Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29501	UTC) Docket EF-131590
From:	Sef Magrath <sefmagrath7@gmail.com></sefmagrath7@gmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 7:46 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Sef Magrath 1419 e 7th ave Spokane, WA 99202

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29502	UTC)	
From:	Esther Escusa <esther.escusa@gmail.com></esther.escusa@gmail.com>	
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:07 PM	
То:	EFSEC (UTC)	
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver	

Dear

As a community member, I ask that you reevaluate the steep costs of oil-by-rail proposal. The Tesoro Savage project requires more evaluation to understand the negative impacts on our community health, air and water quality, as well as pollution. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Esther Escusa 1512 150th ave se Bellevue, WA 98007

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#29503

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Pat Rasmussen <patr@crcwnet.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:19 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Pat Rasmussen 12714 Morris Rd. Yelm, WA 98508

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

Joyce Namba <milonamba@msn.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:20 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

Short term quick return in dollars for long term (100 years plus) environmental impact is my concern. We must look at the long range impacts upon our Earth. Water is our lifeline on this planet. It must be protected. It is enough to have to contend with the poisoned waters from Fukushima that is flowing into the Pacific NW. Let's not add to this toxin. Thank you for your time.

Most sincerely, Joyce Namba Portland OR

Joyce Namba 5150 SW Landing Dr Portland, OR 97239

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#29505

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

H. Schaefer <harryschaefer72@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:21 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

H. Schaefer P.O. Box 1034 Sumas, WA 98295 Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29506

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jessica Klein <jesklein@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:22 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Jessica Klein 517 North 75th Seattle, WA 98103

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29507	Docket EF-131590 UTC)		
From:	David Luxem <daluxem1@yahoo.com></daluxem1@yahoo.com>	·	
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:26 PM		
То:	EFSEC (UTC)		
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver	1	
•			

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

David Luxem 1903 SW Hillcrest Rd. Seattle, WA 98166

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29508	(UTC) Docket EF-131590	
From:	Tony Buch <maritoni_buch@yahoo.com></maritoni_buch@yahoo.com>	
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:35 PM	
То:	EFSEC (UTC)	
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver	
-		

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Tony Buch 6221 35 Ave Seattle, WA 98115

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Docket EF-131590

Kathleen Beavin <kbeavin@frontier.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:36 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Kathleen Beavin 22210 17th Pl W Bothell, WA 98021

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29510	Docket EF-131590 UTC)
From:	Bruce.W.Morgan@tsocorp.com
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:40 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely, Bruce Morgan

JTC) Docket EF-131590

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Subject:	

taslin10@comcast.net Monday, December 16, 2013 8:41 PM EFSEC (UTC) Tesoro scooping

Someone has surely sent this on to you, but in case they didn't, FYI there are 24 US gallons in a barrel of crude. A us gallon of sweet crude weighs about 7 pounds. Tesoro and BNSF propose to send a minimum of 120,000 barrels a day . That is a LOT of weight on those old tracks., trestles and viaducts.Especially barreling along at 60mph. Per day.Please check the calculations.(120000x42x7=35280000 pounds.)

You already know Tesoro can't be trusted. I think the state of WA needs to be sure BNSF also does what is right.

Train count is up to ten, at least, by my ears. Includes one long warning whistle up on the hill above my house in Spokane. Time is 20:30 pm

Sincerely, Barbara Morrissey P.O.Box 1045 Spokane, WA 99210 taslin10@comcast.net

Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Karen Genest</information@sierraclub.org>
	<karen.genest@gmail.com></karen.genest@gmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

Please, do NOT turn the Port of Vancouver into a crude oil export terminal! Please, read and assess the complete environmental and public safety impact of this project. We live in a gorgeous and clean scenic area. Keep it that way.

This plan would apparently mean that hundreds of thousands of barres of oil would be shipped through Spokane and down the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Have you traveled it? It is a gorgeous, wonderful area of our country. This proposed oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return.

Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

There's a lot of things that need to be carefully checked.

