



1 attached a true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae as Attachment A. As a  
2 Professional Engineer licensed in the States of Oregon and Washington, I have been  
3 deemed a proficient and qualified engineer, bound to ensure public safety and to promote  
4 ethical conduct. In addition to the expectation and requirement to remain current in  
5 Transportation Engineering to maintain licensure, my extensive professional experience  
6 and training make me an expert in assessing transportation system impacts involving land  
7 development projects.

8           4.       In 2013, Kittelson was hired to evaluate the surface transportation impacts  
9 of the proposed Vancouver Energy Terminal located at the Port of Vancouver,  
10 Washington (“the Terminal”). In conjunction with other Kittelson employees working  
11 under my direction, I completed two reports which focus on assessing the traffic impacts  
12 of the Terminal and its construction. The first report is titled “Technical Report: Tesoro  
13 Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Transportation Impact Analysis”  
14 (“TIA”) with a revised date of July, 2014. This report was contained in Appendix K of  
15 the Proposed Draft Environmental Impact Statement (“PDEIS”) submitted to the  
16 Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (“EFSEC”) and is Appendix J1  
17 of the Applicant’s Revised Application for Site Certification. *See* Ex 0001-PCE. The  
18 second report is the “Vancouver Energy Construction Transportation Management Plan”  
19 (“CTMP”) dated April 30, 2015. The CTMP is Appendix J2 of the Applicant’s Revised  
20 Application for Site Certification. *Id.* These documents summarize my analysis,  
21 professional judgement, findings, and recommendations regarding the potential surface  
22 transportation impacts of the Terminal and include pertinent information upon which my  
23 recommendations are based.

24           5.       As documented in the TIA, the construction and permanent operation of  
25 the Terminal can be achieved while maintaining acceptable levels of service and safety on

1 surrounding public streets under the jurisdiction of the City of Vancouver and Washington  
2 State Department of Transportation (“WSDOT”), as well as on the private roadways on  
3 Port of Vancouver property. Based on technical analysis and professional judgement, the  
4 recommendations documented in the TIA include the modification of traffic control  
5 devices, addition of posted speed signage, and minor striping enhancements at  
6 intersections under Port, City, and/or WSDOT jurisdiction.

7         6. The CTMP addresses mitigation measures for potential impacts to the  
8 transportation system during the Terminal construction in a timely and cost-effective  
9 manner while maintaining public safety and mobility through the work area. The  
10 objectives of the CTMP are to: 1) describe the project area characteristics, 2) describe  
11 proposed improvements and proposed construction staging, routing, and circulation  
12 strategies, 3) determine if there will be any height, weight, or width restrictions during  
13 construction activities, 4) describe temporary traffic control approach and selection of  
14 traffic mitigation measures, 5) identify a plan to maintain mobility and public safety  
15 during construction while minimizing delays, and 6) identify project stakeholders and  
16 agency staff requiring coordination. The CTMP is a working document used to  
17 memorialize key issues and strategies for the project and includes a list of project  
18 stakeholders and emergency contacts. The CTMP will be used by the Certificate Holder  
19 during construction to ensure ongoing access is provided to the Terminal and all other  
20 adjacent properties and businesses in the immediate area.

21         7. In the Fall of 2015 and through January 2016, Kittelson was hired to  
22 review and comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (“DEIS”) issued by  
23 EFSEC on November 24, 2015. Specifically, Kittelson reviewed DEIS Section 3.14.3.2  
24 (Rail Transportation) to assess the validity of the report’s conclusion that the Terminal  
25 could have “moderate” to “major” impacts to motorists associated with delays incurred at

1 at-grade rail crossings. Our comments on DEIS Section 3.14.3.2 were incorporated into  
2 the Applicant's DEIS Comment Letter, dated January 22, 2016. Our review found that the  
3 DEIS assessment of at-grade crossing impacts focused on three primary impact metrics: 1)  
4 increased gate down times, 2) cumulative total delay for drivers, and 3) cumulative  
5 maximum vehicle queue lengths. However, these impact metrics were not compared to  
6 any recognized standards or rating criteria. In addition, our review found that the DEIS  
7 relied on a series of unfounded or speculative assumptions to produce impact findings.  
8 Lastly, save for eight specific at-grade rail crossings highlighted in Table 3.14-15 of the  
9 DEIS, this report failed to provide any meaningful analysis or conclusive assessments of  
10 impacts at the remaining at-grade crossings along the Columbia River Alignment. Our  
11 final response comments encouraged consideration of additional detailed information to  
12 refine the DEIS analysis and to further quantify what impacts trains associated with the  
13 Terminal would have on rail transportation and whether any impacts are significant or not.

14 8. More recently, Kittelson performed an investigation of existing  
15 transportation conditions and the Terminal impacts at ten specific at-grade rail crossings  
16 in the State of Washington, consistent with the methodology we suggested in our DEIS  
17 response comments. Seven of the ten crossings are located in the cities of Washougal,  
18 Pasco, and Spokane Valley and were selected for analysis because they were identified in  
19 the DEIS (Table 3.14-15), and are on the rail corridor that will be used by trains traveling  
20 to and from the Terminal, and experience enough motor vehicle traffic demand at the  
21 crossing to potentially generate meaningful levels of driver delay and vehicle queues.  
22 Three additional crossings in the City of Cheney were added to our investigation due to  
23 purported impact of the Terminal unit oil trains at specific crossings.

24 9. The key focus of our investigation was to assess the current impacts of  
25 normal rail activity at each at-grade crossing and the potential impacts of unit oil trains

1 associated with the Terminal. The investigation documented a quantitative analysis of  
2 impacts to driver delay and vehicle queuing on the intersecting roadways based on  
3 extensive data collection and analysis efforts in the following areas:

- 4 • Description of the railroad crossing features (number of tracks, crossing  
5 surface, control type, signage, and maximum authorized track speed);
- 6 • Description of the crossing roadway (functional classification, number of  
7 travel lanes, posted speed limit, nearby land uses);
- 8 • Summary of observed train activity over the 48-hour data collection period by  
9 time of day;
- 10 • Crossing gate metrics (maximum and average time of gate deployment);
- 11 • Crossing closure times (maximum and average time for the day, maximum  
12 associated with the longest observed train, and maximum and average time for  
13 the morning and evening peak hours);
- 14 • Summary of current traffic volumes on the crossing roadway and estimated  
15 maximum queue lengths (including peak hour volumes by time of day and by  
16 direction);
- 17 • Descriptions of existing, planned, or potential queue management measures  
18 along crossing roadway; and,
- 19 • Assessment of the Terminal unit oil train impacts.

20 10. In conjunction with other Kittelson employees working under my direction,  
21 I completed a report titled “Technical Report: At-Grade Rail Crossing Analysis for Tesoro  
22 Savage Vancouver Energy Distribution Terminal Facility” dated May 12, 2016. This  
23 report, which contains my analysis, professional judgement, findings, and  
24 recommendations regarding the potential surface transportation impacts from rail traffic,  
25 is attached herein as Attachment B.

SWORN PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF BRIAN DUNN - 5

68572-4

**Van Ness  
Feldman** LLP

719 Second Avenue Suite 1150  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 623-9372



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

DATED this 13th day of May, 2016.

*Brian Dunn*  
Brian Dunn, Declarant

STATE OF Oregon )  
COUNTY OF Multnomah )

Brian Dunn, being duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says: The foregoing testimony is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and is given subject to the laws of perjury in the State of Washington.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2016.

*Louisa Maree*  
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of:

Residing at: Portland, OR.

My Commission Expires: April 05/2019

Louisa Maree  
Printed Name of Notary:

