12:05 PM

SOURCE: Quality Counts, LLC (http://www.qualitycounts.net)



Appendix L Construction In-Process Development

- Medium level of activity typically averaging around 177 total employees on the site per work day. Out of the estimated 37 months for completion of site construction, approximately 23 months would see a medium level of activity.
- High level of activity typically averaging around 265 total employees on the site per work day. Out of the estimated 37 months for completion of site construction, approximately 4 months would see a high level of activity.

Exhibit 4. BHP Billiton Traffic Study Construction Trip Estimates¹

Table 14. Construction Trip Generation Estimates

		Trip	Ends Mo	onday Thro	gh Thu	rsday	
		AM	Peak (7-8	AM)	PM	Peak (5-6	PM)
Construction Activity	Daily	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Low Level of Activity a		-10	· Al	54		- F	7 (= /
Auto Trips by Workers	108	108	0	108 34	0	108 54	108 54
Truck Trips for Deliveries	18	2	2	4 ===	2	2	_ 4
Total Trip Ends	126	1105	6 2	112 58	2	110 5	6 7 58
Average Level of Activity b		[1	77	17	7]	17	77 17
Auto Trips by Workers	354	384	<u></u> 0	364	~ 0	354	354
Truck Trips for Deliveries	64	6_1	<u>ചെ</u> 6	12	ਨਾ ⁶	6	12-
Total Trip Ends	418	380	<u>ര</u> ി	366 18	9 6	360/18	33 366 18
High Level of Activity ^c		2	65	265	5]	26	5 26
Auto Trips by Workers	530	580	0	530	0	830 20	580
Truck Trips for Deliveries	88	9_7	749	18 28	ุก 9	9 27	18 28
Total Trip Ends	618	529/12	ولت	548 200	일 9	589 27	J ₅₄₈ 20

a 10 out of 37 months of anticipated construction activity, ranges from 30 to 80 workers on site.

BHP Billiton Traffic Study Mitigation Recommendations

The BHPB study indicated that the Lower River Road/Gateway Avenue intersection will experience long delays during the p.m. peak hour through the period of highest construction traffic activity. The study recommended consideration be given to developing a temporary traffic management strategy at the intersection. This could include, but be limited to, such measures as:

 Staggering employee hours to reduce the impact of arriving and departing traffic during a single hour, or

Installation of a temporary traffic signal at the intersection³.

³ Per conversations with Port of Vancouver staff in April 2012, installation of a temporary traffic signal is no longer under consideration.



-

b 23 out of 37 months of anticipated construction activity, ranges from 90 to 245 workers on site.

c 4 out of 37 months of anticipated construction activity, 265 workers on site.

¹ Note: Table 14 in Exhibit 4 updated by Kittelson & Associates, Inc. to reflect peak hour trip generation (assumes all employees enter and leave per the 2010 Terminal 5 traffic study text).

PHASE 5: TRAFFIC PLAN GATEWAY AVENUE OVERPASS COMPLETE

Duration: March 2013 to March 2015

Figure 8 illustrates the Phase 5 traffic routing plan and Table 7 summarizes the corresponding roads that various properties within the study area will be directed to. All study area tenant traffic except BHP Billiton will be directed to the now complete Gateway Avenue overpass under Phase 5.


Old Lower River **Ultimate Gateway Existing Gateway Road Perimeter** Avenue with Roadway User Road Avenue Harborside Drive Overpass Port Operations **BHP Billiton** SPL Construction No Longer On-Site Farwest Steel TriStar Subaru Clark County Jail CalPortland **Emergency Services** 1 1

Table 7 Phase 5 Construction Roadway Use

Phase 5 will require the following routing patterns:

- Port Operations traffic have the option of entering and exiting the Terminal 5 area via Harborside Drive or by traveling Gateway Avenue to SR 501.
- BHP Billiton traffic will enter and exit SR 501 at Old Lower River Road, pass through the regulated gate area, continue along the Perimeter Road, and then enter the BHP Billiton area via the signalized rail crossing.
- Farwest Street and TriStar traffic will enter and exit SR 501 via Gateway Avenue.
- Subaru traffic will enter and exit SR 501 via Gateway Avenue, crossing the overpass, traveling along Harborside Drive and following Gateway Avenue to the existing site driveway.
- Clark County Jail Work Center traffic will enter and exit SR 501 via Gateway Avenue, crossing the overpass and traveling along Harborside Drive.
- CalPortland traffic will enter and exit SR 501 via Gateway Avenue, crossing the overpass, traveling along Harborside Drive and turning to the existing site driveway.
- Emergency services vehicles will be able to enter the area via both Gateway Avenue or Old Lower River Road and will be routed by CRESA as appropriate for the destination.





