(UTC)

Docket EF-131590

From: Sent: John Noland <johncnoland@gmail.com> Friday, December 06, 2013 9:06 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

John Noland

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

Penny Derleth <penny.derleth@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, December 06, 2013 9:49 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Downtown Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- * The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- * The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- * The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- * The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- * Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route;
- * Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions and;
- * That many more trains will make more bridges and underpasses necessary and they are paid for, not by the railroad, but by the community.

Thank you.

Penny Derleth PO Box 421 Deer Park, WA 99006

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27253

JTC)

From: Sent: Laurie Todd < lltodd@mindspring.com> Friday, December 06, 2013 9:56 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Laurie Todd

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27254

JTC)

From: Sent: Lauryn Slotnick < Halli620@aol.com > Friday, December 06, 2013 10:12 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Lauryn Slotnick

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27255

UTC)

From:

augustine Gaona <augman07@yahoo.com> Friday, December 06, 2013 10:17 PM

Sent: To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

augustine Gaona

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

Kimberly Spiegel <kspiegel82@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, December 06, 2013 10:23 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Kimberly Spiegel

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27257

JTC)

From:

Uphoria Blackham <uphoriahb@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, December 06, 2013 10:26 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Uphoria Blackham

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27258

(UTC)

From: Sent: brandon ross

brandonross@mchsi.com>
 Friday, December 06, 2013 10:37 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

brandon ross

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27259

(UTC)

From: Sent: Zandra Saez <critters1@comcast.net> Friday, December 06, 2013 10:45 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Scoping comments for Tesoro Savage proposal in Vancouver

Dear

As a community member, I am very concerned about the proposed Tesoro Savage project at the Port of Vancouver. I urge you to fully assess the impacts of this proposal to transport up to 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and other Northwest communities.

I urge you to include in the scoping of this proposal the implications to public safety, environmental impacts, and the health of our communities. These deserve a spotlight in the assessment of the state's largest oil-by-rail terminal proposed. Including,

- * The compounding impact of multiple trains going through communities daily on traffic, community safety, and air quality;
- * The threat of oil spills from trains and marine vessels along the Columbia, the Pacific Ocean, and the Puget Sound;
- * The ability of communities to respond to an oil spill sourced from the Bakken oil fields and the Canadian Tar Sands safely and in a timely manner;
- * The increase in oil tankers and the corresponding increased risk of oil spills throughout Washington waters and beyond;
- * The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil from Bakken to Tar sands, cradle to grave;
- * Safety of crude oil being transported by rail and the risks to communities along the route; and
- * Terminal safety precautions related to the type and source of oil, level of combustion, and air emissions.

Thank you.

Zandra Saez 1805 E. 34th Ave. Spokane, WA 99203

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From: Sent: Kevin Nguyen <kls8216@yahoo.com> Friday, December 06, 2013 11:59 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Kevin Nguyen

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27261

UTC)

Ranae Knox <raezofbeauty@gmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:34 AM

Sent: To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Ranae Knox

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
407060

(UTC)

From: Sent: jim stalknecht <jim.stalknecht@wanadoo.fr> Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:29 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

jim stalknecht

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From: Sent: dean Knox <dean.c.knox@gmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:35 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

dean Knox

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27264

JTC)

From: Sent: Perry Merrill <Bodelly@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:35 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Perry Merrill

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27265

(UTC)

From:

Laura Eklund < lauralynneklund@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 1:03 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Laura Eklund

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27266

(UTC)

From:
Sent:

Mark Proa <mproa1@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 2:10 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Mark Proa

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27267

7267 **UTC)**

From:

Lana Touchstone < lanatouchstone@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 2:21 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Lana Touchstone

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

Marie-Paule Vanderhoeft <jp.seldeslagh@skynet.be>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 3:16 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Marie-Paule Vanderhoeft

Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

From: Sent: Edma Antuna <edmaantuna@yahoo.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 3:26 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Edma Antuna

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27270

JTC)

From: Sent: Gary Tubb <getubb@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 4:07 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Gary Tubb

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27271

From:

Fran Sloan <FSloan@cox.net>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 4:44 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Fran Sloan

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

matthieu nappée <matthieunappee@yahoo.fr>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 4:48 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

matthieu nappée

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27273

UTC)

From:

a c <aries200@optonline.net>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 5:14 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Categories:

Purple Category

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

ас

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27274

UTC)

From:

Edi Sosa <trasrain@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 5:14 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Edi Sosa

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27275

UTC)

Karen Barnes < dktbarnes@comcast.net> Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 5:15 AM To: EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Karen Barnes

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27276

UTC)

From: Sent: Peter Neuschel <peterneuschel@arcor.de> Saturday, December 07, 2013 5:23 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Peter Neuschel

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27277

(UTC)

From:	
Sent:	

Philip Pepper <pbspepper@msn.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 5:55 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Philip Pepper

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27278

UTC)

From: Sent: Chinmayee Jog <ambermyste@care2.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 6:16 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Chinmayee Jog

Docket EF-131590

(UTC)

From: Sent: Ashley Head <ashleyhead@mac.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 6:53 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Ashley Head

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

Michael Harrison < T.michael.harrison@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 6:56 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Michael Harrison

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From:

seraphim@rockisland.com

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 7:16 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC

I am a San Juan County taxpayer. I am concerned about the potential impacts from the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC in Vancouver to the infrastructure of San Juan County.

Please thoroughly study the following:

Based on the barrels of crude oil proposed to be transported and subsequently burned, what would be the impacts of the acceleration of Climate Change to San Juan County?

What would be the costs from associated increased storm winds, ocean surges, and precipitation?

What would be the impacts due to sea level rise? What would be the costs associated with sea level rise?

What would be the costs associated with more intense storms coinciding with the highest "King Tides?"

What would be the adverse impacts to San Juan County's public roads, docks, etc. located on or near the shoreline with sea level rise?

With sea level rise, what would be the adverse impacts to San Juan County's private development located on or near the shoreline?

Thank you,

Cynthia Dilling 612 Cape Saint Mary Road Lopez Island, WA 98261

Docket EF-13,590

(UTC)

From: Sent: Darren Holland <darrenh19@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:14 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Darren Holland

sk39rq

Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From: Sent: Courtney Birkett <cjbirkett@aol.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:15 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Courtney Birkett

Docket EF-131590

UTC)

From: Sent: Barbara Gideon barbi@gideon.org Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:45 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Barbara Gideon

UTC)

Docket F5.42 : 590

From:

Darius Fattahipour <fattahipour@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 8:45 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Darius Fattahipour

UTC)

From:

Carol Steckler < humhouse@rockisland.com >

Sent: To: Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:17 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Shipping of Coal

Stephen Posner Interim EFSEC Manager Energy Facility Site E

My name is Carol Steckler and I have been a San Juan County resident and property owner for over forty years. Our County relies on our tourism-based economy where our resident orca whales are an economic driver. I am concerned about the potential impacts from the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC in Vancouver to the health of the Southern Resident Orca Whale. Please thoroughly study the following: What would be the adverse impacts from the proposed crude oil transport terminal to the Southern Resident Orca Whales that spend time at the mouth of the Columbia River where it is presumed that they are feeding on upper Columbia and Snake River Chinook salmon? What would be the adverse impacts to Chinook salmon from the construction and the on-going operation of the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC and associated rail lines, docks, ship loaders, storage facilities, conveyors, and equipment? What would be the cumulative adverse impacts to Chinook salmon from all the proposed fossil fuel terminal proposals within the Columbia River? What would be the cumulative adverse impacts to Chinook salmon from the increased vessel traffic associated with the Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC and all other proposed fossil fuel terminal projects in the Columbia River? What would be the cumulative adverse impacts to Chinook salmon from the increased risks of an oil spill especially given the treacherous conditions that can exist at the Columbia River Bar that is also known as the "Graveyard of the Pacific". Please heed the safety, health and well being of our communities affected by this routing of coal.

Carol Steckler

1951 Center Road

Lopez Island WA.