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. There have been far too many oil spills in too many areas - and the people rather than the companies end up paying for the clean up

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

4) The project's impact on climate change.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Genest 17200 SE 26th Dr Unit 38 Vancouver, WA 98683-4311

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29513	(UTC) Docket EF-131590
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janet Johnson <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

Re: Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

I implore you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Johnson 556 NE 20th Pl Newport, OR 97365-1836 (541) 574-9203

Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29514

From:
Sent:
To:
Subiect:

Mercedita del Valle <bernardmercy38@yahoo.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:47 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

7

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Mercedita del Valle 102 N. Rhododendron Dr. Port Townsend, WA 98368

90

From: Sent: To: Subject: Deborah Rudnick <debrudnick@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:48 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

The EIS must incorporate the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed, including:

* The cumulative impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

It is hard to imagine that an objective, thorough EIS, will conclude that this proposed project is not measurably harmful to our air, water, and quality of life, and that the profits to be made by a small number of corporations will not be outweighed by the severe impacts that we will collectively absorb as a result of this proposed project.

Thank you.

Deborah Rudnick 11538 Meadowmeer Cir NE Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Sara DuBois <sarai1944@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:53 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Sara DuBois 375 Union Ave SE # 19 Renton, WA 98059

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#29517

UTC) Docket E	EF-131590
---------------	-----------

From: Sent: To: Subject: Linda Murtfeldt lindamurt@yahoo.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:55 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Linda Murtfeldt 10015 Vinton Ct NW Seattle, WA 98177

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29518	UTC) Docket EF-131590	
From:	patricia ronald <pleckenby@gmail.com></pleckenby@gmail.com>	
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:56 PM	
То:	EFSEC (UTC)	
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver	
-		

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

patricia ronald 1120 8th ave #502 seattle, WA 98101

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

Dr. Gary Rassner-Donovan <grd@whidbey.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:57 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

* We have already witnessed the dangers of oil-by-rail in Canada with one of the most horrible accidents killing and injuring people and destroying a significant part of a town. We do not want to create the possibility of a similar occurrence here in Washington. There is no guarantee from industry that they can prevent accidents. And even worse, will Tesoro Savage take responsibility if it does happen. The story of corporate culpability is rife with reasons, excuses, and blamelessness on their part for these tragedies once they initiate "damage control." It is a losing proposition for the citizens of this state. Thank you for your time.

Thank you.

Dr. Gary Rassner-Donovan 436 6th Street Langley, WA 98260

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Zachary Baldwin < baldwinzd@yahoo.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:57 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Zachary Baldwin 1113 K Street Centralia, WA 98531

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29521	JTC)
From:	Kevin Bruce <kevinandsuebruce@gmail.com></kevinandsuebruce@gmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 9:00 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Kevin Bruce 522 s Lk Reisinger rd Snohomish, WA 98290

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

UTC) Docket EF-131590

Emily Ross <emilyelizabethross@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:01 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakkon oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Emily Ross 11420 37th Avenue SW Seattle, WA 98146

- HOCKELEE - LU LUUV	Docket	FF-1	31	590
----------------------	--------	------	----	-----

(J	Γ	C

From: Sent: To: Subject: Cheryl Schuelke <cjschuelke@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:06 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Cheryl Schuelke PO BOX473 Vaughn, Wa, WA 98394

JTC) Docket EF-131590

From: Sent: To: Subject: Elyette Weinstein <elyette_w@yahoo.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:13 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Elyette Weinstein 5000 Orvas Court SE Olympia, WA 98501

From: Sent: To: Subject: Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Mary Anne Rangel <rangelm@wwu.edu> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:19 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Already there is congestion of railway traffic due to coal carrying trains. The increase of coal trains, as the coal companies and railway want, would make this congestion even worse., Now, add oil carrying trains and wow! This sounds like a nightmare, not to mention the danger for our waters due to possible (very possible) oil tanker spills and coal tanker spills. All of this for export to Asia so that companies can make their billions upon billions. And if infrastructure were to be suggested and planned to add all of the new trains, guess who will pay for it? Not the BNSF railway, not the oil companies, not the coal companies, but us, we the people, through taxes! Where is the justification for this? What more nonsense can be bared by us, by the environment?

