

Talbur, Tammy (UTC)

From: wjhsgh355@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2013 3:53 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: Attention: Stephen Posner

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Comment

EFSEC@UTC.WA.GOV Attention: Stephen Posner

From: W.J. Hudson (360)573-7121

As we discussed on the telephone on October 9, 2013:

I called Annette Cleveland, via Hot-Line, regarding Teroso-Savage oil terminal at the Port of Vancouver. She, in turn, asked Alex Swanson, on the Board of Commissioners, to contact me and he referred me to you at EFSEC.

At a Teroso-Savage meeting on September 30, 2013; I asked that, because of the volatility of the fracking crude, I questioned the use of the 111 and 111A tank cars that are known to fail in accidents. The 112 and 114 tank cars, with head shields, have a good safety record. The response from Table 2, was that the 111 and 111A are approved by DOT. I asked another man at Table 2 what Comprehensive Security plan is in place to protect this 43 acre facility. He answered that the Port will handle security, they have fences and cameras. I commented about the Teroso-Savage emphasis on security and especially with the volatility of fracking crude contained in the 6 storage tanks containing 2.5 million barrels and 380,000 barrels delivered daily, shouldn't they have a heightened concern for security. It would seem that in the construction plans to match the state-of-the-art facility there would be a state-of-the-art security system to protect against terrorism and other acts and the safety of the residents of Vancouver. Hopefully, the EFSEC will consider these issues in the investigations and deliberations in approving, or disapproving, the Teroso-Savage plans for the oil terminal in Vancouver.