

Desert Claim Wind Power
[Signature]

June 1, 2007

Mr. Darryl Piercy
Director
Kittitas County Community Development Services
411 N. Ruby Street, Suite 2
Ellensburg, WA 98926

Dear Mr. Piercy, *Darryl*

Thank you for the opportunity to meet with you, Neil Caulkins, and others from Kittitas County Community Development Services on May 17, 2007 to discuss the Desert Claim Wind Power project. As Darin Huseby, enXco's Northwest Regional Development Director, stated at the start of the meeting, the Company wanted to make sure the County understood the changes we have made to the Project and we wanted to hear whether the County still had any concerns in light of these changes. We did not consider the meeting to be a pre-application meeting, per se, but rather an opportunity to try to understand the County's current position in the context of the pending EFSEC process.

We appreciated your candor during our meeting. As you explained during the meeting and summarized in your May 22, 2007 letter, the County has had three concerns about the Desert Claim Project: (1) whether the project parcels are contiguous, (2) whether shadow flicker is adequately mitigated, and (3) whether near-field visual impacts are adequately mitigated.

We were pleased to hear that the changes we have made to the Project have fully addressed the first two of these concerns. We recognize that we have a difference of opinion regarding the third issue. Desert Claim has agreed to increase the set-back from existing residences to 1656 feet (4-times-tip height as EFSEC required for the Kittitas Valley project). On the other hand, the County believes a set back of 2500 feet from existing residences is required absent site-

specific considerations such as topography interrupting the line-of-sight. We now understand the County's position on this issue more clearly. We also appreciate your acknowledgement that the Community Development Services staff do not have any other concerns about the Project, and that you do not anticipate any other significant issues arising during the permitting process.

Although it was helpful to hear you describe the views of Community Development Services and what you believe to be the views of the County Commissioners, we understood that you could not speak for the Commissioners. For that reason, we requested an opportunity to meet with the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) directly. In effect, we asked to take the BOCC up on the offer Commissioner Bowen made in his December 6, 2006 letter to discuss the Project with the BOCC in a public meeting. You said that you needed to consult with your attorney and the Commissioners before responding to our request.

Your recent letter indicates that the BOCC is not willing to participate in a public meeting with Desert Claim unless Desert Claim initiates the County's siting process by filing an application pursuant to chapter 17.61A. Although we are disappointed by this news, we appreciate your providing a prompt and clear response to our request.

Thank you again for the informative and cordial meeting.

Sincerely,



David Steeb
Project Director

CC N. Caulkins – KC
A. Kimball – KC
D. Huseby – enXco
K. McGaffey – Perkins Coie (enXco)
J. Luce – EFSEC Chair