

From: [D.E. Johnson](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2014 6:03:45 PM

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

D E Johnson

78028

From: [D.E. Johnson](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2014 6:12:53 PM

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

D E Johnson

78028

From: [Sierra Club](#) on behalf of [Alan Bixler](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Date: Sunday, June 01, 2014 3:31:31 PM

Jun 1, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you

to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Bixler
20 Roberts Rd
Sandia Park, NM 87047-9352

Docket# EF-131590

Tesoro Savage CBR
Public Comment #404

From: redswan6669@gmail.com
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal
Date: Monday, June 02, 2014 5:20:12 PM

Dear Stephen Posner

I am a resident of Washington and am writing to beg you not to support the Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal.

The SEPA EIS analysis should be THOROUGH. To do less is irresponsible.

There are better ways to grow our economy than to sell out our environment.

Sincerely,
R. Swan

From: [Sierra Club](#) on behalf of [Sheeba Oliver](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Date: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 11:03:42 AM

Jun 3, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you

to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheeba Oliver
4518 82nd St
Elmhurst, NY 11373-3558

From: [Sierra Club](#) on behalf of [Colin Boyse](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Date: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 2:36:58 PM

Jun 3, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you

to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Colin Boysel
344cr142-s
w mansfield, OH 43358-9535

From: [James Phelps](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project
Date: Thursday, June 05, 2014 1:59:35 AM

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

James Phelps

91306

From: [Sam You](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Reference Application No. 2013-01/Docket No. EF-131590: Please reject the proposed Tesoro Savage oil export terminal project
Date: Friday, May 02, 2014 11:30:31 PM

Dear Governor Inslee and Washington EFSEC:

I urge you to assess the full impact of Tesoro Savage's proposal to ship 360,000 barrels of oil each day through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and the Columbia River. Oil-by-rail and export by ship is a bad deal for Washington State and the entire Northwest region. The project comes at a steep price for rail and river communities throughout the state and along the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to deny Tesoro Savage's unprecedented proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of the state's largest pipeline-on-wheels proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- The potential impacts of a large train-related oil spill along the rail route in Washington and beyond.
- The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the project, I respectfully ask you to deny Tesoro Savage's application.

Thank you.

Sam You

78233

From: [Michael](#)
To: [ESEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: STOP Tesoro & Savage
Date: Saturday, May 03, 2014 7:14:53 AM

Virginia derailment of oil train illustrates threat to U.S. rivers



From: [Sierra Club](#) on behalf of [Nijole Staffel](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Date: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 4:18:44 AM

May 6, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you

to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nijole Staffel
6801 S La Grange Rd
Hodgkins, IL 60525-4819

From: [Sierra Club](#) on behalf of [Richard Seitz](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Comment on Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01
Date: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 8:17:05 AM

May 6, 2014

Mr. Stephen Posner
P.O. Box 43172
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Posner,

I'm writing regarding Docket No. EF-131590, Application No. 2013-01 to urge the Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) to assess the full environmental and public safety impact of the joint Tesoro-Savage proposal to turn the Port of Vancouver into a major crude oil export terminal.

If approved, the plan would result in 380,000 barrels of oil each day being shipped through Spokane, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Vancouver and other Northwest communities. Oil-by-rail is a bad deal for Washington State. The project comes at a steep price for rail communities and the Columbia River, yet offers few jobs in return. Based on the far reaching impacts of this project, I urge you to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's proposal.

The public safety and environmental impacts of this proposal deserve close scrutiny. For example, EFSEC must assess:

- 1) The potential safety and environmental impacts of a large train-related oil spill or explosion along the rail route in Washington and beyond. Recent derailment disasters in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec and Alabama have shown that these risks are far too real. The tragedy in Quebec, in particular, highlighted the extreme danger of the same type of oil and tankers that would be traveling through our communities. Forty-seven people died in that explosion, which also devastated the town.
- 2) The increased risk of an oil tanker spill on Washington State waters and along the shipping route.
- 3) The transportation and public health impacts of additional unit train traffic through communities along the proposed oil-by-rail route. This includes evaluating emergency response capabilities in Vancouver, where oil trains would deliver and store oil, and other communities along the rail and shipping route.
- 4) The project's impact on climate change. This analysis should include climate change impacts from crude oil as well as tar sands oil from cradle to grave.
- 5) The impact of the project's cradle-to-grave CO2 emissions on the viability of the large oyster industry in Washington State.

