

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian P Stebbe [
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2001 12:50 PM
To: efsec@ep.cted.wa.gov
Subject: (No Subject)

efsec@ep.cted.wa.gov, www.governor.wa.gov, ianwaddell.office@leg.bc.ca,
www.gov.bc.ca/prem/feed, david.anderson@ec.gc.ca, pm@pm.gc.ca
To: M. Torpey, Environmental Co - Generation Manager B.P. Amoco,
cc. Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Governor of
Washington State, Gary Locke
B.C. Environment Minister, Honorable Ian Waddell
B.C. Premier Ujjal Dosanjh
Environment Minister, Honorable David Anderson
Prime Minister, Jean Cretien

Regarding Power Plant Proposals and the Increase of Harmful Emissions.
Ladies and Gentlemen,

My name is Brian Stebbe, I live in White Rock, British Columbia, Canada.
In a time when world leaders have acknowledged the importance of reducing
harmful green house gas emissions and have committed themselves to
agreements and policies that would help protect the future quality of life
for all inhabitants of the earth, I feel that I must speak out to address
this issue. The United States is the worst offender for green house gas
emissions in the world. The recent change of mind by the U.S. Administration
with regard to the Kyoto Agreement has prompted a world wide response. I
quote from the Globe and Mail, April, 2001, "The U.S. can go to climate
hell," says European Commission President, Romano Prodi, "but we have no
intentions of following them." I understand the need to supply the great
demand, created by yourselves and your neighboring states to the south of
you, there in Washington State. I understand that sometimes compromises are
made, but at what point does our compromise end the detrimental legacy that we now create for future
generations?

I am not just speaking about this B. P. power plant proposal or the SE2
proposal or the recent Coal Burning Plant proposal, for this is not just
about the negative effects of increased harmful emissions in our already
vulnerable, shared air shed. The fact that the Frazer Valley air quality is
some of the worst in Canada, is testimony to the condition of the planet as
a whole. Global Warming is a fact, and scientific evidence warns that the
effects are greater than previously feared. Climate Change is an
international issue. We all live under the same atmospheric canopy, we all
breathe the same air. Even if targets for reduction are considered expensive
, what is the price for the alternative?

I believe, alternative solutions, created with new technologies aimed at
reducing emissions, can and must be incorporated by North American Industry
and acknowledged, endorsed and even funded by both U.S. and Canadian
Government Administrations. The compromise must end and the solutions must
begin, here and now, with the awareness in the hearts and minds of the
people who are effected, not only by this power plant proposal, but by the
United States Administration's decisions with regard to their commitment to
the Kyoto agreement and responsibility to reduce harmful emissions.


I feel very strongly that not only, is this not an acceptable site for
the B.P. power plant proposal, but that North Western Washington State is
not an area, where, industries that produce any significant increase in
harmful emissions should be considered. Joint U. S. and Canadian Air Quality

Review Panels should co operate to discover alternate solutions and recommend actions to both Local and Federal Governments. The actions that our governments implement will be the only evidence of responsible leadership that shows respect for importance of this issue which will have a negative effect on the entire planet , forever.

Sincerely B. Stebbe

Get 250 color business cards for FREE!

<http://businesscards.lycos.com/vp/fastpath/>



Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

OPEN HOUSE/PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT FORM

BP Cherry Point Cogeneration Project

May 2, 2001

Name: GEORGE TAPPARO Date: 5-2-01
Address: _____
Telephone: _____
Email: _____

**Can you think of issues the Council should consider in siting this project?
What are your concerns? We are very interested in what you have to say.**

Please write any comments or questions you have below:

THIS PROJECT NEEDS TO BE APPROVED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE
BEFORE UP & RUNNING BEFORE THE LACK OF ELECTRICAL POWER
IMPACTS THE AREA.
AS THE SCARCITY OF ELECTRICITY BECOMES CRITICAL THE
PRICE WILL SKYROCKET, WHICH WILL IMPACT PEOPLE LIKE ME ON
A FIXED INCOME

Use the back of this form or attach additional pages if you need more room.

Please place this form in the drop box, or mail or fax to:

Michelle Elling, EFSEC EFS Specialist
PO Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Phone: (360) 956-2124 Fax: (360) 956-2158

Email: efsec@ep.cted.wa.gov Web Site: www.efsec.wa.gov

NEED TO START THIS PROJECT AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE

Elling, Michelle (OCD)

From: PAUL VAUTAUX [
Sent: Saturday, April 28, 2001 7:52 AM
To: EFSEC
Subject: SE-2 @BP Cherry Point

TO: Allen Fiksdal EFSEC Manager &
The EFSEC Council


Hello my name is Paul Vautaux. I have spoken in front of the council several times with my opinions about the SE-2 project. I see that as of today the 27th Nesco has indicated their intent to submit another application for their project. I still feel that it is a poorly sited facility and should be denied, whatever changes they would be considering.

The real intent of my letter is to show support for the BP Cherry Point project. This is the type of power generation facility that makes good sense in these times. The secondary use of steam for the refinery is consistent with "good energy thinking". The area is open and not very close to sites such as school grounds or major population centers just over the border. Also their ability to manage the waste water on the refinery property is in their favor.

Washington state sits between the natural gas fuel supply and the great power consumers to the south. As well as doing our part to help generate power for the west coast we must beware [I feel] that we do not become the "Detroit" of power plants. The decisions you make over the next few months as to the location of power plants will affect the lives of people in Washington State for many years to come.

Again I would say to you SE-2 is poorly sited with a negligible affect on the local county situation, please deny any further requests from Nesco. Also to say that the BP project is moving in the right direction to be in control of their own energy production and costs which will help to keep Whatcom County viable and not just a location to burn gas and ship the product "out of town". Thank you for your work.

Very Sincerely, Paul Vautaux



Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

OPEN HOUSE COMMENT FORM

MAY 03 2001

Proposed BP Cogeneration Project

ENERGY FACILITY SITE
EVALUATION COUNCIL

Public Open House
May 2, 2001

Name: Andre Vitaris Date: Apr. 3/2000
Address: _____
Telephone: _____
Email: _____

Can you think of issues the Council should consider in siting this project?
What are your concerns? We are very interested in what you have to say.

Please write any comments or questions you have below:

Please, see attached printed page.

Use the back of this form or attach additional pages if you need more room.

Please place this form in the drop box, or mail or fax to:

Allan Fiksdal, EFSEC Manager
PO Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Phone: (360) 956-2152 Fax: (360) 956-2158
Email: efsec@ep.cted.wa.gov Web site: www.efsec.wa.gov

C o m m e n t ;

After a bumpy ride of Sumas Energy 2 site evaluation process, the BP. project acceptance seems to be a promise of joy ride without all the negatives that made SE2 a non viable alternatives.

For a 750 MW. generating project, the Cherry Point Location is an excellent choice. There is no agricultural cropland sensitive to stack emission, no dense residential districts may object to noise level, nor automobiles of highway traffic would intensify air contamination emitted from a medium size power generating plant, etc.

Stack produced emissions as; CO₂, NO_x, sulphurous compounds and particulates could be reduced to the minimum acceptable standard, with application of modern pollution control technology, in vicinity of a marine coastal environment.

The generating facility equipped with gas and steam turbines as dual prime movers, believed to be able to function as peak shaver, during period of high energy demands of the days and with such capability, it would contribute substantially to the reduction of developing energy shortage.

Finally, all aspects of this project a great promise; in the right place, in the right time, please switch on the green light.