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JOINT OBJECTION OF SOSA,
FRIENDS, SCAA, YAKAMA
NATION/CPR, AND SAS TO
PREHEARING ORDER NO. 8
(COUNCIL ORDER NO. 852)

On October 1, 2010, the Administrative Law Judge issued Prehearing
Order No. 8 ("PHO") in this matter. Paragraph A.6 of the PHO addresses a
question that arose at the September 22, 2010 prehearing and status
conference. At that conference, Friends of the Columbia Gorge ("Friends") asked
whether parties to the adjudication may reference documents already in the
record (for example, previously filed SEPA comments and land use consistency
testimony and exhibits), rather than having to file and serve new, duplicate
copies. Paragraph A.6 of the PHO holds that parties must resubmit previously
filed SEPA documents,¹ including filing and service of the required number of
paper copies. Not only will this holding likely require the re-circulation of tens of
thousands of pages of duplicate material, it serves little purpose, because the

¹ The PHO is silent on whether previously filed land use consistency documents
must be resubmitted.
participants in this matter already have ready access to these documents via the EFSEC web site. For the following reasons, Intervenors Friends, Save Our Scenic Area ("SOSA"), Skamania County Agri-Tourism Association ("SCAA"), the Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Program ("YN/CRP"), and the Seattle Audubon Society ("SAS") jointly object to this ruling, and ask that the Council allow the parties in the adjudication to reference documents already in the record, without having to refile and serve multiple copies of these documents.

The previously submitted documents are already part of the administrative record. For example, the SEPA scoping comments, scoping report, Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS"), and comments on the DEIS are already part of the record. This is confirmed by an EFSEC holding in a previous matter:

The Council has devoted considerable attention to the relationship between SEPA and the APA. Because the Council is required by SEPA to consider its SEPA determination in its final adjudicative order and is required by the APA to consider only evidence that is in the adjudicative record, the Council has determined that the SEPA documents should be part of the record.

Council Order No. 688 at 10 (Aug. 4, 1995); see also Council Order No. 686 at 3 (Aug. 3, 1995).

Because these documents are already in the record, the parties should be able to refer to them without having to resubmit multiple copies. It defies reason that the same material should need to be submitted twice, to the same agency, simply to again become part of the record.

Moreover, the SEPA comments are not only already part of the record, they are also all publicly posted on the EFSEC web site.\(^\text{2}\) The comments are individually numbered and can easily be cited by number during the adjudication, rather than having to renumber and then file and serve 40+ copies of each and

\(^\text{2}\) http://www.efsec.wa.gov/Whistling%20Ridge/public%20comments.shtml
every document a party wants to reference in the adjudication. Similarly, the
previous land use consistency filings are also already part of the record, and are
also publicly available on the EFSEC web site.3 Finally, the record also contains
multiple SEPA and land use transcripts, all of which are posted on EFSEC’s web
site, that the parties should be able to cite without having to resubmit.4 It serves
little to no purpose for the Council to require resubmission and service of SEPA
and land use documents that are already in the record and publicly available.

Finally, the Council has a mandate "[t]o avoid costly duplication in the
siting process and ensure that decisions are made timely and without
unnecessary delay." RCW 80.50.010(5). In the past, the Council has respected
that mandate and helped parties navigate its procedures without unnecessary
expense and duplication. For example, in a previous adjudication, a question
arose how to integrate SEPA review with the adjudication when it became
apparent that parties would have to prepare both submissions at the same time.

Responding to Counsel for the Environment’s complaint that this would be
burdensome, the Council reasoned as follows:

To avoid duplication, the Council expects parties to adopt submissions by reference or otherwise take reasonable steps to
minimize unnecessary duplication. The Council is not imposing
procedural impediments or substantive barriers to efficiency on any
of the parties.

Council Order No. 691 at 6 (Oct. 3, 1995) (emphasis added). In that matter, and
in accordance with its mandate in RCW 80.50.010(5), the Council permitted

3http://www.efsec.wa.gov/Whistling%20Ridge/Landuse/Land%20Use.shtml#Filings

4http://www.efsec.wa.gov/Whistling%20Ridge/SEPA/Scoping/Appendix%20C.pdf
http://www.efsec.wa.gov/Whistling%20Ridge/Landuse/Land%20Use.shtml#transcripts
http://www.efsec.wa.gov/Whistling%20Ridge/Adjudication/wradj.shtml#transcripts
precisely what it now denies: a reasonable way to ensure efficiency and avoid
duplication as between the SEPA and adjudicative proceedings.

Parties should not be required to refile and circulate material that is
already in the record. This approach is likely to result in the filing and service of
tens of thousands of sheets of paper, all duplicating material that is already in
the record and publicly available. Intervenors request a ruling that documents
already in the record and posted on the Council’s web site can be referenced
during the adjudication, without the need to file and serve duplicate copies.
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I am an employee in the law offices of Aramburu & Eustis, LLP, over eighteen years of age and competent to be a witness herein.

I hereby certify that on the date below written I caused delivery of one original and 12 copies and an electronic copy on CD by first-class mail, and a copy by email to EFSEC, and sent by email and first-class mail to each of the parties of record on the attached service list a true and correct copy of the foregoing document.

Dated: This 7th day of October, 2010.

Carol Cohoe
Carol Cohoe, Secretary
Aramburu & Eustis, LLP