

## Responses to Comments in Letter 69 from Barton Bigford, Abbotsford Resident

*Note: The responses listed below are numbered to correspond to the numbers shown in the right-hand margin of the preceding comment letter.*

1. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 1 for discussion of air quality and human health effects.
2. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 2 for discussion of air quality in Canada.
3. See General Response D for discussion of effects on area wells.
4. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 4 and General Response B for discussion of health and socioeconomic effects of power lines.
5. See General Response I for discussion of water quality of plant effluent.
6. Thank you for your comments.
7. The location of the facility is based on size, proximity to available utilities and gas pipeline easement, compliance with City of Sumas zoning and comprehensive plans, access to the site, and availability of the property. In addition, the Washington Administrative Code states:

*“When a proposal is for a private project on a specific site, the lead agency shall be required to evaluate only the no action alternative plus other reasonable alternatives for achieving the proposal’s objective on the same site.” (WAC 197-11-440 (5)d).*