Mary Anne Rangel,

Mary Anne Rangel 251 South Garden Street Bellingham, WA 98225

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#29526

Docket EF-131590

From: Sent: To: Subject: Julia Brayshaw <alchemia33@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:28 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Julia Brayshaw 424 38th Ave. NE Olympia, WA 98506

Docket EF-131590

(U	T	Ċ

From: Sent: To: Subject: Lillian Broadbent <osantiques@coastaccess.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:30 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;

* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Lillian Broadbent 785 Duck Lake Dr SE Ocean Shores, WA 98569

From:
Sent:
To:
Subiect:

Docket EF-131590

U	T	'C)

Howard Lazzarini <hglazz@comcast.net> Monday, December 16, 2013 9:39 PM EFSEC (UTC) EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;

* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound; * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;

* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;

* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;

* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and

* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Howard Lazzarini 12105 51st Ave SE Everett, WA 98208

Docket	EF-1	31	590
--------	------	----	-----

(UTC)

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29529

From: Sent: To: Subject: exhunter49@gmail.com Monday, December 16, 2013 9:41 PM EFSEC (UTC) Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear Stephen Posner

I am a resident of Washington and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. I fully support the use of crude oil and natural gas from American sources to reduce our dependence on costly foreign oil

Sincerely, Allen Rogers

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29530	Docket EF-131590 (UTC)
From:	tndgardens@comcast.net
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 9:42 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Citizen Comment on Vancouver Oil Terminal Proposal

In 1992, the U.S. Geological Survey confirmed the suspected fault under the east bank of the Willamette River. This fault runs at least 30 miles southeast of Portland-Vancouver and is part of the Portland Hills Fault Zone. The Portland Hills fault itself has been known for a long time. The length of this fault makes geologists feel this area could produce earthquakes of more than 6 on the Richter Scale. To confirm this, at a recent Geological Society of the Oregon Country meeting, a geologist from the Oregon Department of Geology, when asked what is the most dangerous place in Oregon to be if a large quake occurred, responded that that would be the large tank farms along the Willamette River.

These earthquakes would also produce strong vibrations in the alluvial soils that the proposed Vancouver Oil Terminal would sit on. These soils would liquify, knocking oil cars over and rupturing holding tanks. The support system in the Vancouver area would have many other problems to contend with. They would not need a destroyed oil terminal, with possible fires, to complicate their monumental task.

Thomas N. Gordon

December 16, 2013

642 I Street Washougal, Wa. 98671 (360) 835-7748 tndgardens@comcast.net

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment	Docket EF-131590	
#29531	(UTC)	
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Chris Strickwerda <strick99 @yahoo.com></strick99 </information@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM	
То:	EFSEC (UTC)	
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01	

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Strickwerda 105 Riviera Dr Chelan, WA 98816-9558 (509) 682-6226

Docket	EF-1	131	590
--------	------	-----	-----

UTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Celia Martin <soundlovers@comcast.net></soundlovers@comcast.net></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Celia Martin 16190 Pearson Point Rd NE Poulsbo, WA 98370-8046

Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jeffrey Kozma <jcr_5105< th=""></jcr_5105<></information@sierraclub.org>
	@charter.net>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Kozma 5105 Sycamore Dr Yakima, WA 98901-5382 Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29534 Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Noel Barnes</information@sierraclub.org>
	<n2barnes@comcast.net></n2barnes@comcast.net>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
To:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Noel Barnes 1614 Glenwood Ave SE Renton, WA 98058-3838 Docket EF-131590

Tesoro Savage CBR	
Scoping Comment	
#29535	

(UTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Bomgardner <scootersadvocacy@gmail.com></scootersadvocacy@gmail.com></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Miss Nancy Bomgardner 27109 NE 45th St Redmond, WA 98053-8748 (425) 836-2932 Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29536 Docket EF-131590

