

Responses to Comments in Letter 178 from D. McMillan, Abbotsford Resident

Note: The responses listed below are numbered to correspond to the numbers shown in the right-hand margin of the preceding comment letter.

1. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 1 for discussion of air quality and human health effects.
2. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 2 for discussion of air quality in Canada.
3. See General Response D for discussion of effects on area wells.
4. Please see Letter 3, Response to Comment 1 for discussion of how air quality standards are set to protect human health and the environment. General Responses D, E, and H describe mitigation the applicant would undertake to prevent impacts on water resources. Assuming standards are followed and mitigation measures employed, it is not expected that the project would cause contamination of the food chain.
5. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 4 and General Response B for discussion of health and socioeconomic effects of power lines.
6. See General Response I for discussion of water quality of plant effluent.
7. Please see responses to comments in Letter 141 for discussion of potential fish and wildlife impacts and mitigation.
8. Thank you for your comments.