98261

360-468-2138

Docker 55.131590

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27287

(UTC)

From:
Sent:

Sivan Amar <qami3210@gmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:13 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Sivan Amar

(UTC)

Docket EF-131590

From: Sent: eva obst <eva@florianobst.com>
Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:23 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

eva obst

UTC)

From: Sent: Grant Fujii <dzfujii@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:41 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC;

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Grant Fujii

Docket EF-131590

JTC)

From: Sent: Lora Leland <leland_maine@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:50 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Lora Leland

(UTC)

From: Sent: Lorna Reese < lornareese@gmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 9:55 AM

To: Subject: EFSEC (UTC)
Tesoro Terminal

Stephen Posner Interim EFSEC Manager Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council PO Box 43172 1300 S Evergreen Park Dr. SW Olympia, WA 98504-3172

My name is Lorna Reese and I am a Washington State taxpayer. I am concerned about the potential impacts from the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC in Vancouver to the taxpayers of Washington State. Please thoroughly study the following: What will be the cost to Washington State taxpayers to address the required transportation infrastructure improvements associated with the proposed Tesoro Savage Petroleum Terminal LLC?

Thank you.

Lorna Reese Lopez Island, WA 98261

UTC)

Docket EF-131590

From:

Vanessa de Ligniere <buttifly68@gmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 10:22 AM

Sent: To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Vanessa de Ligniere

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27293

(UTC)

From: Sent: kelly vanderlan < kevanderlan@verizon.net > Saturday, December 07, 2013 10:45 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

kelly vanderlan

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27294

(UTC)

From: Sent: Jeremy Daly <Who584@hotmail.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 10:52 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

~1315**90**

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project!

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver, and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Jeremy Daly

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27295 Docker 11 19 1590

UTC)

From: Sent: Katherine DIAZ <Glittagirl14@yahoo.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 10:55 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Katherine DIAZ

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27296

UTC)

From:	
-------	--

Lacey Odell < lrodell@tds.net>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 11:36 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Lacey Odell

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27297

JTC)

From: Sent: Faith Meckley <bluegirl3666@yahoo.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 11:37 AM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Faith Meckley

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27298

(UTC)

From: Sent: Christine Stanfield <stanfieldce@yahoo.com> Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:03 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- •The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- •The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Christine Stanfield

Tesoro Savage CBR
Scoping Comment
#27200

(UTC)

mark sayers <tolps1987@gmail.com> Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2013 6:24 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed

Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- •The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- •The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

mark sayers

Tesoro Savage CBR Scoping Comment #27300

UTC)

From:

Mary Ruth Holder <mruthholder@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, December 07, 2013 12:03 PM

To:

EFSEC (UTC)

Subject:

Public Comment for Docket No. EF-131590 Application No. 2013-01 Tesoro Savage

Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Attachments:

Public Comment for Tesoro Savage Transfer Terminal.pdf

Categories:

Red Category

Dear Mr. Posner,

Please accept our public comment attached to this e-mail for the above-referenced application.

Please also send us notices of future permitting activity for this project by e-mail.

Thank you.

Mary Ruth & Phillip Holder

Public Comment for Docket No. EF-131590 Application No. 2013-01 Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal

Mr. Stephen Posner, Interim EFSEC Manager Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

Via e-mail at: efsec@utc.wa.gov

Dear Mr. Posner:

Please accept our public comment for the above-referenced docket. We are requesting that you conduct a full assessment of all direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of Tesoro Savage's proposed Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal.

We retired to Washington State from Texas nearly a decade ago. We had visited this extraordinarily beautiful state several times; and we moved here because of its natural beauty; its unique national and state parks, wildlife refuges, scenic areas, and forests; its clean rivers; and its abundance of fish and wildlife. Elected leaders here appeared to value our country and the state's natural and cultural heritage and to work hard to make Washington State a leader on serious environmental issues such as climate change and ocean acidification.

Although we made Mount Vernon our home, we love to travel to and along the Columbia River. In addition to the things we value that compelled us to move to Washington, the Columbia River has federal and state heritage sites have helped us learn about and appreciate Lewis and Clark's perilous journey. The beautiful Columbia River National Scenic Area, the estuarine environment along the lower River and the fish and wildlife found throughout the Columbia River's waters, in its Gorge, along its shorelines, and sheltered by its parks and wildlife refuges give us immeasurable joy. We spend our tourist dollars in both large and small communities along the River. Of course as residents of Skagit County we also have a keen interest in the environmental health of the Salish Sea and the San Juan Islands - recently designated as a National Monument- which we visit often for wildlife observation and cultural events.