ITC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Greta Sorensen <greta_lise0400 @yahoo.com></greta_lise0400 </information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:43 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Ms. Greta Sorensen 6501 106th Ave NE Kirkland, WA 98033-7009 (425) 827-0400

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29537

Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Thomas Guobis</information@sierraclub.org>
	<tguobis@msn.com></tguobis@msn.com>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Thomas Guobis 646 Osborn Rd Port Angeles, WA 98362-9122 (360) 457-6321 Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29538

UTC)

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judy ICook <jjcook5451 @gmail.com></jjcook5451 </information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
-	

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Ms. Judy ICook 1024 SW Main St Apt 648 Portland, OR 97205-2419 (503) 422-8381

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29539	Docket EF-131590 (UTC)
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Elaine Anthonise <eanthonise@gmail.com></eanthonise@gmail.com></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Ms. Elaine Anthonise 16830 SE 43rd St Bellevue, WA 98006-8992 (480) 370-1850

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29540	(UTC) Docket EF-131590
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Humphrey <rjh7349 @yahoo.com></rjh7349 </information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Robert Humphrey PO Box 4208 Brookings, OR 97415-0062 (541) 251-3682 Docket EF-131590

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29541

JTC)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alex Samarin <scxb@msn.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM EFSEC (UTC) Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex Samarin 623 E Grandview Ln Culver, OR 97734-9740 Docket EF-131590

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29542

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Martin</information@sierraclub.org>
•	lindanpdx@hotmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)

JTC)

Dec 16, 2013

Subject:

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Ms. Linda Martin Se Portland, OR 97206-2235

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29543	Docket EF-131590 UTC)
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eric Knutson <leftyeric@yahoo.com></leftyeric@yahoo.com></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Eric Knutson 1831 N Skyline Dr Tacoma, WA 98406-1930 (425) 299-1977

Tesoro Savage CBR	
Scoping Comment	
#29544	

(UTC)

π23344 ··································	
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sarah Teubner <skateach@yahoo.com></skateach@yahoo.com></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
-	

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mrs. Sarah Teubner 3111 NE 7th Ave Portland, OR 97212-3142

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29545	Docket EF-131590 (UTC)
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of James and Liane Ghormley <jim@ghormley.net></jim@ghormley.net></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. James and Liane Ghormley 12501 NE 12th St Vancouver, WA 98684-5711 (360) 256-8204 Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29546

From: Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ivy Sacks <ivys@centurytel.net> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM EFSEC (UTC) Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ivy Sacks 11525 SW 212th Pl Vashon, WA 98070-6401 (206) 465-4935 Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29547

Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Adam Roske <roske95 @hotmail.com></roske95 </information@sierraclub.org>	
Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM	
EFSEC (UTC)	
Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01	

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Dr. Adam Roske 80717 Sears Rd Cottage Grove, OR 97424-9524 (541) 942-5684 Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29548

From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Daniele Rubcic <daniele.rubcic@bastyr.edu></daniele.rubcic@bastyr.edu></information@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM
То:	EFSEC (UTC)
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Ms. Daniele Rubcic 2816 153rd St SW Lynnwood, WA 98087-5433 Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29549

Docket	EF-131590
--------	-----------

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Chuck Thuot <chuckthuot@gmail.com> Monday, December 16, 2013 8:44 PM EFSEC (UTC) Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Chuck Thuot 3836 W Lake Sammamish Pkwy SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5838

lesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #29550	(UTC) Docket EF-131590		
From:	Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Roger Rouleau <rogermrouleau@gmail.com></rogermrouleau@gmail.com></information@sierraclub.org>		
Sent:	Monday, December 16, 2013 8:45 PM		
То:	EFSEC (UTC)		
Subject:	Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01		

Dec 16, 2013

Mr. Stephen Posner P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities.

Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.

2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.

3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route.

This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.

4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

Mr. Roger Rouleau 23506 E Broadway Ave Liberty Lake, WA 99019-9662