After carefully considering the safety, environmental, and climate risks associated with the proposed oil terminal, I respectfully ask you

to recommend the rejection of Tesoro-Savage's application.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Seitz
32 State St Apt A
Charleston, IL 61920-1481
(217) 348-6051

From: [Michael](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: STOP Tesoro & Savage
Date: Friday, May 09, 2014 5:00:47 AM

Oregon ill-prepared for oil train spill into waterways; containment booms spread sparsely



From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Ken Hancock](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:09 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Hancock
255 NE 61st Ave
Portland, OR 97213-3900

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Elizabeth Stoltz](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:43 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Stoltz
PO Box 263
Heisson, WA 98622-0088
(360) 666-2619

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Richard Ray](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:43 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Ray
30649 NE Hurt Rd
Troutdale, OR 97060-9380

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [David Nichols](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:44 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Nichols
5107 NE Couch St
Portland, OR 97213-3021
(503) 234-9764

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Norma Reich](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:44 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Norma Reich
1221 SW 10th Ave
Portland, OR 97205-2426

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Margaret Keene](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:44 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Keene
7536 Gladstone Ave
White City, OR 97503-1724

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Kari Skedsvold](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:44 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kari Skedsvold
2539 NE 19th Ave
Portland, OR 97212-4255
(503) 329-1258

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [John Reinke](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:44 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Reinke
9350 Red-Wood Rd #B212
Redmond, WA 98052
(425) 869-8793

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Charles Lief](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:45 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian on May 14, 2014. This leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which is further shortened by a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws. The short notice period impedes our ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In similar fashion, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Lief
328 NE Carmen Pl
Adair Village, OR 97330-9597

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Daniel Jaffee](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:45 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

As a local resident who would be directly harmed by an accident, spill, or explosion at the proposed terminal, this decision is deeply disturbing to me, and I insist that you give the public adequate opportunity to prepare and comment.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Daniel Jaffee
4723 NE 14th Ave
Portland, OR 97211-5011

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [michael schulte](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:45 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. michael schulte
3612 NE 44th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-1020

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Dennis J. Parker](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:45 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis j. Parker
SW Corbett Ave.
Portland, OR 97239

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Cort Brumfield](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 3:54:45 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cort Brumfield
9002 NE Webster St
Portland, OR 97220-4628

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Mark Waller](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:20 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Waller
1820 Northshore Rd
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-3746
(503) 635-9309

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Justin Russell](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:20 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justin Russell
1732 NW Quimby St Ste 200
Portland, OR 97209-2567
(503) 894-7851

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Jeff Forbes](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:24 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Forbes
4625 SE 67th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-4515
(503) 777-1907

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Robin Thomas](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:24 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Thomas
3912 Clark Ave
Vancouver, WA 98661-6014

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Randi Fitch](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:24 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Randi Fitch
PO Box 455
Trout Lake, WA 98650-0455
(509) 395-9314

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Roger Kofler](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:24 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Kofler
17177 SE Jennings Crest Ln
Portland, OR 97267-6365

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Mary Grout](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:24 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Grout
9137 NW McKenna Dr
Portland, OR 97229-8039
(503) 292-5440

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [michelle piranio](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:25 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. michelle piranio
1322 SE 20th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-3812

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [John Goeckermann](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:26 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Goeckermann
Hugo Road
Grants Pass, OR 97528

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Patricia Meeks](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:26 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Meeks
PO Box 1978
White Salmon, WA 98672-1978

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [mitchell karton](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:26 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mitchell Karton
3248 Lakewood Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-7230
(206) 979-1120