We are deeply concerned about the significant adverse environmental impacts on the Columbia River Gorge, the River, marine waters, public lands, fish and wildlife, and on the residents of communities along the rail line that would result from the construction and operation of the proposed Tesoro Savage Terminal. The scope of the EIS must be broad and include all potential direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the proposed terminal. As an initial matter and discussed in further detail below, your environmental review must be founded on the true nature and objective(s) of the proposed terminal. The deft phrasing of

Tesoro Savage's cover letter, application, and JARPA suggests applicant has not fully disclosed the full nature and intent of the proposed project. For example, applicant's use of the words like "principle purpose," and "primarily" can conceal important information. What are the other, unstated purposes and how big are they?

The Tesoro Savage project would result in the transportation of 360,000 barrels of Bakken and Canadian tar sands crude oil per day (or over 131 million barrels of crude per year) by rail through the Columbia River Gorge and by ship along the Columbia River and across the Columbia River bar to refineries (unidentified and unspecified in number by applicant) along the west coast, and perhaps even to foreign markets. Upon full build out, the crude oil facility would result in as many as 12 trains - each up to 120 cars long - per day and 3,426 trains per year traveling to and from the facility. At least all of the full trains (and perhaps some or many of the returning empty trains) would travel on the rail line through the Gorge. Two new rail lines, each 7,700 feet in length, would be added to the Port of Vancouver's existing rail network.

The scope of the EIS for this proposed project must include all public safety and health impacts of rail transportation of crude oil including not only at the new rail lines to be constructed at the Port of Vancouver, but all along the rail route in our state. The scope of the EIS must include the air quality and public health impacts of locomotive diesel emissions along the rail route(s) in Washington and the impacts on emergency response at blocked at-grade crossings along the route(s). The EIS must also fully address the significant hazards of transporting Bakken and tar sands crude oil in DOT-111 tank cars through communities and sensitive environments along an already overcrowded rail line and even in the Port area itself. The scope of the EIS must include a full review of state and local emergency response preparedness and capability for accidents involving the tank cars all along the rail route. These tank cars are known to puncture at even slow train speeds and the crude oil they carry is volatile and prone to explosion. The following articles provide background for why the transport of Bakken shale crude can lead to a catastrophic accidents and why these potential impacts must be thoroughly assessed in the EIS: Jacquie McNish and Grant Robertson, The Globe and Mail, The deadly secret behind the Lac-Mégantic inferno, Last updated Dec. 4, 2013, http://m.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/industry-news/energy-and-resources/thehazardous-history-of-the-oil-that-levelled-lac-megantic/article15733700/?service=mobile and Melissa Troutman, Public Herald, New Aerial Video of Alabama Oil Spill Exposes Cleanup Problems, visited on December 2, 2013,

http://www.publicherald.org/archives/18602/investigative-reports/energy-investigations/oil-2/.

The applicant's cover letter says it will receive crude in FRA and DOT approved tank cars. Of course FRA and DOT approved tank cars presently include both new and old tank cars, some of which have been demonstrated to be unsafe, as the above-cited articles dramatically illustrate. The cover letter further states that beginning in October 2011 all new DOT-111 tank cars will have additional safety features, but there are no representations or assurances by applicant whatsoever that all DOT-111 tank cars carrying Bakken crude to the facility will be new ones. Moreover, in light of all the demands for DOT-111 tank cars throughout the U.S., how could this applicant receive shipments in only new tank cars? Your review must examine the facts behind applicant's statements in light of what actually would be available and what tests, under all possible conditions and terrains matching those all along the rail route, have been conducted to insure the complete safety of all tank cars that would be used to transport Bakken and Canadian tar sands crude to this proposed facility. Although the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration has issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking for safety rules for DOT-111 tank cars in light of the catastrophic accidents involving Bakken oil shipments, whether these proposed rules will survive challenges by tank car manufacturers and owners, whether they will be weakened and involve a long-term phase-in of safer cars, and whether they will be effectively ensure the safe rail transportation of volatile and explosive crude oil are all serious questions. Meanwhile, the risk and consequences of even one catastrophic accident along the rail route or inside the Port is unacceptable.