Appendix M Construction Year 2014 Traffic Conditions Level-of-Service Worksheets

	→	•	•	←	•	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	14	0	236	37	0	31
Sign Control	Free	_		Free	Stop	•
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	0	319	50	0	42
Pedestrians		_			•	
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			19		707	19
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			19		707	19
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			78		100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)			1471		317	899
	ED. 4	MD 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	19	319	50	42		
Volume Left	0	319	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	42		
cSH	1700	1471	1700	449		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.22	0.03	0.09		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	21	0	8		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.1	0.0	13.8		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	7.0		13.8		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			7.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		23.1%	IC	U Level c	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	→	•	•	←	•	<i>></i>
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1>		ሻ	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	44	0	60	279	0	52
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	51	0	69	321	0	60
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			52		510	52
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			52		510	52
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			95		100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)			1429		501	969
	ED 4	MD 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	51	69	321	60		
Volume Left	0	69	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	60		
cSH	1700	1429	1700	969		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.05	0.19	0.06		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	4	0	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.6	0.0	9.0		
Lane LOS		Α		Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.4		9.0		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.1			·
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		24.7%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, ,						

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	<i>></i>	\	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	16.54	∱ 1>		ሻ	†	7		4			र्स	7
Volume (vph)	40	72	0	11	264	56	0	2	2	323	22	284
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.93			1.00	0.85
FIt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1659	1468
FIt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.74	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1281	1468
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Adj. Flow (vph)	47	84	0	13	307	65	0	2	2	376	26	330
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	47	84	0	13	307	65	0	3	0	0	402	330
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1					1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.1	20.4		1.2	17.5	63.4		26.8			26.8	63.4
Effective Green, g (s)	4.1	20.4		1.2	17.5	63.4		26.8			26.8	63.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.32		0.02	0.28	1.00		0.42			0.42	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	173	812		25	426	1308		496			541	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	0.02	0.03		0.01	c0.20			0.00				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.05					c0.31	c0.22
v/c Ratio	0.27	0.10		0.52	0.72	0.05		0.01			0.74	0.22
Uniform Delay, d1	28.2	15.1		30.8	20.7	0.0		10.6			15.4	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.0		8.7	5.0	0.1		0.0			4.8	0.4
Delay (s)	28.5	15.1		39.5	25.8	0.1		10.6			20.2	0.4
Level of Service	С	В		D	С	Α		В			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		19.9			21.9			10.6			11.3	
Approach LOS		В			С			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			15.4	Н	CM Level	of Service	•		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.65									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			63.4		um of lost				10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		51.3%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perl	formance - V	ehicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW (Old Lower Rive	er Rd								
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.91	17.8
18X	R	9	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.86	17.8
Approac	h	11	86.0	0.021	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.1	0.22	0.87	17.8
North Ea	ast: NW C	Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	255	88.0	0.580	20.4	LOS C	8.4	336.9	0.64	0.75	16.0
16X	R	60	24.0	0.580	20.4	LOS C	8.4	336.9	0.64	0.35	16.0
Approac	h	315	75.8	0.580	20.4	LOS C	8.4	336.9	0.64	0.67	16.0
North W	est: NW	Old Lower Rive	er Rc								
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7
4X	Т	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	1.08	18.5
2X	Т	8	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.97	18.6
12X	R	1	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.95	18.5
Approac	h	11	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOSA	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.98	18.5
All Vehic	eles	361	72.4	0.580	18.4	NA	8.4	336.9	0.56	0.68	16.5

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 9:39:18 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.5.2006

