



1 (2) To *preserve and protect the quality of the environment*; to enhance the public’s opportunity to  
2 enjoy the esthetic and recreational benefits of the air, water and land resources; *to promote*  
3 *air cleanliness*; and to *pursue beneficial changes in the environment*.

4  
5 III. Conclusion

6 The information in Dr. Easterbrook’s affidavit is recently published, was not available at  
7 the time of the hearings, and has a direct bearing on the Council’s mandate to consider the  
8 location of the facility “to *ensure* through available and reasonable methods, that the *location* and  
9 *operation* of such facilities will produce minimal *adverse effects on the environment, ecology of*  
10 *the land and its wildlife, and the ecology of state waters and their aquatic life.*”

11 The SE2 proposed power plant is not an ordinary building. It will store hazardous  
12 chemicals (see attached.) If these are released during an earthquake, it will have major adverse  
13 effects on the environment, ecology of the land and its wildlife, and the ecology of state waters  
14 and their aquatic life.

15 The Application, which is in the record, states that ground rupture is not a risk because  
16 “there are no known faults in the area.” This is false, and EFSEC should be able to reopen the  
17 record to correct the record and gain full information about the inherent risks of building at this  
18 site.

19 I support the motion to reopen hearings to evaluate the new information available.  
20

21 DATED: January 4, 2001

22 At Bellingham, Washington

23 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
24 Constance Hoag  
25 2633 Halverstick Rd  
26 Lynden, WA 98264  
27