

## Responses to Comments in Letter 45 from K.L. Gregory, Abbotsford Resident

*Note: The responses listed below are numbered to correspond to the numbers shown in the right-hand margin of the preceding comment letter.*

1. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 1 for discussion of air quality and human health effects.
2. Please see Letter 3, Response to Comment 2 for a discussion of air quality emissions from the proposed facility and their impacts in the Lower Fraser Valley.
3. Please see General Response D, which addresses the potential for of this project to impact groundwater availability in the area.
4. See Letter 3, Response to Comment 4.
5. The commentor is one of several who expressed concern over disposal of wastewater from the S2GF project at the JAMES Treatment Plant in Canada. Please see General Response I, which addresses this comment and related concerns. In addition, this commentor expressed concern that solid and/or chemical contaminants would be dumped in the Fraser River. The great majority of the wastewater from this facility would be blowdown water, with only a small amount of sewage from onsite bathrooms and boiler makeup water. The wastewater leaving the site would be treated to meet all applicable discharge codes, and would be treated further at the JAMES Treatment Plant before discharge to the Fraser River.
6. Pursuant to WAC 463-42-075, SE2 would establish and maintain several forms of insurance during construction and operation of S2GF. Detailed discussion of these insurance coverages is in Section 1.3 of the Application for Site Certification. Insurance would be maintained as required by law, customary business practice, and third-party participants and lenders. The following coverages would be included:  
  
Commercial General Liability Insurance, Automobile Insurance, Property Insurance, Boiler and Machinery Insurance, Workers Compensation and Washington Stop Gap Liability.  
  
SE2 would be responsible as required by law for acts of environmental impairment related to ownership and operation of the S2GF. No set-aside from operating funds is being proposed for site decommissioning. However to the extent site facilities are not otherwise removed, recycled, or salvaged, SE2 would maintain ongoing responsibility for site facilities and site integrity as the site owner.
7. Thank you for your comments.