The facility would result in 730 oil tanker vessel transits to and from the facility per year at full build out. The EIS must also take into account the number of associated vessels, for example, barge tows. Please include in your review a determination of whether Tesoro-Savage's planned vessel transportation of crude oil to refineries along the "USWC" includes or may include existing and planned refineries in Washington State including in Anacortes and Cherry Point. The EIS must also include a detailed assessment of the risk of vessel accidents under all possible weather conditions involving any vessel used by Tesoro Savage including collisions, groundings, and other accidents and/or spills during tanker loading at the facility; cargo transfers; and transportation along the Columbia River, at and near the Columbia River Bar (well known to be one of the world's most dangerous shipping areas), in Willapa Bay and Grays Harbor, in the Salish Sea, on all Washington State waters including in the Pacific Ocean, and along all shipping routes. Please assess all possible fuel and non-fuel oils and cargo that could be spilled from a vessel transporting Tesoro Savage facility crude oil and from any other vessel involved in a collision or other accident with a vessel transporting crude from the Tesoro Savage terminal. The EIS must also detail the consequences from such events for all fish and wildlife including by types of crude (Bakken shale and Canadian tar sands crude, the latter of which is known to sink in the aquatic environment).

Potentially impacted fish species that are federally listed, proposed for listing, and/or identified by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife as priority species in the Columbia River or vicinity, include Chinook, chum, coho, sockeye salmon, eulachon/smelt, bull trout, steelhead trout, resident/searuncutthroat trout (*O. clarki clarki*), white (*Acipenser transmontanus*) and green sturgeon, Pacific (*Lampetra tridentata*) and river lamprey (*L. ayresi*). Potential impacts on each of these fish species must be thoroughly assessed in the EIS as well as impacts on endangered resident Orca whales and other marine mammals that travel past the mouth of the Columbia River, along the West Coast of Washington, in the Strait of Juan de Fuca, and in the Salish Sea. The review but also include potential impacts on tribal, commercial, and recreational fisheries as well as on all public lands along the shipping route including historic sites, parks, and wildlife refuges. The scope of the EIS must also include an assessment of the risks and impacts of spills on migratory and non-migratory waterfowl and shorebirds, including in key protected areas of habitat including in national wildlife refuges and parks.

The EIS must also address the short and long-term capability for emergency response in case of vessel and dock accidents and spills, and the responsibility and capability for complete clean-up of spills of each type of crude oil that would be handled at and transported by the facility. In addition, the scope of review must also include a determination about what specific steps (including when, where, how often, and by whom) would need to be taken to avoid introducing any invasive aquatic species from emergency or non-emergency ballast water release or hull fouling involving a vessel transporting Tesoro Savage crude.

As applicant notes, U.S. crude oil cannot be exported to foreign markets (at least for now and until Congress changes this law at the anticipated behest of the fossil fuel industry). See, for example, Fuelfix, ConocoPhillips CEO calls for removing crude oil export ban, November 19, 2013, http://fuelfix.com/blog/2013/11/19/conocophillips-ceo-calls-for-removing-crude-oil-export-ban/ and Jim Snyder & Mark Drajem, Bloomberg.com, Oil Industry May Invoke Trade Law to Challenge Export Ban, November 5, 2013, http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-06/oil-industry-may-invoke-trade-law-to-challenge-export-ban.html, "'Still, removing trade restrictions is rising on the industry's list of priorities as U.S. production soars,' said https://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-06/oil-industry-may-invoke-trade-law-to-challenge-export-ban.html, "'Still, removing trade restrictions is rising on the industry's list of priorities as U.S. production soars,' said https://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-06/oil-industry-may-invoke-trade-law-to-challenge-export-ban.html, "'Still, removing trade restrictions is rising on the industry's list of priorities as U.S. production soars,' said https://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-06/oil-industry-may-invoke-trade-law-to-challenge-export-ban.html, "Still, removing trade restrictions is rising on the industry's list of priorities as U.S. production soars,' said <a href="https://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-11-06/oil-industry-may-in

The EIS must determine whether the facility being proposed would also be capable of exporting crude to overseas markets and indeed whether this is a "secondary" or long-term purpose and objective for the facility. (Applicant's cover letter and JARPA only reveals a primary objective of providing "North American crude" to U.S. refineries.) See, Eric de Place, Sightline Institute, The Northwest's Pipelines on Rail, Updated October 2013,

http://www.sightline.org/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2013/10/crude-oil-by-rail October-2013-Update.pdf ("In some cases, oil delivered to West Coast refineries may displace existing supplies of crude such as those from Alaska's North Slope oil fields that currently arrive in tanker vessels. In other cases, the oil may be destined for export to Asia."). That applicant has a thus-far undisclosed objective of foreign crude oil shipments is also reinforced by the fact that U.S. west coast states are experiencing falling gas tax revenues due to increasing consumer reliance on fuel efficient vehicles and less driving. This trend is not expected to change in light of new fuel economy standards and, in fact, is likely to increase. See, Julia Pyper and Climate Wire, Scientific American, Has the U.S. Passed Peak Gasoline? November 19, 2013, http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=has-the-us-passed-peak-gasoline&WT.mc id=SA DD 20131119.