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [David Michalek](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:46 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Michalek
25 Eugene St
Hood River, OR 97031-2215

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Marcy Baumberger](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:46 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Marcy Baumberger
4177 SE Bethesda Pl
Gresham, OR 97080-8452

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Loretta Railing](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:46 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Loretta Railing
4810 NE 114th St
Vancouver, WA 98686-4449
(360) 574-5613

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Arlene Jurgens](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Arlene Jurgens
425 SE 70th Ave
Portland, OR 97215-1405

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Ian Shelley](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ian Shelley
50 SW 97th Ave
Portland, OR 97225-6902

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Jane Steadman](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Steadman
5612 7th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-2729

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Lawrence Gabriel](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Gabriel
155 SW Newton Dr
Dallas, OR 97338-9038
(971) 239-1159

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [JAMES B. STENGLE](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. JAMES B. STENGLE
730 SE 33rd St
Troutdale, OR 97060-3401
(503) 492-3632

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Joel Carlson](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Don't let corrupt special interests destroy our country! EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to

have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Carlson
3634 Loren St NE
Lacey, WA 98516-3402

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Joel Carlson](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Don't let corrupt special interests destroy our country! EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to

have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Carlson
3634 Loren St NE
Lacey, WA 98516-3402

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Carolyn Buhl](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:47 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Buhl
1128 SE 30th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-4011

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Geri Kromminga](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:48 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geri Kromminga
114 Alki Rd Apt A
Vancouver, WA 98663-1299

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Darvel Lloyd](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:48 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darvel Lloyd
54 SE 74th Ave
Portland, OR 97215-1443
(503) 251-2784

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Kate Stuart](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:48 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Stuart
PO Box 441
Cascade Locks, OR 97014-0441

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Ann Hollyfield](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:48 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Hollyfield
PO Box 70
Seal Rock, OR 97376-0070
(541) 563-3376

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Mary Edwards](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:48 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Edwards
11650 NW Damascus St
Portland, OR 97229-5042

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Steven Woolpert](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:49 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Woolpert
PO Box 3
Lyle, WA 98635-0001
(509) 365-2318

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Andrew O'Shea](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:49 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew O'Shea
2737 NW Upshur St Apt 106
Portland, OR 97210-2268

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Sarah McDevitt](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:49 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah McDevitt
920 SE 217th Ave
Gresham, OR 97030-2418

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Mary Soden](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:24:50 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Soden
PO Box 558
Mosier, OR 97040-0558
(541) 478-3095

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Maria Katzenbach](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:18 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

As I am sure you know, EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014, which does not give anyone enough time for citizens and civic leaders to review and reply.

EFSEC has also made it difficult for citizens to respond and reply by failing to provide mailing and email addresses to submit testimony.

Of additional concern is that EFSEC is acting in ways that are at odds with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. How can the City of Vancouver possibly make a sound judgement on whether this proposed project is safe for its residents and habitats without enough time to review all the information?

It is one thing to propose a rail project.
It is quite another to try to railroad it through.

Let's all be better than this.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Maria Katzenbach
8718 SE 15th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-7141

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Duane Hunting](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:18 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which some portions may be extremely relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. My experience as the Vice President and Land Use Chair of a SW Portland Neighborhood Association has shown me that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is most likely because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a public hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing. The EIS is a valuable document to explain what criteria was included to evaluate the impact of such a volatile terminal within confines of the Columbia River natural area.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project and related documents, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete their review process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Duane Hunting
6703 SW 13th Ave
Portland, OR 97219-2009
(503) 245-7998

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Lisa Jo Frech](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:19 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Jo Frech
20645 SW McCormick Hill Rd
Hillsboro, OR 97123-8742
(503) 538-6766

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [James Fontaine](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:19 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Fontaine
632 N Laurel St
Cannon Beach, OR 97110-3110

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Mike Zotter](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:19 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Please do not short-circuit the public process. If you are concerned that the public is against this project, well there is your answer - the public doesn't want oil trains.
If you want meaningful comment from the people this project is going to affect, than please reschedule this land use meeting.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Zotter
1038 SE 29th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-4005