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING

SIDRA INTERSECTION

Site: BKAM - 2014

	→	•	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	38	0	33	32	1	243
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	48	0	41	40	1	304
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			48		170	48
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			48		170	48
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			97		100	69
cM capacity (veh/h)			1382		800	965
	ED 4	MD 4		ND 4		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	48	41	40	305		
Volume Left	0	41	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	304		
cSH	1700	1382	1700	969		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.31		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	2	0	34		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	10.4		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.9		10.4		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			8.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		25.0%	IC	U Level c	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	→	•	•	←	•	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1		ሻ	†	W	
Volume (veh/h)	283	1	13	69	1	43
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	377	1	17	92	1	57
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	110110			110110		
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			379		505	378
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			010		000	010
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			379		505	378
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)			4.0		0.4	0.0
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			98		100	91
cM capacity (veh/h)			1074		522	653
					JZZ	000
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	379	17	92	59		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	57		
cSH	1700	1074	1700	650		
Volume to Capacity	0.22	0.02	0.05	0.09		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	0	7		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.4	0.0	11.1		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.3		11.1		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		25.0%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	۶	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	>	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	∱ ∱		ň	†	7		4			4	7
Volume (vph)	285	224	0	4	62	110	0	19	13	81	3	64
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1628	1292
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.71	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1204	1292
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	352	277	0	5	77	136	0	23	16	100	4	79
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	352	277	0	5	77	136	0	26	0	0	104	79
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									2	2		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.4	39.5		1.2	29.3	66.3		10.6			10.6	66.3
Effective Green, g (s)	11.4	39.5		1.2	29.3	66.3		10.6			10.6	66.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.60		0.02	0.44	1.00		0.16			0.16	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.0			2.0			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	528	1854		19	587	1491		253			192	1292
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.11	c0.09		0.00	0.06			0.02				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.09					c0.09	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.15		0.26	0.13	0.09		0.10			0.54	0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	25.7	5.9		32.1	11.0	0.0		23.8			25.6	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	2.5	0.2		2.7	0.5	0.1		0.1			1.7	0.1
Delay (s)	28.1	6.1		34.8	11.4	0.1		23.8			27.3	0.1
Level of Service	С	Α		С	В	Α		С			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		18.4			4.9			23.8			15.5	
Approach LOS		В			Α			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			15.4	H	CM Level	of Service	Э		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	itio		0.32									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			66.3		um of lost				10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		36.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Perf	ormance - Ve	ehicles								
		Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back o		Prop.	Effective	Average
Mov ID	Turn	Flow veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance ft	Queued	Stop Rate per veh	Speed mph
South Ea	ast: NW 0	Old Lower Rive		V/C	Sec		ven	IL		per veri	Пірп
8X	T	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.85	18.7
18X	R	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOSA	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.83	18.6
Approac		3	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOSA	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.84	18.7
North Ea	st: NW C	old Lower Rive	r Rd								
1X	L	8	0.0	0.034	8.0	LOS A	0.2	6.8	0.31	0.86	19.1
16X	R	35	22.0	0.034	8.0	LOSA	0.2	6.8	0.31	0.68	19.0
Approac	h	42	18.0	0.034	8.0	LOSA	0.2	6.8	0.31	0.71	19.0
North We	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd								
7X	L	77	23.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0
Approac	h	78	22.6	0.052	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7
South W	est: Priva	ate Access									
5X	L	1	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	1.01	17.9
2X	T	236	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.91	18.0
12X	R	1	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.79	17.9
Approac	h	238	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.91	18.0
All Vehic	les	362	9.0	0.308	8.7	NA	1.4	36.4	0.19	0.81	19.0

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 3:52:45 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.5.2006

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING

Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip



Site: BKPM_2014

	→	•	•	←	1	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f)		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	14	0	237	37	0	32
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	0	320	50	0	43
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			19		709	19
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			19		709	19
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.9
p0 queue free %			78		100	95
cM capacity (veh/h)			1471		316	899
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	19	320	50	43		
Volume Left	0	320	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	0	43		
cSH	1700	1471	1700	449		
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.22	0.03	0.10		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	21	0	8		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.1	0.0	13.9		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	7.0		13.9		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			7.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		23.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
,						