If crude handled at the Tesoro Savage terminal can and/or may be exported to foreign ports, the scope of the EIS must also include impacts along all shipping routes that would be employed by applicant and assess the risks and consequences of vessel accidents in areas such as along the North Pacific Great Circle Route and in and near the congested and dangerous Unimak Pass. See, Aleutian Islands Risk Assessment Project information, http://www.aleutianriskassessment.com/ Applicant should not be allowed to obscure part or all of its project objectives. If crude oil export to foreign markets is on its agenda, applicant's statement of project objectives (or purpose and need for the facility) is deficient. Both your agency and the public have the right to full disclosure of the applicant's objective(s) in order to inform public comments and ensure your thorough environmental impacts review. The EIS must address the long-term economic viability of a project to ship crude to "USWC" refineries. Applicant must be required to be forthcoming about its objectives and show a legitimate public need for a facility at this location to ship the volume of crude it proposes to shrinking U.S. markets and/or foreign markets.

The scope of the EIS must also include the impacts of this project on climate change, including the climate change impacts from extracting the crude oil, transporting it by rail and ship, refining the oil, and ultimate combustion of the crude domestically and/or in foreign counties. The climate change and ocean acidification impacts to Washington State from combustion of the crude oil moved through the proposed terminal would be significant and must not be ignored. It has been estimated that this usage would result in about 59.64 million metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions annually, or the equivalent greenhouse gas pollution of roughly 12 million cars. The EIS must quantify all of the greenhouse gas emissions from the extraction, transportation, refining, and combustion of the oil and assess the climate change and ocean acidification impacts on our state and its residents and our natural resources.

The cumulative impacts from the proposed Tesoro Savage Terminal must not be considered in isolation. Instead, the EIS must include analysis of the cumulative impacts from the proposed project in combination with the impacts from all reasonably foreseeable new or expanded crude oil and coal rail and vessel transportation projects proposed for Washington. The table at the following link provides information about the planned coal and other fossil fuel transportation projects for your review: http://protectwhatcom.org/table-wsources-2/ Please see also the infographic illustrating the information in the table, *Gateway to Extinction:* Proposed Fossil Fuel Transport through Washington, at the following link: http://protectwhatcom.org/fossil-fuel-transport/ The de Place report cited above provides information about existing and proposed oil by rail and vessel projects, http://www.sightline.org/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2013/10/crude-oil-by-rail October-2013-Update.pdf

Finally, the scope of the EIS and subsequent permitting decision implicate important policy decisions by Washington State that must be considered. Washington has ongoing local economies, established industries and businesses (including numerous small businesses based upon tourism and reliant upon the natural beauty of the landscape here), and export projects that rely on existing rail and vessel routes and infrastructure. Is the state willing to upend existing successful industries, businesses, and economies to convert the state into a highly industrialized fossil fuel distribution center like the Texas coast, where we once lived? How many years would it take to adjust to that new economy? What would be the cost to taxpayers for new infrastructure to address traffic congestion at the state's at-grade crossings, for cleanup of oil spills in terrestrial and aquatic environments, and to alleviate impacts on public health? What existing businesses, which of its citizens, what part of its natural, historic, and cultural heritage is Washington State willing to sacrifice to accommodate Tesoro Savage and other fossil fuel industry promoters? Is the state willing to undermine its considerable efforts to address climate change and ocean acidification by issuing this permit? What legacy will Washington State leave for future generations?

We recommend the no action alternative and respectfully request that the application for the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal be denied. Thank you for your attention to our comment and for your full assessment of all direct, indirect, and cumulative adverse environmental impacts that would result from this proposed project.

Sincerely,
Mary Ruth & Phillip A. Holder
Mount Vernon, WA