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Timothy Ford](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:20 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Ford
950 Lloyd Ctr
Portland, OR 97232-1262
(503) 234-4877

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Nena Lovinger](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:20 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nena Lovinger
40093 Little Fall Creek Rd
Fall Creek, OR 97438-9728

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Fraser Rasmussen](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:51 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fraser Rasmussen
45 Eagle Crest Dr
Apt 300
Lake Oswego, OR 97035-1057
(503) 744-0791

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [alex.prentiss](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:52 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. alex prentiss
15685 SW 116th Ave
King City, OR 97224-2651

From: [Friends of the Columbia Gorge](#) on behalf of [Kathryn van der Horst](#)
To: [EFSEC \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)
Date: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54:56 PM

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful

comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathryn van der Horst
3356 SE Main St
Portland, OR 97214-4259

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Eileen Nahna <e.l.nahan@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Nahna
6118 NE 32nd Ave
Portland, OR 97211-6708

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of donald dickson <kdickson5@frontier.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

donald dickson
PO Box 219028
Portland, OR 97225-9028

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Samantha Wright <sampanther02@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Samantha Wright
1121 Riverside Dr
Washougal, WA 98671-7659

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Susan Mates <s.mates@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Mates
8945 NW Oak St
Portland, OR 97229-6631

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Cathryn Smith <catbluecatsmith@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Cathryn Smith
6007 Westmorland Ln
Pasco, WA 99301-8690

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Donald Elliott <donelliottsr@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Elliott
8688 SE 29th Ave
Portland, OR 97222-6319
(503) 654-3610

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Jay Russo <stellarjay1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jay Russo
1262 Duncan Creek Rd
Stevenson, WA 98648-6177
(509) 427-5002

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of John Nettleton <jpn5710@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Nettleton
4311 SE 37th Ave Apt 21
Portland, OR 97202-3265

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Barbara Fowlie <bbfowlie@gorge.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process. More time is needed

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Fowlie
261 Wess Rd
Underwood, WA 98651-9205

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Lori Dennis <bluemoon2860@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 4:54 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Dennis
4134 Marshall Ave
Eugene, OR 97402-1530
(541) 689-3993

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Amy Houchen <ahouchen@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Houchen
2419 SW Richardson St
Portland, OR 97239-2133

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Leslie Williams <dashmuir@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Leslie Williams
2605 SE 30th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-1337

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Karen Pickering <karenpickering@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Pickering
25909 NE 52nd Way
Vancouver, WA 98682-7666
(415) 391-0310

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Laurie Dougherty <lauriedougherty@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Dougherty

46

Salem, OR 97301

Talburt, Tammy (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Ineke Deruyter <ideruyter@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ineke Deruyter
9322 N Oswego Ave
Portland, OR 97203-2339

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Beth Flake <elfio@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Please consider the following issues. EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Flake
25 Eugene St
Hood River, OR 97031-2215

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Mr Brems <bremshu@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr Brems
20300 NE 16th St
Camas, WA 98607-9243

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Ann Bronson <bop@gorge.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ann Bronson
678 Larch Ct
Hood River, OR 97031-7802

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of benjamin ignatowski <benigny@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. benjamin ignatowski
PO Box 11807
Portland, OR 97211-0807
(503) 470-9749

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Thomas Hager <tomterrific3@juno.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hager
695 Summer Ln
White Salmon, WA 98672-7400

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Mary Hayden <hayden.mary.k@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

It leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

State and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts.

