

UTC)

**From:** lydia garvey <wolfhowlmama@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:37 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

lydia garvey  
429 s 24th st  
clinton, OK 73601

**From:** Joshua Simpson <Simp47@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:43 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Joshua Simpson  
109 23rd E  
Seattle, WA 98112

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Scott Woodward <woodfish24@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:44 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Scott Woodward  
480 Columbia Park Trl  
Richland, WA 99352

**From:** Jane Hadley <jhadle@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:47 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Jane Hadley  
1725 30th Ave  
Seattle, WA 98122

**UTC)**

---

**From:** ella ward <joeandella@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:47 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

ella ward  
4101 55th ave. n.e.  
seattle, WA 98105

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Bonnie Duncan <bduncan@srgpartnership.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:48 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Bonnie Duncan  
3740 SW Monroe Street  
Seattle, WA 98126

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Ronald Snell <rsnell2@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:50 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Ronald Snell  
14222 108th NE  
Kirkland, WA 98034

**From:** Mona Lee <mona\_lee@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:50 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Mona Lee  
4802 S Othello St.  
Seattle, WA 98118



UTC)

**From:** Timothy.M.Davidson@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:51 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Davidson

**JTC)**

---

**From:** David Jackson <david.w.jackson.jr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:57 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

David Jackson  
4700 Charter Lane  
Mukilteo, WA 98275

**From:** Louise Stonington <lstoning@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Louise Stonington  
1922 15th Ave E  
Seattle, WA 98112

**From:** taslin10@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:23 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Cc:** Jon Snyder  
**Subject:** tesoro scoping comment

Hi again from Spokane

Eight trains today between 1100 and 1600 going through Sunset junction in Spokane. Headed west: 1 general freight, 2 oil tanker trains, 1 coal. Headed east: 2 general freight, 1 tanker (/empty?), 1 coal(empty??). Direct observation. Also heard three trains early this am( midnight, about three, about five.)

If BNSF and Tesoro want to do this, they should have to post bond to the City of Spokane, and maybe to all areas along the route. And bond to Bonneville Power if oil gets into turbines..

BNSF should also be required to notify the Spokane Fire Department of all dangerous freight going through here, such as carloads of amonia, liquid fertilizer, etc. They have been doing this for years.

Time to rein them in.

Didn't go to the scoping last week. Heard some lady in Brown's Addition can see all the action. Hope she decided to do some video monitoring.

Cheers

Barbara Morrissey

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Howard Pellett <howardp71@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:24 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Howard Pellett  
5293 Guemes Island Road  
Anacortes, WA 98221

JTC)

---

**From:** Verrall Hoover <verrallhoover@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:26 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Verrall Hoover  
408 Willow Street  
Port Townsend, WA 98368

**(UTC)**

---

**From:** june.m.christman@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:26 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
June Christman

**From:** Lynn Ziegler <lynnztv@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:27 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Lynn Ziegler  
19764 3rd Ave. NW #52  
Poulsbo, WA 98370



**From:** Marian Wineman <mwineman@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:32 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Marian Wineman  
3611 45th Ave W  
Seattle, WA 98199

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#29118

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Mark Johnston <markjtn@earhlink.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:32 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

It's long past time that we accommodate energy proposals that endanger the planet's climate and environmental health in general. At a minimum, any proposal that would allow an oil transportation route that risks a spill entering water should be rejected outright. The oil industry has lousy safety record and so can't be trusted.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Mark Johnston  
14818 SE 267th Street  
Kent, WA 98042

UTC)

---

**From:** richard.p.long@tsocorp.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:34 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Dear EFSEC Commissioners

I am a Tesoro employee from California and am writing in support of the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal. As a Tesoro employee, I stand behind the company's commitment to safety and the environment. I have firsthand experience of how important safe, clean, and efficient operations are to Tesoro.

This terminal will also contribute to energy independence in the United States. Because of my job, I understand the market demand for moving crude oil to West Coast refineries. This terminal will make the transportation of crude oil from the Bakken and other regions more accessible and reduce the amount of crude U.S. refineries are currently forced to purchase from international sources. By allowing U.S. crude to move through a U.S. terminal to U.S. refineries, Tesoro and Savage are supporting U.S. energy independence and creating U.S. jobs.

I urge the committee to bear in mind the positive impact this terminal will have on the U.S. economy. As a Tesoro employee and an American job holder, my family depends on the strength of the oil and gas industry in the U.S. To keep this project moving forward on a schedule that will allow for its timely approval, please keep the scope of the SEPA environmental analysis purposefully focused on potential impacts from the proposed facility. The scope of the EIS must be limited to those potential impacts directly related to the facility design and operation. I ask that EFSEC consider the following site-specific impacts in preparation of the SEPA Environmental Impact Statement:

- Spill prevention and spill response requirements that protect the environment
- Ability to comply with state and federal air quality emission standards
- Impact of the facility on local transportation infrastructure and public services
- Facility design that meets all relevant safety standards

I am concerned that conducting a SEPA EIS that looks beyond site-based facility impacts is an overreach that could dilute the core focus on this facility. This balanced approach is consistent with Washington's SEPA statutes and regulations and will protect the environment while also ensuring the state's ability to grow its economy.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Richard Long

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Diane Weinstein <diane\_weinstein@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:36 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Diane Weinstein  
24116 SE 45th Place  
Issaquah  
Issaquah, WA 98029

**JTC)**

---

**From:** Charlie Baker <cgbake42@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 2:48 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Charlie Baker  
425 Poplar Lane  
Mt. Vernon, WA 98273

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Brandon Nebeker <forest.brandon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 2:47 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Brandon Nebeker  
2130 97th dr se  
Lake Stevens, WA 98258

Tesoro Savage CBR  
Scoping Comment  
#29123

(UTC)

---

**From:** Kris Hronek <lovemonkey74@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 2:47 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Kris Hronek  
811 maynard ave s  
seattle, WA 98134

**UTC)**

---

**From:** Stanley Jones-Umberger <StanleyJonesUmberger@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 2:47 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

This project will enrich a few at the inconvenience and endangerment of all of us. I am not willing to accept the additional risk.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Stanley Jones-Umberger  
37425 SE 39th Street  
Washougal, WA 98671



**UTC)**

---

**From:** Joe Suligoy <jsuligoy@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:14 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Joe Suligoy  
5683 SE Somerset ct.  
Port Orchard, WA 98366

**From:** Mike Conlan <mickconlan@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 16, 2013 4:14 PM  
**To:** EFSEC (UTC)  
**Subject:** EIS Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- \* The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- \* The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- \* The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- \* The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- \* The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- \* Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- \* Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Mike Conlan  
6421 139th Place NE, #52  
Redmond, WA 98052