	→	•	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4		ሻ	†	¥	
Volume (veh/h)	45	0	185	280	0	52
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	52	0	213	322	0	60
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					4.0	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			53		800	53
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			53		800	53
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			85		100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)			1427		304	968
	ED 4	MD 4	WD 2	NB 1		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2			
Volume Total	52	213	322	60		
Volume Left	0	213	0	0		
Volume Right	1700	1407	1700	60		
cSH	1700	1427	1700	968		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.15	0.19	0.06		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	13	0	5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.0	0.0	9.0		
Lane LOS	0.0	A		A		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	3.2		9.0		
Approach LOS				Α		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			3.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		26.9%	IC	U Level c	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	۶	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	\	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7575	∱ 1>		ሻ	†	7		4			स	7
Volume (vph)	44	76	0	11	331	56	0	2	2	323	22	351
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.93			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1659	1468
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.74	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	2673	2524		1327	1545	1308		1174			1281	1468
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Adj. Flow (vph)	51	88	0	13	385	65	0	2	2	376	26	408
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	51	88	0	13	385	65	0	3	0	0	402	408
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1					1	1		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)						1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	31%	43%	50%	36%	23%	22%	0%	100%	0%	9%	14%	10%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.2	24.7		1.2	21.7	67.5		26.6			26.6	67.5
Effective Green, g (s)	4.2	24.7		1.2	21.7	67.5		26.6			26.6	67.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.37		0.02	0.32	1.00		0.39			0.39	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.0		1.5	1.0			1.5			1.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	166	924		24	497	1308		463			505	1468
v/s Ratio Prot	0.02	0.03		0.01	c0.25			0.00				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.05					c0.31	c0.28
v/c Ratio	0.31	0.10		0.54	0.77	0.05		0.01			0.80	0.28
Uniform Delay, d1	30.3	14.1		32.9	20.7	0.0		12.4			18.1	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	0.0		12.7	6.8	0.1		0.0			7.9	0.5
Delay (s)	30.6	14.1		45.6	27.5	0.1		12.4			26.0	0.5
Level of Service	С	В		D	С	Α		В			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		20.2			24.1			12.4			13.1	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.4	Н	CM Level	of Service)		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.5		um of lost				10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		53.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
WIOVEIII	ent Pen	Demand	enicies	Dog	Average	Level of	95% Back o	of Ougus	Prop.	Effective	Average	
Mov ID	Turn	Flow	HV	Deg. Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Average Speed	
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec	33.1.33	veh	ft	Quousu	per veh	mph	
South East: NW Old Lower River Rd												
8X	Т	1	86.0	0.024	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.6	0.22	0.92	17.8	
18X	R	11	86.0	0.024	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.6	0.22	0.86	17.8	
Approac	h	12	86.0	0.024	12.3	LOS B	0.1	4.6	0.22	0.87	17.8	
North Ea	ast: NW C	Old Lower Rive	r Rd									
1X	L	256	88.0	0.585	20.6	LOS C	8.8	355.3	0.65	0.75	16.0	
16X	R	60	24.0	0.585	20.6	LOS C	8.8	355.3	0.65	0.33	16.0	
Approac	h	316	75.8	0.585	20.6	LOS C	8.8	355.3	0.65	0.67	16.0	
North W	est: NW	Old Lower Rive	er Rc									
7X	L	24	56.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	22.7	
4X	T	1	3.0	0.021	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0	
Approac	h	25	53.2	0.021	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.55	22.8	
South W	est: Priva	ate Access										
5X	L	1	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	1.08	18.5	
2X	Т	8	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.97	18.6	
12X	R	1	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.95	18.5	
Approac	h	11	3.0	0.012	9.2	LOS A	0.0	1.2	0.03	0.98	18.5	
All Vehic	eles	364	72.5	0.585	18.6	NA	8.8	355.3	0.57	0.68	16.5	

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd

HCM Delay Model used.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 10:07:02 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.5.2006

SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.5.2006 www.sidrasolutions.com
Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: TTAM_2014

	→	•	•	←	4	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f		ሻ	†	ሻ	7
Volume (veh/h)	38	0	34	32	1	244
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Hourly flow rate (vph)	48	0	42	40	1	305
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						2
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			48		172	48
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			48		172	48
tC, single (s)			4.4		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.5		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			97		100	68
cM capacity (veh/h)			1382		797	965
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	48	42	40	306		
Volume Left	0	42	0	1		
Volume Right	0	0	0	305		
cSH	1700	1382	1700	969		
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.32		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	2	0	34		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.7	0.0	10.4		
Lane LOS		Α		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	4.0		10.4		
Approach LOS				В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			8.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		25.1%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, , ,						