This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient

time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Hayden
18347 S Redland Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045-8063
(503) 631-7040

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of sharilyn cohn <sharilyn@easystreet.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

sharilyn cohn
128 NE 43rd Ave
Portland, OR 97213-1604

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Jill Neill <guitarsong@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Neill
209 NE 22nd Ave Apt B
Portland, OR 97232-3173

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of DELTON YOUNG <drdwyoung@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. DELTON YOUNG
7731 21st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4503
(206) 522-9535

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Rick McMonagle <zrickmcmonagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick McMonagle
1203 SE Boise St
Portland, OR 97202-3735

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Gail Streicker <gstreicker@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about

whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Streicker
3122 NE 40th Ave
Portland, OR 97212-2810

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Joel Kay <jjkof1@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:24 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Joel Kay
10707 SE Stanley Ave
Milwaukie, OR 97222-4362
(503) 654-5567

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Melba Dlugonski <melbajade@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melba Dlugonski
6735 SE 78th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-7116

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of elaine noonan <elainenoo@q.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. elaine noonan
8019 SE 141st Ave
Portland, OR 97236-5447
(503) 760-4403

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Tricia Bergman <triciab@pacifier.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tricia Bergman
112 W 28th St
Vancouver, WA 98660-2206
(360) 750-9241

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Nancy Whitney <whitney39@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Come on, People!! it is time to start working with the people and not with the corporations.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Whitney
40 Wagner Ave
Saint Helens, OR 97051-1140

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Kathy Appel <kathyappel53@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Appel
2795 Columbia Blvd
Saint Helens, OR 97051-2727
(503) 397-4108

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Derek Gendvil <dgendvil@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. That leaves the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing would be inconsistent with state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available for this project prior to holding a hearing. The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts. This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derek Gendvil
9030 W Sahara Ave # 360
Las Vegas, NV 89117-5744

EFSEC (UTC)

From: Friends of the Columbia Gorge <advocacy@gorgefriends.org> on behalf of Patricia Fulbright <pfulbright@clark.edu>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: EFSEC (UTC)
Subject: EFSEC's Land Use Consistency Hearing for Tesoro Savage Vancouver Oil Terminal (Application No. 2013-01)

Categories: Blue

May 19, 2014

EFSEC

I request that EFSEC cancel and reschedule the land use consistency hearing for the proposed Tesoro Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal, which is currently scheduled for May 28, 2014.

Here is the reason for my request: the EFSEC published its notice about this hearing in the Columbian newspaper on May 14, 2014. This notice allows the public only fourteen days to review the project, which includes a holiday weekend. For this reason, EFSEC has failed to provide me and other members of the public with a sufficient amount of time to review Tesoro's application (which exceeds 2,400 pages) for consistency with the City of Vancouver's land use laws (more than 1,300 pages of which may be relevant). The short notice period impedes my ability to provide meaningful testimony to EFSEC, and you can solve this problem by delaying the EFSEC scheduled hearing.

In addition, EFSEC's hearing notice fails to specify the mailing address and email address for submitting written testimony. Similarly, the public notice fails to state whether EFSEC will accept written testimony after the hearing. EFSEC's failure to include this information undermines public input on land use consistency. It should be expected that more public participation will occur through written testimony than oral testimony. This is because of a number of factors, including scheduling conflicts that may hinder attendance at public hearings, some individuals' preferences for filing testimony in writing as opposed to speaking in front of a large crowd, the short amount of time allotted for each individual to speak at a public hearing, and the limited number of individuals who can testify in a single evening. When EFSEC reschedules the hearing, it should clarify the procedures for submitting written testimony.

I am also concerned that the early scheduling of this land use consistency hearing does not adhere to state and local laws that require EFSEC to make the environmental impact statement (EIS) available to the public for this project prior to holding a hearing.

The EIS will include information that informs the public and decision-makers about the project's potential environmental impacts.

This information is necessary, vital, and legally required to be part of EFSEC's land use hearing.

Similarly, EFSEC should provide the City of Vancouver a sufficient amount of time to review the project, including a comment period for the public to address the city, before the city reaches any conclusions about

whether the proposal would be consistent with the city's laws. A fourteen-day notice period is woefully inadequate for the city to complete this process.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that EFSEC cancel the May 28 hearing and reschedule it to a later date with appropriate advance notice, taking into consideration the strong need for the public to have sufficient time to review the proposal and provide meaningful comments, and for the city to complete its review in accordance with its procedures. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Patricia Fulbright
1615 SE 29th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-4921