	-	•	•	•	4	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	î,		ሻ	↑	W	
Volume (veh/h)	284	1	13	70	1	168
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Hourly flow rate (vph)	379	1	17	93	1	224
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			380		507	379
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			380		507	379
tC, single (s)			4.3		6.4	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.4		3.5	3.4
p0 queue free %			98		100	66
cM capacity (veh/h)			1072		520	652
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	380	17	93	225		
Volume Left	0	17	0	1		
Volume Right	1	0	0	224		
cSH	1700	1072	1700	651		
Volume to Capacity	0.22	0.02	0.05	0.35		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.22	1	0	39		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	8.4	0.0	13.4		
Lane LOS		A		В		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.3		13.4		
Approach LOS	0.0			В		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		32.1%	IC	U Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
,						

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	\	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	∱ 1>		Ť	†	7		4			4	7
Volume (vph)	359	284	0	4	65	110	0	19	13	81	3	69
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	4.0		5.0			5.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.97	0.95		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.99		0.99			1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85		0.94			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1628	1292
FIt Permitted	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00		1.00			0.71	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	3072	3112		1031	1329	1491		1584			1204	1292
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81
Adj. Flow (vph)	443	351	0	5	80	136	0	23	16	100	4	85
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	443	351	0	5	80	136	0	26	0	0	104	85
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)									2	2		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	16%	0%	75%	43%	7%	0%	0%	31%	9%	67%	25%
Turn Type	Prot			Prot		Free	Perm			Perm		Free
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			4			8	
Permitted Phases						Free	4			8		Free
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.5	40.6		1.2	29.3	67.4		10.6			10.6	67.4
Effective Green, g (s)	12.5	40.6		1.2	29.3	67.4		10.6			10.6	67.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.19	0.60		0.02	0.43	1.00		0.16			0.16	1.00
Clearance Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.5	1.5		1.0	1.0			2.0			2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	570	1875		18	578	1491		249			189	1292
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.14	c0.11		0.00	0.06			0.02				
v/s Ratio Perm						0.09					c0.09	0.07
v/c Ratio	0.78	0.19		0.28	0.14	0.09		0.10			0.55	0.07
Uniform Delay, d1	26.1	6.0		32.7	11.5	0.0		24.3			26.2	0.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	6.0	0.2		3.0	0.5	0.1		0.1			2.0	0.1
Delay (s)	32.2	6.2		35.7	12.0	0.1		24.4			28.2	0.1
Level of Service	С	Α		D	В	Α		С			С	Α
Approach Delay (s)		20.7			5.2			24.4			15.5	
Approach LOS		С			Α			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.3	H	CM Level	of Service	•		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio)		0.37									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.4		um of lost				10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		36.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

NW Old Lower River Rd Stop (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles												
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Flow veh/h	HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back o Vehicles veh	f Queue Distance ft	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed mph	
South East: NW Old Lower River Rd		r Rd										
8X	T	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.85	18.7	
18X	R	1	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.83	18.6	
Approac	h	3	0.0	0.003	9.3	LOSA	0.0	0.3	0.26	0.84	18.7	
North Ea	ast: NW C	old Lower River	r Rd									
1X	L	9	0.0	0.035	8.0	LOS A	0.2	7.0	0.31	0.86	19.0	
16X	R	35	22.0	0.035	8.0	LOS A	0.2	7.0	0.31	0.68	19.0	
Approac	h	44	17.5	0.035	8.0	LOS A	0.2	7.0	0.31	0.72	19.0	
North We	est: NW (Old Lower Rive	r Rd									
7X	L	77	23.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	22.7	
4X	Т	1	0.0	0.052	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	25.0	
Approac	h	78	22.6	0.052	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.56	22.7	
South W	est: Priva	ate Access										
5X	L	1	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	1.01	17.9	
2X	Т	236	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.91	18.0	
12X	R	1	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.79	17.9	
Approac	h	238	3.0	0.308	11.7	LOS B	1.4	36.4	0.22	0.91	18.0	
All Vehic	eles	363	8.9	0.308	8.7	NA	1.4	36.4	0.19	0.81	19.0	

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (HCM 2000).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

HCM Delay Model used.

Processed: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 3:53:19 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.1.5.2006

Copyright © 2000-2011 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com Project: H:\projfile\13574 - Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal\sidra\NW Old Lower River Rd.sip

8001045, KITTELSON AND ASSOCIATES INC, FLOATING



Site: TTPM_2014